

Brandy Butler

From: Brandy Butler on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 3:24 PM
To: 'bay@farwellgroup.com'
Cc: Consumer Contact
Subject: RE: Docket No. 20170009 - Oppose FPL's Unfair Request

Good afternoon Bay Elliott,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20170009 and forwarding your comments to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Sincerely,

Brandy Butler
Commission Deputy Clerk I
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Phone: (850) 413-7123

-----Original Message-----

From: bay@everyactioncustom.com [<mailto:bay@everyactioncustom.com>]
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 3:24 PM
To: Records Clerk
Subject: Docket No. 20170009 - Oppose FPL's Unfair Request

Dear FL PSC,

Dear Commissioners,

Please reject FPL's request in Docket No. 20170009. It is not fair for the company to continue to heap the financial risk onto customers like me to pursue new reactors at Turkey Point, a project for which there is no financial rationale and no builder. In large part because of the higher costs per kWh and Btu of nuclear energy and the lower costs of virtually all other forms of energy, including renewable energy sources, I understand the builder, Westinghouse, filed for bankruptcy and is out of the nuclear construction business. Not only is FPL smart not to commit to actually building the reactors, or committing to a price tag, it is impossible for FPL to show that nuc reactors are in the best economic interest of their customers with cost curves trending higher on nuc energy and trending lower in all other energy source sectors, especially renewable energy sources.

On top of this, we are currently at .75 inches per year and increasing in local sea level rise and to add infrastructure to an area that will be largely underwater in 20 years is the height of insanity. If you really represented the interests of the rate payers, you would be driving distributed renewable energy projects, not only lowering long term costs and higher ROIs but also significantly de-risking the electric grid system.

It is disingenuous at best and likely even fraudulent for FPL to ask the PSC permission to incur even more costs pursuing the licenses for the project, which ultimately allows it to recover its costs plus interest, plus a 12% profit on expenses from customers.

FPL rate payers have already paid too much for too long toward this un-sustainable, significantly higher cost and lower ROI project as well as we have been forced to fund in several others (i.e. the Oklahoma natural gas losses and fleecing of ratepayers). Please stop this fraudulent fleecing of FPLs ratepayers. Failure to stop this and frankly prosecute constitutes collusion and co-conspiracy.

I trust that you will at least attempt to act in the customers' economic interests in this proceeding.

Please reject FPL's clearly disingenuous and cost increasing and ROI lowering request. Please refuse to allow any more current tech nuc plants. Please shift your support to lower cost and lower trending renewable energy cost sources, especially those that support distributed power and de-risking the energy grid system for consumers.

Sincerely,

Bay Elliott

Sincerely,

Bay Elliott

5845 SW 97th St Miami, FL 33156-2059

bay@farwellgroup.com