FILED 9/7/2017 DOCUMENT NO. 07612-2017 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK



## Public Serbice Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

## -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: September 6, 2017
 TO: Pamela H. Page, Senior Attorney, Office of the General Counsel
 Victor C. Cordiano, Engineering Specialist II, Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
 RE: Docket 120243-EI – Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s First Request for Extension of Confidential Classification of portions of audit staff's report entitled *Review of the Payment Arrangement Programs offered by the Florida Electric Industry (December 2012), PA-11-11-003.*

Audit staff has reviewed Duke Energy Florida, LLC's (DEF's) Third Request for Extension of Confidentiality (DN 06553-2017) requesting that a portion of audit staff's report in **Exhibit A** (DN 07516-12), as identified in **EXHIBIT C** (DN 06465-12), be classified as confidential under §366.093(3)(c) and §366.093(3)(e), F.S. We concur and, therefore, staff recommends that the confidentiality request be approved for DN 07516-12.

Feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

RECEIVED-FPSC 2017 SEP -7 PM 3: 20



**Public Service Commission** 

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

## -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

- DATE: September 6, 2017
- TO: Division of Auditing and Performance Analysis, Office of Primary Responsibility
- FROM: OFFICE OF COMMISSION CLERK
- RE:
   CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN INFORMATION

   DOCKET NO: 20120243-EI
   DOCUMENT NO: 07516-2012

   DESCRIPTION: Progress (Triplett) (CONFIDENTIAL) Amended Exh A to request for confidential classification. [x-ref DN 06466-12 (returned to source)]

   SOURCE: Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

The above confidential material is related to a <u>third request for extension of confidential</u> <u>classification, filed on 8/3/2017 (DN 06553-2017)</u>. Please complete the following form by checking all applicable information and forward it to the attorney assigned to the docket, along with a brief memorandum supporting your recommendation.

- x The document(s) is (are), in fact, what the utility asserts it (them) to be.
- <u>x</u> The utility has provided enough details to perform a reasoned analysis of its request  $\ge$
- The material has been received incident to an inquiry.
- x The material is confidential business information because it includes:
  - \_\_\_\_ (a) Trade secrets;
  - (b) Internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors;
  - x (c) Security measures, systems, or procedures;
  - (d) Information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms;
  - (e) Employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications, or responsibilities;
  - \_\_\_\_ (f) Tax returns or tax-related information;
  - <u>x</u> (g) Information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of information.
- <u>x</u> The material appears to be confidential in nature and harm to the company or its ratepayers will result from public disclosure.
- \_\_\_\_ The material appears <u>not</u> to be confidential in nature.
- \_\_\_\_ The material is a periodic or recurring filing and each filing contains confidential information.

This response was prepared by Victor Cordiano on September 6, 2017, a copy of which has been sent to the Office of Commission Clerk and the Office of General Counsel.