Re: Docket No. 20170183-EI - Application for limited proceeding to approve 2017 second revised and restated settlement agreement, including certain rate adjustments, by Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

Dear Ms. Triplett:

By this letter, Commission staff (staff) requests that Duke Energy Florida, LLC (DEF or Company) provide responses to the following data requests.

68. Please refer to the entries in Exhibit 2, column (A) of the Second Revised and Restated Stipulation and Settlement Agreement. There appears to be a discrepancy of approximately 4% between the sum of units in Exhibit 2, column (A), lines 2-6, titled ‘Customer Charge - $ per Line of Billing,’ and the sum of units in Exhibit 2, column (A), lines 12-15, titled ‘Energy Charge – cents per KWH.’ Please clarify and reconcile this apparent discrepancy.

69. Please refer to DEF’s response to Staff Data Request No. 23. For EVSE installed after Q1 2018, how does DEF proposes to fully depreciate, at a 20% depreciation rate, all such equipment during a period that is, cumulatively for any such installation, less than 5 years?

Please refer to Paragraph 17a.-f. for question 70.

70. How does DEF’s Electric Vehicle Charging Station Pilot Program differ from other electric vehicle charging options currently available to DEF customers?

   a. What benefits will DEF customers receive from the Electric Vehicle Charging Station Pilot Program?
71. Please refer to Paragraph 17.g.ii.
   a. Does DEF have any electric vehicles in its fleet, and if so, how does the Company charge its vehicles?
   b. Please identify DEF’s current plant balance of EVSE and the reserve balance of EVSE.
   c. If the plant and reserve balances for EVSE are non-zero, please specify the FERC accounts in which such plant and reserve is recorded and the history of the approval of the associated depreciation rates.
   d. If the plant and reserve balances are non-zero, please explain where such plant is deployed, the technical specifications of such plant, whether such plant is for company use, the recovery mechanism for such plant, and when such plant was put into service.
   e. If the plant and reserve balances are non-zero, what is the basis for DEF maintaining a depreciation rate for such plant at a rate that may be different from the depreciation rate proposed in the EVSE Charging Station Pilot Program as proposed in the 2017 Settlement?

Please refer to Paragraph 39.

72. DEF states that “[t]he parties further agree that this 2017 Second Revised and Restated Settlement Agreement is in the public interest.” Please explain with particularity why the 2017 Second Revised and Restated Settlement Agreement is in the public interest.

Please file all responses electronically no later than Wednesday, October 18, 2017, through the Commission’s website at www.floridapsc.com, by selecting the Clerk’s Office tab and Electronic Filing Web Form. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6230 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/ Kyesha R. Mapp

Kyesha R. Mapp
Senior Attorney

KRM/as

cc: Office of Commission Clerk
Parties to Docket Nos. 20100437-EI, 20150171-EI, 20170001-EI, 20170002-EG, 20170009-EI (via email)