

COMMISSIONERS:
JULIE I. BROWN, CHAIRMAN
ART GRAHAM
RONALD A. BRISÉ
DONALD J. POLMANN
GARY F. CLARK

STATE OF FLORIDA



DIVISION OF ECONOMICS
GREG SHAFER
DIRECTOR
(850) 413-6410

Public Service Commission

November 13, 2017

Jessica A. Cano, Senior Attorney
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
Jessica.Cano@fpl.com

STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST
via E-mail

Re: Docket No. 20170216-EI: Petition for approval of curtailable service tariff modifications, by Florida Power & Light Company.

Dear Ms. Cano:

By this letter and pursuant to 366.04(2), Florida Statutes, Commission staff respectfully requests the following information from Florida Power & Light Company (FPL or Company).

1. According to the petition, 16 of the 31 current Curtailable Service (CS) customers are eligible for transfers to the Commercial/Industrial Demand Reduction Rider (CDR). Please explain why the remaining customers are ineligible.
2. The petition states that 16 customers are eligible for the CDR and that would create an ECCR impact of about \$0.008 per kW hour.
 - a. What would the impact be if all 31 CS customers were to transfer to the CDR?
 - b. Please confirm that the \$0.008 cents per kilowatt hour impact on the ECCR clause is the incremental impact of the CDR having a higher credit than the CS rate schedules.
3. Please state how many customers are currently taking service under the CDR and the number of curtailable load control events they have experienced within the last five years.
4. Paragraph 5 of the petition states that "certain customers taking service pursuant to FPL's CS rate schedules have expressed interest in transferring to FPL's Commercial/Industrial Demand Reduction Rider".
 - a. How many of the 16 eligible customers have expressed interest in joining the CDR?

- b. What reasons have the customers stated for their interest in transferring?
5. Please provide a comparison of a monthly bill for each of the 16 customers who are eligible to transfer, showing separately base rates, clauses, and credits, under their current CS rate schedule and what the bill would be under the CDR rate.
 6. Please discuss the company's rationale for the required 3-year notice of termination in the CS rate schedules and why it differs from the 5-year notice of termination in the CDR.
 7. The Provisions for Early Termination for the CS rate schedules state that a customer can transfer with less than three years notice to a firm rate schedule if it can be shown that such transfer is in the best interests of the customer, the Company, and the Company's other customers. Please explain how this petition is in the best interest of all three parties.
 8. According to the CDR's Provisions for Early Termination, a customer can terminate early if they are replaced by a customer with equal or greater demand reduction. With multiple customers potentially joining the CDR, assuming Commission approval of this docket, does the company have any concern that existing customers will terminate due to these terms?

Please file all responses electronically no later than November 27, 2017, via the Commission's website at www.floridapsc.com by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing Web form. Please feel free to call me at 850-413-6701 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/Charles Morgan II

Charles Morgan II
Public Utility Analyst I
cmorgan@psc.state.fl.us

cc: Office of Commission Clerk
Kenneth A. Hoffman, Vice President Regulatory Affairs, Florida Power & Light Company (Ken.Hoffman@fpl.com)
Elisabeth Draper, Economics Supervisor, Florida Public Service Commission (edraper@psc.state.fl.us)
Sevini Guffey, Public Utility Analyst, Florida Public Service Commission (sguffey@psc.state.fl.us)
Riley Doherty, Public Utility Analyst, Florida Public Service Commission (rdoherty@psc.state.fl.us)