

Brandy Butler

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2017 11:23 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 20170007
Attachments: Make FPL pay for its cooling canal cleanup.; Case Docket 20170007; FPL; Clean up Turkey Point; Turkey Point Clean Up... doct # 20170007; Turkey Point; Turkey Point Clean Up; Should FPL Customers pay \$200 million to clean up Turkey Point; FPL Clean Up Costs Re Docket# 20170007; Turkey Point and FPL case docket number 20170007

Customer correspondence

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2017 10:45 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 20170007

Copies on file. DHood

Brandy Butler

From: Steve jens-rochow <stevejensrochow@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 1:44 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Make FPL pay for its cooling canal cleanup.

Dear Public Service Commission,
I feel that the public should not be made to pay \$200,000,000 for the cooling canal cleanup at FPL's Turkey Point nuclear plant. Maintenance is the responsibility of FPL and should not be passed on to customers. That would increase FPL's profits.

--

<<Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world; indeed it's the only thing that ever has.>> Margaret Mead Steve

Brandy Butler

From: Michael Jones <mikfjone@sprynet.com>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 2:07 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Case Docket 20170007

TWIMC

The cooling canals at Turkey Point are a failed experiment. Their failure is currently polluting Biscayne Bay and also causing a salt plume to move westward towards the Biscayne Aquifer, South Florida's main source of fresh water.

Now, FPL claims that the utility is not responsible for this mess and desires that the utility's customers pay for FPL's misjudgments.

Please DO NOT let FPL get away with this sleight of hand. FPL sold us a "bill of goods"; make them pay the price of environmental cleanup.

Michael Jones

Brandy Butler

From: David Ehrenreich <davro1224@att.net>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 2:16 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FPL

It is the responsibility of FPL to make sure that the canals work properly. Every time they make a mistake they come to the public to foot the bill. this company is a profitable enterprise and I am tired of them trying to get out of their responsibilities .

David and Roberta Ehrenreich

Palmetto Bay

Brandy Butler

From: Mary Lou Martin <marylmartin@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 2:46 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Clean up Turkey Point?

Docket No. 20170007

According to the Office of Public Council, the utility's 1972 federal permit to operate the canals makes clear that FPL bears the responsibility for making sure the canals work properly. Please see that this is carried out without expense to the FPL customers or the taxpayers of Florida.

Thank you,

Joseph M. Martin and
Mary Lou Martin
14240 S.W. 79th Court
Palmetto Bay, FL 33158
Phone: 305-252-8027

Brandy Butler

From: C2 <C2Crystal@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 11:14 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Turkey Point Clean Up... doct # 20170007

Good day... I hope you all had a nice Thanksgiving,

I read today about FP&L wanting to bill its customers \$200 million to clean up Turkey Point. I am very much opposed to this:

1. I believe they should be accountable for the damage/danger they do to the public and environment.
2. We (their customers) have already paid over \$281 million in "Nuclear Cost recovery" for the future planning & licensing of two more reactors which (I believe) in all likelihood will never be built.
3. Their parent company earned \$2.884 billion last year... which is absurd for a public utility... and they are obviously able to pay for repairing the damage they allowed to occur... and ignored problems at an early stage
4. They use lobbyists and lawyers to fight their battles instead of taking responsibility for their own actions.
5. They use lobbyists and lawyers to gain competitive advantages when it is not in the best interest of the public.

FYI... I do believe FP&L does a nice job in keeping our rates relatively low. I do believe they did a decent job in their responsiveness to Hurricane Irma. But they are a public utility... and you are the Public Service Commission. You are entrusted to put the public good in front of corporate profitability and greed. They are threatening our environment... and you want ME to pay to clean it up. I just don't think that is right.

P.S. I've read where James Robo, Chairman of FP&L earned over \$16 million last year. Morey Dewhurst, their CFO collected \$13.4 million. As long as salaries like this exist at any public utility, the public should not have to pay for their errors & misdeeds. It's obscene.

Thank you for your time.

C²

Chris Crystal
305-773-8118 Cell
C2Crystal@bellsouth.net

"It's not what you look at that matters; it's what you see." - Henry David Thoreau

 Please consider the environment before printing this email

Brandy Butler

From: Stuart House <stuarthouse1974@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 3:05 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Turkey Point

FPL is responsible for repairs/ clean ups to the Turkey Point facility.

Sent from my iPhone

Brandy Butler

From: NOELLA DIETZ <noedietz@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 3:26 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Turkey Point Clean Up

To Whom It May Concern,

FPL solely bears the responsibility for cleaning up Turkey Point. The cost is not something that should be shifted to the consumers; rather, FPL should pay for the clean up from its own profits.

Sincerely,
Noella Dietz
Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Brandy Butler

From: Leonardo Allende <basemacura@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 3:27 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Should FPL Customers pay \$200 million to clean up Turkey Point?

Please forbid FPL from Billing customers \$200 million to clean up Turkey Point.

Turkey Point is a slow moving catastrophic environmental disaster, with radioactive isotopes and salt water plumes contaminating South Florida's fresh drinking water aquifer.

We should immediately begin the process of replacing Turkey Point Nuclear Power Plant with LNG (Liquified Natural Gas) and Clean-Coal Power Plants, before things get worse there, which so far, all indicates that it will.

Respectfully,
Leo Allen

Brandy Butler

From: Harry Sultz <harryrose369@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 4:15 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FPL Clean Up Costs Re: Docket# 20170007

>
> Sent from my iPad
>
> Dear Sir:
> To have the costs of costs of cleaning up the leaking cooling canals
> at Turkey Point passed on to its consumers would be a grave injustice
> and only enable FPL to ignore its responsibilities to the public it
> serves. It serves as a disincentive to properly monitor that which is so critical to the public interest if it can escape the
costs in resolving the problem. It's alleged that FPL should have known as far back as 1978, that there was a problem,
yet, by not acting, allowed the situation to deteriorate. To pass on the costs to the millions of consumers dependent on
FPL would be a grave injustice and it is my sincere hope that FPL will rightly be required to fund the correction of the
situation.
> Respectfully yours,
> Harry J. Sultz

Brandy Butler

From: Mark <markspringle@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 4:25 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Turkey Point and FPL: case docket number 20170007

Dear PSC,

Please protect the people of FL, and more specifically, the people of Miami, and make FPL pay to clean up the Turkey Point site, canals, and any other areas affected by the operation of this power plant.

"...the Office of Public Counsel, which represents citizens in the matter, has argued the utility's 1972 federal permit to operate the canals makes clear that FPL bears the responsibility for making sure the canals work properly."

Thank You,

Mark Sringle
10811 NE 8 CT
Miami, FL 33161