

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition to determine need for Seminole combined cycle facility, by Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 20170266-EC

In re: Joint petition for determination of need for Shady Hills combined cycle facility in Pasco County, by Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. and Shady Hills Energy Center, LLC.

DOCKET NO. 20170267-EC

ORDER NO. PSC-2018-0018-PCO-EC

ISSUED: January 5, 2018

ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE
AND CONSOLIDATING DOCKET NOS. 20170266-EC AND 20170267-EC FOR HEARING

I. Case Background

On December 21, 2017, pursuant to Section 403.519, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Rule 25-22.081, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Seminole) filed two petitions: (1) a petition for determination of need for a Seminole combined cycle facility and (2) a joint petition with Shady Hills Energy Center, LLC (SHEC) for determination of need for a Shady Hills combined cycle facility.

In the first petition, filed in Docket No. 20170266-EC, Seminole proposes to construct a 1,050 megawatt (net-nominal) two-on-one (“2x1”) natural gas-fired combined cycle electric generating unit adjacent to Seminole’s existing power plant in Putman County, Florida. In the second petition, filed in Docket No. 20170267-EC, Seminole and SHEC propose to construct a new 573 megawatt (net-nominal) combined cycle generating facility located adjacent to the site of the existing Shady Hills power plant in Pasco County, Florida. According to both petitions, the inclusion of the new Shady Hills Combined Cycle Facility, in conjunction with the removal from service of an existing Seminole Generating Station (SGS) coal-fired unit, and the addition of a 1,050 megawatt (net-nominal) 2x1 natural gas-fired combined cycle electric generating unit, is the most-effective way to meet the capacity needs of Seminole and its members.

In both petitions, Seminole and SHEC requested that the two proceedings be consolidated for purposes of hearing since the petitions are interrelated. However, because the two proceedings will proceed separately under the Florida Electrical Power Plant Siting Act, Seminole and SHEC requested that the Commission issue two separate final orders pursuant to Section 403.519, F.S. The petitioners’ request for consolidation is addressed in Section X of this Order.

An administrative hearing has been scheduled for both docketed matters from March 21 - 22, 2018. Jurisdiction over these matters is vested in the Commission by Chapter 366, F.S., and Section 403.519, F.S. This Order is issued pursuant to the authority granted by Rule 28-106.211,

F.A.C., which provides that the presiding officer before whom a case is pending may issue any orders necessary to effectuate discovery, prevent delay, and promote the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of all aspects of the case.

II. General Filing Procedures

Filings pertaining to this docket must comply with Rule 28-106.104, F.A.C. Filing may be accomplished electronically as provided in the Commission's Statement of Agency Organization and Operation and the E-Filing Requirements link, posted on our website, www.floridapsc.com. If filing via mail, hand delivery, or courier service, the filing should be addressed to:

Office of Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filing, which is available from the Commission's Home Page under the Clerk's Office menu and Electronic Filing web form. The filing party is responsible for ensuring that no information protected by privacy or confidentiality laws is contained in any electronic document. To the extent possible, when making an electronic filing, an electronic copy of all filings shall also be provided to parties and staff in Microsoft Word format, and all schedules shall be provided in Microsoft Excel format with formulas intact and unlocked.

III. Tentative List of Issues

A tentative list of the issues is set forth in Attachment A of this Order. The scope of this proceeding will be based upon these issues as well as other issues raised by the parties up to and during the Prehearing Conference, unless modified by the Commission.

IV. Prefiled Testimony and Exhibits

Each party shall file all testimony and exhibits that it intends to sponsor, pursuant to the schedule set forth in Section IX of this Order. Testimony and exhibits may be filed electronically. If filing paper copies, an original and 15 copies of all testimony and exhibits shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, by 5:00 p.m. on the date due. A copy of all prefiled testimony and exhibits shall be served electronically or by regular mail, overnight mail, or hand delivery to all other parties and staff no later than the date filed with the Commission. Failure of a party to timely prefile exhibits and testimony from any witness in accordance with the foregoing requirements may bar admission of such exhibits and testimony.

The dimensions of each page of testimony shall be 8 ½ x 11 inches. Each page shall be consecutively numbered and double spaced, with 25 numbered lines per page and left margins of

at least 1.25 inches. If filing paper copies of the testimony, all pages shall be filed on white, unglossed, three-holed paper and shall be unbound and without tabs.

Each exhibit sponsored by a witness in support of his or her prefiled testimony shall be:

- (1) Attached to that witness' testimony when filed;
- (2) If filing paper copies, on three-holed paper, unbound, and without tabs;
- (3) Sequentially numbered beginning with 1 (any exhibits attached to subsequently filed testimony of the same witness shall continue the sequential numbering system);
- (4) Identified in the upper right-hand corner of each page by the docket number, a brief title, and the witness' initials followed by the exhibit's number; and
- (5) Paginated by showing in the upper right-hand corner of each page the page number followed by the total number of pages in the exhibit.

An example of the information to appear in the upper right-hand corner of the exhibit is as follows:

Docket No. 012345-EI
Foreign Coal Shipments to Port of Tampa
Exhibit BLW-1, Page 1 of 2

After an opportunity for opposing parties to object to introduction of the exhibits and to cross-examine the witness sponsoring them, exhibits may be offered into evidence at the hearing.

V. Discovery Procedures

A. General Requirements

Discovery shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 120, F.S., and the relevant provisions of Chapter 366, F.S., Rules 25-22, 25-40, and 28-106, F.A.C., and the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure (as applicable), as modified herein or as may be subsequently modified by the Prehearing Officer.

Unless subsequently modified by the Prehearing Officer, the following shall apply:

- (1) Discovery shall be completed by March 9, 2018.
- (2) Discovery requests and responses shall be served by e-mail, hand delivery, or overnight mail, and electronic service is encouraged. Discovery served via e-mail shall be limited to 5 MB per attachment, shall indicate how many e-mails are being sent related to the discovery (such as 1 of 6 e-mails), and shall be numbered sequentially. Documents provided in response to a document request may be provided via a CD, DVD, or flash drive if not served electronically.
- (3) Each electronic discovery response shall be given a separate electronic file name that is no longer than 60 characters.

- (4) Sets of interrogatories, requests for admissions, requests for production of documents, or other forms of discovery shall be numbered sequentially in order to facilitate identification.
- (5) Within each set, discovery requests shall be numbered sequentially, and any discovery requests in subsequent sets shall continue the sequential numbering system.
- (6) **Discovery responses shall be served within 15 days (inclusive of mailing) of receipt of the discovery request. For discovery requests related to matters addressed in the utility's rebuttal testimony, discovery responses shall be served within 10 days of receipt of the discovery request.**
- (7) Each page of every document produced pursuant to requests for production of documents shall be identified individually through the use of a Bates Stamp or other equivalent method of sequential identification. Parties should number produced documents in an unbroken sequence through the final hearing.
- (8) Copies, whether hard copies or electronic, of discovery requests and responses shall be served on all parties and staff. In addition, copies of all responses to requests for production of documents shall be provided to the Commission staff at its Tallahassee office unless otherwise agreed.
- (9) Parties shall file in the Commission Clerk's Office a notice of service of any interrogatories or request for production of documents propounded and associated responses in this docket, giving the date of service and the name of the party to whom the discovery was directed.

Unless subsequently modified by the Prehearing Officer, the following shall apply:

- (1) Interrogatories, including all subparts, shall be limited to 200.
- (2) Requests for production of documents, including all subparts, shall be limited to 200.
- (3) Requests for admissions, including all subparts, shall be limited to 100.

When a discovery request is served and the respondent intends to seek clarification of any portion of the discovery request, the respondent shall request such clarification within five (5) days of service of the discovery request. For discovery requests served after the utility's rebuttal testimony such clarification must be requested within five (5) days. This procedure is intended to reduce delay in resolving discovery disputes.

B. Confidential Information Provided Pursuant to Discovery

Any information provided to the Commission staff pursuant to a discovery request by the staff or any other person and for which proprietary confidential business information status is requested pursuant to Section 366.093, F.S., and Rule 25-22.006, F.A.C., shall be treated by the Commission as confidential. The information shall be exempt from Section 119.07(1), F.S., pending a formal ruling on such request by the Commission or pending return of the information to the person providing the information. If no determination of confidentiality has been made and the information has not been made a part of the evidentiary record in this proceeding, it shall

be returned to the person providing the information. If a determination of confidentiality has been made and the information was not entered into the record of this proceeding, it shall be returned to the person providing the information within the time period set forth in Section 366.093, F.S. The Commission may determine that continued possession of the information is necessary for the Commission to conduct its business.

Redacted versions of confidential filings may be served electronically, but in no instance may confidential information be electronically submitted. If the redacted version is served electronically, the confidential information (which may be on a CD, DVD, or flash drive) shall be filed with the Commission Clerk via hand-delivery, U.S. Mail, or overnight mail on the day that the redacted version was served via e-mail.

When a person provides information that it maintains as proprietary confidential business information to the Office of Public Counsel pursuant to a discovery request by the Office of Public Counsel or any other party, that party may request a temporary protective order pursuant to Rule 25-22.006(6)(c), F.A.C., exempting the information from Section 119.07(1), F.S.

When a party other than the Commission staff or the Office of Public Counsel requests information through discovery that the respondent maintains as proprietary confidential business information, or when such a party would otherwise be entitled to copies of such information requested by other parties through discovery (e.g., interrogatory responses), that party and respondent shall endeavor in good faith to reach agreement that will allow for the exchange of such information on reasonable terms, as set forth in Rule 25-22.006(7)(b), F.A.C.

VI. Prehearing Procedures

A. Prehearing Statements

All parties in this docket and the Commission staff shall file a prehearing statement pursuant to the schedule set forth in Section IX of this Order. Each prehearing statement shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk by 5:00 p.m. on the date due. A copy, whether paper or electronic, of the prehearing statement shall be served on all other parties and staff no later than the date it is filed with the Commission.

Each party's prehearing statement shall set forth the following information in the sequence listed below:

- (1) The name of all known witnesses whose testimony has been prefiled or who may be called by the party, along with subject matter of each such witness' testimony and the corresponding issue numbers in the following format:

All Known Witnesses:

Witness	Subject Matter	Issues #
Direct		
John Smith	Subject . . .	1, 3-5

- (2) A description of all prefiled exhibits and other exhibits that may be used by the party in presenting its direct case (including individual components of a composite exhibit) and the witness sponsoring each in the following format:

All Known Exhibits:

Witness	Proffered By	Exhibit #	Description
Direct			
John Smith	Party/Utility Name	ABC-1	Title . . .

- (3) A statement of the party’s basic position in the proceeding;
- (4) A statement of each question of fact, question of law, and policy question that the party considers at issue, along with the party’s position on each issue, and, where applicable, the names of the party’s witness(es) who will address each issue. Parties who wish to maintain “no position at this time” on any particular issue or issues should refer to the requirements of subsection C, below;
- (5) A statement of issues to which the parties have stipulated;
- (6) A statement of all pending motions or other matters the party seeks action upon;
- (7) A statement identifying the party’s pending requests or claims for confidentiality;
- (8) Any objections to a witness’ qualifications as an expert. The objection shall identify each witness the party wishes to voir dire as well as state with specificity the portions of that witness’ pre-filed testimony, by page and line number, and/or exhibits, by page and line number, to which the party objects. Failure to specifically identify the portions of the pre-filed testimony or exhibits to which the party objects will result in restriction of a party’s ability to conduct voir dire absent a showing of good cause at the time the witness is offered for cross-examination at hearing.
- (9) A request for sequestration of witnesses, so any such request may be resolved by the prehearing officer prior to the hearing. Failure to make such a request shall constitute a waiver of the right to request sequestration of witnesses absent a showing of good cause; and

- (10) A statement as to any requirement set forth in this order that cannot be complied with, and the reasons therefore.

Failure of a party to timely file a prehearing statement shall be a waiver of any issue not raised by other parties or by the Commission. In addition, such failure shall preclude the party from presenting testimony in support of its position on each such issue.

B. Attendance at Prehearing Conference

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.209, F.A.C., a Prehearing Conference will be held March 12, 2018 at the Betty Easley Conference Center, 4075 Esplanade Way, Tallahassee, Florida. Unless excused by the Prehearing Officer for good cause shown, each party (or designated representative) shall personally appear at the prehearing conference. Failure of a party (or that party's representative) to appear shall constitute waiver of that party's issues and positions, and that party may be dismissed from the proceeding.

C. Waiver of Issues

Any issue not raised by a party either before or during the Prehearing Conference shall be waived by that party, except for good cause shown. A party seeking to raise a new issue after the Prehearing Conference shall address each of the following:

- (1) Whether the party was unable to identify the issue because of the complexity of the matter;
- (2) Whether discovery or other prehearing procedures were not adequate to fully develop the issue;
- (3) Whether due diligence was exercised to obtain facts touching on the issue;
- (4) Whether information obtained subsequent to the Prehearing Conference was not previously available to enable the party to identify the issue; and
- (5) Whether introduction of the issue would not be to the prejudice or surprise of any party.

Specific reference shall be made to the information received and how it enabled the party to identify the issue.

Unless a matter is not at issue for that party, each party shall take a position on each issue by the time of the Prehearing Conference or by such later time as may be permitted by the Prehearing Officer. If a party is unable through diligence and good faith efforts to take a position on a matter at issue for that party, it shall explicitly state in its prehearing statement why it cannot take a position. If the Prehearing Officer finds that the party has acted diligently and in good faith to take a position, and further finds that the party's failure to take a position will not prejudice other parties or confuse the proceeding, the party may maintain "no position at this time" prior to hearing and thereafter identify its position in a post-hearing statement of issues. In the absence of such a finding by the Prehearing Officer, the party shall have waived the entire issue, and the party's position shall be shown as "no position" in the Prehearing Order. A party

who takes no position on an issue by the time of the Prehearing Conference, or by such later time as may be permitted by the Prehearing Officer, waives its opportunity to conduct cross-examination on the issue as well as file a post-hearing brief on the issue. Commission staff may take “no position at this time” or a similar position on any issue without having to make the showing described above and without waiver of cross-examination.

D. Motions to Strike Prefiled Testimony and Exhibits

Motions to strike any portion of the prefiled testimony and related portions of exhibits of any witness shall be made in writing no later than the Prehearing Conference. Motions to strike any portion of prefiled testimony and related portions of exhibits at hearing shall be considered untimely, absent good cause shown.

E. Demonstrative Exhibits

If a party wishes to use a demonstrative exhibit or other demonstrative tools at hearing, such materials must be identified by the time of the Prehearing Conference.

F. Official Recognition

Parties seeking official recognition of materials pursuant to Section 120.569(2)(i), F.S., shall notify all other parties and staff in writing no later than two business days prior to the first scheduled hearing date. Such notification shall identify all materials for which the party seeks official recognition, and such materials shall be provided along with the notification.

G. Use of Depositions at Hearing

Absent agreement by all parties concerning the introduction of depositions into the record at the hearing, any party wishing to introduce all or part of a deposition at hearing for any purpose other than impeachment, must file a Notice of Intent to Use Deposition no later than the last day to conduct discovery in this docket as set forth in Section IX of this Order. The Notice shall include the following information for each deposition:

- (1) Name of witness deposed;
- (2) Date deposition was taken; and
- (3) Page and line numbers of each deposition the party seeks to introduce, when available.

Objection(s) to the entry into the record of a deposition or portion thereof at hearing for purposes other than impeachment must be made in writing within three (3) days of filing a Notice of Intent to use Deposition for resolution by the Prehearing Officer.

VII. Hearing Procedures

A. Attendance at Hearing

Unless excused by the Presiding Officer for good cause shown, each party (or designated representative) shall personally appear at the hearing. Failure of a party, or that party's representative, to appear shall constitute waiver of that party's issues, and that party may be dismissed from the proceeding.

Likewise, all witnesses are expected to be present at the hearing unless excused by the Presiding Officer upon the staff attorney's confirmation prior to the hearing date of the following:

- (1) All parties agree that the witness will not be needed for cross examination.
- (2) All Commissioners assigned to the panel do not have questions for the witness.

In the event a witness is excused in this manner, his or her testimony may be entered into the record as though read following the Commission's approval of the proposed stipulation of that witness' testimony.

B. Cross-Examination

The parties shall avoid duplicative or repetitious cross-examination. Further, friendly cross-examination will not be allowed. Cross-examination shall be limited to witnesses whose testimony is adverse to the party desiring to cross-examine. Any party conducting what appears to be a friendly cross-examination of a witness should be prepared to indicate why that witness's direct testimony is adverse to its interests.

During cross-examination, if a witness (or their counsel) responds (or objects) to a relevant question by referring the question to another party witness, the counsel who is sponsoring the current witness shall confirm the identity of the appropriate party witness who can more fully address the question.

C. Use of Confidential Information at Hearing

It is the policy of this Commission that all Commission hearings be open to the public at all times. The Commission also recognizes its obligation pursuant to Section 366.093, F.S., to protect proprietary confidential business information from disclosure outside the proceeding. Therefore, any party wishing to use at the hearing any proprietary confidential business information, as that term is defined in Section 366.093, F.S., shall adhere to the following:

- (1) When confidential information is used in the hearing that has not been filed as prefiled testimony or prefiled exhibits, parties must have copies for the Commissioners, necessary staff, and the court reporter, in red envelopes clearly marked with the nature of the contents. Any party wishing to examine the

confidential material that is not subject to an order granting confidentiality shall be provided a copy in the same fashion as provided to the Commissioners, subject to execution of any appropriate protective agreement with the owner of the material.

- (2) Counsel and witnesses are cautioned to avoid verbalizing confidential information in such a way that would compromise confidentiality. Therefore, confidential information should be presented by written exhibit when reasonably possible.

At the conclusion of that portion of the hearing that involves confidential information, all copies of confidential exhibits shall be returned to the proffering party. If a confidential exhibit has been admitted into evidence, the copy provided to the court reporter shall be retained in the Office of Commission Clerk's confidential files. If such information is admitted into the evidentiary record at hearing and is not otherwise subject to a request for confidentiality filed with the Commission, the source of the information must file a request for confidential classification of the information within 21 days of the conclusion of the hearing, as set forth in Rule 25-22.006(8)(b), F.A.C., if continued confidentiality of the information is to be maintained.

VIII. Post-Hearing Procedures

If the Commission (or assigned panel) does not render a bench decision at the hearing, it may allow each party to file a post-hearing statement of issues and positions pursuant to the schedule set forth in Section IX of this Order. In such event, a summary of each position of no more than 50 words, set off with asterisks, shall be included in that statement. If a party's position has not changed since the issuance of the prehearing order, the post-hearing statement may simply restate the prehearing position. However, the position must be reduced to no more than 50 words. If a post-hearing statement is required and a party fails to file in conformance with the rule, that party shall have waived all issues and may be dismissed from the proceeding.

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.215, F.A.C., a party's proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, if any, statement of issues and positions, and brief, shall together total no more than 40 pages and shall be filed at the same time, unless modified by the Presiding Officer.

IX. Controlling Dates

The following dates have been established to govern the key activities of this case:

- | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|
| (1) | Utility's testimony and exhibits | December 21, 2017 |
| (2) | Intervenors' testimony and exhibits | January 25, 2018 |
| (3) | Staff's testimony and exhibits, if any | February 1, 2018 |
| (4) | Rebuttal testimony and exhibits | February 15, 2018 |
| (5) | Prehearing Statements | March 5, 2018 |

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------------|
| (6) | Discovery deadline | March 9, 2018 |
| (7) | Prehearing Conference | March 12, 2018 |
| (8) | Hearing | March 21-22, 2018 |
| (9) | Briefs | April 4, 2018 |

In addition, all parties should be on notice that the Prehearing Officer may exercise the discretion to schedule additional prehearing conferences or meetings of the parties as deemed appropriate. Such meetings will be properly noticed to afford the parties an opportunity to attend.

X. Request to Consolidate Dockets

Because the issues in both proceedings are interrelated, Seminole and SHEC requested that the two proceedings be consolidated for purposes of hearing. However, because the two proceedings will proceed separately under the Florida Electrical Power Plant Siting Act, Seminole and SHEC requested that the Commission issue two separate final orders pursuant to Section 403.519, F.S.

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.211, F.A.C., the presiding officer before whom a case is pending may issue any orders necessary to effectuate discovery, to prevent delay, and to promote the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of all aspects of the case. Upon review, it appears that Docket Nos. 20170266-EC and 20170267-EC are interrelated and that consolidation would cause no undue prejudice; therefore, the standard for consolidation set out in Rule 28-106.108, F.A.C., has been met. Therefore, Docket Nos. 20170266-EC and 20170267-EC shall be consolidated for the purposes of hearing, except that two separate final orders shall be issued.

All parties to Docket No. 20170266-EC shall also be parties to Docket No. 20170267-EC. Accordingly, all procedures established by this Order Establishing Procedure shall govern this proceeding unless modified by the Prehearing Officer or the Commission. All filings made in Docket No. 20170267-EC shall be combined into Docket No. 20170266-EC, and all future filings shall be filed in Docket No. 20170266-EC.

Based upon the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Gary F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, that the request submitted by Seminole Cooperative, Inc. and Shady Hills Energy Center, LLC to consolidate Docket Nos. 20170266-EC and 20170267-EC is hereby granted, as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that Docket Nos. 20170266-EC and 20170267-EC are hereby consolidated for purposes of hearing only, as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that the provisions of this Order shall govern this proceeding unless modified by the Commission.

By ORDER of Commissioner Gary F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, this 5th day of January, 2018.



GARY F. CLARK
Commissioner and Prehearing Officer
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
(850) 413-6770
www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

RD

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review

of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Issue 1A: Is there a need for the proposed Seminole Combined Cycle Facility, taking into account the need for electric system reliability and integrity, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(3), Florida Statutes?

Issue 1B: Is there a need for the proposed Shady Hills Combined Cycle Facility, taking into account the need for electric system reliability and integrity, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(3), Florida Statutes?

Issue 2A: Are there any renewable energy sources and technologies or conservation measures taken by or reasonably available to Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc., which might mitigate the need for the proposed Seminole Combined Cycle Facility?

Issue 2B: Are there any renewable energy sources and technologies or conservation measures taken by or reasonably available to Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. and Shady Hills Energy Center, LLC, which might mitigate the need for the proposed Shady Hills Combined Cycle Facility?

Issue 3A: Is there a need for the proposed Seminole Combined Cycle Facility, taking into account the need for adequate electricity at a reasonable cost, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(3), Florida Statutes?

Issue 3B: Is there a need for the proposed Shady Hills Combined Cycle Facility, taking into account the need for adequate electricity at a reasonable cost, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(3), Florida Statutes?

Issue 4A: Is there a need for the proposed Seminole Combined Cycle Facility, taking into account the need for fuel diversity and supply reliability, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(3), Florida Statutes?

Issue 4B: Is there a need for the proposed Shady Hills Combined Cycle Facility, taking into account the need for fuel diversity and supply reliability, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(3), Florida Statutes?

Issue 5A: Will the proposed Seminole Combined Cycle Facility provide the most cost-effective alternative available, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(3), Florida Statutes?

Issue 5B: Will the proposed Shady Hills Combined Cycle Facility provide the most cost-effective alternative available, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(3), Florida Statutes?

Issue 6A: Based on the resolution of the foregoing issues and other matters within its jurisdiction which it deems relevant, should the Commission grant Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.'s petition to determine the need for the proposed Seminole Combined Cycle Facility?

Issue 6B: Based on the resolution of the foregoing issues and other matters within its jurisdiction which it deems relevant, should the Commission grant Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. and Shady Hills Energy Center, LLC's joint petition to determine the need for the proposed Shady Hills Combined Cycle Facility?

Issue 7A: Should Docket No. 20170266-EC be closed?

Issue 7B: Should Docket No. 20170267-EC be closed?