	FILED 3/26/2018		199
	DOCUMENT NO. 02528-2		
1	FPSC - COMMISSION CL		
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
	In the Matter of:		
3	III the Matter or:	DOCKET NO. 20170266-EC	
	PETITION TO DETERM	INE NEED FOR SEMINOLE COMBINED	
4	CYCLE FACILITY, BY	SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE,	
	INC.		
5		/ DOCKET NO. 20170267-EC	
6	JOINT PETITION FOR	DOCKET NO. 20170267-EC DETERMINATION OF NEED FOR SHADY	
		LE FACILITY IN PASCO COUNTY, BY	
7	SEMINOLE ELECTRIC	COOPERATIVE, INC. AND SHADY HILLS	
	ENERGY CENTER, LLC	•	
8		/	
9		VOLUME 2	
	P	AGES 199 through 300	
10			
11	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING	
	COMMISSIONERS		
12	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM	
		COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN	
13		COMMISSIONER GARY F. CLARK	
14	DATE:	Wednesday, March 21, 2018	
	DAIL	weallebady, Maren 21, 2010	
15	TIME:	Commenced: 2:00 p.m.	
3.6		Concluded: 3:00 p.m.	
16		Detty Feeley Conference Conter	
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148	
		4075 Esplanade Way	
18		Tallahassee, Florida	
1.0			
19	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK Court Reporter	
20		Court Reporter	
_	APPEARANCES:	(As heretofore noted.)	
21			
		PREMIER REPORTING	
22		114 W. 5TH AVENUE TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA	
23		(850) 894-0828	
24			
0.5			
25			

1	INDEX		
2	WITNESSES		
3	NAME: DAVID WAGNER		PAGE
4	Examination by MR. Perko Prefiled direct testimony inserted		202 205
5	Examination by Mr. Wright Further Examination by Mr. Perko		203 223 244
6	ROBERT DEMELO		
7	Examination by Mr. Perko Prefiled direct testimony inserted		246 251
8	Examination by Mr. Wright		268
9	KYLE WOOD Examination by Mr. Perko		275
10	Prefiled direct testimony inserted		279
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
Dromica	Departing (950) 904 0929	Departed by:	Dabbia Krist

1		EXHIBITS		
2	NUMI	BER:	ID	ADMITTED
3	11	As identified on the comprehensive exhibit list		245
4	12	As identified on the comprehensive exhibit list		245
5	35	As identified on the comprehensive exhibit list		245
6	36	As identified on the comprehensive exhibit list		245
7	13	As identified in the comprehensive exhibit list		274
8	37	As identified in the comprehensive exhibit list		274
9		±		
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Transcript follows in sequence from
3	Volume 1.)
4	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Let's call this
5	meeting back to order.
6	Mr. Perko, the floor is yours.
7	MR. PERKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
8	Seminole calls Mr. David Wagner.
9	Whereupon,
10	DAVID WAGNER
11	was called as a witness, having been previously duly
12	sworn to speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
13	but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
14	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Wagner, welcome.
15	MR. PERKO: May I proceed?
16	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Yes.
17	EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. PERKO:
19	Q Would you please state your name for the
20	record?
21	A David Wagner.
22	Q Mr. Wagner, have you been sworn today?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Who's your current employer, and what is your
25	business address?

1	A Seminole Electric Cooperative, 16313 North
2	Dale Mabry Highway in Tampa, Florida.
3	Q Mr. Wagner, did you cause to be filed on
4	December 21st, 2017, direct testimony consisting of
5	eight pages in Docket Number 200 20170266-EC?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And did you also cause to be filed on
8	December 21st, 2017, direct testimony consisting of
9	eight pages in Docket Number 20170267-EC?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Do you have any changes or corrections to
12	either of those direct testimonies?
13	A I do not.
14	Q Now, did you also attach to your testimony
15	Exhibits DW-1 and DW-2?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Do you have any changes to those exhibits?
18	A No.
19	Q Did you also are you also sponsoring
20	sections 4.17, 4.27 and section 6.43 of the need study
21	that is identified as Exhibit No. MPW-2?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Do you have any changes to those sections of
24	the need study?
25	A No.
L	

1 Mr. Wagner, if you were to ask you the same Q 2 questions in your direct filed -- prefiled direct 3 testimony in the two dockets, would your questions -would your answers be the same? 4 5 Α Yes, they would. 6 MR. PERKO: At this time, Mr. Chairman, we 7 would ask that Mr. Wagner's direct prefiled 8 testimony in the two dockets be inserted as if 9 read. 10 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: You know, it's funny, my 11 first time being chairman, I used tongue tagger. 12 Your tongue always gets twisted saying prefiled 13 direct testimony. We will enter Mr. Wagner's into 14 the record. 15 MR. PERKO: Thank you. 16 (Whereupon, prefiled direct testimony was 17 inserted.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1		BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID WAGNER
4		DOCKET NOEC
5		DECEMBER 21, 2017
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and address.
8	A.	My name is David Wagner. My business address is 16313 North Dale Mabry
9		Highway, Tampa, Florida 33618.
10		
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12	A.	I am employed by Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Seminole") as
13		Portfolio Director.
14		
15	Q.	What are your responsibilities in your current position?
16	А.	My primary responsibility is to ensure reliable, cost-effective natural gas
17		delivery to Seminole's owned and purchased electric generating units. This
18		includes oversight of natural gas supply procurement and scheduling activities
19		along with the development of natural gas planning strategies and the
20		negotiation of long-term gas transportation, supply and storage agreements.
21		
22	Q.	Please describe your professional experience and education background.
23	А	I graduated from the University of Florida with a Bachelor of Science degree
24		in Food and Resource Economics in 2000 and a Master of Agri-business
25		degree in 2001. I joined Westar Energy, Inc. in 2002 as an analyst for the

1		energy marketing and fuel procurement business unit. In 2004, I joined Florida
2		Municipal Power Agency as a risk analyst to support the company's mitigation
3		of price and supply risk in the natural gas market. In 2006, I moved into a gas
4		trading role at Florida Gas Utility ("FGU") where my responsibilities included
5		physical gas procurement, short-term optimization of FGU's gas transportation
6		and storage assets, and supply and price risk mitigation. In 2010, I became the
7		Supervisor of Gas Supply at Seminole, where I have held positions of
8		increasing responsibility.
9		
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
11	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the fuel price forecast used in
12		Seminole's Need Study, as well as the natural gas supply and transportation
13		plans for SCCF. I also will discuss how the SCCF project impacts the
14		diversity of Seminole's fuel supply.
15		
16	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits in the case?
17	A.	I am sponsoring the following exhibits, which were prepared by me or under
18		my supervision and are attached to my pre-filed testimony:
19		• Exhibit No (DW-1) - Professional resume of David Wagner; and
20		• Exhibit No (DW-2) - Seminole Fuel Price Forecast.
21		I also am sponsoring Sections 4.1.7, 4.2.7, and 6.4.3 of the Need Study
22		(Composite Exhibit No (MPW-1)), all of which were prepared by me or
23		under my supervision.
24		
25		

1		FUEL PRICE FORECAST
2		
3	Q.	Did you develop the fuel price forecast used in the Need Study?
4	А.	Yes.
5		
6	Q.	For what fuels did you develop forecasts?
7	A.	I supported the development of the price forecasts for natural gas, coal and No
8		2 oil.
9		
10	Q.	What methodology did you use in developing the fuel price forecast?
11	А.	Seminole's fuel price forecasts are derived from a combination of published
12		market indices, independent price forecasts, and escalators where necessary to
13		extend the price forecast beyond the horizon of available values. For its fuel
14		forecasts, Seminole uses the NYMEX futures forward market prices, price
15		forecasts provided by the Energy Information Administration ("EIA"), Energy
16		Research Company LLC, and L.E. Peabody & Associates, Inc., projections of
17		fuel transportation and other variable costs related to fuel delivery, and
18		forecasted escalation factors. These sources of forward energy prices are
19		commonly accepted in the utility industry.
20		
21	Q.	Please describe the specific steps used in preparing the fuel forecast.
22	A.	For projecting future natural gas prices, Seminole uses the following
23		methodology: (i) for the initial years of Seminole's forecast, the methodology
24		uses the NYMEX forward curve for Henry Hub natural gas; (ii) for years
25		beyond the availability of forward NYMEX prices, the methodology escalates

1		the gas price annually at a rate equal to the rate of escalation of projected gas
2		prices in the EIA's Annual Energy Outlook ("AEO") for their reference case for
3		the same years; and (iii) for any years beyond the availability of projected gas
4		prices in the EIA's AEO, the methodology escalates the gas price at a constant rate
5		equal to the annualized rate of escalation of the EIA's AEO reference case
6		escalation for the final five years of projected prices. Seminole also includes a
7		'basis' adder to account for the projected difference in gas pricing between the
8		Henry Hub geographic location and the Florida Gas Zone 3 geographic area.
9		
10		For coal, the price forecast is based on commodity coal prices provided by Energy
11		Research Company LLC. Seminole updates its coal transportation cost estimates
12		based upon the annual forecast provided by L.E. Peabody & Associates, Inc.
13		
14		For No. 2 oil, the price forecast is based on distillate fuel oil price projections
15		provided by the EIA, plus a small adder for delivery. These methodologies are
16		consistent with the fuel forecasting approach used in Seminole's 2017-2026 Ten
17		Year Site Plan.
18		
19	Q.	Did you develop any alternative fuel forecasts for sensitivity analyses?
20	А.	Yes, for natural gas Seminole uses a statistical based approach, similar to that
21		used by the EIA, to formulate high and low forward price curves, relative to
22		the base forward price curve.
23		
24	Q.	Have you prepared an exhibit showing the results of your fuel forecasts?
25	A.	Yes. Exhibit DW-2 presents the results of Seminole's fuel forecast, including
26		the alternative forecasts for natural gas. During the course of the past year,

1		Seminole updated its fuel forecasts for natural gas and coal as a part of the
2		updated economic analyses discussed in the pre-filed testimony of Julia
3		Diazgranados. Exhibit DW-2 contains both the updated and prior fuel prices.
4		
5		NATURAL GAS SUPPLY & TRANSPORTATION
6		
7	Q.	What are the fuel requirements for SCCF?
8	A.	The SCCF will burn natural gas as its fuel. At peak operation, including duct-
9		firing, the SCCF will require approximately 173,000 million British thermal
10		units ("MMBtu") of natural gas per day.
11		
12	Q.	What steps has Seminole taken to determine that natural gas will be
13		available for the SCCF?
14	A.	Seminole is finalizing negotiations with multiple entities for natural gas
15		transportation service and/or natural gas supply for delivery to Putnam County,
16		Florida and ultimately to the SCCF via the gas pipeline lateral discussed
17		below. Seminole anticipates that these arrangements will provide for up to
18		187,000 MMBtus per day of gas transportation service having delivery rights
19		to the lateral serving the SCCF, a portion of which will have delivery rights to
20		other generating resources in Seminole's portfolio. Part of this transportation
21		service will come from existing capacity that will be re-purposed for the
22		SCCF, some will be existing capacity that will require additional facilities on
23		the Florida Gas Transmission ("FGT") system to provide the incremental
24		delivery rights specifically to Putnam County, Florida, and some will be new

 transportation service into Florida enabled by additional facilities on existing pipeline(s).

4	Q.	What purchase arrangements will be used to procure the necessary gas?
5	A.	The natural gas supply for the SCCF will be purchased as a part of Seminole's
6		procurement of its gas portfolio needs. Seminole's process for gas
7		procurement diversifies the timing and duration of such gas purchases. For
8		example, when planning for the upcoming calendar year Seminole will
9		purchase a portion of its gas supply on an annual and/or seasonal basis,
10		purchase incremental supply on a month-ahead basis, and then procure any
11		remaining supply needs on a daily basis. Such supply is typically purchased at
12		market based index prices. In addition, Seminole may contract for gas supply
13		on a longer-term basis with a duration of up to five years or longer based on its
14		projected needs and available supply.
15		
16	Q.	Has Seminole evaluated whether there is sufficient natural gas pipeline
17		capacity to transport natural gas to the SCCF?
18	A.	With the additional gas transportation arrangements discussed above, we are
19		confident that sufficient natural gas pipeline capacity will exist to serve the
20		SCCF. Further, the capacity on the gas pipeline lateral from FGT to the SCCF
21		will be adequate.
22		
23	Q.	How will natural gas be transported to the SCCF?
24		
	A.	Natural gas supply will be transported from the FGT mainline to the SCCF via

party. Seminole will contract for firm transportation service on the pipeline 1 2 lateral from FGT to the SCCF. This third-party will be an authorized natural gas transmission company in Florida as defined in section 368.103(4), Florida 3 Statutes. 4 5 6 Q. In your opinion, will there be an adequate and reliable supply of natural 7 gas for the SCCF? 8 A. Yes, Seminole is finalizing its contracts for adequate gas transportation 9 capacity that will provide a firm transportation path from geographic locations 10 that are expected to have adequate natural gas supply available over the 11 horizon of the Need Study. More specifically, it is anticipated that reliable gas 12 supply from various production basins will continue to be transported to the areas at which Seminole will have transportation rights to purchase gas supply. 13 14 15 **FUEL DIVERSITY** 16 Q. How will SCCF affect the diversity of Seminole's fuel supply? 17 A. Seminole seeks to maintain a diversified portfolio of owned and purchased 18 19 generating assets with a variety of fuel types, supply sources and delivery 20 options. Such a portfolio functions as a tool to manage fuel price stability and 21 reliability. The SCCF will be solely fueled by natural gas but is serving to replace expiring purchased power generating resources that were also 22 predominately natural gas fired as their primary fuel source. Seminole's 23 decision to maintain the operation of one SGS coal-fired generating unit will 24 25 continue to provide diversification in Seminole's fuel portfolio. In addition,

1		Seminole is implementing a natural gas transportation plan that contracts with
2		four different counterparties for a variety of solutions to enhance the
3		diversification and reliability of our delivered gas supply. For these reasons,
4		the addition of the SCCF is not expected to significantly impact fuel diversity
5		or supply reliability.
6		
7	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
8	A.	Yes.
9		
10		

1		BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID WAGNER
4		DOCKET NOEC
5		DECEMBER 21, 2017
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and address.
8	A.	My name is David Wagner. My business address is 16313 North Dale Mabry
9		Highway, Tampa, Florida 33618.
10		
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12	A.	I am employed by Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Seminole") as
13		Portfolio Director.
14		
15	Q.	What are your responsibilities in your current position?
16	A.	My primary responsibility is to ensure reliable, cost-effective natural gas
17		delivery to Seminole's owned and purchased electric generating units. This
18		includes oversight of natural gas supply procurement and scheduling activities
19		along with the development of natural gas planning strategies and the
20		negotiation of long-term gas transportation, supply and storage agreements.
21		
22	Q.	Please describe your professional experience and education background.
23	А	I graduated from the University of Florida with a Bachelor of Science degree
24		in Food and Resource Economics in 2000 and a Master of Agri-business
25		degree in 2001. I joined Westar Energy, Inc. in 2002 as an analyst for the

1		energy marketing and fuel procurement business unit. In 2004, I joined Florida
2		Municipal Power Agency as a risk analyst to support the company's mitigation
3		of price and supply risk in the natural gas market. In 2006, I moved into a gas
4		trading role at Florida Gas Utility ("FGU") where my responsibilities included
5		physical gas procurement, short-term optimization of FGU's gas transportation
6		and storage assets, and supply and price risk mitigation. In 2010, I became the
7		Supervisor of Gas Supply at Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. where I have
8		held positions of increasing responsibility.
9		
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
11	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the fuel price forecast used in
12		Seminole's Need Study, as well as the natural gas supply and transportation
13		plans for the SHCCF. I also will discuss how the SHCCF project impacts the
14		diversity of Seminole's fuel supply.
15		
16	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits in the case?
17	A.	I am sponsoring the following exhibits, which were prepared by me or under
18		my supervision and are attached to my pre-filed testimony:
19		• Exhibit No (DW-1) - Professional resume of David Wagner; and
20		• Exhibit No (DW-2) - Seminole Fuel Price Forecast.
21		I also am sponsoring Sections 4.1.7, 4.2.7, and 6.4.3 of the Need Study
22		(Exhibit No. (MPW-2)), all of which were prepared by my or under my
23		supervision.
24		
25		

1		FUEL PRICE FORECAST
2		
3	Q.	Did you develop the fuel price forecast used in the Need Study?
4	A.	Yes.
5		
6	Q.	For what fuels did you develop forecasts?
7	A.	I supported the development of the price forecasts for natural gas, coal and No.
8		2 oil.
9		
10	Q.	What methodology did you use in developing the fuel price forecast?
11	A.	Seminole's fuel price forecasts are derived from a combination of published
12		market indices, independent price forecasts, and escalators where necessary to
13		extend the price forecast beyond the horizon of available values. For its fuel
14		forecasts, Seminole uses the NYMEX futures forward market prices, price
15		forecasts provided by the Energy Information Administration ("EIA"), Energy
16		Research Company LLC, and L.E. Peabody & Associates, Inc., projections of
17		fuel transportation and other variable costs related to fuel delivery, and
18		forecasted escalation factors. These sources of forward energy prices are
19		commonly accepted in the utility industry.
20		
21	Q.	Please describe the specific steps used in preparing the fuel forecast.
22	A.	For projecting future natural gas prices, Seminole uses the following
23		methodology: (i) for the initial years of Seminole's forecast, the methodology
24		uses the NYMEX forward curve for Henry Hub natural gas; (ii) for years
25		beyond the availability of forward NYMEX prices, the methodology escalates

1		the gas price annually at a rate equal to the rate of escalation of projected gas
2		prices in the EIA's Annual Energy Outlook ("AEO") for their reference case for
3		the same years; and (iii) for any years beyond the availability of projected gas
4		prices in the EIA's AEO, the methodology escalates the gas price at a constant rate
5		equal to the annualized rate of escalation of the EIA's AEO reference case
6		escalation for the final five years of projected prices. Seminole also includes a
7		'basis' adder to account for the projected difference in gas pricing between the
8		Henry Hub geographic location and the Florida Gas Zone 3 geographic area.
9		
10		For coal, the price forecast is based on commodity coal prices provided by Energy
11		Research Company LLC. Seminole updates its coal transportation cost estimates
12		based on the annual forecast provided by L.E. Peabody & Associates, Inc.
13		
14		For No. 2 oil, the price forecast is based on distillate fuel oil price projections
15		provided by the EIA, plus a small adder for delivery. These methodologies are
16		consistent with the fuel forecasting approach used in Seminole's 2017-2026 Ten
17		Year Site Plan.
18		
19	Q.	Did you develop any alternative fuel forecasts for sensitivity analyses?
20	A.	Yes, for natural gas Seminole uses a statistical based approach, similar to that
21		used by the EIA, to formulate high and low forward price curves, relative to
22		the base forward price curve.
23		
24	Q.	Have you prepared an exhibit showing the results of your fuel forecasts?
25	A.	Yes. Exhibit DW-2 presents the results of Seminole's fuel forecast, including
26		the alternative forecasts for natural gas. During the course of the past year,

1		Seminole updated its fuel forecasts for natural gas and coal as a part of the
2		updated economic analyses discussed in the pre-filed testimony of Julia
3		Diazgranados. Exhibit DW-2 contains both the updated and prior fuel prices.
4		
5		NATURAL GAS SUPPLY & TRANSPORTATION
6		
7	Q.	What are the fuel requirements for SHCCF?
8	A.	The SHCCF will burn natural gas as its fuel. At peak operation, including duct-
9		firing, the SHCCF will require approximately 89,000 million British thermal
10		units ("MMBtu") of natural gas per day.
11		
12	Q.	What steps has Seminole taken to determine that natural gas will be
13		available for the SHCCF?
14	A.	Seminole is finalizing negotiations with multiple entities for natural gas
15		transportation service and/or natural gas supply for delivery to various
16		Seminole owned and purchased resources, including the SHCCF. Seminole
17		anticipates that these arrangements, combined with Seminole's existing gas
18		transportation capacity, will provide for more than 300,000 MMBtus per day
19		of gas transportation service having delivery rights to Florida's market area to
20		support Seminole's portfolio of gas-fired generating resources, up to 130,000
21		MMBtus per day of which will have delivery rights to the SHCCF. Part of this
22		transportation service will come from existing Seminole capacity that will be
23		re-purposed for the SHCCF, some will be existing capacity on the Florida Gas
24		Transmission ("FGT") system, and some will be new transportation service
25		into Florida enabled by additional facilities on existing pipeline(s).

2	Q.	What purchase arrangements will be used to procure the necessary gas?
3	A.	The natural gas supply for the SHCCF will be purchased as a part of
4		Seminole's procurement of its gas portfolio needs. Seminole's gas
5		procurement process diversifies the timing and duration of such gas purchases.
6		For example, when planning for the upcoming calendar year Seminole will
7		purchase a portion of its gas supply on an annual and/or seasonal basis,
8		purchase incremental supply on a month-ahead basis, and then procure any
9		remaining supply needs on a daily basis. Such supply is typically purchased at
10		market based index prices. In addition, Seminole may contract for gas supply
11		on a longer-term basis with a duration of up to five years or longer based on its
12		projected needs and available supply.
13		
14	Q.	Has Seminole evaluated whether there is sufficient natural gas pipeline
15		capacity to transport natural gas to the SHCCF?
16	A.	With the additional gas transportation arrangements discussed above, we are
17		confident that sufficient natural gas pipeline capacity will exist to serve the
18		SHCCF.
19		
20	Q.	How will natural gas be transported to the SHCCF?
21	A.	Natural gas supply will be transported to the SHCCF via the existing FGT
22		pipeline system. A new interconnection with FGT will be constructed to fuel
23		the SHCCF.
24		

1	Q.	In your opinion, will there be an adequate and reliable supply of natural
2		gas for the SHCCF?
3	A.	Yes, Seminole is finalizing contracts for adequate gas transportation capacity
4		that will provide firm transportation paths from geographic locations that are
5		expected to have adequate natural gas supply available over the horizon of the
6		Need Study. More specifically, it is anticipated that gas supply from various
7		production basins will continue to be transported to the areas at which
8		Seminole will have transportation rights to purchase gas supply.
9		
10		FUEL DIVERSITY
11		
12	Q.	How will SHCCF affect the diversity of Seminole's fuel supply?
13	A.	Seminole seeks to maintain a diversified portfolio of owned and purchased
14		generating assets with a variety of fuel types, supply sources and delivery
15		options. Such a portfolio functions as a tool to manage fuel price stability and
16		reliability. The SHCCF will be solely fueled by natural gas but is serving to
17		replace expiring purchased power generating resources that were also
18		predominately natural gas fired as their primary fuel source. Seminole's
19		decision to maintain the operation of one SGS coal-fired generating unit will
20		continue to provide diversification in Seminole's fuel portfolio. In addition,
21		Seminole is implementing a natural gas transportation plan that contracts with
22		four different counterparties for a variety of solutions to enhance the
23		diversification of our delivered gas supply. For these reasons, the addition of
24		the SHCCF is not expected to significantly impact fuel diversity or supply
25		reliability.

2 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

- 3 A. Yes.

1	BY MR. PERKO:
2	Q Mr. Wagner, have you prepared a summary of
3	your testimony?
4	A I have.
5	Q Would you please provide it to the
6	Commissioners at this time?
7	A Sure.
8	Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners.
9	My name is David Wagner. I am a Portfolio Director at
10	Seminole Electric in its Fuels Department.
11	I hold a Bachelor of Science Degree in Food
12	and Resource Economics, and a Master of Agribusiness
13	Degree, both from the University of Florida. I have
14	more than 16 years of experience in the power and gas
15	industries, with a focus on natural gas supply
16	procurement, transportation and price risk management.
17	The purpose of my testimony today is to
18	explain the natural gas delivery and transportation plan
19	for the proposed Seminole and Shady Hills combined cycle
20	facilities, and to present the fossil fuel price
21	forecast used by Seminole in its economic evaluation of
22	the projects.
23	Both the Seminole and Shady Hills combined
24	cycle facilities will burn natural gas as their fuel
25	source. Natural gas will be supplied to the Seminole
Premier	Reporting (850) 894-0828 Reported by: Debbie k

1 Combined Cycle Facility by a proposed natural gas 2 pipeline that will be constructed, owned and operated by 3 a third party, and that will interconnect Seminole's 4 power planted site with the mainlines of Florida Gas 5 Transmission in Putnam County Florida. This pipeline 6 will be approximately 21 miles in length, and will be 7 sized to provide adequate gas volume and pressure for 8 the Seminole Combined Cycle Facility.

9 The developer of the Shady Hills Combined 10 Cycle Facility will cause a new interconnection to be 11 constructed that will receive natural gas from the 12 existing FGT lateral that currently serves the Shady 13 Hills site.

14 To ensure reliable deliveries of natural gas 15 from FGT to both the Seminole and Shady Hills combined 16 cycle facilities, Seminole is contracting with four 17 different counterparties for incremental firm gas 18 transportation capacity to supplement its existing 19 portfolio of transportation rights. This diversified 20 gas transportation plan will enhance Seminole's fuel 21 reliability.

22 Seminole's fossil fuel price forecasts reflect 23 the projected supply, demand and price for No. 2 Fuel 24 Oil, coal and natural gas, and incorporate variable 25 costs to transport these fuels to Seminole's portfolio

1	owned and purchased generating resources, including the
2	Seminole and Shady Hills combined cycle facilities.
3	Seminole relies on industry respected sources
4	to develop its fuel price forecasts, including futures
5	markets transactional information, the Energy
6	Information Administration's price projections and
7	methodologies, and leading industry consultants. As
8	such, Seminole's fossil fuel forecasts are reasonable
9	for the evaluation of the Seminole and Shady Hills
10	combined cycle facilities.
11	Q Does that conclude your summary, Mr. Wagner?
12	A It does.
13	MR. PERKO: At this time, we proffer the
14	witness for cross-examination.
15	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you.
16	Mr. Wright.
17	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. WRIGHT:
20	Q Excuse me, just a little something in my
21	throat.
22	Good afternoon, Mr. Wagner.
23	A Hi.
24	Q As you know, I am Schef Wright, and I
25	represent the intervenors. I have a few questions for
Premier	r Reporting (850) 894-0828 Reported by: Debbie Ki

1 you today. 2 At page two, line 20, did you have any changes 3 to make to your testimony? 4 Α No. 5 Q Okay. At page two, line 21 of your 6 testimonies, you identify section 4.1.7 as the section 7 of a section of the need study that you are sponsoring, 8 correct? 9 Α Yes. 10 Okay. Do you happen to have a copy of the Q 11 need study with you? 12 Α Unfortunately I do not. 13 MR. PERKO: I apologize, Your Honor -- Mr. 14 Chairman --15 Mr. Chairman, I am going to try MR. WRIGHT: 16 to make this simple -- well, I will just hand him 17 one. 18 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: We'll see if you can't get 19 it done simply. 20 BY MR. WRIGHT: 21 Section 4.1.7 addresses stormwater management, Q 22 doesn't it? 23 Α Yes, you are -- you are correct. 24 That's a typo? 0 25 Α Yes.

1 And your testimony should refer to section Q 4.1.8, is that correct? 2 3 Α According to this document in front of me, 4 This document -- yes, you are correct. yes. 5 Q Well, that's your company's need study, isn't 6 it? 7 Yes, I believe so. Α 8 Q All right. Regarding the SCCF, on page six of 9 your direct testimony in the SCCF docket, you testify 10 that natural gas supply will be transported from the FGT 11 mainline to the SCCF via a gas pipeline lateral? 12 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Wright, can I get you to 13 pull that mic down a little bit? 14 Certainly, Mr. Chairman. MR. WRIGHT: Sorry. 15 I could hear you. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I just 16 wanted to make sure our court reporter could hear 17 you. 18 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. 19 BY MR. WRIGHT: 20 Q Page six, lines 24 through 25 of your direct 21 testimony in the docket 0266, the SCCF docket, you 22 testify that natural gas supply will be transported from 23 the FGT mainline to the SCCF via a gas pipeline lateral 24 that will be constructed, owned and operated by a third 25 party, correct?

1	A Correct.
2	Q Will that new gas pipeline lateral be the only
3	physical connection between the SCCF and any main
4	transmission pipeline?
5	A Yes initially, yes.
6	Q With respect to the SCCF, is it correct that
7	it will be fueled solely by natural gas?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q So to the best of your knowledge, the SCCF is
10	not designed to have dual fuel capability, correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Do you know how much it would cost to retrofit
13	the SCCF for dual fuel capability?
14	A I do not.
15	MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, I want to show the
16	witness an interrogatory response prepared
17	apparently by by Mr. Kezell. It's already in
18	the record as, I want to say Staff's Exhibit 90.
19	Yes, it's Staff's Exhibit 90. It's Seminole's
20	response to Staff's Interrogatory No. 52.
21	MR. WRIGHT: Sorry, it's Staff Exhibit 79.
22	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Perko.
23	MR. WRIGHT: Can I
24	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Hold up.
25	Mr. Perko.

1 MR. PERKO: We've got you. 2 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: You are okay? 3 MR. PERKO: I believe so. 4 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. 5 MR. PERKO: Staff Exhibit 79? 6 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Wright. 7 MR. WRIGHT: Yes, it's the response to Staff's 8 Interrogatory No. 52, which I understand to be --9 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I just want to make sure 10 it's attorney has it in front of him before --11 Yeah, and I am just trying to --MR. WRIGHT: 12 Okay, that's fine. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: 13 MR. WRIGHT: -- make sure we are clear as to 14 what's what. 15 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Sure. 16 I apologize, Mr. Chairman. MR. PERKO: We're 17 ready. 18 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Trust me, you are fine. You 19 are good? 20 MR. PERKO: Yes, sir. 21 Sure, Mr. Wright. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: 22 May I just hand this to him? MR. WRIGHT: 23 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Yes, you can. 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 25 BY MR. WRIGHT:

1 Q Have you seen this document before, 2 Mr. Wagner? 3 Α Maybe generally, but certainly not 4 specifically studied it. 5 Q Okay. This appears to show that the cost of 6 retrofitting the SCCF to dual fuel capability is about 7 37-and-a-half million dollars, correct? It looks like it, yes. 8 Α 9 0 Thank you. 10 Is the SCCF -- sorry, the SH -- Shady Hills --11 to be fueled solely by natural gas? 12 Α Yes. 13 It's not designed to have backup fuel, is it? Q 14 That's my understanding, correct. Α 15 0 Thank you. 16 Do you know why not? 17 Α Generally, yeah, there is costs associated 18 with the initial capital and infrastructure required to 19 be installed, ongoing costs to operate and maintain 20 that. Certainly, ongoing costs to install the on-site 21 oil tanks, and maintain those and the fuel inventory in 22 But those are just a portion, probably, of the those. 23 decision-making process ultimately to -- whether or not to install that capital equipment. 24 25 I would like to talk to you about fuel 0

(850) 894-0828

1 diversity for a few minutes. 2 In your testimony in the SCCF docket, actually 3 and in the SHCCF docket, on page -- well, in the SCCF 4 docket, on page seven, you talk about fuel diversity, 5 and also on page seven in your testimony in the Shady 6 Hills docket, you testify about fuel diversity; correct? 7 Α That's correct. 8 Q Can we agree that your testimony concerning 9 fuel diversity is identical in the two dockets, except 10 for the references to the SCCF and the SHCCF 11 respectively in the two testimonies? 12 Α No, they are not identical. Are you referring 13 to just simply that question? 14 Oh, yes, just -- just the question and Q Yes. 15 answer about fuel diversity? 16 Yes, I think that's an accurate statement that Α 17 they are identical. 18 Q Thank you. 19 You go on to state that the SCCF and Shady 20 Hills are serving to replace expiring purchase power 21 generating resources that were also predominantly 22 natural gas-fired as their primary fuel source, correct? 23 Α That's correct. 24 Did any of the expiring purchase power 0 Okay. 25 resources have backup fuel capabilities?

1 Α Yes, some of them did. 2 Can you tell us which one or ones? 0 3 Α I am directly familiar with the Oleander 4 generating units, approximately 580 megawatts at three 5 different units have backup fuel that they are expiring. 6 Part of the replacement portfolio also has backup fuel. 7 And which -- which resource in the replacement 0 8 portfolio has backup fuel? 9 Α Directly the Shady Hills combined -- the CTs 10 at that site have backup fuel. So approximately --11 there is about a 200-megawatt net difference between 12 what's expiring and what's being replaced with dual fuel 13 capability. 14 The Oleander capacity is greater than Q Okay. 15 the Shady Hills CTs --16 Α That's correct. 17 Q -- is that accurate? Okay. 18 I am looking at your Ten Year Site Plan that 19 has a list of purchase power -- your 2017 Ten Year Site 20 Plan, it has a list of purchase power resources. Α 21 number of those are system resources. How do you 22 account for gas, oil and other fuel percentages with the 23 system purchases, if at all? 24 Account for them for what purpose? Α 25 For purposes of understanding the fuel 0

1 diversity of what's supplied to Seminole and its member 2 co-ops? 3 Α From a economic or reliability standpoint? 4 0 I am really trying to ask from a physical standpoint as to what -- what, if anything, you do with 5 the fuels that are used, say, to provide the power under 6 7 the DEF system contract? 8 Α Yeah, physically, those arrangements are --9 they are tied to system -- the firmness is tied to the 10 equivalent of native load for those entities, is my 11 understanding, and so fuel is not specifically 12 addressed, to my knowledge, in detail in those 13 agreements as far as a reliability or physical delivery 14 component. 15 Do you prepare the fuel tables that appear in 0 16 the company's ten year site plans? 17 I am not sure I understand what fuel tables Α 18 you are referring to. 19 Schedule 5, Schedule 6.1 --0 20 Α No, sir. 21 -- and Schedule 6.2? Q 22 Α I do not. 23 If you know, who does? Q 24 Witness Diazgranados does. Α 25 So can -- can you tell us anything 0 Okay.

1 specific about the impact of shutting down one of the 2 coal units on the percent of Seminole's power supply 3 that will be supplied from coal-fired generation? 4 Α Yeah. I mean, currently Seminole receives 5 about half 50 -- low 50 percent range of its energy 6 projected to be generated by the coal units. So that 7 would decrease to somewhere in the 20 percent range upon 8 implementation of the recommended portfolio. 9 0 So if I wanted to ask more detailed questions 10 about the percentages of the fuel mix, I should ask 11 Ms. Diazgranados? 12 Α I guess it would be dependent on what the 13 questions are, but I -- she and I do work very closely 14 I feed her inputs and information that she together. 15 runs through the dispatch modeling projection models, 16 which then ultimately produce the results that get 17 included those tables. 18 I am going to be as guick as I MR. WRIGHT: 19 can, and I don't have that much more for I would like to hand him a copy of the 20 Mr. Wagner. 21 company's 2017 --22 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Sure. MR. WRIGHT: -- Ten Year Site Plan, which is 23 24 already identified for official recognition per the 25 staff.

1 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. 2 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. BY MR. WRIGHT: 3 4 0 Mr. Wagner, I just handed you a copy of the 5 company's, Seminole's 2017 Ten Year Site Plan turned 6 open to Schedule 6.2, which is titled Energy Sources in 7 Percent. Are you with me? 8 Α Yes, sir. 9 0 Thank you. 10 I am looking at the column headed 2023. We 11 can back up and confirm what you told us a minute ago, 12 that this year, it looks like about 50 percent of the 13 company's generation is projected to come from coal, 14 correct? 15 Α That's correct. 16 In 2023, it appears to be 35-and-a-half Q 17 percent, correct? 18 Α Correct. 19 Is that -- is that reduction caused by the 0 20 then projected in-service of units identified as Seminole combined cycle units in '21 and '22? 21 22 Α The -- what we refer to as generic units, yes. 23 Not the resources proposed here. 24 0 Correct. 25 Α Yes, I believe that would be the case, yes.

1	Q I apologize.
2	The resources that are actually identified in
3	the back of this
4	A Yes.
5	Q Ten Year Site Plan? Okay.
6	So if we were to look at 2023, that's, as
7	proposed now by the company, that that would be the
8	first full year that one of the Seminole coal units
9	would be taken off-line, correct?
10	A That's correct.
11	Q Would it be correct, in your opinion, that
12	that 35-and-a-half percent value would roughly be cut in
13	half?
14	A Not necessarily, no. Based on what I believe
15	are the current estimates in models, and
16	Ms. Diazgranados may be able to speak more to this in
17	detail, but it estimated to be closer to 19 or
18	20 percent. So it's not directly attributable to go in
19	half.
20	Q Okay.
21	A But it's certainly not too far off from that.
22	Q And if we look down the table to the total
23	natural gas number in the 2017 plans, it's about
24	61 percent. And so if the coal percentage dropped from
25	35 to, say, 19, the natural gas percentage value would
L	
1 increase to about 77, is that pretty close to accurate? 2 Α I apologize, which year were you referring to? 3 123? 4 0 Still referring to 2023. Yes, sir. 5 Α Yes, that would make sense, yeah. It's 6 intuitive. Although, we are adding solar as part of 7 this portfolio, so some of that will also offset the 8 direct correlation between a decrease in coal and an 9 increase in gas. 10 Since you mentioned solar, I note in the 0 11 renewables row in the current Ten Year Site Plan that 12 you are looking at, the renewables seem to be phasing 13 down from now through 2026, as projected a year ago, 14 correct? 15 Α That's correct. 16 Do you know why that is? Q 17 Α Primarily due to expirations of some of those 18 purchase power arrangements. 19 And when you say some of those purchase power 0 20 arrangements, are you talking about like the landfill 21 gas and waste energy contracts? 22 Α Those would be within that group, yes. 23 Thank you. Q 24 You testified that Seminole is implementing a 25 natural gas transportation plan that contracts with four

1 different counterparties for a variety of solutions to 2 enhance the diversification and reliability of our 3 diversified -- sorry -- delivered gas supply, correct? 4 Α That's correct. 5 Q Isn't it true that the different gas 6 transportation options will not enhance fuel diversity? 7 Α You are -- there is many different types of 8 diversity that we could probably get into a prolonged 9 discussion, so I am not sure which --10 Is it going to change the percent fueled by 0 11 natural gas? 12 Α No. 13 Are you working on the company's 2018 0 Okay. 14 Ten Year Site Plan? 15 I am a participant on that team, yes. Α 16 Do you participate in that team with respect Q 17 to the projected fuel mix of the company? 18 Α The projected fuel mix is just an output from 19 So I guess, yes, some of the information the process. 20 that I contribute ultimately does drive that. 21 Q Do you know whether your 2018 Ten Year Site 22 Plan is going to reflect the projected fuel mix 23 associated with the company's proposed Clean Power Plan? 24 Α Yes. 25 MR. PERKO: Mr. Chairman, I hate to do this,

1	but this is sounding an awful lot like a deposition
2	rather than cross-examination.
3	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Wright.
4	MR. WRIGHT: I'm trying to understand exactly
5	what the fuel mix is going to be. And next
6	thing I think he answered my question by saying
7	yes. And I am going to ask that we be allowed to
8	take official recognition of Seminole's 2018 Ten
9	Year Site Plan, which is due before briefs are due
10	in this case, so that we can cite to whatever comes
11	out of it in our brief.
12	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: You want to take official
13	recognition of which year site plan?
14	MR. WRIGHT: Seminole's 2018 Ten Year Site
15	Plan. It doesn't appear that they have told you,
16	or us, what the real impacts on fuel diversity are
17	going to be. I believe that Mr. Wagner just told
18	us that the Ten Year Site Plan that will be filed
19	in about 10, 11 days, will include that
20	information. I think that's relevant to your
21	decision here.
22	MR. PERKO: He just testified about the
23	effects on fuel diversity, Your Honor. And I have
24	never heard of anyone taking official recognition
25	of a document that does not exist.

(850) 894-0828

1 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: You took the words right out 2 of my mouth. 3 Mr. Wright. 4 MR. WRIGHT: I was trying to get you and us 5 the best available information, Mr. Chairman. Ι 6 will pursue this with Ms. Diazgranados, and we will 7 see if we can't get something more definitive. 8 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Well, let's move on 9 then. 10 Yes, sir. MR. WRIGHT: 11 Thank you very much, That's all I have. 12 Mr. Wagner. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. 14 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Staff. 15 Staff has no questions for MS. DZIECHCIARZ: this witness. 16 17 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioners. 18 Commissioner Polmann. 19 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Good afternoon, sir. 20 I understand you are the -- Seminole's 21 portfolio director. Is that pertaining 22 specifically to natural gas? 23 THE WITNESS: That is my particular area of 24 expertise. Yes, sir. 25 Thank you. COMMISSIONER POLMANN:

1 I would like to refer to your direct 2 testimony. Do you have that available to you, sir? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you. 5 You identified, in response to Mr. Wright, that your testimony is essentially similar for both 6 7 the Seminole and Shady Hills material. And there's 8 already been reference made to the fuel diversity 9 section. This appears on page seven -- or it 10 begins on page seven in both of the dockets. 11 And the question that's posed there is how 12 will the -- well, let me read it. How will SCCF 13 affect the diversity of Seminole's fuel supply, and 14 then in the other case, the corresponding facility 15 for Shady Hills? 16 And in the final sentence in your answer -- I 17 am looking at page eight for the Seminole facility. 18 I will read to you page eight in the Seminole case. 19 Lines three and four, it says: "For these reasons 20 the addition of the SCCF is not expected to 21 significantly impact fuel diversity or supply 22 reliability." Do you have that available? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 24 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So the question being, 25 how will it affect the diversity? And if I

understand your reply there, in conclusion, not expected to significantly impact fuel diversity. So do I take that to mean it will not? Is that your -- your statement?

5 THE WITNESS: It will certainly impact the 6 type diversity, the reduction in coal and the 7 corresponding increase in gas. You know, I think 8 the comment there is to the significance of the 9 impact.

10 I am reading language COMMISSIONER POLMANN: 11 that says: "The addition of SCCF is not expected 12 to significantly impact fuel diversity." You 13 stated a moment ago in response to Mr. Wright, and 14 if I heard you correctly, you said there are many 15 different types of fuel diversity, and we could get 16 into a long discussion. Do you recall --17 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 18 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: -- that statement?

What did you mean by "there are many different types of fuel diversity?"

THE WITNESS: The most common one referred to being the type of fuel. I think that's what mostly gets used, what gets incorporated into the schedule 6.2, I think it was, that Mr. Wright referred to. Other types of diversification include how

1 much, you know, how much fuel are you actually 2 consuming in mitigating your risk to fuel 3 associated with the actual consumption and need. 4 I will point out that this plan actually 5 reduces, on a BTU basis, reduces Seminole's overall 6 exposure to fuel because of the increased 7 efficiency. It also adds significant 8 diversification with regard to our gas 9 transportation portfolio. It adds diversification 10 there to enhance supply reliability. So those are some of the other elements that I 11 12 kind of allude to when a divers -- a fuel 13 diversification comes up, I believe it goes beyond 14 just the type of fuel. 15 I am looking back in COMMISSIONER POLMANN: 16 your direct testimony on page seven for Seminole, 17 and I read the question in a very straightforward 18 It says: "How will SCCF affect the manner. 19 diversity of the fuel supply?" And I take that to be the fuel itself, either, in this case, gas, or 20 21 oil, or coal as a fuel source. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And it seems, in the 24 portfolio that you are proposing, that you are 25 reducing the use of coal by eliminating one

1 facility out of two and increasing the use of 2 qas --3 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: -- is that correct? 5 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So, nonetheless, you --7 you state that there is not expected to be a 8 significant impact on fuel diversity. Now, do you 9 come to that statement as a conclusion only by 10 weighing in factors beyond fuel type? 11 THE WITNESS: Only partially specifically 12 dealing with fuel type itself. As I answered Mr. 13 Wright's questions, our -- the percentage of our 14 portfolio that's generated -- our energy generated 15 by coal specifically is expected to decline by 16 about 15 percent. And so while, you know, that in 17 and of itself may not be, you know, rise to the 18 level of significance depending on, you know, when 19 is that energy being produced, over what periods of 20 time. 21 So that can be an element specifically 22 addressing, to your point, if this is discussing 23 fuel type only, that 16 or 15 percent reduction in 24 our energy coming from coal points to that specific 25 evaluation.

1 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: In that same -- in 2 response to that same question on that same page, 3 seven, you identify -- or you state: Seminole 4 seeks to maintain a diversified portfolio of owned 5 and purchased generating assets with a variety of 6 fuel types, fuel sources and delivery options. "Such a portfolio 7 The next sentence says: 8 functions as a tool to manage fuel price stability 9 and reliability." 10 Does that sentence, in and of itself, with 11 reference to fuel price stability and reliability 12 identify a primary focus in this docket being price 13 stability and reliability? 14 THE WITNESS: I think those are usually two of 15 the -- the two primary risks that are being 16 addressed any time a fuel diversification 17 discussion arises. You know, what is the economic 18 impact and what is the reliability impact, you 19 know, via either price volatility or, you know, 20 unavailability of the physical fuel? 21 So, yes, I think those are the two key 22 elements and risk factors behind a diversification 23 discussion. 24 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you. 25 That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.

(850) 894-0828

1	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Redirect.
2	MR. PERKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Very
3	briefly.
4	FURTHER EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. PERKO:
б	Q Mr. Wagner, Mr. Wright asked you a number of
7	questions about the dual the single fuel capability
8	of the SCCF and the Shady Hills facility. Have you
9	analyzed the overall effect of the selected portfolio on
10	the dual fuel capability of Seminole as a whole?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And what were the results of that analysis?
13	A Ultimately, because of the addition of the
14	Shady Hills CTs, and the loss of the Oleander units, the
15	net effect is relatively minimal. A loss of about
16	200 megawatts in dual fuel capability, which really only
17	amounts to about five percent of Seminole's overall
18	system, net amount of energy in capacity.
19	MR. PERKO: Thank you. I have nothing
20	further.
21	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Perko.
22	Exhibits.
23	MR. PERKO: Yes, Commissioner or Mr.
24	Chairman I am sorry. Too many documents here.
25	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: It looks like we have
	- Dementing (050) 004 0000 Demented have Debbie Kri

1 Exhibit 11 and 12, and 35 and 36, is what I see. 2 MR. PERKO: That is correct, Mr. Chairman. 3 And also we would move the exhibits -- or the 4 portions of Exhibit W -- Exhibit No. 3 and 29, the 5 need study that Mr. Wagner sponsored, and those 6 would be with the correction that Mr. Wright 7 pointed out, sections 4.18, 4.27 and 6.43. 8 Mr. Wright, any complaints CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: 9 or adeptions about those exhibits? 10 MR. WRIGHT: No, sir. 11 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. 12 (Whereupon, Exhibit Nos. 11, 12, 35 & 36 were 13 received into evidence.) 14 MR. PERKO: Mr. Chairman, I apologize, but I 15 failed to move in those portions of that -- those 16 same two exhibits, the need study that Mr. Ward and 17 Mr. Kezell were sponsoring. So if I could, I would 18 like to move those at this time. That would be, 19 for Mr. Ward, sections 1.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and for 20 Mr. Kezell, 4.1.1, 4.1.7, 4.1.10, 4.1.11 and 6.2. 21 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: If no objections, then we 22 will enter into the record as well. 23 Mr. Wright, you didn't have any exhibits, did 24 you? 25 MR. WRIGHT: That's correct, Mr. Chairman. Premier Reporting

1	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay.
2	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Perko, would you like to
3	excuse this witness until I guess excuse him?
4	MR. PERKO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Wagner, thank you for
6	coming. Travel safe.
7	(Witness excused.)
8	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Mr. Perko, your next
9	witness.
10	MR. PERKO: Seminole calls Mr. Robert DeMelo.
11	Whereupon,
12	ROBERT DEMELO
13	was called as a witness, having been previously duly
14	sworn to speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
15	but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
16	MR. PERKO: May I proceed?
17	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Sure.
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. PERKO:
20	Q Could you your name for the record?
21	A Robert DeMelo.
22	Q And, Mr. DeMelo, have you been sworn today?
23	A Yes, I have.
24	Q Who is your current employer, and what is your
25	current business address?

1	A Current employer is Seminole Electric
2	Cooperative, Inc. Address is 16313 North Dale Mabry
3	Highway, Tampa, Florida, 33618.
4	Q And, Mr. DeMelo, did you cause to be filed on
5	December 21st, 2017, direct testimony consisting of nine
6	pages in Docket Number 20170266-EC?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And did you also cause to be filed, on
9	December 21st, 2017, direct testimony consisting of five
10	pages in Docket Number 20170267-EC?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Do you have any corrections or changes to your
13	prefiled direct testimony?
14	A No, I do not.
15	Q Did you also attach one exhibit to your
16	testimony?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Do you have any changes to that exhibit?
19	A I do not.
20	Q And, Mr. DeMelo Mr. DeMelo, are you also
21	sponsoring sections 3.4 and 4.19 of the need study
22	that's been marked as W Exhibit W MPW-2 and
23	inserted into the record as Exhibits 3 and 29?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Do you have any changes to those sections of
L	

1 the -- of those exhibits? 2 I am sponsoring changes to Section 4.19 Α I do. 3 on page number 24 of the termination of need study, last 4 paragraph would be paragraph three, second to the last 5 sentence --6 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Hold on. Hold on. Hold on. 7 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 8 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Give us that location again. 9 THE WITNESS: This is on page 24, within 10 Section 4.19. 11 BY MR. PERKO: 12 Q Mr. DeMelo, I think if you could refer to the 13 header of the document, refer to page whatever of 153 of 14 the exhibit, that might be helpful. 15 All I have is the actual need study. Α I don't 16 have the entire --17 Q Okay. Forgive me. 18 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: What page on your study are 19 you looking at? 20 THE WITNESS: It's page 24 at the top of the 21 header, it does say page 29 of 73, if that helps. 22 MR. PERKO: We must have a different version, 23 It's page 29 of 153, page 24 of the Your Honor. 24 document. 25 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I got 29 of it 153 as well. Premier Reporting

1 It starts off delivery of the SCCF at the top of 2 the page? 3 THE WITNESS: It starts -- this is the third 4 paragraph on the page. It starts, Seminole's 5 original interconnection evaluation of. 6 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Yep. 7 THE WITNESS: So the second to the last 8 sentence there, it says, this resulted in a lower net incremental difference of 480 megawatts. 9 That 10 number should reflect 386 megawatts to be 11 consistent with my written testimony. 12 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Has everybody got that 13 correction? 14 Okay. Thank you. 15 Is that the only correction? 16 THE WITNESS: That is. 17 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Perko. 18 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. PERKO: 19 At this time, we would ask that Mr. DeMelo's 20 direct testimony in both dockets be inserted into 21 the record as if read. 22 We will insert Mr. DeMelo's CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: 23 prefiled direct testimony in both dockets into the 24 record as though read. 25 (Whereupon, prefiled direct testimony was

1	inserted.)
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ſ

1		EFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ROBERT DEMELO
4		DOCKET NOEC
5		DECEMBER 21, 2017
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and address.
8	А.	My name is Robert DeMelo. My business address is 16313 North Dale Mabry
9		Highway, Tampa, Florida 33618.
10		
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12	А.	I am employed by Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Seminole") as
13		Manager of Transmission Planning and System Protection.
14		
15	Q.	Please describe your responsibilities in your current position.
16	A.	As Manager of Transmission Planning and System Protection, my
17		responsibilities encompass a range of transmission-related responsibilities,
18		including transmission planning for Seminole and its Members, transmission,
19		generation, and system protection NERC compliance, system protection and
20		controls for the Seminole transmission system, and transmission reliability for
21		Seminole's Member delivery points. I also serve as Seminole's representative
22		on multiple Florida Reliability Coordinating Council ("FRCC") standing
23		committees and subcommittees, including current Vice-Chair of the FRCC
24		Planning Committee.

1	Q.	Please state your education and background professional experience
2	А	I hold a bachelor's of science degree in Electrical Engineering from the
3		University of South Florida ("USF"). During my studies at USF, I received
4		top honors for my senior design which encompassed various facets of
5		transmission load flow studies. Since obtaining my degree in 2007, I have held
6		positions with increasing responsibility within Seminole's transmission
7		organization. I was promoted to Lead Transmission Planning Engineer in
8		2011 and to Supervisor of Transmission Planning in 2014. I assumed my
9		current role as Manager of Transmission Planning and System Protection in
10		July 2015. In February of 2016, I was awarded the Young Engineer of the
11		Year Award from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
12		("IEEE"), Florida West Coast Section.
13		
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
15	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to describe the process for determining the
16		transmission plan and associated costs for the interconnection of those
17		alternatives evaluated as part of Seminole's Request for Proposals ("RFP")
18		process. In particular, I will summarize the identified transmission upgrades,
19		provide the preliminary estimated transmission costs and address the
20		reasonableness of the preliminary project schedules for the Seminole
21		Combined Cycle Facility ("SCCF").
22		
23	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits in the case?
24	A.	I am sponsoring Exhibit No (RD-1), which is a copy of my professional
25		resume. I also am sponsoring Sections 3.4 and 4.1.9 of the Need Study

(Exhibit No. (MPW-2)), all of which were prepared by me or under my
 supervision.

3

4	Q.	How does Seminole transmit electric service to its Members?
5	A.	Seminole owns and operates approximately 127 circuit miles of 69 kV and 254
6		circuit miles of 230 kV transmission lines, via a total of nineteen (19) 230 kV
7		points of interconnection with six (6) neighboring entities. However,
8		Seminole's transmission facilities have limited direct interconnections with
9		Seminole's Members' load. Seminole is therefore primarily a transmission
10		dependent utility ("TDU") that relies mainly upon the transmission systems of
11		Duke Energy Florida ("DEF") and Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL")
12		for the delivery of Seminole's owned and/or contracted power supply
13		resources to Seminole's Members' load. Seminole is a Network Integration
14		Transmission Service ("NITS") customer of DEF and FPL under each of their
15		respective Open Access Transmission Tariffs ("OATT"). Approximately
16		76%, or 2,294 MW, (based on 2016-17 actual winter net firm peak demand) of
17		Seminole's Members' load is served by DEF's transmission system,
18		approximately 16%, or 483 MW, is served by FPL's transmission system, and
19		approximately 8%, or 241 MW, is served directly by Seminole's transmission
20		system.
21		
22	Q.	Please describe Seminole's transmission interconnection process.

A. Seminole's transmission interconnection process is based on prudent utility
practice and is consistent with the reliability requirements and guidelines set
forth by the FRCC, the North American Reliability Corporation ("NERC"),

1	and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"). Seminole's
2	planning criteria is outlined in the FERC Form 715 filing that is updated
3	annually and submitted to the FERC. The transmission interconnection
4	process involves a System Impact Study that identifies potential impacts and
5	mitigation plans for addressing such impacts on Seminole's transmission
6	system as well as neighboring systems. The analysis is performed by
7	Seminole in coordination with the FRCC through the FRCC's Reliability
8	Evaluation Process for Generator and Transmission Service Requests.
9	
10	The System Impact Study incorporates the use of steady-state load flow, short
11	circuit, and stability analysis using industry standard tools and software
12	programs to ensure that Seminole's transmission system operates reliably over
13	a broad spectrum of system conditions and following a wide range of probable
14	planning and extreme events. In general, Seminole's transmission planning
15	process includes the single contingency loss of any transmission circuit,
16	transformer, bus section, shunt device, internal breaker fault, or generator.
17	Such analysis is performed for multiple load levels, including but not limited
18	to peak, off-peak, and high-import (Southern to Florida transfers) for select
19	summer and winter conditions as modeled and made available by the FRCC.
20	Additional analysis is performed to determine system response to credible, less
21	probable extreme events, to assure the system meets Seminole, FRCC, and
22	NERC transmission planning criteria. The additional analysis includes the loss
23	of multiple elements, including the loss of multiple transmission circuits,
24	transformers, generators, or the combination of each. Seminole utilizes
25	planned operational system adjustments, corrective action plans which can

1		include projects that require construction of new facilities or upgrades and load
2		loss, if permissible by the applicable NERC Reliability Standards, to mitigate
3		exceptions to transmission planning reliability criteria.
4		
5		Seminole's transmission planning process also includes the evaluation of
6		multiple fault types at various locations, consistent with the criteria of FRCC
7		and NERC, to understand the magnitude of the resultant fault current that may
8		be experienced by Seminole's interrupting devices and to ensure that such
9		magnitude is safely mitigated. Lastly, Seminole's transmission
10		interconnection process evaluates critical clearing time at multiple load levels
11		to ensure that the system is able to respond to planning and extreme events to
12		not compromise the existing transmission system and to ensure the system
12		remains adequate, reliable, and secure.
13		Temanis adequate, Tenable, and secure.
13		remains adequate, remaine, and secure.
	Q.	How have you analyzed the extent to which interconnection upgrades may
14	Q.	
14 15	Q. A.	How have you analyzed the extent to which interconnection upgrades may
14 15 16	-	How have you analyzed the extent to which interconnection upgrades may be needed for the SCCF?
14 15 16 17	-	How have you analyzed the extent to which interconnection upgrades may be needed for the SCCF? Typically, new generation interconnections, such as for the SCCF, are
14 15 16 17 18	-	How have you analyzed the extent to which interconnection upgrades may be needed for the SCCF? Typically, new generation interconnections, such as for the SCCF, are evaluated for both interconnection and deliverability simultaneously.
14 15 16 17 18 19	-	How have you analyzed the extent to which interconnection upgrades may be needed for the SCCF? Typically, new generation interconnections, such as for the SCCF, are evaluated for both interconnection and deliverability simultaneously. However, because Seminole is a TDU within the FRCC region, Seminole is
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	-	How have you analyzed the extent to which interconnection upgrades may be needed for the SCCF? Typically, new generation interconnections, such as for the SCCF, are evaluated for both interconnection and deliverability simultaneously. However, because Seminole is a TDU within the FRCC region, Seminole is required to submit separate Transmission Service Requests ("TSR") to DEF
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	-	How have you analyzed the extent to which interconnection upgrades may be needed for the SCCF? Typically, new generation interconnections, such as for the SCCF, are evaluated for both interconnection and deliverability simultaneously. However, because Seminole is a TDU within the FRCC region, Seminole is required to submit separate Transmission Service Requests ("TSR") to DEF and FPL after completion of the interconnection analyses, in accordance with
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	-	How have you analyzed the extent to which interconnection upgrades may be needed for the SCCF? Typically, new generation interconnections, such as for the SCCF, are evaluated for both interconnection and deliverability simultaneously. However, because Seminole is a TDU within the FRCC region, Seminole is required to submit separate Transmission Service Requests ("TSR") to DEF and FPL after completion of the interconnection analyses, in accordance with their respective OATTs, for the deliverability of the output from the SCCF to

1	to request a TSR from DEF and FPL on their respective Open Access Same
2	Time Information System ("OASIS"), via the designation of network resource
3	("DNR") process, Seminole is required to attest it either owns the resource, has
4	committed to purchase generation pursuant to an executed contract, or has
5	committed to purchase generation where execution of a contract is contingent
6	upon the availability of transmission service, in accordance with the FERC
7	pro-forma OATT. Thus, Seminole could not submit the TSRs in advance of
8	the interconnection process in order to obtain estimates of the costs for
9	delivery of the SCCF on DEF's or FPL's systems. Given this situation,
10	Seminole was limited to evaluating the SCCF interconnection for short circuit
11	and stability impacts, including limited steady-state load flow analysis across
12	Seminole's own transmission system emanating from the SGS Switchyard.
13	
13 14	In order to evaluate the deliverability of the SCCF with a complete steady-state
	In order to evaluate the deliverability of the SCCF with a complete steady-state load flow analysis, Seminole and the members of the FRCC Transmission
14	
14 15	load flow analysis, Seminole and the members of the FRCC Transmission
14 15 16	load flow analysis, Seminole and the members of the FRCC Transmission Technical Subcommittee ("TTS") in late 2016 agreed to perform a "quasi"
14 15 16 17	load flow analysis, Seminole and the members of the FRCC Transmission Technical Subcommittee ("TTS") in late 2016 agreed to perform a "quasi" study to evaluate the impacts of interconnection and deliverability
14 15 16 17 18	load flow analysis, Seminole and the members of the FRCC Transmission Technical Subcommittee ("TTS") in late 2016 agreed to perform a "quasi" study to evaluate the impacts of interconnection and deliverability simultaneously, with the recognition that deliverability would need to be
14 15 16 17 18 19	load flow analysis, Seminole and the members of the FRCC Transmission Technical Subcommittee ("TTS") in late 2016 agreed to perform a "quasi" study to evaluate the impacts of interconnection and deliverability simultaneously, with the recognition that deliverability would need to be studied again once TSRs were submitted after the completion of the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	load flow analysis, Seminole and the members of the FRCC Transmission Technical Subcommittee ("TTS") in late 2016 agreed to perform a "quasi" study to evaluate the impacts of interconnection and deliverability simultaneously, with the recognition that deliverability would need to be studied again once TSRs were submitted after the completion of the interconnection process. In order to model the deliverability of the SCCF, the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	load flow analysis, Seminole and the members of the FRCC Transmission Technical Subcommittee ("TTS") in late 2016 agreed to perform a "quasi" study to evaluate the impacts of interconnection and deliverability simultaneously, with the recognition that deliverability would need to be studied again once TSRs were submitted after the completion of the interconnection process. In order to model the deliverability of the SCCF, the power output was modeled as being delivered to the DEF control area for
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	load flow analysis, Seminole and the members of the FRCC Transmission Technical Subcommittee ("TTS") in late 2016 agreed to perform a "quasi" study to evaluate the impacts of interconnection and deliverability simultaneously, with the recognition that deliverability would need to be studied again once TSRs were submitted after the completion of the interconnection process. In order to model the deliverability of the SCCF, the power output was modeled as being delivered to the DEF control area for ultimate delivery to Seminole's Members' load in DEF's area. The "quasi"

1	As a result of Seminole's Board of Trustees decision of the most cost effective
2	and risk managed solution on September 27, 2017, which included the plan to
3	construct the SCCF and removal from service of one of the two existing coal
4	units at the existing SGS site, Seminole was able to work with the FRCC TTS
5	and SAS to perform an Energy Resource Interconnection Study ("ERIS
6	Study"). The ERIS Study included a short circuit review by the FRCC TTS
7	and a stability analysis review by the FRCC SAS. Seminole consulted with
8	Burns & McDonnell for the stability analysis portion of the ERIS Study for the
9	SCCF, including the removal from service of one of the two existing coal
10	units. The ERIS Study resulted in no short circuit impacts to Seminole or any
11	of the entities within the FRCC Region. The stability analysis portion of the
12	ERIS Study resulted in the need for the SCCF to have a tuned and
13	commissioned power system stabilizer, in addition to reduced total breaker
14	failure clearing times associated with breaker failure scenarios at the existing
15	SGS Switchyard. On November 6, 2017, the FRCC PC unanimously approved
16	the ERIS Study for the SCCF. On November 29, 2017, Seminole submitted
17	DNR requests to deliver the output of the SCCF into the DEF and FPL
18	balancing areas to serve Seminole Member load embedded within the two
19	respective areas.
20	

- Q. What transmission system improvements will be necessitated by the
 addition of the SCCF?
- A. Seminole's interconnection evaluation of the SCCF identified the required
 expansion of the existing Seminole Generating Station ("SGS") Switchyard,
 including the addition of ten (10) new 230 kV circuit breakers and associated

relay protection, and twenty (20) new circuit breaker disconnect switches. The 1 2 "quasi" deliverability steady-state load flow results identified the need for upgrade of seven facilities. 3 4 As stated above, the "quasi" FRCC deliverability study assumed that both SGS 5 Unit 1 and Unit 2 were at full output in addition to the SCCF. The aggregate 6 7 net nominal winter output of the two existing SGS units and the SCCF 8 emanating from the SGS Switchyard totaled approximately 2,379 MW. As 9 Seminole performed its economic analysis in light of the overall portfolio and 10 mix of resources, it was made known that the study assumptions would change 11 to include the removal from service of one existing SGS unit. The new 12 aggregate net nominal winter output including only one of the two existing SGS units and the SCCF totals 1,715 MW, a net nominal winter incremental 13 14 difference of 386 MW from the existing installed capacity. This change 15 significantly changes the amount of net site output at SGS such that, given 16 engineering judgment and the magnitude of overloads only three upgrades required to be evaluated further during the TSR process with FPL and DEF for 17 the evaluation of the delivery of the SCCF. 18 19

- /

Q. What are the projected costs of those transmission system improvements to facilitate the interconnection of the SCCF?

A. Seminole's cost estimates for the potential network upgrades needed on FPL's
 and DEF's transmission systems to facilitate delivery of the SCCF total
 approximately \$54 million. The projected costs for all Seminole facilities at
 the SGS Switchyard is approximately \$3.1 million. All preliminary cost

 using the best available information to Seminole, consistent with ho entities in the industry develop cost estimates for similar projects. 	ow other
3 entities in the industry develop cost estimates for similar projects.	
4	
5 Q. Have you analyzed the projected costs and impacts of the transm	mission
6 improvements that would be required for the various alternativ	es
7 considered during the RFP process?	
8 A. Seminole, as part of its RFP that was released to the public in March	h of 2016,
9 requested that respondents acquire NRIS status for all projects inter-	connected
10 to DEF and FPL. Given that understanding, all applicable response	s were
11 evaluated based upon transmission assumptions, including costs and	1 impacts
12 provided by each respondent as they worked through the NRIS proc	ess with
13 DEF and FPL. For those offers that were directly interconnecting to	o Seminole
14 transmission, Seminole followed the same process described above.	
15	
16 Q. Does this complete your testimony?	
17 A. Yes.	
18	
19	

1		BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ROBERT DEMELO
4		DOCKET NOEC
5		DECEMBER 21, 2017
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and address.
8	A.	My name is Robert DeMelo. My business address is 16313 North Dale Mabry
9		Highway, Tampa, Florida 33618.
10		
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12	A.	I am employed by Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Seminole") as
13		Manager of Transmission Planning and System Protection.
14		
15	Q.	Please describe your responsibilities in your current position.
16	A.	As Manager of Transmission Planning and System Protection, my
17		responsibilities encompass a range of transmission-related responsibilities,
18		including transmission planning for Seminole and its Members, transmission,
19		generation, and system protection NERC compliance, system protection and
20		controls for the Seminole transmission system, and transmission reliability for
21		Seminole's Member delivery points. I also serve as Seminole's representative
22		on multiple Florida Reliability Coordinating Council ("FRCC") standing
23		committees and subcommittees, including current Vice-Chair of the FRCC
24		Planning Committee.

261 ^P

1	Q.	Please state your education and background professional experience
2	А	I hold a bachelor's of science degree in Electrical Engineering from the
3		University of South Florida ("USF"). During my studies at USF, I received
4		top honors for my senior design which encompassed various facets of
5		transmission load flow studies. Since obtaining my degree in 2007, I have held
6		positions with increasing responsibility within Seminole's transmission
7		organization. I was promoted to Lead Transmission Planning Engineer in
8		2011 and to Supervisor of Transmission Planning in 2014. I assumed my
9		current role as Manager of Transmission Planning and System Protection in
10		July 2015. In February of 2016, I was awarded the Young Engineer of the
11		Year Award from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
12		("IEEE"), Florida West Coast Section.
13		
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
15	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to describe the process for determining the
16		transmission plan and associated costs for the interconnection of the
17		alternatives evaluated as part of Seminole's Request for Proposals ("RFP")
18		process.
19		
20	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits in the case?
21	A.	I am sponsoring Exhibit No (RD-1), which is a copy of my professional
22		resume. I also am sponsoring Sections 3.4 and 4.1.9 of the Need Study
23		(Exhibit No (MPW-2)), all of which were prepared by me or under my
24		supervision.
25		

1	Q.	How does Seminole transmit electric service to its Members?
2	A.	Seminole owns and operates approximately 127 circuit miles of 69 kV and 254
3		circuit miles of 230 kV transmission lines, via a total of nineteen (19) 230 kV
4		points of interconnection with six (6) neighboring entities. However,
5		Seminole's transmission facilities have limited direct interconnections with
6		Seminole's Members' load. Seminole is therefore primarily a transmission
7		dependent utility ("TDU") that relies mainly upon the transmission systems of
8		Duke Energy Florida ("DEF") and Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL")
9		for the delivery of Seminole's owned and/or contracted power supply
10		resources to Seminole's Members' load. Seminole is a Network Integration
11		Transmission Service ("NITS") customer of DEF and FPL under each of their
12		respective Open Access Transmission Tariffs ("OATT"). Approximately
13		76%, or 2,294 MW, (based on 2016-17 actual winter net firm peak demand) of
14		Seminole's Members' load is served by DEF's transmission system,
15		approximately 16%, or 483 MW, is served by FPL's transmission system, and
16		approximately 8%, or 241 MW, is served directly by Seminole's transmission
17		system.
18		
19	Q.	Please describe Seminole's transmission interconnection process.
20	A.	Seminole's transmission interconnection process is based on prudent utility
21		practice and is consistent with the reliability requirements and guidelines set
22		forth by the FRCC, the North American Reliability Corporation ("NERC"),
23		and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"). Seminole's
24		planning criteria is outlined in the FERC Form 715 filing that is updated
25		annually and submitted to the FERC. The transmission interconnection

1	process involves a System Impact Study that identifies potential impacts and
2	mitigation plans for addressing such impacts on Seminole's transmission
3	system as well as neighboring systems. The analysis is performed by
4	Seminole in coordination with the FRCC through the FRCC's Reliability
5	Evaluation Process for Generator and Transmission Service Requests.
6	
7	The System Impact Study incorporates the use of steady-state load flow, short
8	circuit, and stability analysis using industry standard tools and software
9	programs to ensure that Seminole's transmission system operates reliably over
10	a broad spectrum of system conditions and following a wide range of probable
11	planning and extreme events. In general, Seminole's transmission planning
12	process includes the single contingency loss of any transmission circuit,
13	transformer, bus section, shunt device, internal breaker fault, or generator.
14	Such analysis is performed for multiple load levels, including but not limited
15	to peak, off-peak, and high-import (Southern to Florida transfers) for select
16	summer and winter conditions as modeled and made available by the FRCC.
17	Additional analysis is performed to determine system response to credible, less
18	probable extreme events, to assure the system meets Seminole, FRCC, and
19	NERC transmission planning criteria. The additional analysis includes the loss
20	of multiple elements, including the loss of multiple transmission circuits,
21	transformers, generators, or the combination of each. Seminole utilizes
22	planned operational system adjustments, corrective action plans which can
23	include projects that require construction of new facilities or upgrades and load
24	loss if permissible to mitigate exceptions to transmission planning reliability
25	criteria.

1 2 Seminole's transmission planning process also includes the evaluation of multiple fault types at various locations, consistent with the criteria of FRCC 3 4 and NERC, to understand the magnitude of the resultant fault current that may be experienced by Seminole's interrupting devices and to ensure that such 5 magnitude is safely mitigated. Lastly, Seminole's transmission 6 7 interconnection process evaluates critical clearing time at multiple load levels 8 to ensure that the system is able to respond to planning and extreme events to 9 not compromise the existing transmission system and to ensure the system 10 remains adequate, reliable, and secure. 11 12 **Q**. Have you analyzed the projected costs and impacts of the transmission improvements that would be required for the various alternatives 13 considered during the RFP process? 14 15 A. As part of the RFP process, Seminole requested that respondents acquire NRIS 16 status for all projects interconnected to DEF and FPL. Given that understanding, all applicable responses were evaluated based upon 17 transmission assumptions, including costs and impacts provided by each 18 19 respondent as they worked through the NRIS process with DEF and FPL. For 20 those offers that were directly interconnecting to Seminole transmission, 21 Seminole followed the same process described above. 22 23 **O**. Does this complete your testimony?

24 A. Yes.

1	BY MR. PERKO:
2	Q Mr. DeMelo, have you prepared a summary of
3	your direct testimony?
4	A I have.
5	Q Would you please provide that to the
6	Commissioners at this time?
7	A Good afternoon, Chairman, Commissioners. And
8	thank you for this opportunity to provide my summary
9	testimony.
10	My name is Robert DeMelo. My education
11	includes a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical
12	Engineering from the University of South Florida, where
13	I received top honors for my senior design project that
14	encompassed various facets of transmission load flow
15	studies.
16	I was also recognized in 2016, and awarded
17	Engineer of the Year by Institute of Electrical and
18	Electric Engineers, or IEEE, specifically the Florida
19	west coast section.
20	I also currently serve on various Florida
21	reliability coordinating council and technical
22	subcommittees, and I currently serve as the Vice-Chair
23	of the FRCC Planning Committee, which is charged with
24	overseeing the regional transmission planning process,
25	which includes a review of generator interconnections
Dromier	Paparting (850) 804 0828 Paparted by: Dabbia

Γ

1 and transmission service requests.

I have been employed by Seminole since 2007, where I have held positions of increasing responsibility within Seminole's transmission organization, where I am currently the manager of Transmission Planning and System Protection.

7 My role at Seminole encompasses a range of 8 transmission related responsibilities, including 9 transmission and generator interconnections and 10 strategy, transmission reliability, transmission system 11 protection and control and compliance with NERC 12 reliability standards.

My role in Seminole's need determination to fulfill its identified need was to provide a review or input on the potential transmission impacts associated with each of the resource alternatives evaluated by Seminole as part of the RFPs, including the self-build options.

The review of the transmission associated with the Seminole combined cycle and the resource alternatives was pragmatic, and that the reviews were applicable -- where applicable were commensurate with the critical -- oh, with the criteria and methodologies utilized by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, the 1 FRCC and prudent industry practice.

The evaluation of the Seminole self-build option went through the FRCC energy resource intersection evaluation and was determined to be reliable, adequate and secure.

6 The cost estimates contained within the 7 economic evaluation were based upon potentially impacted 8 Florida Power & Light and Duke Energy Florida 9 transmission lines, including the costs required to 10 expands the existing Seminole Generating Station 11 switchyard.

12 Most of the resource alternatives evaluated as 13 part of Seminole's need and subsequent RFP included 14 resources interconnecting directly with either FPL or 15 DEF transmission to serve Seminole's native load within 16 the respective balancing areas. Therefore, the study results became available by FPL or DEF to the entities 17 18 responsible for the resource alternatives. Those 19 results were shared with Seminole to evaluate 20 reasonableness and any associated cost estimates and 21 schedules. 22 I specifically reviewed the cost estimates and

23 schedules of the Shady Hills Combined Cycle Facility 24 performed by DEF, and worked with Shady Hills to provide 25 feedback on both cost and schedule.

1 This concludes my summary testimony, and thank 2 you. 3 MR. PERKO: And we proffer the witness for 4 cross-examination. 5 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you. 6 Mr. Wright. 7 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. WRIGHT: 10 Good afternoon, Mr. DeMelo? 0 11 Good afternoon. Α 12 I just have not a whole lot of questions for Q 13 you. 14 You testified at page three of your testimony 15 that Seminole is a transmission dependent utility, 16 correct? 17 Α That's correct. 18 And you go on to provide a breakdown of the Q 19 percentages of Seminole's members' loads served by the 20 transmission systems of DEF, FPL and Seminole's own transmission facilities, correct? 21 22 Α That's correct. 23 Does Seminole currently rely on the Q 24 transmission systems of any other utilities to serve any 25 of its members' load?

1 Α We have a a very minor stake within Tampa 2 Electric's service territory. 3 0 And is that associated with a couple of 4 facilities that are located there? 5 Α It's regarding one delivery point that we have 6 associated with a mining facility. 7 Okay. And does that -- the answer to that Q 8 question address delivery to customers? 9 Α That's -- that's --10 0 As -- go ahead. 11 That's delivery to a delivery point that we Α 12 have on the Tampa Electric system. 13 0 Got it. 14 Do you also rely on Tampa Electric for 15 delivery of bulk power to Seminole for redelivery to its 16 delivery points at its member cooperatives? 17 Not that I am aware of. Α 18 Do you have contracts with Hillsborough County Q 19 for power purchase? 20 Α I believe that's correct. 21 Is that facility not interconnected to Tampa Q 22 Electric? 23 Α I believe that is correct. 24 So that power would have flow over Tampa 0 25 Electric's transmission system, wouldn't it, to get to Premier Reporting

1 y'all? 2 Α Yes. 3 Q Okay. How long have you been with the 4 company? 5 Α Since 2007. 6 0 So when you got there, were you aware that 7 Seminole Electric was purchasing power from the Osprey 8 Energy Center? 9 Α I was not. 10 Do you know anything about the Osprey Energy 0 11 Center? 12 Α My knowledge of the Osprey Energy Center, just 13 as of late, is due to the activity surrounding Duke and 14 its transmission acquisition across -- to basically flow 15 their energy across their system and to serve their 16 load. 17 Q Is there any inherent problem in receiving 18 power from a facility that's interconnected to Tampa 19 Electric for redelivery to Seminole's member co-ops? 20 Α Yeah, any time there is a resource that's not 21 within one of the areas that we are serving the majority 22 of our load, as was -- as was summarized in my written 23 testimony. Again, we have 76 percent of our load in 24 Duke, and we have another 16 percent in FPL, and the 25 remainder in our own direct service territory. So any Premier Reporting
1 time we are looking at a resource outside of those three 2 areas, we are having to look at possible risk associated 3 with transmission of getting those megawatts back to the 4 areas where we need to serve load. 5 Q And you don't normally just take care of that 6 by either -- somebody pays for the extra wheel? 7 Α Yeah, that somebody would be Seminole paying 8 for the wheel. 9 0 I am sorry? 10 That somebody would be Seminole paying for Α 11 that extra wheel. 12 Q Okay. You said something in a previous 13 response about increased transmission risk. Is it -- if 14 there is an extra wheel, is there extra reliability 15 risk? Is that what you meant to convey, or something 16 else? 17 Α I mean, the wheel itself obviously carries 18 costs associated with it, right? 19 0 Correct. 20 Α The additional risk would be is the transmission available in order to move the megawatts 21 22 into the areas that we need. 23 With a firm power purchase agreement, wouldn't Q 24 that be handled on the front end in terms of whether the supplier or Seminole is going to pay for the wheel? 25 (850) 894-0828 Premier Reporting

1 I am not 100 percent knowledgeable in Α 2 terminals of the contract negotiations regarding PPAs. 3 My -- my breadth of knowledge regarding firming of transmission associated with a PPA would be that that's 4 5 a condition typically precedent in the contracts, or 6 subsequent to the actual agreement taking place, where 7 Seminole is responsible for firming up the transmission, 8 either via a transmission service request or Duke or 9 Light, or whoever the party is to providing that 10 transmission service. 11 At page eight of your testimony, you testify 0 12 that the cost estimates for the potential network 13 upgrades needed on FPL's and DEF's transmission systems 14 to facilitate delivery of the SCCF total approximately 15 54 million, correct? 16 Α That's correct. 17 Q Is that a number that -- are those costs that 18 will be paid by Seminole? 19 Those are costs that will get rolled into the Α 20 transmission access charges of both FPL and Duke as 21 applicable. And then we pay that based on our load 22 ratio share in those respective areas. 23 I didn't guite understand how the 54 million Q 24 will get handled in terms of cost. You said rolled into 25 something, and I -- can you help me out there?

(850) 894-0828

1 So Seminole takes service from Duke and FPL. Α 2 0 Correct. 3 Α We take service and we pay a transmission 4 access charge. 5 Q Right. 6 Α So what ends up happening is those upgrades, 7 they upgrade them as part of their system, and they get 8 reflected in the transmission access charges; which 9 then, as we serve our load, as load goes up, we continue 10 to pay those access charges. 11 We are not directly -- it may be better to 12 answer your question, we are not directly assigned the 13 54 million. 14 Do the 54 million -- does the 0 Okay. 15 54 million get rolled into the rates that are charged by FPL and Duke to Seminole and other transmission 16 17 customers? 18 Α That's correct. 19 Mr. Kezell deferred a question to you, Okay. 0 20 which was this: Do you -- can you tell us approximately 21 what percentage transmission costs represent of 22 Seminole's total bulk power supply costs billed to its 23 member cooperatives? 24 Yeah, I do not know that answer. Α 25 Got any advice for me as to who might? 0 Okay.

1	A	I am not sure anyone in this room could.
2	Q	Okay.
3	A	That's a whole different part of the
4	organiza	ation.
5	Q	Thank you.
6		Thanks very much. That's all I have.
7		MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
8		CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Staff.
9		MS. DZIECHCIARZ: Staff has no questions.
10	Tha	ank you.
11		CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioners.
12		Redirect.
13		MR. PERKO: No redirect, Your Honor.
14		CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Exhibits.
15		MR. PERKO: Yes. Exhibits 13, 37 that's
16	the	e only exhibits that I have, and I have sections
17	of	the need study.
18		CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Seeing no objections, we
19	wil	l enter that those into the record.
20		(Whereupon, Exhibit Nos. 13 & 37 were received
21	into evi	dence.)
22		MR. PERKO: And also sections 3.4 and 4.19 of
23	the	e need study, which has been entered into as
24	Exł	nibit 3, and I believe
25		CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: 29.
	Poporting	(850) 804 0828 Papartod by: Dabbia Kri

1 MR. PERKO: -- 29. 2 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: We will enter that into the 3 record as well. 4 Would you like this witness excused? 5 MR. PERKO: Yes, please. 6 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. DeMelo, thank you for 7 coming out. Travel safe. 8 (Witness excused.) 9 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Your next witness. 10 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. PERKO: 11 Seminole calls Mr. Kyle Wood. 12 Whereupon, 13 KYLE WOOD 14 was called as a witness, having been previously duly 15 sworn to speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing 16 but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 17 EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. PERKO: 19 Could you please state your name for the 0 20 record? Could you turn your mic on, please? 21 Kyle Douglas Wood. Α 22 Mr. Wood, have you been sworn today? Q 23 Yes, I have. Α 24 Mr. Wood, who is your current employer, and 0 what is your current business address? 25

1 Α Seminole Electric Cooperative. The address is 2 16313 North Dale Mabry Highway, Tampa, Florida. ZIP 3 Code 33618. 4 And, Mr. Wood, did you cause to be filed on 0 5 December 21st, 2017, direct testimony consisting of 19 6 pages in Docket Number 2017026-EC, and also prefiled 7 direct testimony in -- consisting of 19 pages in Docket 8 Number 20170267-EC? 9 Α Yes, I did. 10 Do you have any corrections or changes to your 0 11 prefiled direct testimony? 12 Α I do not. 13 And, Mr. Wood, I believe -- actually, there Q 14 was an errata sheet entered into the record? 15 Yes, sir, there was. Α 16 MR. PERKO: If I could just have 30 seconds, 17 Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Sure. We have the errata in 19 front of us. 20 MR. PERKO: Mr. Chairman, I don't, and I 21 apologize. Could I have get 30 seconds to get a 22 copy of that? 23 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Sure. Of course, my 24 question is, only 19 pages of direct testimony and 25 three pages of errata?

1 MR. PERKO: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. 2 BY MR. PERKO: 3 0 Mr. Wood, just to clarify, we've called it 4 errata that was a deposition exhibit to -- a late-filed 5 deposition exhibit. Are you familiar with that 6 document? 7 Α T am. And that includes changes to your direct as 8 Q 9 well as rebuttal testimony? 10 Α Yes, sir. 11 And if I were to ask you the questions in your 0 12 direct testimony with the changes indicated on that 13 errata sheet at pages -- page 10, lines 24, would your 14 answers be the same? 15 Yes, they would. Α 16 Okay. Did you also attach one exhibit to your Q 17 prefiled direct testimony in both dockets? 18 I did. Α 19 And your -- your errata sheet -- I am sorry, 0 20 do you have any changes to that exhibit? 21 Α I do, the errata sheet. 22 The Exhibit 1 to your testimony, which I Q 23 believe is your resume? 24 No. No changes to that. Α 25 Now, you also sponsored -- you are also 0 Okay.

1	sponsoring Sections 5.2 and Section 7 of the need study,
2	which we've referred to as Exhibit No. MPW-2, and has
3	been marked for this hearing Exhibits 3 and 29; is that
4	correct?
5	A Yes.
б	Q And does your errata indicate specific
7	sections of that need study where you are that you
8	are sponsoring that need to be changed?
9	A Yes, sir. Table 14 and Table 15.
10	Q Okay.
11	MR. PERKO: At this time, Your Honor, I would
12	ask that Mr. Wood's prefiled direct testimony in
13	both dockets be inserted into the record as though
14	read.
15	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: We will insert Mr. Wood
16	prefiled direct testimony in both dockets into the
17	record, including the errata, as though read.
18	(Whereupon, prefiled direct testimony was
19	inserted.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ERRATA FOR

KYLE D. WOOD

Direct Testimony Filed in Docket Nos. 20170266-EC and 20170267-EC on December 21, 2017

Page	Line(s)	Correction
10	24	Change "14,655MWh" to "14,601 MWh"
10	24	Change "16,470 MWh" to "16,437 MWh"

Rebuttal Testimony Filed in Docket Nos. 20170266-EC and 20170267-EC on February 19, 2018

Page	Line(s)	Correction
5	15	Change "biannually" to "biennially"

In Exhibit No. __ (KDW-5) to Rebuttal Testimony Filed in Docket Nos. 20170266-EC and 20170267-EC on February 19, 2018, the reference in the footnote to "January 201" should be changed to "January 2018"

EXHIBIT NO. ___ (MPW-2) SEMINOLE NEED STUDY Filed in Docket Nos. 20170266-EC & 20170267-EC

Section	Page	Sponsor	Correction
5.2.4	46 of 153	Wood	Change Table 14 to attached revised Table 14
5.2.4	47 of 153	Wood	Change Table 15 to attached revised Table 15

1		BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		SEMINOLE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KYLE D. WOOD
4		DOCKET NO
5		DECEMBER 21, 2017
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and address.
8	A.	My name is Kyle D. Wood. My business address is 16313 North Dale Mabry
9		Highway, Tampa, Florida 33618.
10		
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12	A.	I am employed by Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Seminole") as
13		Manager of Load Forecasting and Member Analytics.
14		
15	Q.	Please describe your responsibilities in your current position.
16	A.	My primary responsibilities are to develop long-term load forecasts of electric
17		demand and energy for Seminole and its Members. I also provide analytical
18		support for the Energy Efficiency Working Group.
19		
20	Q.	Please state your professional experience and education background
21	А	I have been working as a load forecasting analyst with Seminole since 2012
22		and have held a supervisory role at the company since 2015. Prior to working
23		at Seminole, I was employed as an economic analyst at Dieter Consulting
24		Group since 2008.

1		I graduated from the University of South Florida with a Bachelors of Arts in
2		International Business and a Masters of Arts in Economics.
3		
4	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
5	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to describe Seminole's load forecasting
6		methodology, present and discuss the results of Seminole's most recent long
7		term load forecast, and discuss Seminole's and our Members' demand-side
8		management (DSM), energy efficiency and conservation efforts and
9		achievements.
10		
11	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits in the case?
12	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit No (KDW-1), which is a copy my current
13		professional resumé. I also am sponsoring Sections 5.2 and 7 of the Need
14		Study (Exhibit No (MPW-2)), all of which were prepared by me or under
15		my supervision.
16		
17		LOAD FORECAST
18		
19	Q.	Please describe the existing service territory of Seminole's Members.
20		The Members' service area is primarily rural and extends into 42 of Florida's
21		67 counties. Seminole's Members provide electricity to over 763,000 member-
22		consumers, serving a population of approximately 1.6 million people and
23		businesses. This service territory encompasses a variety of geographic and
24		weather conditions as well as a diverse mix of economic activity and
25		demographic characteristics.

1	
2	The Member service area in northwestern Florida covers a portion of the
3	panhandle east of the Apalachicola River, parts of the Gulf Coast, and an area
4	below the Florida-Georgia border. Over the past ten-years, average annual
5	residential member-consumer growth in this region is nearly zero. Several
6	factors attribute to the low growth including decreasing natural population,
7	low-performing school systems, lack of employment opportunities, and low
8	occupational wages. A portion of member-consumers also reside in the rural
9	service area where the cost of living is low, but commute to other counties or
10	cities outside the service territory where occupational wages are relatively
11	higher. The Members in this region are Central Florida Electric Cooperative,
12	Inc., Suwannee Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc., Talquin Electric
13	Cooperative, Inc., and Tri-County Electric Cooperative, Inc.
14	
15	The Member service territory extending from north-central Florida to the
16	northern outskirts of Tampa includes some of the largest electric cooperatives
17	in the Unites States. Growth is strongest in these areas, due to the proximity to
18	expanding metropolitan centers including Jacksonville and Tampa. One
19	expanding development in this region in particular, The Villages, has attracted
20	strong growth over the last ten years despite the economic recession. In 2016,
21	over 75% of Seminole-system load was delivered to this region. The Members
22	in this region are Clay Electric Cooperative, Inc., SECO Energy, and
23	Withlacoochee River Electric Cooperative, Inc.
24	

1		The southern region of Member service territory includes areas around and east
2		of the Sarasota-Manatee-Bradenton metropolitan area down to Lake
3		Okeechobee and the Everglades. The expanding Sarasota metro area has
4		provided a source of new residential development. Residential member-
5		consumer growth in this area has been above 2% in each of the past four years.
6		The area around Lake Okeechobee and the Everglades has enjoyed far less
7		growth however, adding positive gains to the annual residential member-
8		consumer count for only 5 of the past 10 years. The Members in this region are
9		Glades Electric Cooperative, Inc., and Peace River Electric Cooperative, Inc.
10		
11	Q.	Please describe the existing consumer base of Seminole's Members.
12	A.	The Members' end-use member-consumer mix is approximately 89%
13		residential, 10% commercial/industrial and 1% "other". Residential member-
14		consumers represent approximately 68% of total energy sales, with
15		commercial/industrial sales representing 31%, and "other" representing 1%.
16		The commercial sector is primarily small to medium sized retail businesses,
17		while the industrial sector is primarily manufacturing, mining and forestry.
18		"Other" consists of irrigation, street and highway lighting, public buildings,
19		and sales for resale.
20		
21		
22	Q.	What have been Seminole's recent energy sales and peak demands?
23	A.	In 2016, Seminole's net energy for load was approximately 14,471 GWh.
24		From 2014 through 2016, average annual growth in net energy for load was
25		approximately 2.2%. Net firm demand has averaged approximately 3,300 MW

1		in the past three winter seasons and 3,100 MW in the past three summer
2		seasons. Prior to 2014, Seminole Electric Cooperative was a ten-Member
3		system, which included Lee County Electric Cooperative.
4		
5	Q.	How does Seminole's consumer and load growth compare to the State of
6		Florida as a whole.
7	A.	Historically, member-consumer growth rates in Seminole's nine-Member
8		system have exceeded growth rates in the State of Florida as a whole.
9		According to the Florida Office of Economic and Demographic Research
10		("EDR"), Florida's population grew approximately 1.0% annually on average
11		from 2007 through 2016. During the same ten-year period, the FRCC Load and
12		Resource Plan shows statewide electric-utility residential customer growth
13		averaged approximately 0.6% annually, while residential member-consumer
14		growth in Seminole's nine-Member service area averaged approximately 0.9%
15		annually. In the ten year forecast horizon from 2017 through 2026, Florida's
16		annual population growth is projected to average approximately 1.4%, while
17		residential consumer growth statewide and in the Seminole service area is
18		projected to average approximately 1.4% and 1.5%, respectively.
19		
20		The Florida Economic Overview published by the EDR on July 28, 2017
21		provides context for the current pace of economic growth in Florida compared
22		to the Seminole-system. According to the report, employment growth from
23		March 2007 to March 2016 statewide was 2.6%; only 16 of Florida's 67
24		counties enjoyed growth equal to or greater than 7.1%. Four of these fast-
25		growing counties, Clay, Pasco, Sumter and Lake, contained over half of the

1		residential membership of Seminole's three largest Cooperatives as of March
2		2017. Employment in Sumter County set the highest rate of growth, topping at
3		30.3%. Commercial end-use sales in the nine-Member Seminole-system have
4		grown at an average annual rate of approximately 1.5% in the past ten years
5		and approximately 3.1% in the past five years. EDR expects employment and
6		income to continue on a favorable growth path as statewide population growth
7		strengthens. Seminole projects commercial end-use sales to grow at an average
8		rate of approximately 1.7% annually through the ten-year forecast horizon.
9		
10	Q.	Please summarize Seminole's load forecast methodology.
11	A.	Seminole adheres to generally accepted methodology currently employed
12		within the electric utility industry to forecast number of consumers, energy and
13		peak demand. Each Member Cooperative is modeled separately, since each
14		service area exhibits unique growth and geographical characteristics. Seminole
15		produces monthly forecasts for each Member system. If rate classification data
16		is available, class level forecasts are developed and reconciled to match
17		Member-total level forecasts. Seminole's system forecast is the aggregate of
18		Member system forecasts. Model assumptions are collected from Members,
19		government agencies, universities, and other third party providers.
20		
21	Q.	How does Seminole forecast consumer growth?
22		Seminole forecasts monthly member-consumer growth at Member-total and
23		Member-rate class levels using econometric models. Model training data
24		includes historical number of member-consumers and population estimates for
25		counties served by Members. Future consumer growth projections are based

1		primarily on population forecasts from University of Florida's Bureau of
2		Economic and Business Research (UF BEBR). Population forecasts and other
3		explanatory variables such as number of households, housing stock and
4		employment from Moody's Economic and Consumer Credit Analytics
5		(Moody's) are implemented in consumer models sparingly. Territorial
6		agreements and information provided directly from Member representatives
7		regarding anticipated changes in service territories are incorporated into
8		forecasts, as well.
9		
10	Q.	How does Seminole forecast energy sales?
11	A.	Seminole forecasts monthly energy sales at the Member-total and Member-rate
12		class level with econometric models. Delivery point billing load and Member
13		rate class sales to end-use member-consumers grossed up for distribution
14		losses are trained with a variety of explanatory variables in order to estimate
15		future growth. Explanatory variables include:
16		• Weather statistics for temperature, precipitation and degree days.
17		• Economic and demographic indicators such as population, number
18		of households, housing stock, employment, gross product, income and
19		Seminole's wholesale price.
20		• Energy intensity statistics for heating, cooling and non-weather
21		sensitive (base) end-use appliance saturation and efficiency rates.
22		These data are based on the 2016 Member Residential Appliance
23		Saturation Survey and the Energy Information Administration's
24		Annual Energy Outlook, which Seminole collects from Itron's
25		statistically adjusted end-use spreadsheets.

1 2 Historical reductions due to energy efficiency and behind-the meter solar generation are reflected in model training data and are implied in load 3 4 forecasts. Future expectations of additional behind-the-meter solar adoption are forecasted separately and are netted from energy sales forecasts. 5 6 7 Q. How does Seminole forecast peak demands? 8 A. Maximum demand by Member by month and by season are modeled using 9 econometric models. Seasonal peak models are designed to predict winter and 10 summer peaks based on a range of months where the highest peaks are 11 expected to occur in each season. Winter seasonal peak models regress the 12 highest peak during November through March of each year against 13 contemporaneous explanatory variables. Summer seasonal peak models regress 14 the highest peak from April through September of each year against 15 contemporaneous explanatory variables. Seasonal peak forecasts replace 16 monthly model forecast results for the month each seasonal peak is most likely to occur. Explanatory variables analyzed in monthly and seasonal demand 17 models include: 18 Weather statistics for temperature, precipitation, humidity and degree 19 20 days. Economic and demographic indicators such as population, number 21 22 of households, housing stock, employment, income and Seminole's wholesale price. 23 **Energy intensity statistics** for heating, cooling and non-weather 24 sensitive (base) end-use appliance saturation and efficiency rates. These 25

1		data are based on the 2016 Member Residential Appliance Saturation
2		Survey and the Energy Information Administration's Annual Energy
3		Outlook, which Seminole collects from Itron's statistically adjusted
4		end-use spreadsheets.
5		• Load factor is modeled by month and by season based on temperature
6		statistics.
7		
8		Seminole's maximum demand is the aggregate of the one-hour simultaneous
9		demands of all Members that maximizes the peak of the system by month.
10		Forecasts of Seminole maximum demand are derived by applying coincident
11		factors to Member-maximum demand forecasts. Member demand coincident
12		with Seminole represents Seminole's planning capacity.
13		
14		Historical reductions due to demand-side-management and behind-the meter
15		solar generation are reflected in historical load data and are implied in load
16		forecasts. Future expectations of additional behind-the-meter solar adoption are
17		forecasted separately and are netted from peak demand forecasts.
18		
19	Q.	Please summarize the key assumptions used in the load forecast
20	А.	Seminole Members serve electricity to primarily-rural areas within 42 counties
21		in the north, central, and south regions of Florida, which differ uniquely in
22		geography, weather, and natural resources. Population growth in Seminole's
23		territory is sensitive to national economic and demographic factors that
24		influence population migration from other states and metropolitan areas within
25		Florida.

1		
2		The strongest rates of member-consumer growth in Seminole's forecast
3		horizon are expected to occur within the next five years. Net migration into
4		Florida and economic expansion are expected to drive system growth during
5		this period. Over the next ten years, we expect nearly flat to negative growth in
6		average usage per member-consumer as newer, more efficient technologies
7		saturate the appliance stock.
8		
9	Q.	Please describe Seminole's current consumer, energy, and seasonal peak
10		demand forecast.
11	A.	From 2018 through 2027, Seminole projects the total number of residential and
12		commercial member-consumers served by Members to grow at an average
13		annual rate of approximately 1.4% and 1.3%, respectively.
14		
15		Residential usage-per-member-consumer has grown approximately 1.1%
16		annually on average from 2012 through 2016, yet this trend is expected to
17		reverse and decline at an average rate of approximately -0.5% annually
18		through 2022 and flatten thereafter. Similarly, commercial use-per-member-
19		consumer has grown at an average annual rate of approximately 1.2% from
20		2012 through 2016; however this trend is expected to slow to approximately
21		0.4% through the next ten years.
22		
23		Overall, net energy for load is projected to grow at an average annual rate of
24		approximately 1.3%, from 14,655 MWh in 2018 to 16,470 MWh in 2027.
25		Similarly, summer net firm demand is projected to grow at an average annual

1		rate of approximately 1.3%, from 3,140 MW in 2018 to 3,516 MW in 2027.						
2		Winter net firm demand is projected to grow at an average annual rate of						
3		approximately 1.6%, from 3,398 MW in 2018 to 3,909 MW in 2027.						
4								
5	Q.	How does Seminole's current load forecast compare to its prior forecasts						
6		in recent years?						
7	A.	The current load forecast is lower than prior forecasts recently produced in						
8		TYSP filings. Updates to the latest load model input data and assumptions are						
9		listed below:						
10		• End-use appliance intensities were updated to reflect data from the						
11		2016 Annual Energy Outlook (AEO) from the U.S. Energy Information						
12		Administration. The 2016 AEO shows stronger declines in end-use						
13		intensities due to higher saturation of newer, more-efficient appliance						
14		stock.						
15		• Historical saturation rates of end-use appliances were updated to						
16		include results from the 2016 Member Residential Appliance						
17		Saturation Survey. The prior survey was conducted in 2012.						
18		• Population and related housing growth data were updated to include the						
19		University of Florida's Bureau of Business and Economic Research						
20		(BEBR) and Moody's Analytics April 2017 productions. Growth						
21		expectations from these sources are generally lower than the forecasts						
22		produced a year before.						
23		• Photovoltaic energy output and output at the time of peak demand from						
24		new behind-the-meter installations were derived in order to reduce						
25		Seminole's expected load requirements in the future. The behind-the-						

1		meter solar forecast is a new component to the load study that has not
2		been included in prior forecasts.
3		
4	Q.	Is Seminole's current load forecast reasonable for planning purposes?
5	A.	Yes. The load forecast is based on generally accepted methodology currently
6		employed within the electric utility industry. Explanatory variable assumptions
7		provided by third parties are reasonable and weather data used to project load
8		is normalized from 30-years of observations. Seminole, its Members, and the
9		Rural Utilities Service (RUS) have consistently relied on Seminole's forecasts
10		as the basis for power supply planning, rate development, and financial
11		planning.
12		
13	Q.	Does the RUS approve Seminole's load forecasts?
14	A.	Yes. Consistent with RUS rules, Seminole is required to submit a load forecast
15		in conjunction with a new RUS loan application within 24 months of the
16		application. Nevertheless, Seminole submits a load forecast annually to the
17		RUS for approval. The most recent load forecast study was approved by RUS
18		in October 2017.
19		
20	Q.	Does Seminole's load forecast reflect the effects of DSM and conservation
21		programs offered by Seminole's Members?
22	A.	Yes. The historical load data utilized in econometric analysis is net of the
23		effects of DSM, energy efficiency and conservation programs, with the
24		exception of behind-the-meter diesel generation.
25		

1		DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT & CONSERVATION
2		
3	Q.	Does Seminole offer any DSM or conservation programs to end-use
4		consumers?
5	A.	No. As a Generation and Transmission cooperative, Seminole provides
6		wholesale power to its Members and does not serve end-use member-
7		consumers.
8		
9	Q.	Does Seminole promote the use of DSM or conservation to its Members in
10		other ways?
11	A.	Yes. Seminole's wholesale rate structure provides Members with price signals
12		that reflect Seminole's cost of supplying power in aggregate. Under this rate
13		structure, Seminole's demand charge to each of its Members is applied to each
14		Member's demand at the time of Seminole's peak. This encourages Members
15		to concentrate their load-management efforts on controlling Seminole's overall
16		system peak rather than their separate peaks. In addition, Seminole's
17		wholesale rate to its Members include time-of-use fuel charges to reflect the
18		differences in fuel costs incurred by Seminole to serve its Members during the
19		peak and off-peak periods. Each Member may use these price signals to
20		evaluate the cost effectiveness of DSM, energy efficiency and conservation
21		measures for its own circumstances. To ensure Members have the opportunity
22		to achieve maximum load-management benefit, Seminole's system operators
23		develop and implement a coordinated load management demand reduction
24		strategy in real time to notify Members when Seminole's monthly billing peak
25		is expected to occur.

1		
2		Seminole also assists its members in evaluating and implementing DSM
3		measures. In 2008, Seminole and its Members jointly formed an Energy
4		Efficiency Working Group to coordinate and further-enhance energy
5		conservation and efficiency initiatives. The function of this group is to promote
6		conservation, efficiency and DSM programs through the sharing of
7		information, consumer education, and joint assessment of energy efficiency
8		technologies. In addition to participating in the Working Group, Seminole has
9		sponsored its own conservation and efficiency initiatives, which include giving
10		light emitting diode ("LED") light bulbs to member-consumers during Member
11		meetings and administering an LED light bulb bulk purchase program for
12		Members. Seminole also provides Members with materials that can be
13		distributed to end-use member-consumers including educational brochures,
14		manufactured housing weatherization brochures, videos on energy efficiency
15		home auditing, and a video on Cooperative Solar. Seminole remains active in
16		upgrading utility system efficiency at administration and generation facilities.
17		
18	Q.	Do any of Seminole's Members have Commission-approved DSM or
19		conservation programs?
20	A.	No. The provisions of Florida's Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act
21		("FEECA") related to numeric conservation goals only apply to investor-
22		owned utilities and certain municipal utilities. Thus, neither Seminole nor its
23		Members have Commission approved numeric conservation goals, DSM
24		programs, or DSM plans.
25		

1	Q.	Do Seminole's Members nonetheless offer DSM programs?				
2	A.	Yes. Members participate in Seminole's coordinated load management-				
3		demand reduction strategy during peak-demand billing events through				
4		distribution system voltage reduction ("VR") and coincident peak power rate				
5		programs. Seminole's Members also offer a variety of programs and services to				
6		end-use member-consumers in order to promote energy efficiency,				
7		conservation and cost savings. Member DSM, energy efficiency and				
8		conservation programs include:				
9	•	Distribution System Voltage Reduction (VR): Coordinated load				
10		management-demand reduction program where Member system operators				
11		lower voltage during critical peak billing periods, within allowable thresholds,				
12		on distribution feeders to reduce demand behind end-use meters during critical				
13		peak billing periods.				
14	٠	Commercial Coincident Peak Power (CPP) Rates: Coordinated load				
15		management-demand reduction program where enrolled commercial and				
16		industrial member-consumers are signaled to shed load during critical peak				
17		billing periods.				
18	٠	Commercial Interruptible Rates: Direct load control program where				
19		Seminole or the Members interrupt electrical service to enrolled member-				
20		consumers during extreme peak demand, capacity shortage or emergency				
21		conditions.				
22	•	Commercial Customer Load Generation Program: Standby peak-shaving				
23		generators which Seminole and its Members may dispatch for purpose of load				
24		management and enhanced reliability. Members with standby generators under				
25		this program receive a billing credit.				

1	•	Time-of-Use (TOU) Rates: Residential, commercial, or industrial rates that							
2		encourage member-consumers to use power during off-peak hours when prices							
3		are relatively less expensive.							
4	٠	Residential Pre-Pay: Residential member-consumers pre-pay for their							
5		electricity and receive enhanced feedback on their energy use and costs. The							
6		increased energy awareness that this program provides results in behavioral							
7		changes that produce energy savings.							
8	•	LED/CFL Efficient Bulb Giveaway: This program provides participating							
9		end-use member-consumers with free energy-efficient 10 Watt (W) LED or							
10		13W compact fluorescent light ("CFL") bulbs to replace their existing 60W							
11		incandescent bulbs.							
12	•	LED Outdoor and Street Lighting: Replacement of Member-owned outdoor							
13		and street lighting with lower wattage LEDs.							
14	•	Energy Smart Rebates: A rebate is given to residential member-consumers to							
15		upgrade to more efficient equipment and/or improve the building envelope.							
16		Rebate opportunities include: air conditioners and heat pumps, heat pump							
17		water heaters, solar water heaters, insulation – batt or spray foam – and							
18		window film.							
19	•	Energy Audits: On-site energy audit program for residential, commercial and							
20		industrial member-consumers.							
21									
22	Q.	Have the peak demand and energy savings achieved by Seminole's							
23		Members been quantified?							

A.	Yes. In 2016, Seminole engaged Advanced Energy and Tierra Resource						
	Consultants, LLC (AE/Tierra), an energy and natural resource consulting firm,						
	to help quantify the energy efficiency and DSM savings achieved by						
	Seminole's Members. As discussed in the pre-filed testimony of Tom Hines,						
	AE/Tierra estimated that Seminole's Members achieved approximately 12,353						
	MWh in annual savings and approximately 85,026 kW (or 85 MW) in winter						
	peak demand savings in year 2015.						
Q.	Has Seminole evaluated whether there are additional conservation						
	measures that may be reasonably available to Seminole's Members?						
A.	Yes. In order to help Seminole evaluate potentially available DSM measures to						
	mitigate the projected need, Seminole also engaged AE/Tierra to identify						
	potential new programs and to evaluate their cost-effectiveness. None of the						
	additional measures evaluated by AE/Tierra satisfied the Rate Impact Measure						
	(RIM) test traditionally relied upon by the Commission in evaluating the cost-						
	effectiveness of DSM measures. A copy of AE/Tierra's report is attached to						
	Mr. Hines' pre-filed testimony.						
Q.	How will Seminole and its Members utilize the results of the DSM						
	potential study?						
A.	Even though none of the measures analyzed by AE/Tierra passed the RIM						
	Test, Seminole is working with Members to evaluate pilot programs. One of						
	the measures of particular interest to Seminole and its Members are Smart						
	Thermostat Incentives. According to estimates from the 2016 Member						
	Residential Appliance Saturation Survey, there are approximately 24,000						
	Q. A.						

8		reasonably available to Seminole or its Members to mitigate the need for
7	Q.	In your opinion, are there sufficient DSM or conservation measures
6		
5		appropriate.
4		enhancing current efforts and adding new measures to existing programs when
3		by AE/Tierra to help improve program tracking and increase future savings by
2		committed to working with its Members to implement recommendations made
1		Smart Thermostats already installed in member households. Seminole also is

the Seminole Combined Cycle Facility (SCCF)?

10 A. No. As noted above, none of the potential DSM measures analyzed by 11 AE/Tierra passed the RIM test traditionally utilized by the Commission for 12 analyzing the cost-effectiveness of DSM measures. Despite the demand 13 reductions associated with Seminole's Members' existing DSM programs, 14 which are reflected in Seminole's load forecast, the need for additional 15 capacity still exists and there is not a reasonable scenario in which sufficient 16 DSM or energy efficiency or conservation could be added to avoid the need for additional capacity. 17

18

9

Seminole is projected to require more than 901 MW of additional capacity by
20 2021 to meet peak demand and maintain the reserve margin. To put this in
perspective, in Order No. PSC-14-0696-FOF-EU, the Commission established
DSM goals for the utilities subject to FEECA. Based on those goals, the
largest electric utility in the State of Florida, Florida Power & Light, is to
achieve Commission-approved DSM goals of approximately 526 MW in
summer demand reduction and 324 MW in winter demand reduction, over the

1		course of a ten-year period from 2015 through 2024. As an additional point of
2		comparison, TECO, which is comparable in size to Seminole in terms of
3		consumers and annual peak demand, is expected to achieve Commission-
4		approved DSM Goals of approximately 56 MW in summer demand reduction
5		and 78 MW in winter demand reduction, over the course of the same ten-year
6		period. Based on these Commission-approved DSM goals, even large,
7		vertically integrated utilities comparable to and larger than Seminole's size
8		with centralized staff and resources to offer DSM programs directly to their
9		customers cannot cost-effectively achieve 901 MW peak demand reductions
10		through DSM and conservation programs over the course of the next four
11		years.
12		
13	Q.	Does this complete your testimony?

14 A. Yes.

1		(Transcript	continues	in	sequence	in	Volume
2	3.)						
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
б	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 26th day of March, 2018.
19	
20	A LINE /
21	Debbri R Krici
22	DEBRA R. KRICK
23	NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION #GG015952
24	EXPIRES JULY 27, 2020
25	