COUNTRY WALK UTILITIES, INC.

April 19, 2018

FILED 4/19/2018 DOCUMENT NO. 03055-2018 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

Office of Commission Clerk Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399

Re: Docket No. 20180021-WU - Application of Country Walk Utilities, Inc. for Staff Assisted Rate Case in Highlands County – Third Supplemental Response to Staff's First Data Request No. 13

Dear Commission Clerk,

Please find attached Country Walk Utilities, Inc.'s (Country Walk) third supplemental response to Staff's First Data Request No. 13.

13. A list of all service complaints received during the test year and four years prior to the test year. Please include an explanation of how each complaint was resolved.

Response: See attached.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (727) 848-8292, ext. 245.

Respectfully Submitted,

Troy Rendell Vice President

Investor Owned Utilities

// for Country Walk Utilities, Inc.

Troy Rendell

From: Troy Rendell

Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 8:41 AM To: 'Arthur Ballard'; 'Paul Brand'

Cc: 'Tom Moran'; 'Vic Budd'; 'Linda Burkell'; 'barry'; 'Cookie Knox'; 'Sue Sylvester'

Subject: RE: Re: Country walk water quality

Good morning,

I respectfully disagree that the sulfides are not being removed. I've attached a test result taken on 09/06/17 which shows that the sulfide levels post treatment were "not detectable" which indicates that the new treatment system is removing the naturally occurring sulfides in the water as designed. Forced draft aeration with pH adjustments have proven to remove up to 90% of total sulfides. However, as previously explained at the HOA meetings, the sulfide issue has existed for numerous years, prior to the acquisition of the utility by Country Walk. It was previously explained that the previous owner also had issues with FDEP. Prior to the recent installation of the aeration treatment, the sulfides were previously oxidized utilizing higher levels of free chlorine. However, this caused exceedances in the disinfection byproducts (DBP) in the system. In order to address the water quality concerns and maintain the minimum chlorine residual in the distribution system, Country Walk utilized flushing of the distribution systems to maintain water quality. Due to the naturally occurring high sulfide content in the wells, the water had to be circulated in the distribution system to maintain the proper chlorine residual as required by FDEP. If the water is allowed to sit stagnant for any length of time, the residual hydrogen sulfide starts reforming and it exhibits a chlorine demand causing the residual to be reduced and ending with "rotten egg" smelly water and chlorine residuals lower than state requirements placing the utility in violation of Rule 62-555, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Again, this was **prior to** the installation of the new aeration treatment system.

Flushing is recognized as a normal maintenance practice of utilities to address water quality concerns throughout distribution systems in the United States. This is also recognized by the Florida Department of Protection (FDEP) as a common utility practice to address distribution system maintenance. Flushing is the most common and cost effective method of mitigation for this phenomenon. It is accomplished by flushing of the distribution system through blow-offs at dead ends or from flushing hydrants. In addition to regular flushing, upon complaints from specific areas, Country Walk institutes some emergency flushing that can provide immediate relief. Although flushing is the most immediate response to these issues, it only scours the build-up of naturally occurring minerals in the distribution system and did not provide a solution to the source of supply.

The residual hydrogen sulfides in the water distribution lines caused bacteria to begin feeding on the residuals. This interaction of the bacteria with the residual hydrogen sulfides also increased the chlorine demand in the water. In order to address both the rotten egg smell and the reduction in chlorine in the lines, the utility was forced to increase its flushing. This situation is exacerbated by the seasonality of the customer base. During the summer months, the Country Walk experiences a low customer population and low usage throughout the distribution system.

Thus, as previously explained, although the sulfides are now being removed at the source (treatment plant), there may still be residuals throughout the distribution system, as well as inside customers' homes and hot water heaters. This has accumulated over the period of years — again prior to the installation of the new treatment process. It is going to take time for the residuals to be removed throughout the distribution

system, as well as inside the customers' homes. Flushing of the customers' hot water heaters will also assist in this removal process. This will not be instantaneous.

There are several automatic flushers located throughout the Country Walk distribution system. The utility recently installed another automatic flusher to address customer concerns. Again, the utility is meeting all primary and secondary standards are is also below the DBP requirements set by FDEP.

Below is the table from FDEP Chapter 62-555.315(5)(a), Florida Administrative Code:

(a) Provide aeration or other appropriate treatment of the water from the new or altered well to remove total sulfide as necessary. Recommended types of aeration treatment for different water quality ranges are listed in the table below, which is incorporated herein as guidance and not as a requirement. Direct chlorination shall not be used to remove (i.e., oxidize) 0.3 mg/L or more of total sulfide unless the elemental sulfur formed during chlorination is removed.

POTENTIAL FOR IMPACTS WITHOUT TOTAL SULFIDE REMOVAL	WATER QUALITY RANGES	POTENTIAL WATER TREATMENT
Low	Total Sulfide < 0.3 mg/L Dissolved Iron < 0.1 mg/L1	Direct Chlorination2
Moderate	0.3 mg/L Total Sulfide 0.6 mg/L @ pH \Box 7.2 or 0.3 mg/L Total Sulfide 0.6 mg/L @ pH $>$ 7.2	Conventional Aeration3 (maximum removal efficiency 40-50%) or Conventional Aeration with pH Adjustment4,5 (maximum removal efficiency 40-50%)
Significant	0.6 mg/L < Total Sulfide 3.0 mg/L @ pH 7.2 or 0.6 mg/L < Total Sulfide 3.0 mg/L @ pH > 7.2	Forced Draft Aeration3 (maximum removal efficiency 90%) or Forced Draft Aeration with pH Adjustment4,5 (maximum removal efficiency 90%)
Very Significant	Total Sulfide > 3.0 mg/L	Packed Tower Aeration with pH Adjustment4,5 (maximum removal efficiency > 90%)

- 1. High iron content raises concern if chlorination alone is used and significant dissolved oxygen exists in the source water. Filtration may be required to remove particulate iron prior to water distribution.
- 2. Direct chlorination of sulfide in water in the pH range normally found in potable sources produces elemental sulfur and increased turbidity. Finished-water turbidity should not be more than two nephelometric turbidity units greater than raw-water turbidity.
- 3. Increased dissolved oxygen entrained during aeration may increase corrosivity.
- 4. Reduction of alkalinity during pH adjustment and high dissolved oxygen entrained during aeration may increase corrosivity. Corrosion control treatment such as pH adjustment, alkalinity recovery, or use of inhibitors may be required.
- 5. High alkalinity will make pH adjustment more costly, and use of other treatment may be in order. Treatment that preserves the natural alkalinity of the source water may enhance the stability of finished water.

From: Arthur Ballard [mailto:ballard.arthur@yahoo.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 8:46 PM

To: Troy Rendell; Paul Brand

Cc: Tom Moran; Vic Budd; Linda Burkell; barry; Cookie Knox; Sue Sylvester

Subject: Re: Re: Country walk water quality

The issue I have is the sulfides are not being removed, they are being precipitated along with other divalent cations to form a sludge which is plugging filters, shower heads and faucet heads.

Its not clear to me that passing this sludge which was formed in the scrubbing tower to customers is an acceptable practice.

Art Ballard

On Wednesday, April 18, 2018 03:35:14 PM EDT, Paul Brand <plb2280@gmail.com> wrote:

To all;

Is the next step having the water sample analysed? If it is not in compliance it would appear we would have some leverage here. But if it is in compliance, what would our next step be?

Tom, Vic and Art - is his description of our system accurate? Does it comply with state statutes?

I read his letter as saying, in some respects, we're going to pay 95% more for the quality of water we now have, including the sample.

Thank you all for your continuing efforts on behalf of all CW homeowners.

Paul

On Wed, Apr 18, 2018 at 11:20 AM, Troy Rendell < trendell@uswatercorp.net wrote:

Good morning,

I discussed with the President of the utility and the utility manager. First and foremost, please understand that Country Walk Utilities is a small utility with a small customer base of approximately 71 customers. As previously discussed with the customers, the impact on water rates must be considered in any decision making.

Regarding the new treatment system - This is a forced draft aeration treatment system which is prescribed by Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Rule 25-555.315(5)(a), Florida Administrative Code. The levels of sulfides in the source ground water rise to the level which requires forced draft aeration with pH adjustment. We worked closely with both the Homeowners Association and the FDEP on this project, which was placed into service last year. Country Walk Utilities spent approximately \$134,343.64 on the project. The actual costs were higher than that amount, but U.S. Water Services did not charge all of the costs to the utility in order to keep the rate impact at a minimum. We have had some components failures and have either replaced them or are in the process of replacing these components through the warranty. The utility still has some work to do on the equipment, particularly the control panel components that control the blower and VFD. The utility has received little to no water quality complaints, and have been told that it is the best water the customers have tasted. There is no need or requirement for an additional filter. Filters would require backwash with no means to dispose of the backwash water – as there is no wastewater plant. In addition, this would require an Industrial Wastewater Discharge Permit with the FDEP which is costly. Cartridge filters would be costly and would have no added benefits. Individual homeowners may chose to install additional home filters if they would like additional filtration. Cartridges will remove any supplemental components that may remain in the water. However, the forced draft aeration is working properly and is removing the sulfides in the water as designed. Country Walk Utilities is currently meeting all primary and secondary water quality standards. In fact with the addition of the new treatment system, the utility was able to lower the chlorine

SHORT Environmental Laboratories, Inc.

10405 U.S. 27 S. Sebring, FL 33876 email: Shortlab@strato.net Phone: (863) 655-4022 (800) 833-4022 Fax: (863) 655-5820



Report Cover Page

Client:

U.S. Water Services, Corp.

Report #:

2017090099

Address:

4939 Cross Bayou Blvd.

Report Date:

9/22/2017

City, State, Zip:

New Port Richey, FL 34652

Attention:

Melisa Rotteveel

Project:

Country Walk

Sulfide Analyses

Sample Date:

09/06/2017

Sample Numbers:

1710203

es the following contents and attachmen	its:	Common	nly used Qualifiers with explanations:				
<u>Item</u>	Pages Qualifier Explanation						
	1	U	Compound was analyzed for but not detected.				
Original	4	I	Result is between the MDL and the PQL.				
		Q	Sample was analyzed out of holding time.				
		J	Estimated value; may not be accurate.				
			*				
	Item	1	ItemPagesQualifier1UOriginal4I				

Total Pages:

5

The results contained in the report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards. All results are representative of the sample as collected. Direct all questions to the signatory below at the phone number above.

Respectfully Submitted,

Chad Harmon Project Manager Sep 22 2017 10:36 AM

This report is for the exclusive and private use of the client listed above and recipients designated by the client. If reproduced in whole or in part by authorized recipients, this cover sheet should accompany any such copies.

Unless noted otherwise, all analyses performed by Florida Spectrum Environmental Labs.

#86006 #84088 #86618

F4

Florida Department of Environmental Protection Safe Drinking Water Program Laboratory Reporting Format

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM INFORMATION (to be completed by sampler - Please type or print legibly)							
System Name: Country walk	PWS I.D. #: 6284114						
System Type (check one): (*) Community () NonTransient Noncommunity	() Transient NonCommunity						
Address: Country Wak WTP	, ,						
City: Laku Placid State: Florida ZIP Code:							
Phone#: 727 - 848 - 8292 Fax #: 727 - 849 - 4219	E-Mail Address: DKibitlewski @ Uswaitercorp.m						
SAMPLE INFORMATION (to be completed by sampler)							
Sample Number: Sample Date: 9-6-17 Sample Time:	1130						
Sample Location (be specific): Poe (Hydro tank)							
Disinfectant Residual (Required when reporting results for trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids):	Z.6 mg/L Field pH: 8.6						
Sample Type (Check Only One) Reason(s) for Sample (Check all the							
Distribution Routine Compliance (with 62-550)	Quarterly (Which One?)						
Entry Point (to Distribution) Confirmantion of MCL Exceedance*	Special (not for compliance with 62-550.)						
Plant Tap (not for compliance with 62.550) Composite Multiple Sites**	Violation Resolution						
Raw (at well intake) Clearance (permitting)	Replacement (of invalidated Sample)						
Max. Residence Time Dother: total Sulfide							
Ave. Residence Time Sampling Procedure Used or other Comments:							
Near First Customer							
*See 62-550.500(6) for requirements and restrictions							
and 62-550.513(3) for nitrate or nitrite exceedances #* See 62-550.550(4) for required	nents and attach a results page for each site.						
SAMPLER CERTIFICATION							
1. Andrew Borremans, Operator	, do HEREBY CERTIFY						
(Print Name) (Print Title that the above public water system and sample collection information is complete and correct.	, do HEREBY CERTIFY						
. Somplete and correct.							
	Date: 9-6-77						
Certified Operator #:	Sampler's FAX #:						
Sampler's E-mail: aborremans (US Worter Corp. Net							
Reporting Format 62-550.730							
Effective January 1995, Revised February 2010 1 of 7							





Report To: David Murto Short Environmental Labs 10405 US Hwy 27 South Sebring FL, 33876

Page 1 of 2

Report Printed:

9/18/2017

Work Order#

1710203

Project:

578 US Water Country Walk Sulfide

Well 1

Lab ID:

Matrix:

Client Sample ID:

17I0203-01

Well 1

Water

Collection Date: 09/06/17 11:30

Received Date: 09/07/17 17:00

Collected By: Andrew Borremas

Laboratory Analysis Report

Parameter	Result	QC	Units	Dil	MDL	PQL	Method	Date Ext.	Date Analy.	Analyst
Wet Chemistry					915 pa 00 pa			anni anni a sini di	- Company and	
Sulfide~	ND	J3, U	mg/L	1	0.0100	0.0300	SM 4500-S D	09/12 11:33	09/12 11:33	LLC

Florida-Spectrum Environmental Services, Inc. 1460 W. McNab Road, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

Pembroke Laboratory 528 Gooch Rd. Fort Mead, FL 33841

Big Lake Laboratory 610 Parrot Ave. N. Okeechobee, FL 34972 Spectrum Laboratories 630 Indian St. Savannah, GA 31401





Report To: David Murto Short Environmental Labs 10405 US Hwy 27 South Sebring FL, 33876 Page 2 of 2

Report Printed:

9/18/2017

Work Order #

1710203

Project:

578 US Water Country Walk Sulfide

Well 1

Notes and Definitions

U Indicated that the compound was analyzed for but not detected. This shall be used to indicate that the specific component was not detected. The value associated with the qualifier shall be the laboratory method detection limit, J3 The matrix spike recovery outside method acceptance limits indicating matrix interference. DET Analyte DETECTED ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the detection limit NR Not Reported dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis RPD Relative Percent Difference V Indicated that the analyte was detected in both the sample and the associated method blank. The reported value is between the laboratory method detection limit and the laboratory practical quantitation limit.

QC=Qualifier Codes as defined by DEP 62-160
Unless indicated, soil results are reported on actual (wet) weight basis.
Work performed by outside (subcontracted) labs denoted by SUB in Analyst Field.

Too many colonies were present for accurate counting.

Results relate only to this sample.

Z

Suresh (Bobby) Supan - CSM

Authorized CSM Signature (954) 978-6400 Florida-Spectrum Environmental Services,Inc. Certification# E86006

All NELAP certified analysis are performed in accordance with Chapter 64E-1 Florida Administrative code, which has been determined to be equivalent to NELAC standards. Analysis certified by programs other than NELAP are designated with a "~".

Florida-Spectrum Environmental Services, Inc. 1460 W. McNab Road, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

Pembroke Laboratory 528 Gooch Rd. Fort Mead, FL 33841 Big Lake Laboratory 610 Parrot Ave. N. Okeechobee, FL 34972

Spectrum Laboratories 630 Indian St. Savannah, GA 31401

FLORIDA-SPECTRUM ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 1460 W. McNab Road Phone: 954.978,6400

17I0203

Bottle ID F4

Ft. Lai	uderdale, FL 33309 Fax: 954.978.22														
Client										Conta	act / Pho	ne: 863	-655-40)22	
		DRT ENVI	RONME	NTAL L	AB	OR	ATORIE	S, INC.		1					
Project Name / Location 578 US Water Country Walk Sul								fido		Turn Arc	ound Time F	Requested 5 Bus	(*Surcharge . Davs*	es may app 10 Bus. Day	oly) ys
Sampl	ers: (Signature) Andrew Borremons		3/0 03 1	valer C	oun	цу	vvaik Sui	ilue				faced	in the second		in the same of the
Cump.	oro. (orginatare) / traitew borrelinors							PARAM	METER / C	ONTAINE	R DESCRI	PTION			
	Matrix Codes:				Т	Т	S&M	17104	1	I	DEGGIA	Tion	1	1	
Lab Use	DW-Drinking Water WW-Wastewater SW-SurfaceWater SL-Sludge SO-Soil GW-Groundwater SA-Saline Water O-Other R-Reagent Water						1 L Plastic ZnAc NaOH								of Containers (Total
Only Sample No.	Sample Description	Date	Time	Matrix	Well	Grab	Total Sulfide								No. of C
OÌ	Well 1	09/06/17	1130	DW		х	1						ļ		1
				*****	+						-		-	-	-
					_							-			
-					+		*								
						-									
							1,								
Containers Prepared/ Plinquished: Date/Time: P17 Date/Time: P17 Date/Time: P17 Date/Time: P17 Date/Time: P17				Date/Time: 1230 9-7-17 Date/Time: 7-17 [1532]			Seal intact? Samples intact upon arrival? Received on ice? Temp 52 GN NA Proper preservatives indicated? YN NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA						for Polat e - OK		
Relinquished: Date/Time: 7-7-7 Relinquished: Date/Time:		Received:	Fereived:			Time	100	Proper preservatives indicated? Y N N/A Rec'd w ithin holding time? Y N N/A							
								Volatiles rec'd w /out headspace? Y N N/A Proper containers used?							
Relinquish	ed: Date/Time:	Received:			Date	/Time					YNNA				
hain of Cust	ody.vbs	1		\(\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\tin\text{\tin}\\ \ti}\\\ \tinttitex{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\tint{\tex{\texi}\tint{\texi}\texititt{\texit{\texi{\texi{\texi{\tex{							Ch	ain of Cust	tody Page	eof_	