1	D	EFORE THE
		C SERVICE COMMISSION
2		FILED 5/16/2018 DOCUMENT NO. 03704-2018
3		FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK
4	In the Matter of:	DOGWEE NO. 00160051 DT
5		DOCKET NO. 20160251-EI
6	PROCEEDING FOR RECOVERY INCREMENTAL STORM	OF
7	RESTORATION COSTS RELATE TO HURRICANE MATTHEW BY	D
8	FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT	
	COMPANY.	/
9		
10		
11	PROCEEDINGS: PREH	EARING CONFERENCE
12		
13		E I. BROWN EARING OFFICER
14	DATE: Mond	ay, May 7, 2018
15		enced: 1:30 p.m. luded: 2:23 p.m.
16	PLACE: Bett	y Easley Conference Center
17	Ro	om 148 Esplanade Way
18		ahassee, Florida
19		EA_KOMARIDIS
20	Nota	t Reporter and ry Public in and for
21	the	State of Florida at Large
22	тмяяс	ER REPORTING
23	114 W	. 5TH AVENUE
		ASSEE, FLORIDA 0) 894-0828
24		
25		

- 1 APPEARANCES:
- 2 KEN RUBIN, JOHN T. BUTLER, KEVIN I.C.
- 3 DONALDSON, ESQUIRES, 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach,
- 4 Florida 33408-0420, on behalf of Florida Power & Light
- 5 Company;
- J.R. KELLY, PUBLIC COUNSEL; and PATRICIA A.
- 7 CHRISTENSEN, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o the
- 8 Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812,
- 9 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of
- 10 the Citizens of the State of Florida;
- JON C. MOYLE, and KAREN A. PUTNEL, ESQUIRES,
- 12 Moyle Law Firm, P.A., 118 North Gadsden Street,
- 13 Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of
- 14 Florida Industrial Power Users Group;
- 15 ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT and JOHN T. LaVIA, III,
- 16 ESQUIRES, Gardner Law Firm, 1300 Thomaswood Drive,
- 17 Tallahassee, Florida 32308, appearing on behalf of the
- 18 Florida Retail Federation;
- 19 SUZANNE BROWNLESS, ESQUIRE, FPSC General
- 20 Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
- 21 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of
- the Florida Public Service Commission Staff;

23

24

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	KEITH HETRICK, GENERAL COUNSEL; MARY ANNE
3	HELTON, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL; Florida Public Service
4	Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee,
5	Florida 32399-0850, Advisor to the Florida Public
6	Service Commission.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Good afternoon, everyone.
3	AUDIENCE: Good afternoon.
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
5	Today, we are covering the Hurricane Matthew
6	pre-hearing. And at this time, I would like to
7	call the pre-hearing to order. Staff, will you
8	please read the notice.
9	MS. BROWNLESS: Thank you. By notice issued
10	on April 23, 2018, this time and place has been set
11	for a pre-hearing conference in Docket
12	No. 20160251-EI. The purpose of the pre-hearing is
13	set out more fully in the notice.
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Brownless.
15	And we will take appearances now, starting
16	with Florida Power & Light.
17	MR. RUBIN: Thank you, Commissioner Brown.
18	Ken Rubin and Kevin Donaldson for FPL. And I would
19	like to note that John Butler will also be
20	appearing at the hearing for FPL.
21	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
22	And FIPUG.
23	MR. MOYLE: Thank you, Madam Chairman. Jon
24	Moyle with the Moyle Law Firm on behalf of the
25	Florida Industrial Power Users Group. And I would

1	also like to enter an appearance for Karen Putnal
2	with the firm.
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
4	Florida Retail Federation.
5	MR. WRIGHT: Robert Scheffel Wright on behalf
6	of the Florida Retail Federation. And I would also
7	like to enter an appearance for my law partner,
8	John T. Lavia, III.
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
10	Office of Public Counsel.
11	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Good afternoon. Patti
12	Christensen with the Office of Public Counsel. And
13	I would also like to put in an appearance for J.R.
14	Kelly, the Public Counsel. Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
16	Commission staff.
17	MS. BROWNLESS: Suzanne Brownless on behalf of
18	Commission staff.
19	MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton, here as your
20	advisor. I would also like to make an appearance
21	for Keith Hetrick, your general counsel.
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
23	Now, moving along, are there any preliminary
24	matters, staff, that we need to address?
25	MS. BROWNLESS: None at this time.

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Do any of the parties
2	have any preliminary matters?
3	MR. WRIGHT: No, ma'am.
4	MR. RUEBEN: No.
5	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Public Counsel?
6	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No.
7	COMMISSIONER BROWN: No? All right. Thank
8	you.
9	Well, we're going to go ahead and go through
10	the draft pre-hearing order. And I'll identify
11	sections. And if the parties could let me know if
12	there are any corrections or changes that need to
13	be made, please speak up.
14	And we'll start with Section 1, the case
15	background. Any changes or corrections?
16	Seeing none, we'll go to Section 2, the
17	conduct of proceedings. Any changes, corrections?
18	Moving on to Section 3, the jurisdiction. Any
19	changes?
20	Seeing none, we're going to Section 4, the
21	procedure for handling confidential information.
22	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am, at this time, we
23	would like to remind everyone that if you intend to
24	use confidential material at the hearing, please
25	have copies for Commissioners, necessary staff, and

1	the court reporter, in red envelopes clearly marked
2	with the nature of the contents.
3	Any party wishing to examine the confidential
4	material that is not subject to an order granting
5	confidentiality shall be provided copy in the same
6	fashion as provided to the Commissioners, subject
7	to execution of any appropriate protective
8	agreement with the owner of the material.
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
10	All right. We'll move to Section 5, now,
11	prefiled testimony and exhibits and witnesses.
12	Staff.
13	MS. BROWNLESS: We would like to call your
14	attention to the fact that the draft pre-hearing
15	order states that witness summaries are limited to
16	five minutes.
17	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. And moving on to
18	Section 6, the order of witnesses. Are there any
19	witnesses that can be stipulated? Florida Power &
20	Light.
21	MR. RUEBEN: Commissioner Brown, I have
22	suggested to all Counsel, two witnesses, from FPL's
23	perspective, Mr. DeVarona and Ms. Cohen, that we
24	feel they could likely be stipulated. I've spoken
25	to Public Counsel, who has indicated that they will

1	take a look at that. I haven't heard back yet from
2	other counsel.
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. Public Counsel,
4	have you had an opportunity?
5	MS. CHRISTENSEN: What I indicated, Madam
6	Commissioner, is that we would endeavor to get a
7	response to FPL whether or not we had any questions
8	for these witnesses, not by well, by the Friday
9	before the hearing started, so that, if they were
10	to be excused or could be excused by the
11	Commission, that they would have time to cancel
12	travel plans.
13	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay, by Friday the 18th.
14	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I believe that's the day,
15	but since I don't have a calendar in front of me, I
16	think I think that sounds correct.
17	MS. BROWNLESS: I don't have a calendar
18	either.
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I've got one. I think
20	that's the date, but we'll we'll make sure
21	MS. BROWNLESS: You believe it's the 18th,
22	Your Honor?
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
24	Okay. Now, staff, are there any other
25	witnesses that can be stipulated?

1	MS. BROWNLESS: We would also like to make
2	inquiry as to whether our audit witness, Donna
3	Brown, may be excused and her testimony and
4	exhibits admitted into the record.
5	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Public
6	Counsel?
7	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I can definitively say that
8	would be a no. In fact, I've inquired as to dates
9	and availability for deposition, so I will put
10	that on firmly on the record at this time.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. Staff?
12	MS. BROWNLESS: Thank you. And can we go back
13	to Mr. DeVarona and Ms. Cohen? Other than Office
14	of Public Counsel, do any of the other parties have
15	any objection to stipulating them?
16	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Retail Federation?
17	MR. WRIGHT: I haven't completed reviewing
18	their testimony to be able to say whether I want to
19	cross them or not.
20	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay.
21	MR. WRIGHT: I will no no later than
22	OPC's response, probably sooner.
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right.
24	FIPUG?
25	MR. MOYLE: We we would similarly just be

1	asked to have the same latitude that OPC the
2	Friday before the hearing, we'll we'll let
3	let Counsel know.
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay.
5	MS. BROWNLESS: Thank you. And I think that
6	squares us up for
7	COMMISSIONER BROWN: The order
8	MS. BROWNLESS: potential witnesses.
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. All right. We're
10	moving on, then, to Section 7, the basic positions.
11	Do any of the parties have any changes to their
12	basic positions?
13	OPC?
14	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes, the Office of Public
15	Counsel has a change to the basic position in the
16	first paragraph, which we can submit to Counsel. I
17	mean, I can read what our change will likely be, or
18	I can just submit that in writing.
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: You could do it in
20	writing by close of business on May 9th.
21	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. I will do that.
22	Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. And applies
24	to all of the parties, here. If there are changes
25	to basic positions, they must be due by the close

1	of business May 9th.
2	Okay. So, we're going to move on to
3	Section 8, the issues and positions. Do the
4	parties have any changes to any of the positions,
5	going through Issues 1 through 11?
6	MR. RUBIN: Commissioner Brown, Ken Rubin for
7	FPL. I have I have something to point out on
8	Issue 6. It's actually in an effort to make
9	sure that, under the OEP, that we don't we're
10	not considered to have waived this argument in
11	Issue 6, OPC has introduced an entirely new
12	position that does not conform to the evidence and,
13	in fact, is is not based on any prefiled
14	testimony.
15	It, in fact, is directly contradicted by the
16	evidence of their sole witness and introduces a new
17	proposed disallowance, which never appeared before
18	we received their pre-hearing statement.
19	Issue 6 and if I can go to that issue
20	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Uh-huh.
21	MR. RUBIN: Issue 6 asks whether the standby
22	and mobilization or demobilization costs that are
23	included in FPL's storm recovery are appropriate;
24	if not, what adjustments, if any, should be made.
25	And for the very first time, we are seeing

1	that the OPC says that the Commission should make
2	an adjustment to disallow 10 percent of the OPC's
3	recommended retail costs, which adds another
4	\$13.704 million to the recommended disallowance.
5	The testimony that was filed the only
6	testimony that was filed by OPC, by Witness
7	Schultz, in fact, asks the very specific question:
8	Are you recommending a disallowance of cost for
9	excessive standby and/or mobilization/
10	demobilization. And his answer is: I am not
11	making a specific recommendation at this time.
12	He goes on to say that the Commission has
13	authority to make an adjustment, but this
14	recommended disallowance of 10 percent or is the
15	first time that we're seeing it. We didn't have
16	any opportunity in rebuttal to address it. It
17	it negatively impacts our due-process rights to
18	address that.
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: So, it sounds to me that
20	this is a contested issue.
21	MR. RUBIN: It
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Although it looks like
23	you took a position on the issue, is Florida
24	Power & Light contesting this issue?
25	MR. RUBIN: It's not that we're contesting the

1	issue; we're contesting the fact that, after all of
2	the testimony was filed, including our rebuttal
3	testimony, OPC has introduced, without any any
4	prefiled testimony or exhibits to support it, a
5	recommended disallowance of ten of 10 percent.
6	Where it comes from, we have no idea. There
7	is nothing to support it in the evidence that's
8	been submitted to this point. So, we would ask
9	that the that the Commission require that the
10	position of OPC be restated to conform to the
11	evidence.
12	Again, I just want to make sure that it's not
13	waived, pursuant to the OEP. We would respectfully
14	ask that that particular statement be stricken;
15	absent that, that we have the right to contest it
16	as we move forward in the hearing.
17	COMMISSIONER BROWN: OPC.
18	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes, and I think he
19	correctly stated, we did recommend that a
20	disallowance be or could be made by the
21	Commission. And in an effort to provide a position
22	on what that disallowance could be, we are taking,
23	as the Office of Public Counsel, a 10-percent
24	disallowance.
25	I don't know that there's anything in the

1	rules that says that has to be specifically stated
2	in prefiled testimony. In fact, until that is
3	admitted into evidence, once the hearing is open,
4	all of this is prefiled and preliminary. And
5	certainly, once it's admitted into evidence, the
6	company has the right to question the witness about
7	that 10-percent adjustment at the hearing. And we
8	certainly believe that would be a fair line of
9	inquiry.
10	But I think it is inappropriate to request
11	that a position of one of the parties be stricken.
12	And also, I think it's incorrect to say that
13	there's no factual basis in the prefiled testimony
14	to support that an adjustment should be made.
15	I think their issue is that they were not
16	informed of what the amount of the adjustment would
17	be, which would certainly be the case if the
18	Commission were to make an adjustment on its own.
19	They wouldn't have any preknowledge of that.
20	So, I I think it's inappropriate at this
21	time to strike any portion of OPC's position
22	because it's a position.
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: So, did Mr. Schultz, in
24	the prefiled testimony, recommend to make an
25	adjustment?

1	MS. CHRISTENSEN: He what he said is he,
2	personally, as a as the witness, was not going
3	to recommend a dollar amount at that time, but he
4	did say that if the Commission had sufficient
5	information to provide a disallowance or to do
6	it make a disallowance on its own accord and, in
7	the spirit of making a or taking a position on
8	what the Commission's disallowance should be, the
9	Office of Public Counsel has adopted a 10-percent
10	disallowance.
11	It's a position. And I think there was
12	testimony to set up an adjustment that was provided
13	in prefiled testimony. And if the company has a
14	position that no disallowance should be made, they
15	can bring that up and we can have that discussion
16	or those questions at the hearing, which I think is
17	the appropriate time to ferret out whether or not
18	the 10-percent adjustment is warranted or not.
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you,
20	Ms. Christensen.
21	Do any of the parties
22	MR. MOYLE: (Indicating.)
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: FIPUG.
24	MR. MOYLE: We would support the position
25	of of the Office of Public Counsel. You know,

no evidence has been adduced. There is crossexamination that will be coming, where evidence
will come in through cross-examination. And it
seems that -- that, in these pre-hearing statements
and pre-hearing orders is when the parties are able
to say, here is what we think.

And while that is something that probably gets ferreted out at the hearing, based on the evidence, whether the Commission says, we think a 10-percent or a 20-percent or a 5-percent adjustment is warranted, it will be based on -- on forthcoming evidence.

I mean, it seems -- strikes me a little bit, listening to what FPL is trying to do, is it's almost akin, in an administrative contest, to like a -- in civil, it would be a partial motion for summary judgment. It's -- I think it's called partial summary order in the administrative process.

But to say, based on all these depositions and things and -- it's not there, but it sounds like OPC has a witness, as a matter of policy, who's suggesting that there might be an appropriate adjustment.

While the witness may not have said

1	10 percent, I think it's fairly put in play and FPL
2	is on notice of it and it should be an issue that's
3	live for determination for the Commission.
4	It also seems to tie a little bit and I
5	don't want to get ahead of ourselves but into
6	the disputed issue, with respect to costs for
7	for mobilization and things like that. So, I
8	would I would encourage the you, as the
9	pre-hearing officer, to allow it to remain in as a
10	live issue or a live position.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. Retail Federation,
12	any
13	MR. WRIGHT: Thank thank you, Commissioner.
14	Very briefly: One, this is not a contested issue;
15	two, Mr. Schultz identifies and describes
16	deficiencies in the company's efforts to justify
17	its costs. He stops short of recommending a
18	specific dollar adjustment, but he suggested an
19	adjustment should be made.
20	All that OPC has done is say, we think this is
21	an appropriate amount for this adjustment. The
22	motion to strike or the suggestion to strike a
23	party's position statement, I think, is just not
24	not appropriate at all. And this is something that
25	can briefed.

1	FPL can say, no, no adjustment is needed; we
2	justified everything. OPC and the intervenor
3	parties can argue in their briefs that that they
4	didn't justify anything and that an adjustment is
5	appropriate. I think it's that simple.
6	Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Rubin?
8	MR. RUBIN: Just three quick points. First,
9	we should have had the opportunity to respond to
10	this 10-percent recommended disallowance in our
11	rebuttal. We we were denied that opportunity;
12	two, the witness has specifically said he is not
13	recommending a specific adjustment. And on the
14	issue, itself, Public Counsel indicates that
15	Mr. Schultz is the one who will support that.
16	And third, at the conclusion of Mr. Schultz's
17	testimony, he asked he was asked the question to
18	summarize his recommended adjustments, and he went
19	through the very specific recommended adjustments
20	that he has concluded are appropriate, from his
21	perspective. Nowhere to be found is the 10 percent
22	or \$13.704 million.
23	So, with that, that's all I have.
24	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
25	All right. Ms. Brownless and/or Ms. Helton.

1	MS. BROWNLESS: May I go?
2	MS. HELTON: Uh-huh.
3	MS. BROWNLESS: Well, a couple of thoughts.
4	First of all, with regard to prefiled testimony,
5	the point of prefiled testimony is to stand in lieu
6	of what one would normally do where you put a
7	witness on the stand and they're cross-examined.
8	And the way the Commission has always treated
9	prefiled testimony is we've been very careful to
10	limit people, when we get to the actual hearing, to
11	the testimony presented and to the scope of
12	testimony presented in their prefiled testimony,
13	for the reasons which Mr. Rubin points out, which
14	is, the idea is to prefile testimony so everybody
15	has the benefit of knowing what's happening ahead
16	of time.
17	It's obviously very clear that Mr. Schultz did
18	say that he thought an adjustment should be made,
19	but it's also clear that he did not make any
20	particular suggestion of what that adjustment
21	should be.
22	So, I guess, while I understand OPC's argument
23	that an adjustment that recommendation of an
24	adjustment is not a surprise, I also appreciate
25	FP&L's position that there is quite a lot of

1	difference in testimony that says an adjustment
2	should be made and testimony that says "X"
3	adjustment should be made in terms of testing the
4	validity of that assumption.
5	So, I guess I would tend to think that having
6	a specific dollar amount at this time is
7	inappropriate because, what I'm concerned about is,
8	if you allow Mr. Schultz to get on the stand and
9	to, for the first time, talk about, here's the
10	10 percent and here is how I got it, this is what
11	happened, then FP&L, of course, has the opportunity
12	to cross-examine.
13	And I think, maybe even it would be
14	appropriate to have late-filed exhibits. And those
15	are the kinds of things that we have tried very
16	diligently not to do here lately.
17	So, my inclination is to disallow the specific
18	dollar amount.
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Mary Anne,
20	anything you would like to add to that?
21	MS. HELTON: May I have sorry. May I have
22	three minutes to confer with my boss?
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Let's take a five-minute
24	recess. We will re get back on the record at
25	1:55. Thank you.

1	(Brief recess.)
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN: So, we're a little early,
3	but everybody is here. So, we'll reconvene the
4	hearing.
5	I've had time to reflect on the arguments that
6	were presented before us. If staff does
7	legal, do you have anything else to add before I
8	render my ruling?
9	MS. HELTON: Only if you want to hear from me,
10	but if you're ready to render your ruling, then
11	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Can I can I briefly
12	address the Commission? I may have a compromise.
13	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay.
14	MS. CHRISTENSEN: If if the issue is that
15	the company feels that identifying a specific
16	dollar-amount adjustment is the problem and we need
17	to wait until the evidence is adduced at hearing,
18	then, we would move to modify our position that the
19	Commission should make an adjustment to disallow a
20	portion of the mobilization, demobilization, and
21	standby time. And that would be consistent with
22	Mr. Schultz's testimony.
23	And we will just, then, have to put a
24	dollar an amount based on whatever we get
25	through cross-evamination

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Rubin?
2	MR. RUBIN: Makes perfect sense. Thank you.
3	MS. BROWNLESS: Could you re
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: And I was going to say
5	that is the party's position, after all. So, I
6	I was going to allow it, since it is your position,
7	and Florida Power & Light will be given an
8	opportunity to cross-examine the witness during the
9	live hearing, but if you would like to modify it,
10	then you are more than able to do so. It's up to
11	you, Public Counsel.
12	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well, I think, in the
13	interest of fairness, it would be fair to let them
14	know what the dollar amount is we're looking to
15	adjust, and we would keep our original position,
16	but
17	COMMISSIONER BROWN: That all right. Well,
18	then, we're going to ahead and do that. And
19	Florida Power & Light
20	MS. CHRISTENSEN: All right.
21	COMMISSIONER BROWN: will be given an
22	opportunity to cross-examine the witness, Schultz,
23	to flesh out the record during the live hearing.
24	MR. RUBIN: I just want to make it make
25	make it clear that this really shouldn't be a

1	subterfuge to get new testimony in. We may choose
2	not to cross-examine on that point. I just want to
3	make make that clear.
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Public Counsel.
5	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think, in in this case,
6	we will probably be conducting cross-examination of
7	their witnesses.
8	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Right.
9	MS. CHRISTENSEN: So, you know, we we
10	realize that we will need to also put in the
11	information as well.
12	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. Again, just want
13	to reflect that this is the party's position here
14	and we're going to go ahead and allow it as is.
15	I do want to point out on another issue,
16	Issue 9, on which OPC takes no position is that
17	going are you are you taking a position,
18	first of all?
19	MS. CHRISTENSEN: We we are taking no
20	position as to the amount that was collected
21	through the surcharge. We believe that should be
22	probably some sort of a mathematical calculation
23	and really, hopefully, not subject to dispute as to
24	how much they collected.
25	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay.

1	MS. BROWNLESS: So and I'm a bit confused
2	about that, Patti. So, are you
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Could you speak up,
4	Suzanne, please, just for the record?
5	MS. BROWNLESS: I'm a bit confused about what
6	you're saying with regard to Issue 9. Are you
7	going to take no position today?
8	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes, we're taking no
9	position because we don't believe it really should
10	be a disputed issue as to how much they collected.
11	It should be just a calculation of how much they
12	collected and how over that year period. So, we
13	have taken no position.
14	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. And with the
15	Commissioner's indulgence, with regard to Issue
16	No. 6, you're going to keep your position as it's
17	stated; is that correct?
18	COMMISSIONER BROWN: She Ms. Christensen,
19	she's going back to Issue 6.
20	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes? I'm
21	sorry.
22	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. With regard to Issue
23	No. 6, you're going to keep your issue as stated;
24	is that correct?
25	MS. CHRISTENSEN: That is correct.

- 1		
	1	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. And I and this is
	2	for my benefit, Ms. Christensen. So, at trial,
	3	Mr. Schultz will not be offering testimony as to
	4	the calculation of the 10-percent on direct; is
	5	that correct?
	6	MS. CHRISTENSEN: He will be testifying to
	7	what is in his testimony regarding that the
	8	Commission should allow some disallowance. And
	9	development of the amount will be through cross-
	10	examination.
	11	MS. BROWNLESS: Thank you.
	12	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Now, we just
	13	jumped around a little bit. We were on Issue 9.
	14	And I saw, Mr. Wright, you were getting ready to
	15	MR. WRIGHT: (Shaking head negatively.)
	16	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right.
	17	Do any of the parties have any changes that
	18	they would like to make to their issues, 1 through
	19	11, before we get to the contested issue?
	20	MR. MOYLE: Do we have until May 9th to
	21	make to make changes, is that right, on
	22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Yes, close of business on
	23	May 9th.
	24	MR. MOYLE: So, to the extent we want to
	25	change an issue, we can until close of business.

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Absolutely. I plan on
2	having the pre-hearing order issued by the end of
3	the week.
4	MR. MOYLE: Okay.
5	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. So, seeing no
6	other changes right now from Issues 1 through 11,
7	let's get to the contested issue.
8	Staff, you want to tee that off for us?
9	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am. OPC has proposed
10	Issue No. A, to which Florida Power & Light
11	objects. And the issue is should FPL be required
12	to separately track and account for costs
13	associated with standby time, mobilization, and
14	demobilization work.
15	So, we need to hear from the parties.
16	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Let's hear
17	from Office of Public Counsel.
18	MS. CHRISTENSEN: We obviously have presented
19	testimony on this issue. We believe that the
20	information on what is mobilization,
21	demobilization, and standby time is actually
22	available to FPL through the invoices and the
23	information that they already collect from their
24	vendors. And what we're asking for is that that be
25	put into some sort of a usable summary that we can

1	look at when we're looking at hurricane costs.
2	So, we're not asking them necessarily to
3	collect information that would not be available or
4	should not be readily available, and we do think
5	that it is an important issue because, one of the
6	things that from our understanding is that,
7	under contract, the vendors are a allowed certain
8	amount of mobilization/demobilization time, and
9	unless you track it and know how much they are
10	actually spending, you can't identify whether or
11	not they're complying with the contract.
12	So, there is that issue that we think is not
13	being able to be addressed if you don't follow
14	the if you don't actually present that
15	information in a time in a format that's usable
16	for the Commission and for parties.
17	We do think it is possible that, with some
18	slight rewording, you could probably subsume it
19	into
20	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Issue 6.
21	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Issue 6. You know, we
22	would have to adjust the wording to not only what
23	adjustments, but we would have to say something
24	akin to what adjustments and other measures, if
25	any, should be made, but you

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Under under Issue 6,
2	you're saying.
3	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Under six, correct.
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: You would write, if not,
5	what adjustments, if any, should be made and
6	what would you include in there?
7	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well, I would suggest, then,
8	if we were going to combine the issues, that it
9	would be: If not, what adjustments and other
10	measures should be taken, if any.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. FPL.
12	MS. CHRISTENSEN: And
13	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Are I'm sorry,
14	Ms. Christensen, are you are you done?
15	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes, and I'm it doesn't
16	have to necessarily be that wording, but I think we
17	could combine the issues to address the additional
18	requirements that OPC is asking for.
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: FPL?
20	MR. DONALDSON: Yes, thank you, Madam Chair.
21	FPL believes that this subsuming or even having
22	this issue is inappropriate for this particular
23	docket and the reasons are, for one, they are
24	asking for a prospective requirement, right?
25	So, it's not a prudence review of the costs

that were incurred or the reasonableness of the
costs that were incurred in the Hurricane Matthew
docket. They're asking this Commission to now set
forth guidelines for how you go about tracking
mobilization, demobilization, and standby costs.

The question is going to be: What are mobilization, demobilization, and standby costs.

That is something that's going to apply to every utility that is around. And every utility may track those things differently.

For one, they are also asking, if you look at their Issue 6, to track the hours; not only the costs, but also the hours. So, you're -- you're looking at things that you're going to be asking FPL -- specifically only FPL -- to be doing in the future. And we think that that's, you know, effectively a rulemaking or, you know, general type of workshop type of requirement that you're imposing solely on FPL. So, we feel it's very inappropriate. It's not even something that you should be doing or subsumed within the Issue No. 6 that OPC is recommending.

Secondly, you know, we're not able to comment on -- on this particular type of requirement. So, you're asking -- they're going to be asking us --

(850)894-0828

there's no testimony that FPL has put in on the case and there's no testimony specifically that OPC's witness has specifically said on how you go about doing this; what are the requirements that are going to be entailed; what is the accounting that you're going to have to do for it; what's the cost to the cust- -- to the FPL customers or any utility's customers that it's going to incur in trying to track all these different types of costs. So, there's a host of other reasons why this is just inappropriate for this docket.

Certainly, if they want to bring it up in the generic docket -- and I know that, for instance -- and you were here earlier, last -- last week that FIPUG was mentioning tracking of tree-trimming costs or -- or things of that nature, and they were recommending doing some type of workshop or rulemaking to specifically get the utilities to track those types of things.

That's something that you do in a generic docket. That's not something that you do in a cost-recovery prudence review for the costs that have already been incurred.

So, we feel that it's very inappropriate to try and bootstrap a requirement for all the

1	utilities to do. There's nothing in the orders
2	that require that, the 04 orders, and there's
3	nothing in the rule that requires us to
4	specifically identify and break out these
5	contractor mob-, demob-, and standby costs.
6	So, those are the reasons why we feel that
7	it's clearly inappropriate for for it to be
8	included as an issue.
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay.
10	Yes, FIPUG.
11	MR. MOYLE: So so, we, took the position
12	of yes, with respect to the to the question as
13	to whether FPL should be required to track and
14	account for costs associated with standby time,
15	mobilization, and demobilization work.
16	And and respectfully, to my my
17	colleague, we think it is appropriate to to have
18	an issue like this considered because you all are
19	taking a look at these hurricane costs. Thankfully
20	hurricanes don't come around like a clause hearing
21	every year. And so, we don't know how often they
22	do arrive.
23	And to the extent issues like this bubble up,
24	you know, there's good case law supporting the
25	notion that that you have the ability to make

1	incipient policy. The old McDonald case from law
2	school that that agencies deal with facts at
3	hand and, when they become sufficiently developed,
4	then they can opt to go to rulemaking, but they
5	don't have to.
6	And all of the arguments that that my my
7	colleague from FPL just made saying, oh, this is
8	not something that should be done, we have to go to
9	rulemaking, we have to do a workshop on this I
10	didn't hear those arguments being made a couple of
11	years ago when we were we were discussing
12	whether whether recovery for gas should be
13	taking place for product for gas wells in
14	Oklahoma and other places. I mean, that was the
15	Woodford case.
16	And if you remember, they had
17	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I do remember that
18	MR. MOYLE: They had
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: but that's not what
20	this docket is.
21	MR. MOYLE: I know. But the point is: They
22	had guidelines. They had guidelines. They had all
23	this stuff that they were asking you, in a very
24	similar way, in a docketed issue with disputed
25	facts, to say, please, make this policy.

1	And so, it doesn't seem that they can, in
2	the in that case, say, please make this policy,
3	but here, today, say, no, this is not appropriate
4	for policy-making.
5	I mean, it has to it should be consistent
6	with respect to the role that the Commission plays,
7	irrespective of the substantive issue, whether
8	it's whether it's gas, whether it's tracking of
9	these of these costs. And respectfully, we
10	think that it's it's something that was in the
11	purview of the Commission to look at and make a
12	call on.
13	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
14	Mr. Wright.
15	MR. WRIGHT: Very briefly. And thank you,
16	Commissioner.
17	This is an interesting question, but I
18	think, in this case, you're well within the
19	jurisprudence of incipient rulemaking to deal with
20	it in this case. And there is evidence in this
21	case that our side, the intervenors' side, contests
22	FPL's documentation of standby and mobilization
23	costs.
24	This is an important issue in this case and,
25	accordingly, I think that the relief sought by OPC

1	here would be appropriate; again, within the
2	jurisprudence of incipient rulemaking.
3	Thanks.
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: And Public Counsel.
5	MS. CHRISTENSEN: And just briefly, adopting
6	the comments of the other intervenors that, you
7	know, it is appropriate for the Commission, when
8	the issue is ripe, to address this policy issue
9	you know, it is appropriate in this case
10	specifically because we, obviously, have raised an
11	issue that these mobilization, demobilization, and
12	standby costs have not been sufficiently
13	documented, such that we can make a specific
14	adjustment in Mr. Schultz's testimony. We're going
15	to have to do it through cross-examination. That's
16	one of the criticisms.
17	And therefore, to allow that what we think
18	is a defect in the justification of cost, going
19	forward, to allow that to continue, would be
20	problematic by saying, no, we have to wait until
21	you force us to do it through rulemaking.
22	This is a this is certainly an FPL-specific
23	problem. I don't know whether any of the other
24	companies have this same issue. They may track
25	these costs already in a sufficient level of detail

1	that we can get that information, identify it, and
2	take a look at it. Until we know whether or not
3	it's an industry-wide problem, it would be a little
4	bit premature to go to a generic proceeding or
5	rulemaking to change that.
6	What we do know is, based on our witness'
7	testimony, this is a problem with the way FPL is
8	keeping its records such that we can't get that
9	level of granular detail. So, that's why we're
10	having to make some a broader adjustment than
11	maybe we would like to have made if that
12	information had been available.
13	So, I think it's company-specific. It's
14	timely. And this is an appropriate policy decision
15	for the Commission to make in this docket and to go
16	forward in FPL cases. And it may be appropriate
17	for other companies, but we won't know that until
18	we get through their hurricane dockets.
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. Mr. Donaldson,
20	anything to add?
21	MR. DONALDSON: Yes, Chapter 120.52(16)
22	defines a rule as: An agency statement or general
23	applicability that implements and interprets or
24	proscribes law or policy. That's what they're
25	seeking to do here.

120.54, which I'm sure Mr. Moyle knows, has long reacted to the McDonald decision, which was dated back in the 1970s. And it was adopted and -- and clarified, those arguments that he was making with respect to McDonald.

Second of all, this is not FPL-specific, as -as OPC Counsel would like you to believe. Every
utility has mob-/demob-. They're asking now to
determine whether or not one specific utility
should be required to break out what has already
been provided in contractor costs and break out
certain costs, specifically for mob-, demob- and
standby, and identify what those -- essentially,
how you go ahead and track those particular costs.

Like I said, hours, costs themselves, a host of other different requirements, whether or not it only applies to contractors, does it apply to mutual aid companies, does it apply to FPL's own employees on -- on mob-/demob-.

There's a reason why you don't take an issue up like this in a cost-recovery prudence review and reasonableness review. It is -- it is something that is applicable to every other utility. And it's the proper format to, first of all, wait and see if others have this same issue and deal with it

1	in a workshop; see if you know, you have the
2	generic workshop on what's working, what's not
3	working, what does the rule require, what does the
4	rule not require as far as listing out and breaking
5	out and identifying specific costs.
6	That is not what they're asking for you to do

That is not what they're asking for you to do here. They are asking you to make it utility-specific, solely to FPL, and it's an inappropriate issue.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

All right. I appreciate the arguments of all of the parties that have presented here today. And we'll take it under advisement and issue my ruling on this contested issue when the pre-hearing order comes out by the end of the week.

Thank you. All right. Let's move on to Section 9, which is the exhibit list.

MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am. Staff has prepared a comprehensive exhibit list, which lists all the prefiled exhibits and those exhibits staff, which is -- to have included in the record. The draft list was given to the parties and we gave an updated one this morning -- and I hope -- which I hope everybody got.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Everybody have it? Yes?

1	I'm seeing yes.
2	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay to see if there were
3	any changes or objections to the comprehensive
4	exhibit list or to the introduction of any of the
5	staff's exhibits being entered into the record.
6	So, at this time, we would like to see if
7	there are any changes that need to be made to the
8	parties' exhibits and if there are any objections
9	to the entry of staff's proposed exhibits into the
10	record.
11	And I'd also like to say that I have spoken
12	with Florida Power & Light. There are some
13	portions of the discovery responses listed in the
14	exhibit list, for which confidentiality requests
15	were not previously made, but because they are part
16	of staff's exhibits, there will need to be
17	confidentiality requests made.
18	Florida Power & Light and I have worked that
19	out and they will be filing those requests for
20	confidentiality. And we will be addressing those
21	this week.
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Yes. Thank you.
23	All right. Are there any changes to the
24	comprehensive exhibit list? Public Counsel?
25	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No object no changes to

(850)894-0828

1	the comprehensive exhibit list.
2	As to the second issue about whether or not
3	OPC is in a position to agree to the admission of
4	staff's listed exhibits as part of the
5	comprehensive exhibit list we're not ready to
6	stipulate to the the agreement of any of the
7	exhibits that staff would like to use and and
8	have stipulated in lieu of cross-examination into
9	the record. I know there are probably a few that
10	we may even object to at at some future point.
11	We will endeavor, if possible, to look through
12	them to see if there's any that we can agree we
13	don't have an objection to, but we just as a
14	matter of course, we do believe that the staff
15	the Commission staff, if they want to introduce
16	documents, should do that through cross-examination
17	as a testing of the veracity of the witnesses' case
18	and we that is our preferred method for
19	admitting documents into the hearing.
20	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Retail
21	Federation, any changes to the comprehensive
22	exhibit list?
23	MR. WRIGHT: We don't have any changes, but
24	we're in the same position as OPC. Thank you.
25	COMMISSIONER BROWN: FIPUG as well.

1	MR. MOYLE: We don't have any changes, but
2	but to the point about exhibits going in I mean,
3	my sense of that is, usually exhibits go in and
4	they're provided, everybody looks at them, they
5	understand them. And if you're in trial, then you
6	can say, I have an objection or I don't have an
7	objection. You know, we're we're still a couple
8	of weeks away from a hearing and
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Two.
10	MR. MOYLE: Two. And being asked, you know,
11	are you good on these or not, not to say that we
12	may not be good and say, yes, yes, we don't, but it
13	would be very useful because there's a big net
14	thrown for discovery, and now staff has gone
15	through and culled and said, here is our exhibit
16	list to to be able to get copies of what it
17	is staff wants to introduce so that we can look at
18	it and make judgments about that that would be
19	particularly helpful, if we're being asked to
20	stipulate, you know, to the agreements.
21	They're asking to us to stipulate. And we're
22	simply saying, if you could help us and provide us
23	the documents that you want us to stipulate to,
24	that would be appreciated.
25	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Suzanne, want to respond?

1	MS. BROWNLESS: We usually do put together
2	documents. I honestly, Commissioner, I don't
3	know what they do with regard to the confidential
4	material. I know that the Office of Public Counsel
5	has possession of those documents, I believe. And
6	I don't know about the other parties because, as I
7	say, they were not the subject of the
8	confidentiality request. So, we don't have those
9	documents at this time.
10	However, I assume they will be submitted to
11	us, along with the confidentiality requests.
12	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. And
13	MS. BROWNLESS: So, we'll try to do that.
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Florida Power & Light?
15	MR. DONALDSON: Yes, FPL has no objection to
16	the comprehensive exhibit list. I've provided
17	whatever minor edits that we need to do to staff.
18	I would like to note that, pursuant to the
19	OEP, we had to at least provide the parties whether
20	or not we're going to be using demonstrative
21	exhibits. And we do have some here. And I figured
22	this was the right
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: This is the right time,
24	yes.
25	MR. DONALDSON: time to do that.

1 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Yes. 2 MR. DONALDSON: Okay. So -- and I don't know 3 if T --4 COMMISSIONER BROWN: We'll have somebody 5 from -- staff, could you --6 MR. MOYLE: (Indicating.) 7 COMMISSIONER BROWN: You can't object just 8 yet. 9 MR. MOYLE: No, this is great. We're going to 10 get -- actually get to see exhibits today, so --11 but just back to the point on the confidentiality. 12 I think my malpractice insurance rates would go up 13 if I were agreeing to documents that have never 14 been produced or seen, you know, to go in. 15 I'm -- I'm happy to sign -- you know, non-16 disclosure. We've never had an issue --17 COMMISSIONER BROWN: I have two. 18 MR. MOYLE: -- with non-disclosure, so --19 MR. DONALDSON: They -- they've been produced 20 to all those who have signed an NDA, which would be 21 FRF and that -- we have done to OPC as well. 22 OPC has a copy of them. I'm certainly willing give 23 Mr. Moyle that. 24 COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Mr. Moyle. 25 MR. MOYLE: That would be great.

1	MR. DONALDSON: Begrudgingly.
2	MR. MOYLE: I
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Begrudgingly.
4	(Laughter.)
5	COMMISSIONER BROWN: You can guys can handle
6	that after the hearing.
7	MR. MOYLE: We can we can handle that. And
8	anything staff can do to provide us copies of
9	exhibits would be great, too.
10	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Donaldson, thank you,
11	by the way, for providing these in advance. This
12	is excellent. I have two. I heard that there's
13	three? Are there three?
14	MR. DONALDSON: There are
15	COMMISSIONER BROWN: three oh, you
16	have one. Okay.
17	MR. DONALDSON: three.
18	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay.
19	MR. DONALDSON: And I don't actually have my
20	own copy, but I will.
21	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
22	MR. DONALDSON: I know what they are, yeah.
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I think these are great.
24	All right. Everybody has a copy of the three
25	exhibits that will be at used for demonstrative

1	purposes at the hearing? I don't have an objection
2	to those.
3	MR. DONALDSON: Okay. Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Yeah. All right.
5	MR. MOYLE: Can I just ask a question?
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Yeah.
7	MR. MOYLE: Are these I mean, because
8	these are like, these photos, I assume, are
9	coming in from somewhere, right? I mean,
10	demonstrative is my understanding is it's
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Do you want to know where
12	these
13	MR. MOYLE: making a larger point. Yeah, I
14	mean, if this is going to be part of an exhibit
15	going in
16	MR. DONALDSON: It's
17	MR. MOYLE: I think I'm good.
18	MR. DONALDSON: It's demon we're not
19	planning on introducing them into evidence.
20	COMMISSIONER BROWN: You're just using them
21	for demonstration.
22	MR. DONALDSON: For demonstration. That's the
23	reason for the word "demonstrative."
24	MR. MOYLE: And can I ask what they're
25	demonstrating?

	MR. DONALDSON: Well, they're demonstrating
	the severity of the storm, along with
	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I
	4 MR. DONALDSON: the amount of work that
!	we've had to do.
	6 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Moyle, I've already
	said I don't have a problem with using these. So,
;	8 unless a party has a very compelling reason why
!	they shouldn't be used for demonstration purposes,
1	we're going to allow them during the hearing.
1	1 Mr
1	MR. DONALDSON: Thank you, Madam Chair.
1	COMMISSIONER BROWN: You're welcome.
1	MS. CHRISTENSEN: They do have
1	MR. WRIGHT: This this seems simplistic,
1	but I think, even if they are going to show them to
1	7 the tryers of fact, to you, then, they have to at
1	least verify what they are. These are obviously
1	pictures of storm and storm damage, but there's
2	0 there's no documentation that they're
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Do you want it on the
2	actual pho on the photos here of what they are?
2	Is that what you're saying?
2	4 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well
2	MR. WRIGHT: I I want to know that they

1	have backstopped what the evidence
2	notwithstanding that it won't be in the record,
3	that they're going to show you, as the tryers of
4	fact with a with an explanation of what exactly
5	it is.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Donaldson?
7	MR. WRIGHT: Which I think is
8	MR. DONALDSON: Yeah, and we're going to do
9	that through a witness. That's how it's typically
10	done.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN: That's what I would
12	assume.
13	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yeah, as long as they're
14	going to lay a foundation for these documents, I
15	think, probably the one that has "Hurricane
16	Matthew" on it is probably at least a little bit
17	self-explanatory what hurricane it's relating to.
18	Unfortunately, the other two the other one
19	has the date on it, but it doesn't have the name of
20	the hurricane, and the pictures don't. So, unless
21	there's a foundation laid by a witness at some
22	point and I don't necessarily have an objection
23	to them using them if they're going to lay a
24	foundation through a witness at some point in the
25	future in their case because, to be a demonstrative

(850)894-0828

1	piece of evidence, you actually have to lay a
2	foundation for it being evidence.
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN: And again, the Chairman
4	that presides over the hearing will be apprized
5	that that the pre-hearing officer allowed them
6	to be used, but of course, you have to set that
7	foundation.
8	MR. DONALDSON: Yes, ma'am.
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Any other
10	matters on the demon the exhibits?
11	MR. DONALDSON: FPL has none.
12	COMMISSIONER BROWN: No other matters
13	MR. DONALDSON: And we're going to get let
14	them keep a copy of it.
15	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Any other
16	(Simultaneous speakers.)
17	(Laughter.)
18	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. We are now on to
19	approved proposed stipulation, Section 10. Doesn't
20	sound like there's any here. I'm not hearing any.
21	MR. DONALDSON: Not yet.
22	MS. BROWNLESS: No, ma'am, I don't think there
23	are any at this time.
24	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Section 11,
25	pending motions.

1	MS. BROWNLESS: The only motions that are
2	pending are FP&L's two motions for protective
3	order, which they filed on May 1st. Because FP&L
4	and I have gone through the request for
5	confidentiality, many of these protective orders
6	will be superseded by those requests for
7	confidentiality. So, I think what we will do is
8	make sure that that is, in fact, correct
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay.
10	MS. BROWNLESS: and only do a protective
11	order where the confidentiality order does not
12	otherwise resolve the issue.
13	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay.
14	MS. BROWNLESS: But we will be doing
15	MR. DONALDSON: Agreed.
16	MS. BROWNLESS: that this week.
17	MR. DONALDSON: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Thank you.
19	And we'll do it this week.
20	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am.
21	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Let's get it all done
22	before the hearing, please.
23	And Section 12, pending confidentiality
24	motions?
25	MS. BROWNLESS: Not pending at this time, no,

1	ma'am.
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN: No. Okay.
3	Now, post-hearing procedures, Section 13.
4	MS. BROWNLESS: There would if there are
5	post-hearing briefs that are filed in this case,
6	they will be limited to 40 pages.
7	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Ms. Christensen, I hear
8	your your click.
9	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No, I'm very exited. I
10	didn't see a word limit on the positions. I just
11	want to make sure we're good with that because
12	I'm
13	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I am more than fine with
14	that.
15	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Then we're very pleased to
16	see that we're
17	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Against staff's
18	recommendation all I care about are the page
19	numbers. So, as staff recommended, the post-
20	hearing briefs are limited to 40 pages.
21	MR. RUBIN: Commissioner Brown, may we be
22	heard on that?
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Sure.
24	MR. RUBIN: FPL would respectfully request to
25	use up to 50 pages.

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Any of the parties have
2	an objection?
3	MR. WRIGHT: No objection. Thank you.
4	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Assume it would be 50 pages
5	for all, not that we're planning on using them.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Reciprocal for all the
7	parties.
8	Staff, I know you want to read ten more, each
9	party.
10	MS. BROWNLESS: Sounds great.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN: We read it, too, so I
12	have no problem with it. We will go ahead and
13	post-hearing briefs will be limited to 50 pages.
14	And you do not need to specific a specific
15	amount for the summary.
16	Staff, any other matters under post-hearing
17	procedures?
18	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am. I think you spoke
19	about the no word limit on the summaries, as
20	long as whatever you do you is set off with
21	asterisks. And the briefs, if any, will be due on
22	June 15th, 2018.
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Any other
24	any questions on that? All right.
25	We're going to move Section 14, the rulings.

1	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. The pre-hearing
2	draft pre-hearing order indicates that pre-hearing
3	statements, opening statements will be limited to
4	seven minutes per party.
5	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Unless, of course, a
6	party chooses to waive its opening statements.
7	Seven minutes okay?
8	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think I think we'll be
9	fine with seven minutes. Thank you.
10	MR. WRIGHT: That will be fine.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN: You, too, Mr. Moyle.
12	MR. MOYLE: We're good.
13	MR. RUBIN: As are we.
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Yes. All right. Any
15	other rulings?
16	MS. BROWNLESS: No, ma'am, not at this time,
17	of which I'm aware.
18	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. Are there any
19	other matters, staff, that needs to be addressed?
20	MS. BROWNLESS: I'm not aware of any.
21	Parties? Florida Power & Light.
22	MR. RUBIN: Not for FPL.
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: FIPUG.
24	MR. MOYLE: No, thank you.
25	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Retail Federation.

```
1
                MR. WRIGHT:
                              No, thank you.
 2
                COMMISSIONER BROWN:
                                       OPC.
 3
                MS. CHRISTENSEN:
                                   No, we are fine, thank you.
 4
                COMMISSIONER BROWN:
                                       Seeing no other matters,
 5
          this pre-hearing conference is adjourned.
                                                         See you
 6
          in two weeks.
 7
                (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at
 8
     2:23 p.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	I, ANDREA KOMARIDIS, Court Reporter, certify
5	that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at
6	the time and place therein designated; that my shorthand
7	notes were thereafter translated under my supervision;
8	and the foregoing pages, numbered 1 through 52, are a
9	true and correct record of the aforesaid proceedings.
10	
11	I further certify that I am not a relative,
12	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
13	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
14	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
15	financially interested in the action.
16	DATED this 16th day of May, 2018.
17	
18	
19	
20	Mulil
21	
22	ANDREA KOMARIDIS NOTARY PUBLIC
23	COMMISSION #GG060963 EXPIRES February 9, 2021
24	
25	

Parties/Staff Handout event date 5/1/18

Docket No. 20160251-EI

143





