# Holland & Knight

FILED 9/24/2018 DOCUMENT NO. 06195-2018 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

315 South Calhoun Street, Suite 600 | Tallahassee, FL 32301 | T 850.224.7000 | F 850.224.8832 Holland & Knight LLP | www.hklaw.com

D. Bruce May 850-425-5607 Bruce.may@hklaw.com

September 24, 2018

Via Electronic Filing

Ms. Carlotta S. Stauffer Division of the Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket Nos. 20170235-EI & 20170236-EU

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above dockets are the prefiled Rebuttal Testimony and Exhibit of Town of Indian River Shores witness Brian M. Barefoot.

If you should have any questions regarding this transmittal, please contact me at (850) 425-5607. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Zuna Ma

D. Bruce May Florida Bar No. 354473

cc: counsel for parties of records (with enclosure)

#### **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In re: Petition by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) for authority to charge FPL rates to former City of Vero Beach customers and for approval of FPL's accounting treatment for City of Vero Beach transaction.

In re: Joint petition to terminate territorial agreement, by Florida Power & Light and the City of Vero Beach.

DOCKET NO. 20170235-EI

DOCKET NO. 20170236-EU

Date: September 24, 2018

#### **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

#### OF

#### **BRIAN M. BAREFOOT**

#### on behalf of

**Town of Indian River Shores** 

1		<b>BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION</b>
2		TOWN OF INDIAN RIVER SHORES
3		<b>REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF BRIAN M. BAREFOOT</b>
4		DOCKET NOS. 20170235-EI and 20170236-EU
5		
6	Q.	What is your name and business address?
7	A.	My name is Brian Barefoot and my business address is 270 Coconut Palm Road,
8		Indian River Shores, Florida 32963.
9		
10	Q.	For whom are you appearing as a witness?
11	A.	I've been asked to appear as a witness by and for the Town of Indian River Shores
12		("Town" or "Indian River Shores"). I am testifying in this proceeding as a resident
13		of the Town and a customer of the City of Vero Beach ( "City" or "COVB")
14		electric utility. I also served as Mayor for the Town from March 20, 2013 through
15		April 20, 2018, and have personal knowledge of many of the extraordinary
16		circumstances that have led to the carefully balanced Asset Purchase and Sale
17		Agreement (the "PSA") under which COVB has agreed to sell, and FPL has agreed
18		to purchase, the COVB electric utility system.
19		
20	Q.	Please summarize your educational background and professional experience.
21	A.	I'm a graduate of Babson College, and received an MBA degree from Pace
22		University Lubin School of Management. I've attended various Harvard Executive
23		Education Programs.
24		With respect to my professional experience, I've worked in the financial services
25		industry for more than three decades. I was with Merrill Lynch & Co. for twenty-

1		five (25) years and there held various senior management positions with overall
2		responsibility for securities trading and risk management. Subsequently, I served as
3		Executive Vice-President of PaineWebber-UBS and was responsible for the
4		company's investment banking operations worldwide. I also served as President
5		and CEO of PaineWebber International, Inc. I then served as president of Babson
6		College, one of the nation's leading management schools, from July 1, 2001 until I
7		retired on June 30, 2008, at which time I was elected President Emeritus. Most
8		recently, I served as Mayor of the Town of Indian River Shores, Florida from
9		March 20, 2013 until my retirement in April of this year.
10		
11	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits with your rebuttal testimony?
12	A.	Yes. I am including my biography with my testimony as Exhibit BMB-1.
10		
13		
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
	<b>Q.</b> A.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony? I'm filing rebuttal testimony to correct misstatements made by the Civic
14		
14 15		I'm filing rebuttal testimony to correct misstatements made by the Civic
14 15 16		I'm filing rebuttal testimony to correct misstatements made by the Civic Association of Indian River County, Inc. ("CAIRC") witness Jay Kramer in his
14 15 16 17		I'm filing rebuttal testimony to correct misstatements made by the Civic Association of Indian River County, Inc. ("CAIRC") witness Jay Kramer in his direct testimony. Specifically, I rebut his claims that COVB customers residing
14 15 16 17 18		I'm filing rebuttal testimony to correct misstatements made by the Civic Association of Indian River County, Inc. ("CAIRC") witness Jay Kramer in his direct testimony. Specifically, I rebut his claims that COVB customers residing
14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	I'm filing rebuttal testimony to correct misstatements made by the Civic Association of Indian River County, Inc. ("CAIRC") witness Jay Kramer in his direct testimony. Specifically, I rebut his claims that COVB customers residing outside the City have not been disenfranchised.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	I'm filing rebuttal testimony to correct misstatements made by the Civic Association of Indian River County, Inc. ("CAIRC") witness Jay Kramer in his direct testimony. Specifically, I rebut his claims that COVB customers residing outside the City have not been disenfranchised. On page 2 of his direct testimony, CAIRC witness Kramer claims that COVB
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	I'm filing rebuttal testimony to correct misstatements made by the Civic Association of Indian River County, Inc. ("CAIRC") witness Jay Kramer in his direct testimony. Specifically, I rebut his claims that COVB customers residing outside the City have not been disenfranchised. On page 2 of his direct testimony, CAIRC witness Kramer claims that COVB customers outside the City have just as much influence in ratemaking as those
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	А. <b>Q.</b>	<ul> <li>I'm filing rebuttal testimony to correct misstatements made by the Civic Association of Indian River County, Inc. ("CAIRC") witness Jay Kramer in his direct testimony. Specifically, I rebut his claims that COVB customers residing outside the City have not been disenfranchised.</li> <li>On page 2 of his direct testimony, CAIRC witness Kramer claims that COVB customers outside the City have just as much influence in ratemaking as those residing inside the City. Do you agree?</li> </ul>

the COVB electric utility. Customers who reside outside the City cannot vote for
 COVB councilmembers. With more than 61% of COVB electric customers living
 outside the City limits, the vast majority of COVB's customers do not have an
 opportunity to vote for the individuals who ultimately determine their electric rates.
 That by itself is an extraordinary circumstance that warrants the Commission
 granting the regulatory approvals which will allow the PSA to close.

7

To say, as witness Kramer does, that non-resident COVB customers are on equal footing with City residents because they all may organize, fund campaigns, and lobby is disingenuous. At the end of the day, no one in good faith can deny the following fact: COVB customers inside the City who are dissatisfied with members of their rate-setting body may vote them out of office; COVB customers who live outside the City cannot.

14

# Q. What role does the Vero Beach City Utilities Commission play in setting rates for COVB customers?

A. The Vero Beach City Utilities Commission is only an <u>advisory</u> body comprised of
 five members that makes <u>non-binding recommendations</u> regarding utility matters to
 the COVB City Council. The Utilities Commission is toothless when it comes to
 setting rates.

21

Q. On page 1 of his testimony Witness Kramer claims that "extraordinary
circumstances" do not exist in Vero Beach because "the representation of
outside customers is the same as it is for city customers". Do you concur?

25

A. No. As I just explained, Mr. Kramer completely ignores the extraordinary fact that
over 61 percent of COVB's customers reside outside the City's municipal limits
and have no voice in the way the City sets its electric rates or otherwise operates
the electric utility. Mr. Kramer also completely ignores a unique and divisive
problem that has plagued our Town for years.

- 6
- 7

#### Q. What unique and divisive problem are you referring to?

8 A. Right now our community is split in two by a territorial boundary line that divides 9 the electric service areas of FPL and COVB. FPL serves Town residents living north of the boundary line, while COVB serves Town residents living south of the 10 boundary line. This unusual boundary configuration causes neighbors in the same 11 community to be served by two different electric utilities, with very different rates 12 and levels of service. It also results in unequal and unfair regulatory protections. 13 Town residents served by FPL are protected from unreasonable rates by the 14 Commission. But their neighbors served by COVB are given no regulatory 15 protections, which is a serious problem since they are completely disenfranchised 16 17 and have no vote on how COVB sets its rates or offers its services.

18

Not only is this disenfranchisement blatant, it has been extraordinarily divisive. As
Mayor of Indian River Shores, I witnessed first-hand that COVB customers in our
Town and in other parts of Indian River County have been extremely frustrated by
their inability to have a voice in the operation of the City's electric utility or in rate
setting decisions. "Taxation without representation" is a complaint I heard
repeatedly while Mayor and continue to hear to this day. The frustration of these
disenfranchised customers has prompted repeated efforts to address the issue

5

through legislation. Unfortunately, the frustration has also boiled over into multiple
 civil and administrative litigation proceedings between the City of Vero Beach and
 the Town and Indian River County, the most recent of which was filed by the Town
 while I was Mayor and remains pending in PSC Docket No. 20160049-EU.

5

6

#### Q. Would closing the PSA resolve that pending dispute?

7 Yes, it would comprehensively resolve the dispute once and for all. Here's why. A. 8 By granting the requested regulatory approvals and allowing the PSA to close the Commission would eliminate the divisive territorial boundary line that splits our 9 Town and thus unify electric service under a single provider. This in turn would 10 allow the Town and all its residents to receive FPL electric service at that utility's 11 low rates. At the same time it would protect those that have been disenfranchised 12 by giving all Town residents the much needed regulatory protection of the 13 Commission. In Order No. PSC-16-0554-PCO-EU, the Commission has already 14 recognized that the dispute would be resolved by the sale of COVB's electric 15 system to FPL, and has abated the case so the Town and COVB could focus "their 16 17 efforts on consummating the sale".

18

## 19

**O**.

#### 20

### Witness Kramer's testimony?

A. Yes. I sincerely hope that Mr. Kramer's misstatement of the facts do not distract
 the Commission from the obvious benefits of this carefully balanced deal. There is
 no doubt the Town along with the thousands of residents who receive more costly
 electric service from COVB will benefit greatly from the transaction. But this

Do you have any closing remarks you would like to make about allegations in

25 transaction also will bring tangible benefits to the City as proceeds from the sale

1		will provide it with millions of dollars in unrestricted funds which the City can use
2		as it sees fit to meet its financial needs. Further, as explained in the supplemental
3		testimony of FPL witness Scott Bores, FPL's general body of customers will
4		benefit by approximately \$99 million in present value mainly due to the economies
5		of scale of FPL serving COVB customers.
6		
7		A transaction like this one that benefits all stakeholders and resolves complex
8		and long-standing disputes – is indeed rare. It would be a tragedy if the
9		Commission were to allow this extraordinary deal to die for lack of regulatory
10		approval.
11		
12	Q.	Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
13	А.	Yes.
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

#### **Biography of Brian M. Barefoot**

#### June, 2018

Brian M. Barefoot served as president of Babson College, one of the nation's leading management schools, from July 1, 2001 until he retired on June 30, 2008, at which time he was elected President Emeritus. Located in Wellesley, Massachusetts, Babson is internationally recognized for its leadership in entrepreneurial management education.

A graduate of the college, Mr. Barefoot has had a long and distinguished record of service to Babson and served as Chair of the Board of Trustees from 1996 to 2001.

Under President Barefoot's leadership, Babson continued to strengthen its innovative programs for undergraduate and MBA students and business professionals, which have earned Babson College the #1 ranking in entrepreneurship for 22 and 25 consecutive years respectfully. Babson's F.W. Olin Graduate School of Business is among the top 20 MBA programs in the country; the undergraduate program is the top ranked small, private college for business in the U.S.; and Babson Executive Education is among the 10 leading providers of executive education worldwide.

Previously, Mr. Barefoot's career in financial services spanned more than three decades. At PaineWebber, Inc. from 1994 to 2001, he served as Executive Vice President and Director of Investment Banking, a member of its Board of Directors, and President and Chief Executive Officer of its subsidiary, PaineWebber International. During twenty-five years at Merrill Lynch & Co., from 1967 to 1992, he held various senior management positions, retiring as Senior Vice President and Managing Director. From January 2001 to July 2002, Mr. Barefoot served in various capacities, including Chairman of the Board, President, and CEO, at NeoVision Hypersystems Inc., a leading provider of software solutions specializing in advanced visualization and decision support for the financial services industry. In 1992, he founded Frontier Sports Development Corp. and served as Founder, President and CEO until its sale in 1994.

Mr. Barefoot is a former member of the Massachusetts Business Roundtable, the Board of Directors of the Boys and Girls Clubs of Boston, the American Council on Education Commission on International Education and the Council on Competitiveness. From 1987 to 1998 he served as Treasurer on the Board of Trustees of the Kent Place School in Summit, New Jersey, a leading private independent school for young women. In 1998, he was awarded the prestigious Ellis Island Medal of Honor by the Ellis Island Honor Society for his contributions to the business and educational communities.

Mr. Barefoot is a past member of the Board of Directors of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts and was Chair of both its Audit Committee and its Finance and Business Performance Committee. He continues as a member of its Investment Committee. He also served as a director of Greeley Corp. and Array Health Solutions. In addition, he was a director and Chair of the Audit Committee and a member of the Compensation and Governance Committees of Cynosure, Inc., before it was sold in 2017. He is presently a director of BigBelly Solar in Newton, MA. and also serves as a Trustee of Burr & Burton Academy in Manchester, VT. He was Chair of the Advancement Committee and a Trustee of the Saint Edwards School in Vero Beach, FL from 2009 to 2016. He is a Vice Chairman of the Indian River Medical Center Foundation in Vero Beach, FL. and served as Mayor of Indian River Shores, FL until his retirement in 2018. He continues to serve Babson College as a member of its Global Advisory Board and Chair of the President's Advisory Council.

Mr. Barefoot is a 1966 graduate of Babson College. He received an MBA degree from Pace University Lubin School of Management in 1970 and attended various Harvard Executive Education Programs.