1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		FILED 10/5/2018 DOCUMENT NO. 06460-2018 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK
4	- 11 m	The Commission Control of the Contro
5	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 20180133-EI
6	PETITION FOR LIMITE PROCEEDING TO APPRO	
7	SECOND SOLAR BASE R ADJUSTMENT (SOBRA),	
8	EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1 BY TAMPA ELECTRIC C	
9		/
10		
11		
12	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
	COMMISSIONERS	
13	PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN PREHEARING OFFICER
15	DATE:	Wednesday, September 26, 2018
16	TIME:	Commenced: 9:30 a.m. Concluded: 10:37 a.m.
	D. 1 GD	
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
18		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
19	REPORTED BY:	ANDREA KOMARIDIS
20		Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for
21		the State of Florida at Large
22		PREMIER REPORTING
23		114 W. 5TH AVENUE 'ALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
24	1	(850) 894-0828
25		
l		

APPEAR	

- JAMES D. BEASLEY, J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, ESQUIRES,
- 3 Ausley & McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee,
- 4 Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric
- 5 Company.
- J.R. KELLY, PUBLIC COUNSEL; CHARLES REHWINKEL,
- 7 DEPUTY PUBLIC COUNSEL; Office of Public Counsel, c/o the
- 8 Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812,
- 9 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of
- 10 the Citizens of the State of Florida.
- JON C. MOYLE, JR., KAREN PUTNAL, ESQUIRES,
- 12 Moyle Law Firm, P.A., 118 North Gadsden Street,
- 13 Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of
- 14 Florida Industrial Power Users Group.
- 15 WALTER TRIERWEILER, KURT SCHRADER, ESQUIRES,
- 16 FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak
- 17 Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on
- 18 behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.
- 19 KEITH HETRICK, GENERAL COUNSEL; MARY ANNE
- 20 HELTON, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL; Florida Public Service
- 21 Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee,
- 22 Florida 32399-0850, advisors to the Florida Public
- 23 Service Commission.

24

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Good morning, everyone.
3	AUDIENCE: Good morning.
4	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: According to the clock
5	at the back, I think that says 9:34. This is I
6	don't know. What's today, 26th?
7	MS. HELTON: September 26th.
8	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Counselor.
9	September 26th at 9:34 a.m. This is Docket
10	No. 20180133-EI, the TECO second SoBRA docket.
11	I'll call the hear prehearing to order at this
12	time. And if I were reading the script like I
13	should be, it would have told me what the day was,
14	so calling this to order.
15	Staff, please read the notice.
16	MR. TRIERWEILER: Good morning. By notice
17	issued on September 6th, 2018, this time and place
18	has been set for a prehearing conference in Docket
19	No. 20180133-EI. The purpose of the prehearing is
20	set out more fully in the notice.
21	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you,
22	Mr. Trierweiler.
23	We'll now take appearances, beginning with
24	TECO.
25	MR. WAHLEN: Good morning, Commissioner. Jeff

1	Wahlen of the Ausley McMullen law firm, appearing
2	with James D. Beasley of the same firm, on behalf
3	of Tampa Electric Company.
4	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.
5	Public Counsel.
6	MR. REHWINKEL: Good morning. Charles
7	Rehwinkel, deputy public counsel, with the Office
8	of Public Counsel, on behalf of TECO's customers.
9	And I would say like to enter an appearance for
10	J.R. Kelly.
11	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.
12	FIPUG.
13	MR. MOYLE: Good morning. Jon Moyle, with the
14	Moyle Law Firm, on behalf of the Florida Industrial
15	Power Users Group, FIPUG. I would also like to
16	enter an appearance for Karen Putnal, with our
17	firm.
18	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr. Moyle.
19	Commission staff.
20	MR. TRIERWEILER: Wall Trierweiler and Kurt
21	Schrader for Commission staff.
22	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.
23	MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton. I'm here as
24	your adviser. I'd also like to enter an appearance
25	for your general counsel, Keith Hetrick.

1	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Counselors.
2	Preliminary matters staff, are there any
3	preliminary matters that we need to address at this
4	time?
5	MR. TRIERWEILER: Yes, Commissioner, there are
6	a couple of preliminary matters that we need to
7	address.
8	Staff requests that all parties bring at least
9	20 copies of all exhibits that they wish to
10	introduce into evidence at the hearing.
11	The appropriate cover sheet to be placed on
12	each exhibit will be distributed by staff through
13	e-mail following this prehearing.
14	No party has indicated in its prehearing
15	statement that it desires to voir dire the
16	witnesses.
17	We've got nothing else at this time.
18	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you,
19	Mr. Trierweiler.
20	Does any other party have preliminary matters
21	they wish to address?
22	Mr. Moyle.
23	MR. MOYLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
24	And I would defer to staff, but we we had
25	kind of a late-breaking issue that cropped up last

1	week. I assume we may be having a discussion about
2	that at some point. If we are, I will defer; if we
3	are not, then then I'll talk now.
4	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Trierweiler, do we
5	have that elsewhere on the agenda today? I I
6	assume you know what Mr. Moyle is referring to.
7	MR. TRIERWEILER: Yes, Commissioner, I do know
8	what Mr. Moyle is referring to. Staff has received
9	a revised discovery response from the utility this
10	morning. We haven't had a chance to review it for
11	its contents or to decide how to respond
12	appropriately. So, we think it's appropriate for
13	staff not to address it, but of course, the parties
14	may.
15	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: With with regard to
16	the prehearing today, does staff anticipate
17	addressing the matter?
18	MR. TRIERWEILER: No, we do not. We we
19	simply don't have any preparation to do so.
20	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So, Mr. Moyle,
21	would you care to make a comment here under
22	preliminary matters?
23	MR. MOYLE: Sure. Sure. Happy to handle it
24	that way.
25	My understanding of the sequence of events is

1	as follows. There was a discovery response that
2	TECO provided last Friday to the parties and to
3	staff. The discovery response elicited a host
4	of of questions about the information in the
5	discovery response.

Staff convened a call with all of the parties Monday. There was a lengthy discussion about some of the issues. They were substantive issues that were discussed.

The call concluded with the parties saying, let's talk about this, work on this, and -- and see kind of what -- what's what, with respect to the issues that were raised.

And yesterday afternoon, there was additional information provided by the company. Staff referenced it. They have not had a chance to digest it. FIPUG has not had a chance to -- to digest it.

We're hopeful we can digest it and it will -it will, you know, not continue to -- to have
questions associated with it, but at this point, we
just don't know that and -- and so, I don't know
the right way to do it, but we were hoping to have
some kind of a placeholder that, to the extent we
can look at this, satisfy ourselves with respect to

1	the information, then I think we're good to go.
2	If we can't satisfy ourselves with respect to
3	the information, we want to preserve the right
4	to to inquire about it at the hearing. I think
5	that's you know, that's fair. If it's going to
6	be something that will be before the Commission,
7	then we should have the ability to to inquire
8	about it if we are not satisfied.
9	So, I kind of put that back to you. I've
10	drafted an issue sort of as a placeholder. And if
11	I could, I'll just read it into the record. How
12	is
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Moyle, just one
14	one second.
15	MR. MOYLE: Sure.
16	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Are are you
17	suggesting that just to clarify, you you said
18	you've drafted an issue. That would that be an
19	additional issue within the context of the the
20	numbered issues for with regard to the hearing?
21	MR. MOYLE: I I think if if staff and
22	others say, Mr. Moyle, the issue that that has
23	been raised just recently is already covered in
24	another issue, you're free to ask about it or talk
25	about it at the hearing, I don't feel the need to

1	have an additional issue.
2	If people say, nope, that's not an issue, you
3	can't ask about it, then then I would like to
4	put forward an additional issue
5	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.
6	MR. MOYLE: again, as a placeholder, so
7	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So so, one
8	second. We're dealing with preliminary matters.
9	MR. MOYLE: Right.
10	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I think you've
11	described an event. And I understand you you've
12	drafted an issue, if if I understood your point,
13	that that could potentially be an additional
14	issue. And perhaps, we could take that up when
15	we when we review the issues that are that
16	are currently on the table.
17	Would would it be inappropriate, in your
18	mind, to to speak to that at at the point
19	where we review the issues and see if that fits
20	within another? Would that be appropriate? I'm
21	just asking.
22	MR. MOYLE: Yeah, I I think I think we
23	could we could surely do it that way. I mean,
24	really, what I'm wanting to try to accomplish is
25	just to satisfy myself and my client that the issue

1	that was late-breaking, if you will, that I just
2	described, is something that we can sort through,
3	get comfortable with
4	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yes.
5	MR. MOYLE: and and be okay with, and
6	not in any way waive the right to
7	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Understood.
8	MR. MOYLE: talk about it, so
9	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yeah, I just wanted to
10	get some comments from others here.
11	MR. MOYLE: Right.
12	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Did you have anything
13	else that that you wanted to add right now?
14	MR. MOYLE: No, I think I've covered it.
15	Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Well, let me see
17	if I understand. What you've indicated just
18	to so that I understand it, there were discovery
19	responses late last week. You indicated a number
20	of questions arose because of that. There was a
21	call, I believe you said, yesterday. And there's a
22	review
23	MR. MOYLE: Monday.
24	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: pending. A number
25	of parties all the parties, perhaps, have not

1	had an opportunity to review everything.
2	Your concern is that, pending that review, we
3	don't know exactly the parties don't know
4	exactly what next steps may be. You're looking to
5	preserve rights because you haven't had an
6	opportunity to fully vet everything.
7	So, perhaps we look into this further, maybe
8	an additional issue, later here in this prehearing.
9	MR. MOYLE: That's that's right, except the
10	call was Mon Monday.
11	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Is that a fair summary
12	of where we are right now so, let me ask,
13	Mr. Rehwinkel, do you have anything you want to add
14	to to this particular discussion?
15	MR. REHWINKEL: I appreciate that. Thank you,
16	Commissioner Polmann. The only thing I would add
17	is that we are well-aware and have been involved in
18	this. I think, when we get to exhibits, there may
19	be some discussion that will bear on this and we
20	can we can speak up at that time.
21	One solution that that we would we were
22	going to ask, that might resolve a part of this
23	anyway, is to be given until, say, noon on Friday,
24	if that would work for staff, for for the
25	parties to provide amended positions or possible

1	stipulations, but we would we do have a need to
2	talk to the company and, perhaps, other parties and
3	the staff between now and then. That that might
4	bring this home. So, that's all I would add at
5	this point in time.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Well, we'll -- we'll deal with the time frame when we get to amending our issues.

Staff, do you have anything to -- to add to this particular discussion right now?

MR. TRIERWEILER: The only thing staff would add is that staff is very interested in reviewing those -- the contents of the revised discovery response that they received this morning. And it is fully their intention to respond accordingly to whatever -- whatever the substance is.

They had a serious concern. This revision addresses that concern. We would, in the normal course of business, fully explore and examine this response through the course between the prehearing and the hearing; however, OPC's suggestion is -- is well-received that, perhaps, we do consider pushing back the normal response date for issues, which would normally be tomorrow, at noon, to Friday, at noon, to give the parties and staff more time to

1	evaluate the revised response.
2	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you,
3	Mr. Trierweiler.
4	Okay. Anything else from any of the parties?
5	MR. WAHLEN: Mr. Polmann
6	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Wahlen?
7	MR. WAHLEN: I would just like to say, on
8	behalf of Tampa Electric Company, that we
9	appreciate staff raising the question on Friday and
10	Monday. We've have been working diligently to
11	prepare a revised answer that we believe addresses
12	the questions that they had. We've shared that
13	with the parties.
14	We're going to continue to work diligently to
15	make sure that everybody understands and is okay
16	with what we're doing. I like the idea of having a
17	little bit more time than normal.
18	And I think, if all of our efforts don't work
19	out and we can't put a bow on this question by
20	Friday, it this goes to Issue 2, which is cost-
21	effectiveness. And I believe we would all be able
22	to answer ask whatever questions we wanted under
23	Issue 2. And we certainly would not want to do
24	anything to try and foreclose the ability of any of
25	the parties to to ask whatever questions they

(850)894-0828

1	want.
2	So, I don't want anybody to think we're trying
3	to push this through and shut people down and lock
4	them out from a discussion or inquiry, if they need
5	it.
6	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good. I
7	appreciate everyone's comments here. And thank
8	you, Mr. Moyle, for your for your explanation,
9	bringing me up to date on everything.
10	All right. Anything else under preliminary
11	matters? I think we've we've covered
12	everything.
13	Let's move on to No. 5. We're going to go
14	through the prehearing order. We have a draft
15	prehearing order. And what we'll do is identify
16	the sections, and I'd like the parties to let me
17	know if there are any corrections or changes. And
18	let's see here. Hold on a second. We'll just turn
19	pages here, as soon as I get to the right page.
20	Mr. Trierweiler, are we starting on basic
21	positions or the issue positions? Or do we go to
22	back to Section I and start there?
23	MS. HELTON: Commissioner, usually, you would
24	start on Page 2, at the case background, and just
25	work through each section of the prehearing order.

1	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Ms. Helton.
2	Okay. I'm on Page 2 because she said so.
3	Does anyone have any comments or concerns in the
4	prehearing order, starting at case background,
5	which is Section I?
6	MR. WAHLEN: No comments.
7	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. I'll assume
8	silence means no comments, as as
9	MR. MOYLE: That that's fair.
10	MR. WAHLEN: Right.
11	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right.
12	MR. MOYLE: If we have something, we'll
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. So, I'm
14	going to go forward
15	MR. MOYLE: raise our hand.
16	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: from Section I, case
17	background.
18	Section II, conduct of proceedings.
19	Section III is jurisdiction.
20	Section IV is procedure for handling
21	confidential information.
22	MR. TRIERWEILER: Commissioner, staff would
23	note that if a party intends to use confidential
24	material at the hearing, please have copies for
25	Commissioners, necessary staff, and the court

1	reporter, in red envelopes, clearly marked with the
2	nature of the contents.
3	Any party wishing to examine the confidential
4	material that is not subject to an order granting
5	confidentiality shall be provided a copy in the
6	same fashion as provided to the Commissioners,
7	subject to execution of any appropriate protective
8	agreement with the owner of the material.
9	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, staff.
10	Section V is starts on Page 3, prefiled
11	testimony and exhibits, witnesses.
12	Staff?
13	MR. TRIERWEILER: Commissioner, staff will
14	note that the draft prehearing order, now the
15	prehearing order, states that witness summaries are
16	limited to three minutes at the hearing.
17	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So, witnesses once
18	they're brought forward, we're we're going to
19	limit their summary to three minutes.
20	MR. WAHLEN: No objection.
21	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. No issue there.
22	Section VI, looking at Page 4, order of
23	witnesses, we have, from the petitioner, three
24	witnesses. That that's all we have, right?
25	MR. WAHLEN: That's correct.

1	Commissioner, Tampa Electric would propose
2	that Mark D. Ward be listed first in the order of
3	witnesses. And we would like to take some time to
4	double-check the designation-of-issues numbers for
5	each of the witnesses, but we'll get with
6	Mr. Trierweiler if we have any concerns about those
7	by the deadline.
8	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So, you're
9	proposing to re-order the witnesses and and
10	MR. WAHLEN: Mark Ward, first; James Rocha,
11	second; and Bill Ashburn, third.
12	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Any concerns
13	among the parties or the staff?
14	MR. MOYLE: No.
15	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So, we will
16	re-order Mr. Ward first; otherwise, Rocha and
17	then Ashburn one, two, three.
18	Any other comments in Section VI, order of
19	witnesses?
20	MR. TRIERWEILER: At this time, Commissioner,
21	we don't have any stipulated witnesses; however, I
22	will note that even if the parties and the staff
23	believe the witness can be excused from the
24	hearing, staff will confirm with each Commissioner
25	that any identified witnesses can be excused.

1	And if the Commissioners don't have any
2	questions of these witnesses, then they may be
3	excused at that time from the hearing, and his or
4	her testimony and exhibits will be entered in the
5	record at the hearing as though read.
6	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you,
7	Mr. Trierweiler.
8	Mr. Wahlen, you had indicated issue numbers
9	would do you have a time frame
10	MR. WAHLEN: Whatever deadline is set for
11	providing amendments to the prehearing order, we'll
12	honor it.
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. You'll get back
14	to staff counsel?
15	MR. WAHLEN: Yeah. It will not take long.
16	Just need to double-check.
17	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Very good.
18	Thank you.
19	Moving on, if there are no other comments
20	there, we'll go to basic positions in Section VII.
21	MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, Public Counsel
22	has an error in the the first line of our basic
23	position. The word "first" it should read
24	"second." I apologize for that.
25	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So, in the first

1	line
2	MR. REHWINKEL: It should say, "Tampa Electric
3	seeks" "company seeks approval of its second
4	solar project."
5	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I'm on the top of
6	Page 5.
7	MR. REHWINKEL: Yes, sir.
8	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.
9	MR. REHWINKEL: That's that's the only
10	correction we have. Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: In the basic positions,
12	Tampa Electric, do you have any comments, changes?
13	MR. WAHLEN: Not at this time.
14	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Moyle?
15	MR. MOYLE: FIPUG is good with its position,
16	as as stated. Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Staff, we'll
18	note that one change for OPC. Do you have anything
19	else did we find any do we have any other
20	questions on the basic position?
21	MR. TRIERWEILER: Staff would just like to
22	remind the parties that changes to the basic
23	position should be submitted normally by noon
24	the next day; however, in keeping with OPC's
25	recommendation, we believe that that should be

1	moved to noon on Friday, September 28th, to give
2	the parties and staff time to react to the new
3	evidence that has been filed.
4	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Why don't why
5	don't we dispense with with that issue right
6	now. Is is everybody concurring that Friday,
7	noon, is is appropriate, acceptable to the
8	parties?
9	MR. WAHLEN: Tampa Electric concurs.
10	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: That Friday, noon,
11	is is going to the deadline for changes, revised
12	position statements on on all the issues?
13	MR. WAHLEN: Yes.
14	MR. REHWINKEL: We would agree and and find
15	that helpful. Thank you.
16	MR. MOYLE: That's fine by FIPUG.
17	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So, we'll
18	we'll make that change in
19	MR. TRIERWEILER: Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: whatever text it
21	needs to be.
22	Okay. So, beyond the basic positions, we're
23	going to move into the issues and positions in
24	Section VII. Is that is that where we are,
25	Mr. Trierweiler?

1	MR. TRIERWEILER: That's correct,
2	Commissioner. At at this time, before we go
3	into the changes and the positions on issues, staff
4	notes that that they were placed on notice that
5	each party shall take a position on each issue by
6	the time of the prehearing conference or by such
7	later time as may be permitted by the prehearing
8	officer. And the Commissioner just reset that time
9	for noon on Friday, September 28th.
10	And, according to the OEP, staff notes that if
11	a party takes no position on an issue by that time
12	that's been set, it has waived its opportunity to
13	conduct cross-examination on the issue as well as
14	filing any post-hearing brief on that issue.
15	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Thank you, sir.
16	I managed to get my pages out of order here.
17	Just one second okay. Issues and positions,
18	Issue 1, Tampa Electric.
19	MR. WAHLEN: No changes at this time.
20	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: No changes on Issue 1.
21	OPC?
22	MR. REHWINKEL: No changes.
23	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And FIPUG.
24	MR. MOYLE: No changes.
25	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. So, Issue 1

1 is no changes. 2 Issue 2, Tampa Electric. 3 MR. WAHLEN: No -- no changes at this time. 4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: OPC. 5 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner Polmann, I have 6 a -- a position -- I could -- what I would -- I 7 could do one of two things. I could read my 8 position change into the record today or I could 9 just provide it on Friday, but I do have something 10 to at least put people on notice of where we're 11 coming from. 12 MR. WAHLEN: Or both. 13 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I -- I would ask that, 14 unless it's four pages long, that --15 MR. REHWINKEL: Okay. 16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: If it's a paragraph, 17 maybe you could read it so that folks are aware 18 and -- and, then, obviously submit it when you --19 you're going to submit a package on -- by Friday 20 So, include -anyway. 21 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes, sir, I'll do that. 22 So, instead of, "No position at this time," 23 the Public Counsel's position should read as 24 follows, "Yes, assuming TECO builds at least 25 550 megawatts of solar projects. The OPC reserves

1	its rights to take positions in a future proceeding
2	to the contrary, if the entire project is not built
3	out. And the Commission should reserve its
4	jurisdiction in a future proceeding to take
5	appropriate action, if the entire project is not
6	built."
7	That's the end of our position. I probably
8	will will clean it up a little bit, but I just
9	wanted to give folks a heads-up. And that's
10	this is related to the revised
11	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.
12	MR. REHWINKEL: 12A-answer issue.
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Well, we look
14	forward to your final words.
15	MR. REHWINKEL: Again, and and it this
16	could also be modified if we work out some
17	arrangement with the company and the parties to
18	bring to you as well, which we would endeavor to
19	do.
20	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.
21	MR. TRIERWEILER: Commissioner, at this time,
22	I would just ask OPC if if they would send that
23	out to the parties after the conclusion of the
24	hearing.
25	MR. REHWINKEL: I'll do that today, just so

1 you can see it, but it's subject to revision. 2 MR. TRIERWEILER: Right. Appreciate it. 3 Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Mr. Moyle, on 5 Issue 2 --6 MR. MOYLE: So, I think, given the 7 conversation that we had previously that, to the 8 extent the issue that was raised Friday is not 9 resolved, then Mr. Wahlen indicated that tho- --10 that can be addressed under Issue 2. And we would 11 have no objection, which -- which I appreciate. I 12 just wanted to make sure we're all on the same 13 page. 14 MR. WAHLEN: That's --15 MR. MOYLE: Is that --16 MR. WAHLEN: That's still correct. 17 MR. MOYLE: So, I think -- I think that 18 would -- that would be helpful. And -- and one of 19 the things -- I'll just -- again, kind of in the 20 spirit that -- that Mr. Rehwinkel read his issue --21 make -- make the parties aware, we had a little bit 22 of discussion on this on the phone that there's a 23 question about how you calculate cost-24 effectiveness. And that's -- that's the issue. 25 And there may -- may be some testimony and

1	discussions on that.
2	But another related point and I assume this
3	is also within the ambit of Mr. Wahlen's agreement
4	that questions can be asked is, you know,
5	should should there be a common measurement of
6	cost-effectiveness that's applied, not just to
7	TECO, but to the other utilities that are coming in
8	with SoBRA, so that, you know from my
9	perspective, I think my client's perspective
10	ideally, everyone is being measured of cost-
11	effectiveness by the same by the same yardstick.
12	I'm not sure that's presently the case, which
13	leads to a question of should there be a rulemaking
14	proceeding to address cost-effectiveness so
15	everybody is on the same page, everybody knows what
16	the calculations are, how you determine whether a
17	project is cost-effective or not.
18	So, that that may be part of what what
19	is addressed or what I ask questions about at
20	the at the hearing
21	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.
22	MR. MOYLE: when it commences.
23	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: But what's your
24	position here today on this issue?
25	MR. MOYLE: I I don't I don't feel a

1	need to specifically set forth that issue, given
2	Mr. Wahlen's representation that he believes
3	Issue 2, as framed with respect to cost-
4	effectiveness, is broad enough to allow inquiry on
5	those types of issues.
6	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Moyle, I'm going to
7	ask Ms. Helton to help me interpret what you said
8	because I heard you, but I don't understand
9	MR. MOYLE: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: how you're
11	responding to the question of whether or not you're
12	changing your position. So, just give us a second
13	here.
14	MS. HELTON: The way I interpreted Mr. Moyle's
15	statement was that, when he raised his preliminary
16	thought at the beginning of the prehearing, that he
17	wanted to raise potentially an additional issue,
18	that that additional issue was whether he could
19	pursue a line of questioning during the course of
20	the hearing with respect to whether there should be
21	rulemaking proceedings about gauging cost-
22	effectiveness for these solar projects and whether
23	that could be his position under Issue Issue 2.
24	Is that correct, Mr. Moyle?
25	MR. MOYLE: Th if I may.

	•
1	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Sure.
2	MR. MOYLE: The the I think the first
3	part is. I don't I don't feel the need,
4	necessarily, to change the position. I just feel a
5	need to have on the record everyone saying, yes,
6	given the the late-breaking developments, we're
7	not going to say that's not an issue in the case,
8	you can't ask questions about cost-effectiveness
9	and rulemaking and things related to how cost-
10	effectiveness is determined.
11	So, I don't feel the need to change the you
12	know, the position because we've said we've
13	said, no, they're not cost-effective. So, I think
14	that puts it at issue, but I just don't want there
15	to be a surprise or anybody to say, well, wait a
16	minute, you know, if we go down that line and start
17	asking those questions somebody to say, we
18	didn't know you were going to ask this or it's not

And so, I want to have a full and frank and -- and earnest conversation about that, to let everybody know of that; and then, you know, just like Mr. Rehwinkel did, put them on notice with respect to the revisions to his position, if all of it is not built, reserve the right to come back in,

part of the issue.

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	that that those issues may be raised.
2	MS. HELTON: Did you want me to respond,
3	Commissioner? To I think it's obvious, by this
4	discussion today, that, for Issue 2 that as we
5	stand right now, that Issue 2 is still a live issue
6	and that the issue of the cost-effectiveness of the
7	second tranche for TECO will be at issue during the
8	course of the hearing.
9	With respect to the breadth of cross-
10	examination, we don't have the Chairman here today.
11	So, the I think the you know, that's the
12	Chairman's discretion with respect to what cross-
13	examination he will allow under Issue 2.
14	What I'm sitting here trying to think. I
15	wish I had Ms. Cibula sitting here next to me, what
16	her thoughts would be. I mean, I think, to me,
17	that is an appropriate to ask a little bit about
18	whether rulemaking is appropriate, but I certainly
19	would not want this hearing to turn into whether
20	there should be a rulemaking process at the
21	conclusion of the Commission's decision here. I
22	think that could be a discussion, but I'm not sure
23	this is the appropriate venue to do that.
24	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Ms. Helton.
25	Mr. Moyle, that was my concern, is that the

1	issue, No. 2, deals with the cost-effectiveness of
2	the 2019 SoBRA projects. And the the cross-
3	examination at the hearing the Chairman may
4	limit that. I don't have control over that.
5	And and the cross-examination may be, at the
6	Chairman's discretion, focused on the 2019 SoBRA
7	project as to cost-effectiveness.
8	Now, I understood your comment about how all
9	of the SoBRA projects among all utilities may be
10	evaluated and examined, and it may or may not be
11	the Commission's desire, as as a body, to pursue
12	rulemaking.
13	Whether that is addressed within this
14	particular hearing, I, sitting here today in this
15	prehearing, on Issue 2, I I can't address.
16	Whether I acknowledge your comments and I
17	acknowledge your concern. I don't know how to
18	address it inside of Issue 2, but I I do
19	understand your position, for purposes of this
20	issue here today, being "no."
21	MR. MOYLE: Okay.
22	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: That, I understand. I
23	don't know how to address your concern about
24	rulemaking; though, I recognize your concern.
25	MR. MOYLE: Right. Well

 1	
1	MS. HELTON: And and Commissioner, part of
2	my concern is that we've got other utilities that
3	would be affected by any such decision. So, I
4	think maybe we've heard Mr. Moyle, and maybe
5	there can be a separate discussion about whether
6	rulemaking is appropriate, but I'm just not sure
7	this is the right proceeding in which to do that.
8	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.
9	My my concern is process and procedure,
10	not not the substance of your concern.
11	MR. MOYLE: Okay. And I will just explain
12	sort of how I understand it, is, here, you have a
13	live case. You have a company coming before you.
14	They're going to say, here is how we determine
15	cost-effectiveness. I may ask them, how do the
16	others do it. Do you know. Is this consistent
17	with
18	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Absolutely.
19	MR. MOYLE: get all into that. And if
20	if it's all
21	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: In the hearing,
22	absolutely. And that
23	MR. MOYLE: Right.
24	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And I won't be
25	MR. MOYLE: And if it's all over the map

1	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: the hearing officer.
2	MR. MOYLE: then maybe there should be an
3	issue, so that, the Commission, if it's so
4	inclined, can say, we're not going to make a rule
5	today because that's not what this hearing is
6	about.
7	But given what we've heard, we should make
8	sure that all of the utilities are doing it in a
9	common way. And that's what rulemaking is for; so,
10	as part of our decision here today, we're going to
11	say, go forth and do a rulemaking in the future,
12	which would, then, give all the utilities notice.
13	And everybody can come in and and say their
14	piece. I I don't want to be foreclosed from
15	that being a possibility when the Commission
16	hears hears this case.
17	So, maybe the the best thing to do, just so
18	that we have a clear record, is for FIPUG to
19	propose that issue. And then, you know, you can
20	rule on on it, if if that makes sense.
21	And so, the issue that I had drafted said,
22	should should the manner of determining cost-
23	effectiveness be addressed in rulemaking. Pretty
24	simple, pretty straightforward.
25	MR. WAHLEN: Commissioner Polmann, I

1	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yes, sir.
2	MR. WAHLEN: don't want to confuse things.
3	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: That's all right. I'm
4	already confused no, I actually am not. I
5	MR. WAHLEN: If Mr. Moyle wants to pursue
6	rulemaking on this issue, he can file a petition to
7	do it.
8	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Well
9	MR. WAHLEN: And and
10	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: He can, certainly.
11	MR. WAHLEN: And I think that's abundantly
12	clear.
13	If he wants to ask our witnesses whether they
14	have an opinion about whether rulemaking is
15	appropriate, we're certainly not going to object to
16	that. They will have an opinion. It will be
17	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yeah, we
18	MR. WAHLEN: given quickly.
19	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: That's okay.
20	MR. WAHLEN: But I don't really think it makes
21	sense to use this docket, which doesn't have other
22	utilities in it, as the springboard for a
23	Commission vote to begin a separate rulemaking
24	docket.
25	So, we're happy to let Mr. Movle ask a few

1 questions, but we don't really think that the issue 2 that he has proposed should be included in this 3 docket. 4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Well, just -- I don't 5 want to belabor the point. I acknowledge 6 Mr. Moyle's concern. 7 MR. WAHLEN: Yeah. 8 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I -- I recognize his 9 interest in addressing what I'll -- what I'll say 10 is uniformity across the various SoBRA. And -- and 11 I stated that this particular issue, No. 2, is 12 specific to the 2019 SoBRA project proposed by --13 by Tampa Electric. 14 And -- and as stated here, I recognize that, 15 as to cost-effectiveness, that -- that the FIPUG 16 position as -- as written here in this draft is 17 "no." 18 If Mr. Moyle -- if your position can -- is 19 here, today, "no," and continues to be "no," as of 20 Friday, noon, then -- then that's perfectly clear. 21 You have other avenues and -- and -- and 22 opportunities to discuss rulemaking for a general 23 purpose, as -- as you well know. 24 If -- if you would like to propose an additional issue, then that can be considered here. 25

1	And I think we'd have to take the other parties'
2	comments in into consideration.
3	You know, I can conceive of other ways that
4	that you could approach the Commission to to
5	address that, but that's not for me to to
6	recommend to you.
7	MR. MOYLE: No, I understand. Mr. Wahlen
8	he's correct. We could file a petition for
9	rulemaking, but so could the Commission, after
10	hearing evidence. They could say, boy, this is all
11	over the map; we should, on our own motion, do
12	rulemaking.
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Of course. Of course.
14	MR. MOYLE: And I just want to make sure that
15	is not foreclosed; people are going to say, no, you
16	can't do that. I don't
17	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Right.
18	MR. MOYLE: think anyone will.
19	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yeah.
20	MR. MOYLE: So, anyway, I think I think
21	that we have until noon on Friday. We'll have some
22	conversations and
23	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good.
24	MR. MOYLE: And I appreciate the conversation
25	about this. I mean, it is an important issue.

1 It's a lot of money. 2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yeah. 3 MR. MOYLE: And I think everybody should be on 4 the same page about how these things are being --5 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yeah, and as Ms. Helton 6 indicated, we've -- we've heard the concerns and --7 and I think everybody knows where you stand, 8 whether or not -- you can do what you believe is 9 the appropriate thing to -- thank you, Mr. Moyle. 10 Anything else on Issue 2? 11 All right. Let's move on to Issue 3. 12 elec- -- or TECO -- Tampa Electric. 13 No -- no changes at this time. MR. WAHLEN: 14 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, sir. 15 MR. REHWINKEL: No changes. 16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: 17 MR. REHWINKEL: No, sir. 18 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: No change? 19 Mr. Moyle, FIPUG. 20 MR. MOYLE: No changes. 21 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. Anything 22 else on Issue 3? 23 Issue 4. 24 No changes from Tampa Electric at MR. WAHLEN: 25 this time.

1	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Public Counsel?
2	MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, we need to make
3	a revision to our position. After the phrase,
4	"They appear to be projects," but before the word
5	"less," should be inserted the words "with
6	projected average capital costs for 2018 and 2019."
7	And then the rest of it is as as written.
8	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: "With projected average
9	capital costs"?
10	MR. REHWINKEL: Yes, for 2 2018 and 2019.
11	And it's just a clarification. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So, your your
13	position reads, "Yes, they appear to be projects
14	with projected average capital costs for 2018 and
15	2019, less than or equal to 14-" "\$1,475 per kW $$
16	AC, pursuant to Subparagraph 6C of the 2017
17	agreement."
18	MR. REHWINKEL: Yes, sir, you read that
19	exactly right.
20	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.
21	MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Moyle, for FIPUG.
23	MR. MOYLE: No changes.
24	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.
25	Moving on, Issue 5: What are the estimated

1 annual revenue requirements. 2 MR. WAHLEN: No changes from Tampa Electric at 3 this time. 4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, sir. 5 Public Counsel. 6 MR. REHWINKEL: We would strike the phr- --7 the sent- -- the first sentence, "No position at 8 this time." 9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you. I was going 10 to ask about that. 11 MR. MOYLE: No changes for FIPUG. 12 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you. 13 Commissioner, at this time, MR. TRIERWEILER: 14 staff would note and respectfully assert that 15 FIPUG's position merely restates that the utility 16 has the burden of proof in this docket for Issue 5 17 and also in 6 and 7, and has not really taken a 18 position and, therefore, is in danger of waiving 19 taking a position if it doesn't change something 20 before the deadline. 21 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Moyle, I -- I had 22 that concern and, in briefing with staff, we -- we 23 discussed that the -- that the statement you've 24 made is kind of a statement of fact as -- as to how 25 we conduct business.

1	So, perhaps you could you could add a kind
2	of a yes/no position by Friday. Otherwise, what
3	Mr. Trierweiler said may put us in a peculiar
4	situation.
5	MR. MOYLE: I I appreciate that. And we'll
6	respond by the appropriate deadline.
7	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So, just a note.
8	Anything else on Issue 5?
9	MR. TRIERWEILER: No, Commissioner.
10	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Moving on,
11	Issue 6.
12	Tampa Electric.
13	MR. WAHLEN: Commissioner, on Monday, Tampa
14	Electric filed a revised exhibit for Mr. Ashburn,
15	WRA-1
16	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yes.
17	MR. WAHLEN: to reflect a correction of a
18	calculation error that occurred in a spreadsheet.
19	We've talked to the parties about it, talked to
20	staff about it.
21	We will be revising Issue No. 6 to make it
22	clear that we want the revised exhibit included in
23	the record and that that's what we'll be relying
24	on. I thought it would be helpful, in the spirit
25	of disclosure, to announce that we will be making a

1 small change to this issue. So, you've -- you will 2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: revise the text of your Issue No. 6. 3 4 MR. WAHLEN: Right. I think we'll probably 5 just put "WRA-1 revised" --6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. 7 September 24th, 20- --MR. WAHLEN: 8 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you. 9 Public Counsel. 10 MR. REHWINKEL: We would strike the first 11 sentence, "No position at this time." 12 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you. 13 MR. REHWINKEL: And ours -- and just for the 14 record, Mr. Wahlen is correct that the company has 15 sat down with the Public Counsel and explained and 16 gone over the tariff revisions. We do not have an 17 objection to the Commission taking up this issue 18 with the revision coming in at this time. 19 I just want to state that for the record, as 20 the company has been diligent and timely in 21 bringing us up to speed. 22 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr. Reh- --23 I -- I'm sorry. I know what your name Rehwinkel. 24 is -- Mr. Rehwinkel. I -- I appreciate you 25 acknowledging that.

1	Mr. Moyle for FIPUG.
2	MR. MOYLE: FI FIPUG
3	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Same comment about
4	MR. MOYLE: Same comment with respect to
5	the
6	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yes.
7	MR. MOYLE: modification of position that
8	will be forthcoming.
9	And also, we were in communications with TECO.
10	We appreciate their professionalism and bringing
11	that changed exhibit to our attention, and have no
12	objection to it.
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good. Thank you.
14	Anything else on Issue 6?
15	MR. TRIERWEILER: No, Commissioner.
16	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Moving on,
17	Issue 7.
18	Tampa Electric.
19	MR. WAHLEN: No changes at this time.
20	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: No changes. Okay.
21	Thank you.
22	Public Counsel, would you like to delete that
23	first sentence?
24	MR. REHWINKEL: Actually, I have an overhaul
25	of this that I will provide by Friday, but let me

1	try to read this into the record here today.
2	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right.
3	MR. REHWINKEL: So, our position I'm just
4	going to read it just as it should have read rather
5	than doing a strike-and-add.
6	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right.
7	MR. REHWINKEL: Our position should now read,
8	"Yes, subject to the Commission not allowing the
9	incremental costs and associated revenue
10	requirement attributable to the 5.3-megawatt/
11	2-percent variance of the Lake Hancock project and
12	subject to the contingency associated with
13	Issue 2."
14	And I will I will also e-mail that out with
15	my lengthy revision to Issue 2 as well as give
16	final positions by Friday at at noon.
17	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Moyle, Issue 7.
18	MR. MOYLE: We have no no change at this
19	time. We'll provide a change with respect to
20	Issue 7, we're talking about.
21	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.
22	MR. MOYLE: We'll put it at issue by noon
23	on
24	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Very good.
25	Thank you.

1	MR. MOYLE: Friday.
2	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Issue 8.
3	MR. WAHLEN: No changes from Tampa Electric at
4	this time.
5	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Public Counsel.
6	MR. REHWINKEL: No change. No change.
7	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right.
8	MR. MOYLE: No no change for FIPUG.
9	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Let's see.
10	Mr. Trierweiler, exhibit list.
11	MR. TRIERWEILER: Yes, Commissioner. Staff
12	has prepared a comprehensive exhibit list and
13	distributed it at the hearing. It lists all the
14	prefiled exhibits and those exhibits staff wishes
15	to include in the record.
16	Due to recent and anticipated revisions, this
17	comprehensive exhibit list is a work in progress.
18	And we the parties haven't had an opportunity to
19	determine whether or not they can stipulate to its
20	admissibility.
21	So, we're not prepared to offer the exhibit
22	list in the record at this time; however, at this
23	time, we would like to know if there are any
24	further changes that are anticipated to the made to
25	the parties' exhibits.

1	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Any comments from the
2	parties here on exhibits?
3	MR. WAHLEN: For Tampa Electric, no no
4	changes other than the revised answer to
5	Interrogatory No. 12 that we've been talking
6	about
7	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.
8	MR. WAHLEN: you know, repeatedly. And we
9	expect that to be filed the next day or two, after
10	our discussions get to a resting point.
11	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And then the that's
12	not the same issue, WRA-1.
13	MR. WAHLEN: No, that's separate. That was
14	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So, there's a
15	MR. WAHLEN: And the staff has very dutifully
16	footnoted the revision to Mr. Ashburn's exhibit
17	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.
18	MR. WAHLEN: in Footnote 2, which we
19	appreciate.
20	MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, from the Public
21	Counsel's standpoint, we just got this today. We
22	will endeavor to review it in a timely way. And
23	our anticipation is, just for to put everyone on
24	notice is that we will based on what we know
25	about the discovery that has been listed here, the

1	exhibits to the witnesses' testimony, we do not
2	contemplate, given the narrow scope of this docket,
3	having any objections to this exhibit list.
4	Also, further, just for staff and others to
5	digest, we have reviewed a draft response to
6	Interrogatory 12A, a revised draft response to I
7	guess it's supplemental 12A; is that right?
8	MR. WAHLEN: I believe so.
9	MR. REHWINKEL: And at this point, if that's
10	what's filed, I believe we will also be able to
11	stipulate to that as being consistent with our
12	understanding of of the settlement, but that's
13	just the Public Counsel's view.
14	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, sir.
15	Any other comments on exhibits?
16	MR. MOYLE: I they would parallel Office of
17	Public Counsel's with regard to
18	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.
19	MR. MOYLE: this being something that has
20	just been provided. So, we'll
21	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Right.
22	MR. MOYLE: need to review it.
23	Staff has has been great to work with in
24	past proceedings where exhibits they want to put
25	in they are providing those to the parties in

1	advance.
2	And I I hope that will continue. That
3	gives us all the information, we know what's going
4	on. No no I know they're still being
5	developed, but I'm hopeful we'll be able to work
6	through issues and not have objections to any of
7	the evidence that's that staff wants to put in,
8	provided we can see it in advance.
9	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr. Moyle.
10	I appreciate that recognition.
11	Okay. Section X, approved or proposed
12	stipulations. Mr. Trierweiler.
13	MR. TRIERWEILER: Commissioner, there are no
14	proposed stipulations on issues at this time;
15	however, we were looking at Issues 3 and 4, which
16	appear to be ripe for a stipulation of some kind.
17	And I'm I'm just not certain, with everything
18	that we've discussed today, whether or not the
19	parties think it's ripe to take up.
20	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I would I'd be happy
21	to hear any comments from the parties.
22	MR. MOYLE: I I think staff's sense of
23	sense of the status of things is is accurate.
24	So, an indirect way of saying we probably need to
25	have some further conversations before stipulating

1	to issues.
2	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Are are we are we
3	thinking something might might be is there
4	any thinking along these lines, on three and/or
5	four, is is kind of the question that I'm
6	wondering. Or is it, like, no way, forget about
7	it?
8	MR. MOYLE: The former. I'll say from FIPUG's
9	perspective, it's the former.
10	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: You might consider it.
11	MR. MOYLE: Probably, more than likely than
12	not, we'll do it.
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.
14	MR. MOYLE: But we just need to get some
15	information
16	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So, it's a more-
17	likely-than-not, perhaps.
18	MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, I can say
19	this and we have between now and noon on Friday
20	to work in that direction, and we intend to. We
21	personally believe that Issues 2, 3, 4 and the
22	issue we have the well, two, three, four, five,
23	six, and seven, are are issues that that we
24	will talk to the company about resolving.
25	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Right.

(850)894-0828

1	MR. REHWINKEL: I mean, our big hang-up is,
2	aside from this new issue that, I think, we can
3	we can work to, is the issue about the the
4	5.3 megawatts. And we have been in discussions
5	with the company for several weeks now on that, as
6	we can find time, and and we will make time to
7	do so, ahead.
8	So, we're optimistic, but like Mr. Moyle said,
9	we have to have further conversations.
10	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Sure. So, there
11	conversations.
12	MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And there are
14	possibilities. Very good.
15	MR. WAHLEN: Commissioner, if it will help
16	I've just consulted with Tampa Electric, and if we
17	understand the language that Public Counsel has
18	added to its position on Issue 2 regarding what
19	happens if we don't build 550, we would probably be
20	inclined to add that to our position so that we're
21	in agreement with Public Counsel on it.
22	Their proposal in that regard seems
23	reasonable, but we will be having further
24	discussions with them on that, but we hope to
25	continue narrowing whatever disputes there are in

1	this docket down to the bare minimum.
2	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good, sir. Thank
3	you.
4	Okay. If there's nothing else, we'll move on
5	to the next section here, which looks like pending
6	motions. Mr. Trierweiler?
7	MR. TRIERWEILER: There are no pending motions
8	at this time.
9	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Confidentiality
10	motions.
11	MR. TRIERWEILER: There are six pending
12	confidentiality motions.
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. And we'll
14	we'll deal with those at some appropriate time in
15	the future. We don't need to take any action on
16	that right now. So, we'll we'll take those up
17	timely.
18	Post-hearing procedures.
19	MR. TRIERWEILER: Commissioner, staff
20	recommends that post-hearing briefs be limited to
21	40 pages with attachments.
22	MR. WAHLEN: No objection.
23	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So, unless somebody has
24	their hair on fire, we're going to try to
25	MR. WAHLEN: That's fine.

1	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Try to
2	MR. REHWINKEL: (Inaudible.)
3	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: That's okay. We're
4	we're trying to keep
5	MR. WAHLEN: There's only one of us here who
6	has any left to to burn, so
7	(Laughter.)
8	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yeah, so, we'll
9	we'll try to keep the packet small. I don't I
10	don't think there's that much material here.
11	MR. TRIERWEILER: Staff further recommends
12	that a summary of each position, set off with
13	asterisks, should be included in each post-hearing
14	statement. And briefs, if any, shall be due on
15	November 13th and not November 12th.
16	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So, we have
17	MR. WAHLEN: We have no objection to that.
18	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: We have some head nods
19	here
20	MR. MOYLE: That's fine.
21	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. In terms of
22	rulings Mr. Trierweiler?
23	MR. TRIERWEILER: Staff had recommended that
24	opening statements be limited to five minutes or
25	less per party, unless the party chooses to waive

1 its opening statement. 2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So, five minutes or 3 less, and less could be zero. 4 MR. WAHLEN: Could. 5 MR. MOYLE: Yes, that's fine. 6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And less would be --7 will be encouraged by the presiding officer because 8 he -- he likes less. 9 MR. REHWINKEL: I -- I will need no more than 10 a minute or two. I'm sure two is more than enough. 11 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yes, that would be 12 less. 13 I think that's probably the same MR. WAHLEN: 14 for the Tampa Electric Company. We -- we know that 15 the witnesses are more important than the lawyers, 16 so... 17 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Some would think so. 18 Well, that's what we think. MR. WAHLEN: 19 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Other matters? 20 MR. TRIERWEILER: Staff is aware of no other 21 matters at this time, unless there's a matter of 22 scheduling. 23 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Comment -- yeah, comments from the parties on other matters? 24 25 MR. WAHLEN: I -- I just want to thank the

1	staff for bringing the issue to our attention on
2	Friday, and the cooperation and effort everybody
3	has been putting in to help us get to a resting
4	point on that.
5	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: We have a slight
6	revision on the hearing schedule in terms of time.
7	We we have the hearing scheduled for
8	October 11th; is that
9	MS. HELTON: Yes, sir.
10	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And we have some other
11	things on on the Commission agenda for that day.
12	So, we're going to take up this hearing we have
13	an item on our Commission agenda at 9:00 a.m. I
14	think we start our our regular agenda conference
15	at 9:00, and then we have internal affairs. We
16	have ten-year site plan. And then we're going to
17	do this. So, it will it will be floating.
18	MR. WAHLEN: So, this will be after the
19	ten-year site plan.
20	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Right.
21	MR. WAHLEN: Fair enough.
22	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So, it will be sort of,
23	like, standby.
24	MR. WAHLEN: That will be fine. Thank you.
25	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Not a time-certain

1 hearing. 2 MR. WAHLEN: Nope, no problem. We -- we 3 will -- we will have nothing more important to do 4 than this. 5 MR. MOYLE: FIPUG is -- is good with that 6 approach. Having -- having done it before, we 7 understand. And we'll work with -- work to make it 8 happen. So, no problem. 9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yeah, I'm not quite 10 sure how long the other items will take. I mean, 11 it's just one of those things. We'll have to see 12 how -- how heavy those other agendas are. 13 Sometimes the IA is pretty quick. Ten-year site 14 plan, I -- I couldn't venture a guess. So, it will 15 be immediately following everything else. 16 We're batting clean-up. MR. WAHLEN: 17 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yeah, actually, 18 we'll -- we'll be fourth. 19 All right. Anything else for today? 20 covered everything? 21 MR. TRIERWEILER: Yes, Commissioner, I believe 22 we have. 23 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. So, 24 wrapping up, we have items due Friday, by noon. 25 And I think you all have a variety of discussions

```
1
          ongoing. You have revisions to make and submit.
 2
          There will be some e-mail going back and forth.
 3
               If there is nothing else to discuss, it is --
 4
          goodness -- 10:00- -- 10:37. And I think your
 5
          prediction of one hour was quite -- was quite good.
 6
          So, I will say this prehearing is adjourned.
               Thank you very much.
 7
 8
               (Whereupon, proceedings concluded at 10:37
 9
     p.m..)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	I, ANDREA KOMARIDIS, Court Reporter, do hereby
5	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
6	time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
8	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
9	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
10	and that this transcript constitutes a true
11	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
13	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
14	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
15	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
16	financially interested in the action.
17	DATED THIS 5th day of October, 2018.
18	
19	
20	() which
21	
22	ANDREA KOMARIDIS NOTARY PUBLIC
23	COMMISSION #GG060963 EXPIRES February 9, 2021
24	EAFIRED PEDIUALY 9, 2021
25	