1	EL ODIDA	BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	DOGWEE NO. 20100202 FT
4		DOCKET NO. 20180203-EI
5	PETITION FOR APPROV TEMPORARY ELECTRIC	DOCUMENT NO. 07080-2018
6	RESTORATION PAYMENT PROGRAM ON EXPEDITE	D T F3C - COMMINISSION CLERK
7	BASIS, BY FLORIDA P UTILITIES COMPANY.	UBLIC
8		/
9		
10		
11		
12	PROCEEDINGS: COMMISSIONERS	EMERGENCY MEETING
13	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
14		COMMISSIONER COULT I. BROWN COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN COMMISSIONER GARY F. CLARK
15		COMMISSIONER ANDREW G. FAY
16	DATE:	Monday, November 5, 2018
17	TIME:	Commenced: 1:00 P.M. Concluded: 1:27 P.M.
18	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
19	FLACE.	Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
20		Tallahassee, Florida
21	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK Court Reporter
22		Court Reporter
23		PREMIER REPORTING 114 W. 5TH AVENUE
24		ALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA (850) 894-0828
25		(000) 001

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Good afternoon, everyone.
3	Welcome to the Public Service Commission.
4	Let the record show it is Monday,
5	November 5th, and we have an emergency agenda
6	today, something to take up, and then we will get
7	into the clause hearings.
8	And, Keith, or staff, who is going to walk me
9	through this emergency?
10	MR. HETRICK: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11	This meeting is being held on an emergency
12	basis pursuant to Section 125.525(3), Florida
13	Statutes, due to the catastrophic effects of
14	Hurricane Michael.
15	I am going to let Jennifer Crawford make a few
16	introductory comments about the emergency nature of
17	this proceeding.
18	MS. CRAWFORD: And actually, I don't have a
19	whole lot more to add from what the General Counsel
20	has just said. Under 125.25, if an agency finds
21	it's necessary and fair in the protection of the
22	public safety, health and welfare to hold meetings
23	like this on an emergency basis, we can do so.
24	Given the exigent circumstances of the customers
25	who are affected by the program FPUC has offered,

1	
1	we believed it was appropriate under these
2	circumstances to bring the program forward you to
3	on that emergency basis.
4	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. So what do we do now?
5	MS. CRAWFORD: I would suggest we handle the
6	item very much like a agenda item. I believe Ms.
7	Draper has a introduction she can read to help tee
8	the item up. And there are parties present to
9	address the Commission on the item.
10	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: And since this is an
11	emergency basis, I think it's probably a wise thing
12	to do is to see if anybody from the public wants to
13	speak. Should we do that before or after we take
14	this up? I mean, before you guys tee this up or
15	before we vote?
16	MS. CRAWFORD: I think we could take them up
17	at this time, if you would like.
18	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. Is there anybody
19	in the audience that wishes to speak to this
20	emergency issue? I don't see any hands.
21	Okay. Let's continue. Ms. Draper.
22	MS. DRAPER: Good afternoon, Commissioners.
23	Elizabeth Draper with staff.
24	We are here for the Commission to consider a
25	petition filed by Florida Public Utilities Company

for approval of a temporary electric restoration

payment program on an expedited basis. FPUC filed

this petition last Thursday, and a summary prepared

by staff on the proposal has been filed in the

docket filed and emailed to your offices last

Friday.

As we all know, FPUC's northwest service territory has experienced significant damage as a result of Hurricane Michael. While FPUC and other utilities in those communities have made significant progress in repairing and replacing their infrastructure and restoring power to customers, approximately 1,100 FPUC customers in Jackson, Calhoun and Liberty counties are currently unable to receive power because of damage sustained to their electric equipment.

FPUC's proposed temporary financial assistance plan is designed to assist these customers in expediting the repair to the equipment so they can resume receiving electric service. It is optional and provides for residential customers to have repairs made by a licensed and bonded electrician with FPUC paying the cost of the work performed by the electrician up to \$1,500. FPUC then bills the customer the amount paid to the electrician less an

1	administrative fee of \$20 over a one-year period.
2	FPUC has compiled a list of electricians available
3	in Marianna and surrounding communities for the
4	customer to choose from, or the customer can choose
5	their own electrician. Repairs under this program
6	can only be made to the customer owned the electric
7	equipment outside the home and not the inside
8	wiring.
9	Staff has reviewed FPUC's proposal, including
10	the cost basis for the \$20 administrative fee, and
11	believes that the proposed program and tariff is
12	reasonable, and should be approved. If approved,
13	the program will be available for 60 days from
14	today's vote, which gives FPUC time to make
15	customers aware of this assistance to have repairs
16	made and an opportunity to sign up.
17	Representatives from FPUC and OPC are here to
18	provide comments and answer any questions you may
19	have, and staff is available too.
20	That concludes my introduction.
21	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, staff.
22	Ms. Keating.
23	MS. KEATING: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman,
24	Commissioners.
25	First, we just want to thank you for getting

this heard as quickly as possible, and we know that

it was a challenge. We know it was very last

minute, and we really appreciate your staff, your

Executive Director and you helping us work through

to get this before you in a timely manner.

As Ms. Draper said, as you all know, FPUC's northwest division was hit very, very hard by Hurricane Michael, and these are rural, economically stressed communities, and we fully expect that most customers are going to find it challenging to be able to cover electricians expenses to restore the equipment that's on their side of the line so that they can receive power from FPUC.

And this is a company that feels very strongly about this community. These are not just their customers. These are communities that include their employees, their neighbors, and this is just the right thing to do. That's why we are here before you today with this proposed temporary emergency tariff.

And if I could, Mr. Chairman, I would like to kick it to Mr. Cassel to add a few additional comments.

25 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Sure.

1 Commissioners, thank MR. CASSEL: Thank you. 2 you for taking the time today to get this on. 3 is a big deal for us. And as Ms. Keating has said, 4 we look at all of our service territory as 5 important to us, but this particular one is facing 6 a lot of economic stress. And, you know, we have 7 grave concern there of a population of what we can 8 tell now, as of Saturday, we have 100 percent power 9 restored to people that can take the power, but we 10 estimate somewhere in the range of approximately 11 1,100 people that may not be able to because of 12 damage sustained, either to their house or to their 13 equipment.

So as the proposal goes, I will just summarize real quickly. It's a limited number, or pieces of equipment. And that would include the weather head, the attachment hardware, the service stack, the riser and the meter box.

So it's not an extensive program to repair homes. It's just for this equipment. And, you know, at the risk of sounding overly altruistic, it's important to us that we step in, because I think in any situation we have a responsibility, but delivering that power comes with a social responsibility, and in times like this, that are

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

probably greater than what we would normally expect. And as a company, we feel that it gets our responsibility to do something and do something quickly, and that's what you have before you now.

The program itself we anticipate being done outside in a database. We would pool those finite list of customers out and we would be able to monitor them, and we will have somebody at a clerical level being able to bill those on a monthly basis.

We don't anticipate it being a heavy lift from a technology perspective. It would be something we do manually that we can monitor those customers as well so that we can communicate with them through the process.

As far as communicating how this would get done, we have been very successful with our social media programs, so we anticipate being able to do that, as well as an email blast and phone -- outgoing phone messages, where people can take the calls.

And that's a summary of the program itself.

If there is any question, I would be hey to answer them.

25 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, sir.

1 OPC.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Good afternoon,

Commissioners. Patty Christensen with the Office of Public Counsel, and with me today is Marshall Willis and J.R. Kelly with the Public Counsel's office.

First, we would like to commend FPUC for this program that they are proposing today to pay upfront for the electrician work that would otherwise be the customer's responsibility to facilitate these customers being able to connect to FPU's system and be able to pay that back over time.

Our issue is with the future responsibility of the payback. We are fine with the tariff since it is optional to customers. But as clearly stated within the petition of FPUC, and I think by the graphic that they may have handed out earlier, this is for electrician work for the customer owned side of the equipment. And this -- we are concerned that if customers who voluntarily participate in this program don't pay back that full amount, currently, as it's put forth in the petition, FPUC proposes to record that as bad debt and then put that for the Commission's proceeding in the next

1 rate case.

We believe that this is nonutility related activity, and while commendable, should not be socialized amongst the general body of FPUC's ratepayers in Marianna, all of whom we appreciate and understand are going through tough economic times at this point in time. And we believe that as a fairness issue, and it may even be discriminatory, if you have a person who is not participating in this program, who has put the cost of putting their equipment right themselves ends up having to pay for some of bad debt expense for some people who may participate in this and default, then you may have a discriminatory situation.

And we believe that this is the Commission's, as well as the Florida Supreme Court stated, you know, in Woodford -- and I believe that's actually Citizens V Graham and FIPUG V Graham -- that the Commission doesn't have statutory authority to approve projects that are nonutility related.

And I think this is something that the

Commission has a longstanding practice of

disallowing. They have disallowed these costs from

rate case such as a Gulf Power rate case back in

the 1990s for nonutility activities such as

1 appliances and appliance sales.

And there is many instances where the utilities engage in nonutility related activities that should be recorded below the line. And we wanted to make sure that our position was clear.

We don't want to stop this program. We think that for the customers in the area, we commend FPUC, we just want to make sure that this gets the appropriate accounting treatment so that when we come to the next rate case, this is fair to all the customers who are in that area and suffering under the same circumstances.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Ms. Christensen, I got a question for you. How is this any different than somebody that just runs up a \$300 tab and doesn't pay their bill?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well, when you are talking about somebody who runs up a \$300 tab for their electricity, that's completely different because that's the sale of electricity. This is repairs and fronting costs for customer owned equipment.

This would be similar to, like, in telephone cases, where you would have repairs on inside wire.

The technical difference here is this is on the

1	outside of the customer's house. But clearly, this
2	is delineated by the utility, and I don't think
3	there is really any dispute that this is for
4	customer owned equipment, and this would be
5	otherwise the customer's responsibility.
6	And really what we are talking about here is
7	trying to facilitate them being able to take
8	electricity at their house. But this is not
9	something that, absent these circumstances, this
10	utility would be trying to front the money for the
11	customers. This is customer responsibility.
12	It's, you know, similar to a water line, they
13	take it up to a certain point, but the customer,
14	after that point, has the responsibility. This
15	would be similar to them, if they wanted to say,
16	well, if we wanted to front a plumber to repair
17	your line from the meter to your house, it's
18	similar to that situation. This is and
19	therefore, it really is non it's not a utility
20	responsibility. And they are very distinct, I
21	believe.
22	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Staff. Thank you very much.
23	MS. BULECZA-BANKS: Very ferruled and very
24	old.
25	I appreciate the comments that the Office of

1	Public Counsel has made. I think it's important to
2	look at situations as they occur and as times
3	change.
4	At this point, I would not personally
5	recommend making a decision on how to account for
6	these costs. One, we don't know if they are even
7	going to have any. Two, I think there is that,
8	to me, is an issue that really could use to be
9	addressed in the rate case because there are
10	could be circumstances that we need to look at.
11	For example, while you may deem them as
12	unregulated, but for that provision of service, the
13	utility would not be able to provide utility
14	service. There is an element that it's a
15	necessity.
16	And while this is a little bit off to the
17	right a little bit, if you look at the issues that
18	have happened in Flint, Michigan, and Ms.
19	Christensen just brought up about the utility line,
20	that's something that they also are looking into to
21	be able to provide the utility to cover the cost of
22	that line in order to provide the service
23	necessary.
24	Times change, and I don't think we need to be
25	making a decision on the accounting right now. I

1 think that's a -- something that could easily be 2 addressed in the rate case that would be coming 3 forth. 4 We are not changing rates today. We are not making that determination. We are not looking at 5 6 an impact. And a lot of the things that we account 7 for from an accounting standpoint for a regulatory 8 does not follow strict GAAP accounting. That's not 9 what regulatory accounting is. We look at what is 10 a good policy to implement. 11 And it may be after when -- if it's brought 12 during the rate case we may find, no, that 13 shouldn't be collected over the general body of 14 ratepayers, but we may, because the more customers 15 on-line, the more fixed costs can be spread over a 16 general body of ratepayers. If these ratepayers do 17 not come back on-line, they are going to have all 18 these costs and new infrastructure costs in a 19 smaller base. 20 So I just think there is some questions that I 21 think the Commission needs to look at and have the 22 testimony in the next rate case. 23 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Ms. Keating. 24 I honestly couldn't say it MS. KEATING:

better than Ms. Bulecza-Banks.

25

The company is not

1	asking the Commission to make a decision on
2	ultimately whether any bad debt is recoverable
3	through customer rates. We have simply stated our
4	intent to record these, any bad debt that arises as
5	a result of this program as bad debt expense, but
6	we fully expect it to be an issue that would come
7	up in the company's next rate case.
8	Obviously, we have a difference of opinion as
9	to whether those amounts will be recoverable, but
10	we don't think we need to have that fight right
11	now.
12	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Ms. Christensen.
13	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Briefly address that, and
14	have Mr. Willis, who has more than 30 years of
15	accounting experience address our position.
16	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Willis.
17	MR. WILLIS: Commissioners, thank you for the
18	opportunity.
19	I think the easiest way to solve this is to
20	have the company separately account for these costs
21	that might come up as far as bad debt expense
22	related to this, and let it be argued out in the
23	rate case, but not render something or not put
24	down in an order coming out from you today that it
25	is a legitimate cost to be passed on to customers,

1	because I fully believe it's not. It is nonutility
2	related, and that's something this commission has
3	taken great strides to not do, is to put nonutility
4	related expenses or rate base on to current
5	customers.
6	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Commissioners.
7	Commissioner Brown.
8	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. I do want to
9	say this is a tremendous civic initiative. You all
10	should be commended. Very creative. Thank you so
11	much for bringing it to us, and to your customers.
12	Regarding this timeframe, it's 60 days that
13	you are offering this optional tariff?
14	MR. CASSEL: Yes, Commissioner. That's
15	correct.
16	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Do you think that's
17	enough time?
18	MR. CASSEL: We are open to that discussion.
19	We picked 60 days. You know, we've talked about a
20	number of timeframes. What we are trying to do is
21	leave it enough time that people can take
22	advantages of it without leaving it open-ended,
23	knowing that most people are going to do something
24	quickly so
25	COMMISSIONER BROWN: If you foresee a need to

(850)894-0828

1	have that time extended, how do you see
2	procedurally, you coming back before the Commission
3	for an extension?
4	MR. CASSEL: I would say probably an extension
5	would be the right course of action after the 60
6	days, and once we know how the program is starting
7	to work out.
8	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Well, thank you very
9	much.
10	MR. CASSEL: Thank you?
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I am in full support of
12	it, and appreciate you guys coming before us.
13	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Polmann.
14	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.
15	Chairman.
16	A follow-up to Commissioner Brown's question.
17	Do you have any plan? Is there any
18	consideration of those customers who may already be
19	in the process of working with an electrician, or
20	perhaps something that they've just repaired and
21	paid for, or, you know, put on credit and maybe
22	really don't have the money but struggle to put
23	their equipment back into service, is there any
24	consideration of that?
25	MR. CASSEL: Well, that's a great question.

1	And as you know, a program like this, that's
2	unprecedented, and we are figuring it out as we go
3	in a lot of sense, we know of that population of
4	approximately 1,100 customers, there is going to be
5	several layers of customers in there; some that
6	have insurance and this isn't an issue for them;
7	some that are going to pay for it on their own, and
8	then that smaller population that we anticipate
9	needing the help.
10	Now, as they approach us, you know, we will
11	keep track of who's asking for what. And if it's
12	somebody that's done it, we wouldn't necessarily
13	turn them away, but we would want to understand the
14	circumstances a little bit more.
15	And, again, this is why there is some amount
16	of urgency on this because it's as that goes on,
17	people you know, we have cold weather coming, we
18	know people are going to want to do something. So
19	we don't want to have that population of people
20	caught in between grow too large.
21	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.
22	Well, I also appreciate the company proposing
23	this program. I consider it a very creative
24	solution to help customers work toward

recuperating.

25

The storm has been devastating, and

1 particularly in the counties you have identified. So I think moving forward as quickly as possible 2 3 would be very helpful. 4 Certainly, we all know that all homes rely on 5 electric power, and without the program, I would 6 agree with you, I believe it will be customers that 7 simply can't deal with this in a timely and quick 8 I would hate to see folks simply left manner. without service. 9 10 So I commend you for bringing this forward and 11 helping to pay upfront. I think it's very important the way we've structured this with 12 13 licensed and bonded electricians, but also giving 14 folks some flexibility to identify their own. 15 Maybe that helps with timing, and so forth, 16 provided that you have put forward with these 17 qualifications. 18 Therefore, I really do believe, Mr. Chairman 19 and Commissioners, that this is in the public 20 interest and it should be approved, as. 21 As to the accounting, I would agree with the 22 I think that is something -- you comments here. 23 stated it in this document very clearly in 24 paragraph 12, on page 4, how you would address this 25 to separately account for that. I don't see an

1	issue with that.
2	So thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Clark.
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
5	I just want to echo those sentiments as well.
6	I really appreciate the work that FPU did in making
7	this program happen, and making it happen so fast.
8	But I also want to acknowledge our staff's
9	contribution to being receptive to a little bit of
10	out-of-the-box thinking here and working with us,
11	Mr. Braulio, the work the staff did was tremendous
12	in getting this thing together this fast as well,
13	so I wanted to acknowledge that as well.
14	Ms. Christensen, I understand your concern,
15	too. I think that the point is right on target
16	about let's just examine this during the next rate
17	case. I think, if you are talking 1,500 consumers,
18	the number the amount of bad debt is potentially
19	going to be a very, very small amount. Most people
20	don't realize their electric service can't be
21	disconnected when they don't pay that bill, so they
22	are going to make sure they get that paid. I don't
23	think that's going to be an issue. I certainly
24	hope that it's not, but, again, I want to commend
25	FPU.

1	I do good have one quick question. The
2	ability to identify contractors, that was one of my
3	concerns early on, was the number of contractors
4	that we would be able to engage. Were you able to
5	put together new contractors beyond the scope of
6	what's already there and on the ground?
7	And secondly, I am going to get way out on a
8	limb here, did you consider actually putting your
9	own group together to perform these services?
10	MR. CASSEL: Well, yes and yes.
11	So to address the first one. You know, when
12	we initially looked at this, we went into the
13	community to try to identify electricians that
14	would suit to be able to do this work, and we
15	initially came up with three people. There is some
16	concern there, as I believe you know, and what we
17	did was expand that search.
18	And what FPU's concern, aside from our
19	customers, we wanted to make sure we don't disrupt
20	the economy in those small areas either. If there
21	is electricians to do it, that's our goal, is to
22	use local help to do that.
23	We were able to grow that list to 18. We
24	expanded it to the other smaller communities, and
25	we have been able to expand that list. So in the

petition, you will see that we also had a plan, if

we needed to, to bring electricians in from other

parts of the state. That would not be our initial

goal, and that is now, at this point, just a

standby plan. We think we do have enough people

and -- well, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: No. Continue, please.

MR. CASSEL: So of the list of 18 that we now have, our goal would be able to utilize those first. And we did, at one point, have electricians that that we thought we would use, and we've consulted other companies in the area as well. But again, all of that, at this point, seems like a secondary plan, if needed.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. And, Mr. Chairman, I would just conclude with probably the most shocking thing, and I had the opportunity to work in storms a number of years. I have never seen this happen, but one of the stories that was relayed back from the FPU service territory, and I think Mr. Cassel can confirm this, but the first time I ever saw customers came in and actually canceled and disconnected their electric service with the utility company to be able to get their deposit back to buy food during this time.

1	That was probably one of the more devastating
2	stories that was a little bit of an eye opener for
3	me. Mr. Cassel, is that an accurate
4	MR. CASSEL: I would absolutely agree. And we
5	have seen things here. You know, we've become
6	quite good at restoring from hurricanes
7	unfortunately. And this particular area, as
8	Ms. Keating had mentioned, is economically
9	challenged in a lot of ways. And we have a
10	president that has very little tolerance for not
11	doing the right thing.
12	So we saw several of those stories, and we saw
13	it increasing, and that's where the decision was
14	made, we were challenged to go figure this out and
15	figure it out immediately, because that is a
16	devastating thing to see, and we can't stand by and
17	just allow that to happen.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Fay.
20	COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	I share the sentiments of the Commission. I
22	think this is fantastic that it's been brought
23	forward. I think Ms. Keating probably said it
24	best, it's the right thing to do, and I think staff
25	has worked on this to ensure that happens. You

1	have worked on this.
2	And I also appreciate Mr. Willis' and OPC's
3	comments. I think this is something that is
4	extremely positive for the individuals in this
5	area. And the discussion of what we will do moving
6	forward with the debt expense, I think, is
7	something that can be looked at for the rate case.
8	So I think it would be unfair not to recognize
9	those comments, too, because I think they are
10	appropriate and appreciated.
11	My only specific question is what where are
12	you in the process as far as notification to these
13	individuals, and what we do to move forward to make
14	sure that they have that information?
15	And just finally on that, is there anything we
16	can do as a commission to help ensure that
17	information gets into the right hands?
18	MR. CASSEL: Well, thank you for that.
19	Currently where we are, we have compiled that
20	list, and we've placed that on our website.
21	As I said earlier, we have a very robust
22	social media program that we have been using all
23	through our hurricane restoration efforts. So
24	pending a decision here today, I have people ready
25	to go that would start the email blast, the phone

1	blast and the communication. So we have not done
2	that yet. We have compiled that list of
3	contractors, though, on the website.
4	And as far as additional help, we may we
5	are trying to figure that out as we go. We are
6	hoping the communication that we get, it's a fairly
7	small group of you know, it's a disbursed area,
8	but it's a small part of our territory, so we are
9	hoping that that communication is effective in the
10	way we have been doing it to this point.
11	COMMISSIONER FAY: Yeah. Just as a last
12	point, I would share Commissioner Brown's point, in
13	that if you feel, through that notification
14	process, that more time is needed to ensure that
15	those individuals are able to respond, I would hope
16	that you would find it appropriate to do what you
17	did in this instance and bring that forward to
18	staff, and hopefully it will be something we can
19	look at and ensure that it you know, they have
20	the time that's needed.
21	MR. CASSEL: Absolutely.
22	COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Was that a motion?
24	COMMISSIONER FAY: I motion to move the item,
25	Mr. Chairman.

1	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. It's been moved
2	and seconded.
3	Before I take a vote, is there anybody that
4	came in from the public that wishes to speak to
5	this emergency item?
6	Seeing none. Commissioners, all in favor the
7	motion, say aye.
8	(Chorus of ayes.)
9	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Any opposed?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: By your action, you have
12	approved this item.
13	Thank you very much.
14	MS. KEATING: Thank you, Commissioner.
15	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you for your time.
16	Thank you for this creative idea.
17	OPC, thank you for your input.
18	Staff, thank you for bringing this before us.
19	Okay. We are going to adjourn this special
20	hearing, and we are going to start the clauses here
21	in this room in five minutes.
22	(Proceedings concluded at 1:27 P.M.)
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF LEON)
3	COUNTY OF BEON
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 13th day of November, 2018.
19	
20	Debli R Kruci
21	Deblu K Frice
22	
23	DEBRA R. KRICK NOTARY PUBLIC
24	COMMISSION #GG015952 EXPIRES JULY 27, 2020
25	

ABOVE GROUND SERVICE



