1		OF FLORIDA
2	DIVISION OF ADMI	INISTRATIVE HEARINGS
3	PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM,	FILED 7/24/2019 DOCUMENT NO. 05940-2019 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK
4	Petitioner,	
5	vs.	Case No. 18-4422
6	SOUTH SUMTER GAS COMPANY, AND CITY OF LEESBURG.	LLC.
7	RESPONDENTS.	
8		/
9		OLUME 7
10	PAGES	817 - 910
11	PROCEEDINGS:	FINAL HEARING
12	BEFORE:	E. GARY EARLY Administrative Law Judge
13	DATE:	June 27, 2019
14	TIME:	Commenced at 9:00 a.m.
15	LOCATION:	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE
16		HEARINGS 1230 APALACHEE PARKWAY
17		Tallahassee, Florida
18	REPORTED BY:	DANA W. REEVES
19		Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
20		J
21		
22		R REPORTING
23	TALLAHAS	STH AVENUE SSEE, FLORIDA
24	(850)	894-0828
25		

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR THE PETITIONER: ANDREW M. BROWN, ESQ.
3	THOMAS FARRIOR, ESQ.  Macfarlane Ferguson & McMullen
4	Suite 2000 201 North Franklin Street
5	Tampa, Florida 33602
6	FRANK C. KRUPPENBACHER, ESQ. Frank Kruppenbacher, P.A.
7	9064 Great Heron Circle Orlando, FL 32836
8	
9	FOR RESPONDENT CITY OF LEESBURG:
10	JON C. MOYLE, ESQ. KAREN ANN PUTNAL, ESQ.
11	IAN WALDICK, ESQ. Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
12	118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301
13	
14	FOR RESPONDENT SOUTH SUMTER GAS COMPANY:
15	JOHN LESLIE WHARTON, ESQ. Dean Law Firm
16	Suite 815 215 South Monroe Street
17	Tallahassee, Florida 32301
18	FLOYD SELF, ESQ. Berger Singerman, LLP
19	Suite 301 313 North Monroe Street
20	Tallahassee, Florida 32301
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	g processings account to the grant of the gr		
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES		
2	WITNESS	PAGE	
3	THOMAS MCDONOUGH		
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WHARTON CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. MOYLE	822 851	
5	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. BROWN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WHARTON	852 895	
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BI PR. WHARTON	0,75	
7	RICHARD MOSES		
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BROWN	902	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		TMDEV MO EVILLETMO	
		INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2	FOR COL:		
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4			
5	COL 38	As identified on the record	900
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: All right. Would you raise your
3	right hand?
4	Whereupon,
5	THOMAS MCDONOUGH
6	was called as a witness, having been first duly sworn to
7	speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
8	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
9	THE WITNESS: I do.
10	THE COURT: Your full name, please.
11	THE WITNESS: Thomas K. McDonough.
12	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.
13	We sort of jumped right into that. Put on the
14	record today is day four, Thursday, June 27th,
15	2019, and we are proceeding with the final hearing
16	in Peoples Gas System versus South Sumter Gas
17	Company and City of Leesburg, 18-4422.
18	All right. Mr. Moyle I'm sorry. Mr.
19	Wharton. South Sumter's case.
20	MR. WHARTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. WHARTON:
23	Q Sir, would you state your name for the record?
24	A Thomas K. McDonough.
25	Q All right. And by whom are you employed and

1	in what capacity?
2	A I am employed by The Villages of Lake Sumter
3	as Director of Development.
4	Q And what are the duties of your position?
5	A I oversee all contracts, draws, work
б	schedules, anything that has to do with the utilities
7	and horizontal work, which includes roads and streets,
8	street lights, landscape irrigation for commercial and
9	housing developments.
10	Q And let's just make sure the record's clear.
11	Is that a complete definition of for what is
12	horizontal construction?
13	A Basically, but it's a lot more involved with
14	being that we have to work with the engineers prior to
15	the start of construction. We have to establish the
16	contracts. We have to do the schedules. We have to
17	complete everything to be supportive of home
18	construction, or commercial construction.
19	Q How long have you been
20	THE COURT: You said The Villages of Lake
21	Sumter. Is that the official name of The Villages
22	or is that a piece of The Villages?
23	THE WITNESS: That's the official name for it.
24	THE COURT: So when people say The Villages,
25	it's actually The Villages of Lake Sumter and

```
1
         that's the entirety of the area that we saw
2.
         yesterday on a -- one of the maps?
 3
               THE WITNESS:
                            That's what I understand.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  All right.
5
    BY MR. WHARTON:
               How long have you been with The Villages or
7
    one of its affiliated companies?
8
         Α
               Thirty-one years.
9
               All right. And how many -- the construction
         0
10
    of how many homes have you been involved in during that
11
    time?
12
               70,000, 71,000.
         Α
13
               All right. Describe your professional
         0
14
    experience, if you will.
15
               As far as what I've done in the --
         Α
16
               Sure. Just go all the way back and don't
17
    repeat stuff you've already said.
18
               I was born and raised in Beaver Island,
         Α
19
    Michigan. Worked in my family's food store for -- while
20
    I was in high school and grade school. Did a small
21
    stint -- graduated from high school. Did a small stint
22
    at a local university for one semester.
                                              Returned home
23
    and went to work for my uncle in site construction.
24
    did some light commercial, some residential type things.
25
    In the winter time worked as a logger.
                                             In the spring
```

2.

time worked in the saw mills to produce the lumber out of the materials that we cut.

And then in 1987 I was offered a position with the, what was then called Orange Blossom Gardens, which is now The Villages of Lake Sumter as a superintendent for utility work, which was the water mains and the curbing, roads and wire utilities. And then, as the years progressed, I was moved into the position of managing all of the -- we went from a -- originally had all in-house personnel that did all the work. In 1989 they decided that we were moving at a pace that we couldn't afford to continue with trying to manage all the equipment and personnel and we needed to become managers of subcontractors. So we started in the subcontracting of all of our work in 1989.

And been in basically that same position since that time, running the contracts, running the schedules, running the engineers, landscape architects, golf course architects, to make sure that every part and piece got put together to complete an entire infrastructure and road system that supported the residential and commercial.

It also had, with the contracts, I was -- one of my jobs was to continue with job costs, and that was broken down into a lot of different entities. We have a

water system. We have a sewer system. We have an irrigation system. We designed and construct a complete cable TV system that was sold to Comcast. And then it's just continue to keep those jobs and spreadsheets on costs per home site, or cost per linear foot of roads, so that when other projects are coming up, we have the appropriate cost estimates that they can use to project what's coming in the near future.

Q You alluded to this and -- but just as a general matter, describe for the judge, if you will, the pace of construction at The Villages. How would you characterize it?

A It's very aggressive. You know, it all depends on the rate of sales, but we've seen on an average now from -- we have to produce, now with its entirety of all the infrastructural work, 200 home sites a month right now. We've seen it in cases in the past where it was nearly 600 home sites per month in the mid-2000s. So it's adjustable by the rate of sales, but we have to be in front of it enough to -- you know, most of our unit development is based on that first home started and most of our development units run from 75 to 150 average, so we have to make sure that, you know, that we complete 150, so that first home gets started within that subdivision.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I've only known The Villages throughout my whole career, but in listening to, you know, magazines and other things, we're one of the fastest-growing single-site developments in the Unites States. hear from other contractors we deal with, utility companies that come in that we work with, Duke Energy, Sumter Electric, TECO from time -- in the past and present, and they think it's pretty absurd, but we have a very good -- and it's based on communication -- with all of our providers to be able to fit in and handle that kind of pace. So to me it's just fairly normal. To other people it seems to be very aggressive. So how many individuals, whether they're 0 employed by The Villages or an affiliated company or not, are under your responsibility and supervision on a typical day? Α About 450. All right. You also alluded to this, but in 0 your experience have you overseen the installation of different kinds of utilities like water, wastewater, cable, natural gas? Α We do -- like I said, we designed --I have. in my office we designed and constructed a complete

cable TV system that's in agreement with Comcast and

turn it over to them.

We have, in the gas, worked with

2.

qas system?

agreements with City of Leesburg and TECO Peoples Gas.

We have direct coordination with Sumter Electric, who is our electric provider right now to -- for design and construction. We, in the past, we've had agreements with Century Link. That was a phone and internet provider that we had retained contracts for. They no longer work with us, but we have in the past for all of our development. So, yeah, we do multiple coordination with other utility companies.

Q I think you may have also alluded to this, but so the record's clear, your experience includes working

A Yes, it is. We developed a subdivision, about 2,500 units in Fruitland Park, which was in the area of City of Leesburg that provided gas to our commercial development within that, and we also worked with the City of Leesburg on electric, and we have in the past, for years, probably in the late '90s and early 2000's had an extensive part of the agreement with the TECO Peoples Gas to supply gas service to our commercial and residential home sites north of State Road 44.

with both Peoples Gas and the City of Leesburg's natural

Q Are you the fellow at The Villages who is ultimately responsible for making sure the kind of elements you've been testifying about come together so

1 that the pace of construction can be maintained? 2. Α Yes, I am. 3 As a part of the duties you've been 4 describing, do you also do things such as price, 5 infrastructure, calculating cost, track cost, things like that? 6 7 Α Yes, we do that -- spreadsheets monthly that 8 update all of our new contracts that are coming in, 9 broken down into each subsection that deals with the 10 roadways, the drainage systems, the sanitary and sewer, 11 the water, the irrigation, the survey, the geotechnical 12 testing, joint trenching, recreation trails. And we 13 keep that broke down into the subsections to come up the 14 total costs per home site, but we do that monthly and 15 it -- once we have input, the only thing that we have to 16 do is make adjustments during the course of the contract 17 if there's change orders that change the scope of the 18 work that's added to those contracts. 19 During the course of your duties as you've 20 described them, have you been involved in projects in 21 both residential and commercial? 22 Α I have. We have postal facilities. We have 23 recreation facilities, large, small and medium. We have 24 strip centers for food stores, all kinds of restaurants,

banks, medical facilities, medical office complexes.

25

```
1
    It's a full array of all different services to provide
 2
    service to the --
 3
               MR. WHARTON: We tender Mr. McDonough as an
 4
          expert on residential and commercial horizontal
 5
          construction.
                           No objection.
 6
               MR. BROWN:
 7
                           No objection.
               MR. MOYLE:
 8
               THE COURT:
                           Without objection, Mr. McDonough
 9
          is found to meet the criteria established in 90.702
10
         and is accepted as an expert as tendered.
11
               MR. WHARTON: Thank you. Your Honor, may I
12
         approach?
13
               THE COURT: Yes, sir.
14
    BY MR. WHARTON:
15
                     Let's knock down an easy one. I think
          0
               Okay.
16
    I -- as good as an easel.
                                This is PGS --
17
               MR. BROWN:
                           Six.
18
    BY MR. WHARTON:
19
               Okav.
                      Take a look at PGS No. 6, if you will,
          0
20
    Mr. McDonough. Do you recognize these areas that we've
21
    been sometimes referring to with the spaghetti lines
22
    that are in blue and red here?
23
         Α
               I do.
24
         0
               All right. What's the blue one?
25
               The blue one is The Village of Fenney where we
         Α
```

1	entered into the agreement with TECO Peoples Gas.
2	Q Okay. And what about these red ones?
3	A The red ones is what I refer to as Bigham
4	East, Bigham North, Bigham West. They're subdivisions
5	that are outside the Fenney and the TECO Peoples Gas.
6	Q Okay. How many homes have been constructed to
7	date in the three Bighams?
8	A To date?
9	Q To date, roughly.
10	A 2,000.
11	Q Okay. What is the total number of homes that
12	could be built in the three Bighams and in these
13	cross-hatch areas that is shown on this map?
14	A Well, part of the cross-hatch area is a
15	commercial area, but if the slash to the east the
16	dash to the east and the other ones, there's 4,200.
17	Q Okay. 4,200 units?
18	A Yes.
19	Q 4,200 homes?
20	A Yes.
21	THE COURT: So residentially, you're about
22	halfway built out?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	BY MR. WHARTON:
25	Q Mr. McDonough, what is the joint trench method

of construction?

A If I can go back just a little bit. Back when we first started doing development, we had some really serious problem with multiple wire utilities that were having to construct their facilities as not necessarily part of our development, but their obligation to permit service to our homes, there is easements that are set up for those utilities to be placed so that they're within dedicated easements, or that type of work. And, you know, first in took a spot, second in, try to avoid the first one so they moved around a little bit. Third one came in. All of a sudden we started homes and we got wires up underneath houses. We've got second utility and third utility cutting and doing damage to the first utility in.

So we came up with a plan to pull in all of the wire utility companies that we would provide trench for them four-foot wide, four-foot deep. We did the survey for them. We did -- make sure the grades were prepared properly for them to construct those facilities, and it also -- we did details to make sure that all of those utilities had the appropriate separation from one another to meet their requirements by electrical set by the state or feds for separation. Everybody could see where everybody was at. They

replaced in different lifts to make that separation happen, staking in the corners so they could know where to stub up their stubs to support the houses, and then we backfilled -- we safed up and then we backfilled and put back grade and then they came back in and did their makeup of their splicing makeup so that they were ready to support the houses.

As the time went on, we had some different options with type of homes that we were offering and the -- what was a fairly simple just rear-yard easements and construction became necessary for zero-lot-line villa types of projects that were -- would be constructed only in the front yards and all the utility stubs would be in the front of the home, so that -- that added additional detail and width to our trenches to support not only water, irrigation, sewer force mains, and then we have electric, we have telephone, we have cable TV, we have services running to the homes; water -- irrigation, sanitary, sewer.

So we expanded on the trench to be able to support multiple different entities and still allowed them to maintain their separation by what they're required to do. And everything was in plain sight.

Everything was placed -- if they had to go over a water main, they had dirt, we had dirt. Put them at different

levels so that nobody had to come back in after it was complete. All the stubs were there for everything to support that home, and then it was simply safe out, backfill and all the stubs were at the homes to support.

Q Within the course and scope of your duties, are you personally acquainted with the provision of natural gas service by PGS and The Village of Fenney?

A I am.

Q Was The Villages satisfied with the performance of PGS in The Village of Fenney?

A We were hoping that was going to happen. We had worked with them in the past and we had a very good relationship with them. At some point we were doing three times the amount of homes per month that we were doing in Fenney, but we were somewhat surprised and we started off almost immediately with problems.

Q Relate if you will the kind of problems that were experienced with PGS and The Villages of Fenney.

A Well, the agreement -- there was -- we had already started our work in Fenney. So we had four development units that were, as far as the horizontal construction, were complete prior to the agreement being signed and TECO Peoples Gas coming in to start in our -- with us in our joint trench system and knowing they still had to go back and go into the four development

units to provide gas service to all the homes, part of the agreement was that they were guaranteed that every home within The Village of Fenney would have gas service and would have certain appliances that would be hooked up to that gas service.

So we have biweekly meetings. We started communication with TECO Peoples Gas immediately after the -- it was signed. We brought them in. You know, gave them the proper maps on what we had already completed, maps on where we were going in the future for them to be able to do their engineering for their gas mains and services, and give them schedules on where we were proceeding and at the rate that we were proceeding for them to enter into the trench with us so that we could complete the trench.

There was, you know, wording that they had to -- they had to make sure that they ran at our pace and if they didn't run at our pace we were -- we would have to just move ahead and backfill our facilities and we could charge them for the trench. We didn't do that, but there was, in a couple of the first units that started, that were -- we had to backfill our facilities and they then had to come back in and add that onto the areas that they had to complete the four units that we had.

1 THE COURT: How many homes in a unit? Is that 2. a standard --3 THE WITNESS: In the typical villa unit, 4 there's 75 average. 5 THE COURT: So you had already completed roughly 300 homes --7 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: -- before completing the units? 9 That's about right. THE WITNESS: 10 In the course of that, when we complete our 11 unit construction, or our villa construction, home 12 construction moves in almost immediately after we 13 So they started their work. R.A.W., the complete. 14 contactor that was working for TECO, brought in 15 folks to try to not only complete the units that 16 are behind them, but try to get caught up with our 17 joint trench schedules. They never moved in the 18 appropriate amount of people to do that. When they 19 were doing, you know, like the villa products are 20 35-foot right-of-ways, pretty much everything is 21 stacked tight, so when they went in there then they 22 started cutting our facilities with our cable TV, 23 they had problems with cutting the phone system. We had sewer laterals that were being broken. 24 25 had water lines that were being broken and service

lines to the houses were being broken. But we worked through that.

And then they had to go back in the units where we had backfilled the trench and had to re-dig their facilities in, and they kind of went on their own, completed a whole unit, Unit 3 and Unit 2, and homes construction started and we found that they were out of the easement and they were up underneath homes and outside of where they had to be.

So that set them back even further, not bringing any more personnel in to try to get it put back together. They had to go back and remove all the lines that they had put in and start readjusting and put them in the proper locations that are within in the easements.

As we went along, they caught up on the actual laying of the lines within our actual trenching, but they weren't completing tie-ins, putting valves on, doing a complete -- a complete job testing of their facilities. So that caused us more problems with -- we were having to do roads and started a Fenney's project and that was giving us another holdup on completing our work.

As the home construction started, they -- home

construction is -- it's a computerized system and each task that -- from start to finish on a home is put into the computer bank, giving so many days depending on the type of home that they're trying to build, so many days for the foundation, so many days for the rough plumbing. So many days for the -- and it's on a computer printout that all of the subcontractors are hooked into. So it's a very defined amount of time for every home, which people were supposed to be in there, what time, and so that it's a continuous pace of people completing, entering into the computer they're complete, so that the next subcontractor can come in and do his work.

So they're very regimented when it comes to that kind of a schedule and almost immediately we were having problems with them not meeting those — they're sent the computer program everyday, which home sites they're supposed to be on, what they're supposed to be doing when they're running the services up to the house to get ready for the meter.

So then we were starting to get complaints from home construction that they were backed up.

They don't stop. They -- the next task comes in,

they got a certain amount of days they have to have to meet that closing of that home. So they're not flexible in any manner of anybody that can't keep up with the work. They hire contractors, as I do, to make sure that we don't miss closing dates for homes. It's just not acceptable. That's why most of us have been here as long as we have, most of the guys that have been here that many years, is that we do whatever it takes to get the right people in place and we get our work done so that we can close homes. That's the whole basis for the success of The Villages.

So they got seriously behind. We brought up, you know, biweekly meetings where the problems were happening, what type of problems were happening, how many home sites they were behind in running services. It got up to, in one case, 150 that they were behind from where they were supposed to be.

Of course, that caused other problems because other subcontractors with grading and pouring sidewalks and setting AC units, doing irrigation and in some cases even the home sites were sodded prior to them getting in there and getting their service lines done. So it just — it caused havoc for all of the home construction guys.

We continued from time to time, R.A.W. would send in a few more personnel on the weekends and where they could pull them off of other jobs and get somewhat caught up, but never to the point where they were ahead of the game from day one. We had made suggestions on -- so that they didn't have to go and run the service back to the main, that we could do -- as part of the construction we brought a stub -- they could run a stub away from the main, away from all the other utilities and put it in the front yard of the home so that they wouldn't have to come back and dig across all the other utilities and make their connection to the mains.

They didn't -- they didn't take that in. They never did that. We continued to ramp up our pressure on them for maybe getting a different contactor in, one that we had worked with previous.

That did, like I said, the mid-2000's did
three times the pace that they were working at.
That didn't happen until we were already looking
into different alternatives to trying to get it
right and look at our options and then they finally
moved in Hamlet Construction, who had done work
with us previous, and it -- but it was only service
to the homes. The mains were already -- we already

2.

completed the mains and service to the houses and Hamlet moved them out, personnel worked weekends and stuff to get them caught up in a very short period of time.

So, you know, that's when -- but that was after we had already started looking into some other opportunities that we could provide and allow the folks the opportunity to have gas to their home if they wanted.

## BY MR. WHARTON:

Q How long did these problems persist?

A If was from the onset. It was from basically day one when they came in. But we had -- you know, we felt -- we had good feelings about it, but you don't know until you really get into the field and you get down into the dirt to figure out if they really can participate in, you know, something that most people aren't familiar with the pace that we run at.

Q Was there a certain number of months, though, or how long, really, did the problems go on?

A I think when we started, you know, talking to the guys from TECO in our meetings, eight months.

Q Did the performance of PGS and Fenney, as you've described it, have a domino effect? In other words, did it affect other downstream personnel or

1 construction activities? 2. Α Oh, absolutely. That's why I indicated that it not only affected us with our next process and the 3 completion of our home site development, but it 4 5 affected -- it began to affect the home construction, 6 so. 7 And, I'm sorry, Ms. McDonough, I think you 8 said this, I'm not sure I heard you, but you indicated 9 to the Judge there was a point you were a certain number 10 of home behind schedule? 11 Α Yes, at one point when we met they were 150 services to the homes behind. 12 13 Okay. Was there a regulation station or 0 14 regulator station that had to be built? 15 Α There was. 16 And was that done to the schedule and on time? 0 17 Α It -- they got it done with just a very slight 18 holdup to the home construction. They actually supplied 19 They had to have the first facilities within 20 the house and a couple of our commercial pools, and 21 heaters ready to go. 22 Did The Villages ever offer to PGS to take 0 23 over the work in order to try to make some of these 24 problems go away? 25 I don't know that we offered to take over the

work. We were very insistent on them either changing contractors or getting somebody with some authority to get the appropriate amount of people with the contract that they were held with R.A.W. to get it up to date.

Q Now, you're also familiar with the City of Leesburg's natural gas utility?

A I am.

Q And you have some experience working with the City of Leesburg's natural gas utility in The Villages?

A I do. As I mentioned before, I was -- it was Fruitland Park. It was in Lake County. It was part of their territory that they were supplying gas to. So I worked with -- mainly with the electric company, but then I worked with Jack and his folks on supplying services to, I believe it was, six different commercial entities that were within that project.

Q All right. And what was were experience with the City of Leesburg?

A It was a very good experience. It was a joint trench agreement. We provided the trench. We brought them into our biweekly meetings so that they could understand when the contractor would be providing them trenches for them to get into and they were always there before, had things set up before the trenches even opened.

Q Okay. Let's talk about one more subject.

Explain to the Judge, if you will, all of the construction-related activities that go on under the agreement with the City of Leesburg? Who installs what? Just explain the process.

A We hire a contractor to construct the regulator station at the end of the high-pressure line, and we construct the facilities from that point into --down roadways and into our subdivisions, including all the service lines and the meters and the meters set for a complete -- complete system.

Q All right. Does Leesburg oversee that process then?

A Leesburg is involved throughout the process.

We do the engineering. We've hired the engineer. We do the engineering for the project. We sit down with Jack and all his people and we go through to make sure that they're satisfied with the firms that we're -- based on our calculations and what we need to provide per home and the pressures that they'd like to see at the those homes.

So they're involved with us from day one on the design. They have personnel that are on site on a daily basis to watch the install of the mains, the service lines, not so much everyday with the meters, but

1 they're involved on a daily basis from start to finish when we do the bill of sale after all the testing and 2 3 the as-builts and everything are completed and turned 4 over to them. 5 Have you had occasion to estimate the Q 6 cost-per-customer per natural gas installation in the 7 areas being served by Leesburg? 8 Α Yes, I have. 9 All right. Relate to the judge, if you will, 0 10 when you did that the first time. In late 2017 I was asked to get together an estimate of what it would cost 11 12 to construct a gas system within our development units to provide gas on a cost-per-house basis. 13 I went out 14 and contacted some folks that I had worked with in the 15 utility of, you know, water sewer, all those things, and 16 he was also involved with gas systems throughout the 17 He had some folks that were engineers and had state. 18 worked with other gas providors that could --19 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm going to object at 20 this point. I think he's about to be getting into

what other experts in the field are -- have told him about what it would cost.

MR. WHARTON: He's just explaining the process.

> Yeah. Overruled at this point. THE COURT:

21

22

23

24

25

1	But let me ask, you were referring to Jack. Who's
2	Jack? You mentioned his name a couple of times,
3	let me make sure the record's clear as to who
4	you've been talking about.
5	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Jack is with the
6	City of Leesburg gas company.
7	THE COURT: Do you know his last name?
8	THE WITNESS: I don't.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Sorry, Jack.
11	MR. WHARTON: Jack Rogers, would that
12	THE WITNESS: Jack Rogers. Give me my
13	phone
14	(Laughter.)
15	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd object again. Mr.
16	Rogers was here as a witness and was never asked
17	about any of this analysis that he's done and now
18	the only way I'm going to be able to deal with it
19	is
20	THE COURT: I'm going to hear a little bit of
21	testimony. Keep your powder dry and we'll take it
22	up when I've heard something.
23	MR. BROWN: Okay.
24	THE WITNESS: So I got together with some
25	folks that I knew that had been in the gas business

```
1
          for quite time and we sat down and ran the numbers
 2.
          on a -- you know, basically for one home to
 3
          complete a -- complete service for a house.
    BY MR. WHARTON:
 4
 5
               Did you consider that a conservative figure?
          0
                     I have tendencies to do that.
 7
    it for when we run the numbers for our cable TV system.
 8
    I don't try to overestimate, but I do get conservative,
 9
    knowing that who I'm supplying this to is trying to cut
10
    a business deal and the last thing I want to do is
11
    provide them with some numbers that are too low and
12
    makes for them making a bad call on a business deal.
13
          0
               So what was the cost per home you came up
14
    with?
15
         Α
               The original cost per home is $1,800.
16
               That was an estimate?
          0
17
               That was an estimate.
         Α
18
               Did you have a later occasion to provide that
         0
19
    same calculation within the course of this litigation?
20
         Α
               I did, in late March of 2019, after we had --
21
               Well, hang on a second. Let's talk about the
          0
22
    interrogatory, interrogatory response. Did you ever use
23
    the 1,800 subsequent to your calculation in 2017?
24
               I did.
         Α
25
               All right. How?
         Q
```

1	A In my testimony.
2	Q And that's fine. When you testified as a
3	representative of the corporation, you were asked about
4	your calculation?
5	A I was.
6	Q Had your calculation been provided in an
7	interrogatory response prior to that deposition?
8	A I'm not sure.
9	Q Okay. That's fine. Was your judgment that
10	that \$1,800 was a reliable estimate at the time it was
11	made?
12	A Of course.
13	Q All right. Let's have you recently
14	calculated the cost-per-customer based on actual
15	figures?
16	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is well after
17	anything that was disclosed and well after any in
18	his deposition. It's never been disclosed to us
19	previously.
20	THE COURT: Is this information that's new?
21	MR. WHARTON: Absolutely not, Your Honor. May
22	I?
23	THE COURT: Hang on a second. Go ahead.
24	MR. WHARTON: I think if
25	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Brown.

1 MR. BROWN: The numbers in his deposition and 2 in the interrogatories, what we just heard, \$1,800. 3 Now he's coming up with a new calculation and that 4 is all new. 5 THE COURT: All right. I tend to agree, but I'm going to hear him out. I want you to take it 7 Hang on a minute. I don't know what up on cross. 8 the deposition says at this point. I'm going to 9 have to get that deposition. That will be your job 10 on cross examination to bring that out. 11 convince me that he's formed a new opinion after 12 the date of his deposition, contrary to the order 13 of prehearing instruction, I will take up a motion 14 to strike at that time, or I will discount his 15 testimony accordingly, but at this time I just 16 don't have enough information. 17 MR. BROWN: All I would say is he has already 18 said it's a new calculation. He said at the time 19 you came up with 1,800. 20 I've ruled on it. Let's go ahead. THE COURT: 21 MR. WHARTON: Your Honor, may I give you --22 Let me hear some questions and THE COURT: 23 then you can have him flesh it out a little bit. We'll sort this out. 24 25 BY MR. WHARTON:

1	Q All right. So have you recently calculated
2	that cost-per-customer calculation, based on actual
3	numbers?
4	A I did, in March of 2019.
5	Q All right. And why did you feel that was
6	appropriate?
7	A It was appropriate because I was asked to
8	provide an updated number for accounting and for the
9	owners of South Sumter Gas. I felt better about
10	recalculating my number to get a more-defined cost
11	because I had history in some completed areas of The
12	Villages at that time.
13	Q How many homes did you have worth of data at
14	that time?
15	A Near 1,000.
16	Q All right. And what cost-per-customer did you
17	arrive at?
18	A Just a little over 1,200. \$1,219.
19	Q All right. Do you believe this current
20	calculation is more reliable than your 2018 estimate?
21	A Absolutely.
22	Q And why do you think this calculation, which
23	was based on the actual data, differs from your
24	estimate?
25	A Well, you know, after the agreement was signed

1	I had the opportunity to start locking down some and
2	negotiate with the subcontractor that would be
3	installing, with the supply companies that were going to
4	be supplying the pipe, the people that were going to be
5	doing the service lines, the folks that were going to be
6	setting out meters. So we got down in our negotiations
7	with suppliers and contractor to, you know, get some
8	good pricing. And on the onset I'd seen, from those
9	negotiations, sometimes in the 10, 15, 20 percent
10	decrease in the numbers that I had originally offered
11	up.
12	Q Were there any other reasons that you can
13	think of as we sit here today as to why those numbers
14	might differ?
15	A I don't think so. I think it was, you know,
16	materials and suppliers and our contracted cost.
17	MR. WHARTON: Okay. That's all we have, Your
18	Honor.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Moyle.
20	CROSS EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. MOYLE:
22	Q You've been with The Villages for how many
23	years?
24	A Thirty-one.
25	Q And in those 31 years, have you ever been in a

```
1
    proceeding like this where you had to testify to a court
 2
    or to a judge or a tribunal?
 3
               No, I have not. So my hands are still a
 4
    little --
 5
               THE COURT: Put this on your resume.
                                                      It'll
 6
          look great.
7
               (Laughter.)
 8
               THE WITNESS: And on my birthday, too.
 9
               THE COURT:
                           Happy birthday.
10
               THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
11
               MR. MOYLE:
                           That's all I have, Judge.
12
               THE COURT:
                           All right. Mr. Brown. So let's
13
          start with your immediate concern. Let's talk a
14
          little bit about the new numbers, where they came
15
          from and when.
16
                        CROSS EXAMINATION
17
    BY MR. BROWN:
18
               All right. Sir, you said that they -- first
          0
19
    of all, you were asked to do this partly because of this
20
    litigation?
21
                    I was asked to do this as part of being
22
    able to supply the cost numbers to our accountants and
23
    to the owners and I'm sure it was the -- the lawyers
24
    were able to see it, too.
25
                      And so at this time did you take the
         Q
               Okay.
```

1 actual records of what it had cost to do construction? 2 Α I had our accounting system set up a few 3 different accounts codes where I could break down better in smaller areas to be able to get a feel as we 4 5 completed. For instance, Bigham West was going to be the first one completed. Broke that down into a billing 6 7 code where I could track and at the completion of home 8 construction in the area, then I could have better 9 costs. 10 So if I understand what you're saying is there 0 was no billing code at the outset, correct? 11 12 Α Yes, there was. 13 Well, you said you broke down a billing code. 0 14 What do you mean by that? 15 Α When -- before we started the work, I had 16 billing codes set up to break down into smaller areas so 17 that it could get, when as the work in the home construction got completed, I had a final cost for that 18 19 area. 20 And how much billing information did you have 0 21 at your disposal? 22 Is that a completed question? А 23 As of November 2018, how many homes had 0 24 been built at that point? 25 Α I'm not sure.

1	Q Well, give me an estimate. You've been
2	building the homes out there. Tell me, by November of
3	2018 when you signed the interrogatory answers that said
4	it's \$1,800 a home, tell me about the billing
5	information that you had at your disposal at that time.
6	A I had very little billing information. I
7	didn't have any I don't know if the homes were
8	complete in that November time frame or not.
9	Q Well
10	THE COURT: So this number you calculated,
11	1,219, is that
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	THE COURT: that was solely based on Bigham
14	homes, is that correct?
15	THE WITNESS: Bigham North, East and West.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	BY MR. BROWN:
18	Q Well and you're saying none of those homes
19	were built by November of 2018?
20	MR. MOYLE: Objection; asked and answered. He
21	said he didn't know.
22	THE COURT: Overruled. He didn't real give a
23	definitive answer, so you can answer.
24	THE WITNESS: I do not know how any homes were
25	constructed in November of 2018.

1	BY MR. BROWN:
2	Q Well, when you did when you answered your
3	interrogatories in November of 2018 and said that it was
4	an \$1,800 cost, I assumed you based that on the
5	experience you had on construction thus far, correct?
6	A I did not.
7	Q You just came up with the number out of
8	your out of a hat?
9	A No, it's the number that I originally had
10	estimated to cost-per-home to service.
11	Q And so you were off by a third on your
12	estimate?
13	A Basically.
14	Q Okay. And when you did you create a
15	spreadsheet at all that would show what these numbers
16	were?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And that's not been provided to us, has it?
19	A I don't know.
20	Q Do you have that in front of you, sir?
21	A I do.
22	Q Could I see it for the first time?
23	A John?
24	THE COURT: Yeah, if they're your notes that
25	you're relying on, he has a right to see them.

1	Let's take a quick what I want to do is I want
2	to take a closer look at that for five minutes.
3	(Discussion off the record.)
4	THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
5	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'd ask again that
6	this be stricken from the record. This is the
7	first time I've seen this is today and they've had
8	it for months.
9	MR. WHARTON: If you would allow
10	THE COURT: I'm a little concerned.
11	MR. WHARTON: If you would allow me, Judge. I
12	don't think you will be.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. WHARTON: All right. May I proceed, Your
15	Honor?
16	THE COURT: Well, we've already you've
17	already proceeded and explained. You're not
18	questioning any further at this point, right?
19	MR. WHARTON: I understand. Am I responding
20	to the motion to strike?
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	MR. WHARTON: All right. On November 15, the
23	witness was one of the corporate representatives
24	that spoke through the voice of the corporation.
25	On January 10th, the parties put in an unopposed

2.

motion that modified the discovery schedule. That created a deadline on January 23rd to disclose all witnesses, expert and fact. That order granting that unopposed motion also created a deposition window for respondents, witnesses, responsive opinions included. The order of prehearing instructions, which was issued in October, said that for depositions taken after the date of disclosure, so for depositions, taken after January 23rd, 2019, expert witnesses shall have formulated their deposition — their opinions by the time of their deposition. That deposition has never occurred.

I do not know why the fine lawyers for PGS did not choose to depose this expert after the disclosure date, but they did not. And I want to point out, Judge, that we could have put anyone into that spot in November, who was speaking with the voice of the corporation. That was not the deposition of -- but even if it was, even if they had made the tactical error of deposing Mr.

McDonough in October of 2018, when the order said that for expert witnesses, of which he was listed as an expert on January 23rd, who at their depositions, which occur after the disclosure date,

shall have their final opinions, you cannot -- that he could still testify, and that was not his deposition earlier, but even if it was, you cannot reward for some reason not taking an expert's deposition.

I would also point out that the Rule 1.280 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure says a party who is responding to a request for discovery with a response that was completed when made --

ongoing issue with regard to continuing obligation.

I just -- this to me seems like such a -- because I know that there -- I've heard testimony that has been based on the \$1,800, and I'm not suggesting that there's a violation of discovery. Although, if Mr. McDonough had been deposed in February of 2019, after the date of his disclosure, he still wouldn't have this information.

MR. WHARTON: Then he would not be able to give the opinion.

THE COURT: The fact that we're this late in the game and this, what seems to me to be a fairly critical piece of information that was known since March, is just now coming out --

MR. WHARTON: Solely because --

1	THE COURT: it doesn't give me it does
2	give me a good bit of pause.
3	MR. WHARTON: And I understand that, Judge,
4	but solely because they chose not to take the
5	deposition. We're locking his opinion into October
6	because of a tactical decision they made.
7	THE COURT: He was a (b)(6) deponent then, and
8	he's not a (b)(6) deponent as an expert witness?
9	MR. WHARTON: Even that, it had to occur after
10	the date of disclosure.
11	THE COURT: And I recognize that, as well.
12	Here's what I'm going to do
13	MR. MOYLE: Your Honor, could I be heard just
14	briefly?
15	THE COURT: Sure.
16	MR. MOYLE: Thank you. So slightly different.
17	I mean, the PGS had Mr. Durham up and he was
18	talking about this chart and everything and he
19	said I thought I recall him saying, you know,
20	based on the information I heard and, you know,
21	he he didn't change anything, but he was in here
22	listening to information that took place and I have
23	a maybe slightly different view. I don't know that
24	what this gentleman has put together, you know, is
25	necessarily opinion. I look at it kind of like Mr.

1	Durham doing the math
2	THE COURT: I was about to get to that part of
3	it. This doesn't seem to be fact
4	MR. MOYLE: Right, so
5	THE COURT: I mean, I'm still I recognize
6	the lack of a specific ongoing obligation to
7	supplement discovery, but in my practice, I've
8	always if something this fundamental came up, I
9	would try to make sure that the other side
10	MR. WHARTON: I will say this, though
11	THE COURT: even when we're months away
12	MR. WHARTON: I will say this, though, Judge.
13	One more thing. When Judge Alexander shut the case
14	down and these figures came out on the last day of
15	March, Judge Alexander had already written in his
16	order, discovery is closed. I was supposed to just
17	call up PGS, who had decided not to depose this
18	fellow after the deadline of disclosure and say,
19	oh, by the way. I would never do anything wrong
20	under the rules, Judge. This is exactly that way
21	it reads. They chose not to depose him.
22	THE COURT: But the discovery and I don't
23	recall seeing that. I'm pretty familiar with the
24	October 2nd order of prehearing instructions.
25	MR. WHARTON: Look at the Judge's order of

1	continuance of March where he said discovery is
2	closed.
3	THE COURT: Well if discovery is closed, then
4	shouldn't the development of new exhibits and
5	information be closed?
6	MR. WHARTON: I do not understand that, Your
7	Honor
8	THE COURT: Because then there wouldn't be
9	there wouldn't even be an ability of the petitioner
10	to get that information if the discovery was
11	closed. Like I said, I'm
12	MR. WHARTON: Well, there wasn't an ability
13	after the deposition window closed back in March.
14	MR. BROWN: But this didn't exist then.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	MR. WHARTON: They are benefiting from
17	THE COURT: Hang on. Let me ponder this for a
18	bit.
19	All right. I'm going to I'm going to
20	reserve ruling on the motion, but I'm Mr. Brown,
21	I'm pretty sympathetic to your position here. I
22	may still grant it. I want to hear go ahead and
23	put the evidence in the record, then I'll rule on
24	it in my in fact, let me make sure I put that in
25	here.

1	MR. WHARTON: Should we address it in the PRO,
2	Your Honor?
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	MR. WHARTON: I would appreciate the
5	opportunity.
6	THE COURT: In fact, I might even give you
7	well, we'll discuss that all
8	MR. WHARTON: That's an interesting point,
9	too.
10	THE COURT: We can have a separate memorandum.
11	I don't want you eating up a lot of your allotted
12	pages. I don't even know what the page number is
13	going to be yet. But I want to I want to give
14	this some thought. I'm concerned that the
15	petitioner's have kind of been, I don't like really
16	like the word blindsided, because that has an
17	implication that there was wrongdoing and I'm not
18	finding that there was anything legally incorrect.
19	MR. WHARTON: That's what depositions are for.
20	THE COURT: But given how given the length
21	of time that this case has been going on, that
22	seems to me to be such a fundamental piece of
23	information that probably should
24	MR. WHARTON: That's why you take the
25	depositions of every expert.

1	THE COURT: Well, but discovery had been
2	closed, right?
3	MR. WHARTON: No. No.
4	THE COURT: This was in March.
5	MR. BROWN: This was in March.
6	MR. WHARTON: Right. And he could not have
7	done it if his deposition was taken. It's saying
8	you had to have your final opinion.
9	MR. BROWN: And so what they yeah
10	THE COURT: Couldn't have his deposition taken
11	because discovery had been closed. I mean, we're
12	kind of in a big circle here.
13	MR. WHARTON: No, I don't agree with that,
14	Your Honor. If it's taken in February
15	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to defer ruling.
16	You can both
17	MR. WHARTON: We will.
18	THE COURT: As part of your what I'll
19	probably do is allow a short memorandum instead of,
20	like I said, eating up your PRO room, but if you
21	want to give me, you know, attachments or exhibits
22	to your memorandum, deposition notices, the close
23	of discovery order, whatever it is you think might
24	be applicable, but and some of this, regardless
25	of whether I ultimately strike anything, some of

1	this may have may go to weight a little bit and
2	that type of thing, but I'm going to go ahead and
3	put the evidence in the record. I'm going to
4	withhold ruling. I'm not denying your motion to
5	strike at this point, Mr. Brown. I'm going to
6	withhold and get the evidence in the record and
7	that way if I do regardless of what I do with
8	regard to the motion to strike, somebody will have
9	an opportunity to come in behind me and clean up my
10	mess.
11	All right. So go ahead and question the
12	witness.
13	BY MR. BROWN:
14	Q Well, let's start with this since we're been
15	talking about it, sir. If we look at this summary
16	MR. BROWN: And does the Court have a copy of
17	this, Your Honor?
18	THE COURT: I do not.
19	MR. BROWN: Did we get one for the Court?
20	MR. WHARTON: That's just the witness' notes.
21	THE COURT: I don't know, but I think it's
22	just witness notes and it's not something listed as
23	an exhibit.
24	MR. WHARTON: Yeah.
25	THE COURT: You can have him describe it with

```
1
          whatever degree of specificity you need.
    BY MR. BROWN:
 2.
 3
               All right, sir.
                                 If we look on this list that
 4
    you have, there is nothing on there indicating the
 5
    number of feet of pipe that have been installed,
 6
    correct?
 7
          Α
               That is correct.
 8
          0
               There is nothing in there indicating the type
 9
    of pipe that has been installed, correct?
10
          Α
               That is correct.
11
          Q
               There is nothing indicating the cost of any of
12
    the pipe, correct?
13
               That is correct.
          Α
14
               There is nothing indicating what the cost of
          0
15
    associated materials, such as fittings and valves and
16
    other things of that nature, correct?
17
          Α
               That is correct.
18
               There is nothing that is indicating any
          0
19
    materials that is done, correct?
                                        In terms of the actual
20
    material cost -- well, except, I quess --
21
               Yes, there is.
          Α
22
               You got one line for regulator, right?
          0
23
          Α
               Meter cost.
24
               You got the meter cost and you've got the
          0
25
    regulator that goes to each meter, correct?
```

1	A Regulator being the regulator on the end of a
2	high pressure main.
3	Q And, other than that, there is no other
4	listings of materials, correct?
5	A That is correct.
6	Q There's no other listings of labor other than
7	the labor to install a meter, correct?
8	A That is correct.
9	Q All right. Now, if we talk about who did this
10	installation, was this by Rainey?
11	A By what?
12	Q Was it done by a contractor named Rainey? Is
13	that who did most of this installation?
14	A Hamlet did a big part of it, most of it.
15	Q And didn't Rainey do a big part of it, as
16	well?
17	A No.
18	Q How many homes did Rainey put in?
19	A Rainey is the utility contractor, the work
20	contractor, for these areas.
21	Q Well, aren't they doing installation of gas
22	lines, as well?
23	A They are now.
24	Q And did they do installation of gas lines for
25	some of the areas that are described on this list?

1	A Very few.
2	Q How many is very few, do you know?
3	A Approximately 500.
4	Q So, 500. So if we talk about the total
5	here if we talk about Bigham North, I think you said
6	300 complete. So there's only 300 homes there, correct,
7	that are built?
8	A What was the question?
9	Q On your note, residential homes, it has the
10	home sites and then to the right it says 300 complete.
11	Do you see that?
12	A I don't have you have my copy.
13	Q Don't you have another copy in front of you?
14	A No.
15	THE COURT: Here. I'm going to make a couple
16	copies so everybody other than me has one.
17	BY MR. BROWN:
18	Q In fact, you've got a whole different copy
19	here. There's two copies, right?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Well, let's get both copies.
22	MR. BROWN: I'd like more time to see the
23	second one, Your Honor.
24	(Brief recess.)
25	MR. BROWN: Could I have some more time to

2.

discuss the second page?

THE COURT: Of course. All right. We'll take ten minutes so Mr. Brown can review and we'll reconvene at 25 after.

(Brief recess.)

THE COURT: So, as I indicated, what I'm going to do is reserve ruling on this, but one of the things I think the parties need to be prepared to brief me on, when it comes to that, is the fact that 90.403 and my ability to exclude otherwise relevant material on the basis of undue prejudice and surprise, because I'm concerned about this.

So I'm sure there's some case law somewhere that says how far that extends. I'm going to do my own research on it, but I'm concerned that what is probably the last day of hearing with the second or third to last witness and all of a sudden I get these numbers in here that are inapposite to anything that I've seen to this date, and I recognize the potential for prejudice to Peoples Gas and -- but I also equally recognize that there was no wrongdoing here on any party. I'm not suggesting that there is, but I think something like this that is such a fundamental change -- and obviously we have expert witnesses who developed

1	expert opinion based on 1,800 bucks, to then have
2	that come in at a wildly different number on the
3	last day
4	MR. WHARTON: May I generally
5	THE COURT: hang on a minute. I am
6	concerned with the issue of prejudice and surprise.
7	MR. WHARTON: May I generally address the
8	matter?
9	THE COURT: Yeah.
10	MR. WHARTON: I do strongly feel this is a
11	door that could have been closed, but wasn't, but
12	let's forget that. These cases go on appeal
13	straight to the Supreme Court. Let's don't do
14	this. We were not going to call any other
15	witnesses. Let's stop this. Let him be deposed.
16	Find another day. Come in. Give them a rebuttal
17	witness and finish it. I strongly feel that I'm
18	right here and if I laid it out in writing for you,
19	you would see, but that
20	THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
21	MR. BROWN: He's prejudiced us and now he
22	wants to correct it because they've come up with a
23	new number. So, we'll go forward right now. We'll
24	deal with it right now.
25	THE COURT: All right. We've got a noticed

1	hearing. I'm prepared to go forward.
2	MR. WHARTON: That's fine.
3	THE COURT: I'm sure that the Supreme Court
4	will, with no hesitation, tell me if I'm wrong on
5	that. And, frankly, I don't know, you know, at
6	this point. Like I said, I'm reserving ruling. I
7	don't know what I'm going to do, but I want to make
8	sure the parties understand that I do have concern
9	and that there are issues that probably will need
10	to be dealt with on in written memoranda, which
11	I will we'll discuss at the end.
12	Mr. Brown, you ready to go?
13	MR. BROWN: I am.
14	THE COURT: Mr. McDonough, are you ready to
15	go?
16	THE WITNESS: I am.
17	MR. BROWN: All right, sir. I'll renew my
18	objection in case Mr. Wharton or someone says I've
19	waived something, so I'll renew it again at this
20	point.
21	THE COURT: I didn't take anything as being
22	waived, I'll guarantee you.
23	MR. BROWN: And that would be in regard to
24	both pages that the witness has up there that he's
25	testifying from, because they have different

1	information on them.
2	THE COURT: And although that was not included
3	as an exhibit, if you want to offer those pages at
4	the end of his testimony, I'll accept them.
5	MR. BROWN: No, I don't want to offer them.
6	No.
7	BY MR. BROWN:
8	Q All right, sir. We were talking about
9	different materials that are not included in here
10	well, first of all, you said this was completed by at
11	the end of March, March 30th, 31st, somewhere in there?
12	A In March, yeah.
13	Q I think you said late March, correct?
14	A Right.
15	Q And late March being 25th, 26th?
16	A Between the 15th and 30th.
17	Q Okay. Thank you. So let's talk about this.
18	There's nothing on here about meter loops, correct, for
19	listing equipment and materials that need to be put in
20	here? Nothing about meter loop?
21	A There is not specific. They are in there.
22	Q Is that part of the meter?
23	A That's part of the meter installation, yes.
24	Q And how do we know that based on just the
25	information in front of you? Just that you've attested

1 that that's what it is? 2. Α What you have in front of you is an 3 accumulation of all the invoices that I've received to 4 date for that particular job. Now, let's talk a little bit about the 5 Q Okay. number of homes that were done. I think you said before 6 7 we took a break that Rainey did about 500 homes. 8 if we look at this -- if I'm looking on one of these 9 sheets, it says 300 complete with regard to Bigham 10 North. Do you see that? 11 Α I do. 12 And so that's all that were complete for 13 Bigham North at the time you did your analysis? 14 Α That the meter was set and house was complete. 15 So that's a yes to my question, it's 300 on 0 16 Bigham North, correct? 17 Α That were -- the homes were a hundred percent 18 complete, yes. 19 And that's what you used in making your 20 calculations, didn't you? Or did you make calculations 21 on homes that weren't a hundred percent complete? 22 Α I made calculations with assumptions on homes 23 that were not complete, also. 24 All right. So when you did this calculation, 0 25 you're taking homes that are complete and you're also

```
1
    taking homes that aren't complete, correct?
2
         Α
               That is correct.
               So the homes that aren't complete don't have
 3
4
    all the cost built into them, yet, do they? Don't look
5
    over to Mr. Wharton for advice. Just answer my
6
    question.
7
               I thought he said something. I'm sorry.
         Α
                                                          Ι
8
    did -- the reason that I did it the way I did it is if
9
    you look at Bigham West --
10
                           Your Honor, he's not answering my
              MR. BROWN:
11
         question. I just need to know how he did it.
              THE COURT: I'm not sure if he's answering or
12
13
         not. Go ahead.
14
                                    These price updates
              THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
15
         are -- were on completed numbers, like Bigham West,
16
         where it says they were near all complete.
17
         have actual cost and I used the 300 in Bigham North
18
         and then I assumed the cost-per-home, based on the
19
         remainder of those.
20
    BY MR. BROWN:
21
               Okay. Well, what I'm trying to figure out is
         0
22
    the number of homes you actually had that were complete
23
    that formed the basis for your analysis. And so for
24
    Bigham North, it's 300, correct?
25
               That's right.
         Α
```

1	Q For Bigham East it's zero because there were
2	none compete, correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And for Bigham West, it says nearly all
5	complete. So that would roughly, let's call it, 750
6	homes, right?
7	A 780, 786, 785.
8	Q Okay. Well near all complete. We don't know
9	what that means, do we? Correct?
10	A Close to being completed.
11	Q All right. So we've got about 1,000 homes
12	roughly, close to 1,100 homes total, that you based your
13	analysis on, correct?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q And then you also took did you take
16	additional information from the uncompleted homes?
17	A All I did with the uncompleted homes was use
18	the cost that we have for materials and labor to
19	complete.
20	Q Well then if that's true, then Bigham East's
21	numbers should look the same as the other two, shouldn't
22	they? I mean, if you're just taking those two well,
23	strike that.
24	All right. So let's talk a little bit about
25	Rainey Homes, who did about half of these installations,

1	right? Half of the completed homes was done by I'm
2	sorry that the gas installations for approximately
3	half the homes were done by Rainey, correct?
4	A No.
5	Q You told me they did 500 homes of this total.
6	A They did in Bigham East, is where they began
7	their work for completed homes. They did not work in
8	March on any completed homes.
9	Q Well, in March, but were they worked before
10	March?
11	A It was about March.
12	Q So did you take the information just for the
13	completed homes that were done in March?
14	A No.
15	Q I'm confused.
16	A I took all the homes that were completed prior
17	to the March date.
18	Q Okay. And you're telling me Rainey didn't do
19	any of those homes?
20	A Rainey did not do at that time any of the
21	completed homes.
22	Q Well did they do any homes from which you took
23	your data?
24	A I don't know why it matters where I took my
25	data from. The cost for Rainey is the same as it was

1	for Hamlet.
2	Q Well, we don't know that, do we, because we
3	don't have any backup materials to do that. We're just
4	taking your word on that, right?
5	A I have invoices that prove that Rainey is
6	doing the all of the components of the system at the
7	same cost as Hamlet was doing.
8	Q And regardless of whatever this number is,
9	this isn't the amount that Leesburg's paying for the
10	homes, is it?
11	A I don't know what Leesburg's paying for the
12	homes.
13	Q Okay. Let's talk a little bit about Rainey.
14	Rainey is a company that used to do sprinkler irrigation
15	installations?
16	A Not that I'm aware of.
17	Q They didn't do any of that for The Villages?
18	A You said sprinkler irrigation?
19	Q Yeah. What did Rainey do? What was Rainey's
20	job before they started putting in pipe for natural gas
21	systems for The Villages?
22	A They are our general contactor that does
23	underground sewer, water, storm drain, every component
24	that we work on, as far as services to the house,
25	grading, earth moving, DRA construction.

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           What's DRA?
 2
               THE WITNESS: Drainage retention areas.
 3
    BY MR. BROWN:
 4
               And that's who's now doing the installation of
         0
 5
    the pipe?
 6
         Α
               Yes.
 7
               All right. Is this the first time Rainey's
         Q
8
    ever done natural gas installations, to your knowledge,
 9
    doing it for The Villages?
10
               I'm not familiar with what they've done in the
11
    past.
12
               Are they a company that's owned by The
         0
13
    Villages?
14
         Α
               I don't know that -- if it's a company with
15
    The Villages or not.
16
          0
               All right, sir.
17
               MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would renew my
18
         motion at this point in time on a couple
19
         different --
20
               THE COURT: I'll reserve my ruling on it.
21
               MR. WHARTON: And I would renew my offer.
22
    BY MR. BROWN:
23
               All right, sir. Let's talk about the
          0
24
    construction activities that were going on, on the site.
25
    You would agree that, I think you testified, that
```

1	Peoples got on the job late, correct?
2	A No.
3	Q In Fenney. I'm talking about in Fenney.
4	A No.
5	Q That's not true?
6	A No.
7	Q I'm going to direct you to page 34 of your
8	deposition, sir. And starting at Line 2: If you could
9	just tell me what the problems were.
10	Answer: Well there was they just they
11	got in just a little late, so I believe that there were
12	four of the projects that were eliminated from the
13	agreement that I wasn't having to coordinate with
14	because we were already completed.
15	Question: When you say four projects late,
16	and those projects where The Villages did the install?
17	Answer: No, them were projects that we
18	completed the work we had, not knowing that TECO Peoples
19	Gas was going to join us in serving Fenney.
20	Question: So and when you say projects,
21	those are areas within the Fenney Development.
22	Answer: Yes.
23	Question: So in other words, Peoples came
24	late so they had to do a retro-install, kind of after
25	everything had already gone in.

1	Answer: Right.
2	Do you remember that testimony, sir?
3	A I do.
4	Q And are you saying that's not correct now?
5	A Well, it's exactly what I said. They came in
6	late after we had already completed some areas of Fenney
7	that were in the agreement.
8	Q And I thought that's what I just asked you.
9	A It is.
10	Q Okay. So they did so Peoples did come in
11	late after certain areas were complete?
12	A They weren't late by the signature on the
13	agreement from when they came in.
14	Q I understand, but you in terms of the
15	Fenney project that The Villages was working on, when
16	Peoples came in, there had already been installs done?
17	A No.
18	Q I thought you told me the joint trench had
19	been closed already?
20	A They had on the four projects prior to the
21	agreement being signed.
22	Q Okay. That's what I'm talking about and
23	then
24	THE COURT: Installs of other utilities other
25	than gas?

```
1
               THE WITNESS:
                             Oh, yes.
                                       Yes.
 2.
    BY MR. BROWN:
               So, in other words, Peoples had to come in and
 3
 4
    install the gas lines in a closed trench for the first
 5
    four projects?
               That's what the agreement said.
 7
               But I'm asking you if that's what happened.
          0
8
    You were there.
 9
         Α
               Yes.
                     They --
10
                      That's all I'm trying to get to.
          0
               Okay.
11
         Α
               Okay.
12
               And so, as a result of that, they faced the
13
    situation that The Villages had tried to avoid in its
14
    construction practices by having a joint trench
15
    agreement, correct? Let me break it down.
                                                  In other
16
    words, you testified that the reason The Villages came
17
    up with a joint trench agreement was because there are
18
    always problems with different utilities coming at
19
    different times and they were causing problems and they
    hit each other's lines, and so the decision was made to
20
21
    have one trench go in at the beginning and so you could
22
    try to avoid those problems, correct?
23
         Α
               Correct.
24
          0
               All right. And so what happened with Peoples
25
    having to come in late, is that they were in a situation
```

1 that The Villages had hoped to avoid by having a joint 2. trench, correct? 3 Α Correct. 4 So the problems that were occurring at the 0 5 front end on the first four developments were things that could reasonably have been expected because that's 6 7 the nature of coming in after the fact and putting in 8 infrastructure into a closed trench, correct? 9 Α That's correct, unless you don't bring the 10 right personnel in to complete the job in a timely 11 manner. 12 Well I'm not talking about the completion. 13 We're going to get to that in a second. I'm talking 14 about the damages that occurred during the four segments 15 when Peoples had to come in and do a retrofit. 16 are the kind of things that you'd expect to happen in 17 that situation, correct? 18 Α You would hope not. 19 I understand you hope not, but that was the 20 whole purpose of having the joint trench was The 21 Villages knew that when you have to come in after the 22 fact, it's going to cause some damage. It has the 23 potential to cause some damage, right? 24 Α Agree. 25 0 Okay. And so there was nothing unusual,

1	really, about the issues that arose during the first
2	four projects on the Fenney development, correct?
3	A I would believe that they were extraordinary.
4	Q Okay. Now, I think you testified earlier
5	that and just so we're clear, the work's being done
6	by a contractor named R.A.W. who was working for
7	Peoples, correct?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q And so I think you said that R.A.W. got
10	better as they once we got over that first four
11	sections that you your testimony was that R.A.W.
12	improved and got better for a time, correct?
13	A I had said that they got better at getting the
14	main line in the trench, but not completing their work.
15	Q Okay. Well, in fact, they got better with in
16	terms of being able to meet dates, didn't they?
17	A Not completely.
18	Q Well, I didn't say completely. I said better.
19	I didn't say completely without problems. They got
20	better.
21	A They got better.
22	Q Okay. And they continued to make progress on
23	Projects 12, 11, 10, 9 and 8, correct?
24	A That's correct.
25	Q All right. And then at some point Hamlet came

1	on the scene for the last four or five units, correct?
2	A No.
3	Q Do you remember your deposition let me turn
4	you to Page 50, Line 14: Okay. All right. Do you know
5	approximately when Hamlet came on to the scene? Answer:
6	I don't remember exactly, but I believe it was within
7	the last four or five units. Do you recall that
8	testimony?
9	A That's right.
10	Q Okay. So it was within the last four or five
11	units, correct?
12	A Not for main line construction.
13	Q All right. But regardless of when they came
14	in, once Hamlet came in, the performance improved?
15	A The performance of the service to the homes
16	improved.
17	Q Okay. And once Hamlet came in, there weren't
18	really any significant there were just minor problems
19	going on at that point in time?
20	A Because of the main line construction was
21	complete.
22	Q Right, but I'm saying once Hamlet came in the
23	main line's done and they're finishing up doing the
24	homes?
25	A Correct.

1	Q And the main line was done by R.A.W.?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Okay. Now and then Hamlet continued to do
4	the installations on Bigham West?
5	A Yes, he did.
6	Q Or Bigham back to your I guess it would
7	be yeah, on Bigham West. Okay. And then the
8	problem and you haven't had any significant problems
9	with Hamlet doing the installations on Bigham West,
10	correct?
11	A I have not.
12	THE COURT: Did they do both main and the
13	service lines for Bigham West?
14	THE WITNESS: Main service lines, yes.
15	BY MR. BROWN:
16	Q Now, just so we're clear, you've talked a lot
17	about Leesburg. Leesburg is not doing any installations
18	on any of the Bighams, are they?
19	A They are not.
20	Q And you said that they're intimately involved.
21	That just means they're inspecting, correct?
22	A They're have input on designing and
23	construction and oversight, yes.
24	Q Okay. So, in other words, they say, here
25	would be a good way to design the gas system, correct?

1	A That's right.
2	Q And they have oversight because they say
3	and they've got to do the inspections, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q All right. But in terms of the actual
6	construction, they're not doing anything, correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q All right. And so you were earlier asked
9	about the fact that The Villages had had this great
10	experience with Leesburg up in the Fruitland Park
11	development. Do you remember that testimony?
12	A I do.
13	Q Okay. And, in that case, Leesburg actually
14	did the installations?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q And they signed a joint trench agreement?
17	A Don't believe we had a joint trench agreement
18	with the gas company.
19	Q All right. But, in any event, when you talk
20	about this great experience with Leesburg doing the
21	installations, that's irrelevant to the installations in
22	the Bighams because Leesburg's not doing them, correct?
23	A Correct. I don't believe I ever said they
24	were doing them.
25	Q Let me go back. I forgot one other thing

1	about your sheets here. I hate to loop back to this,
2	but there's nothing in here about commercial load, is
3	there?
4	A The commercial load is in with the overall
5	residential. I didn't break out specifically commercial
6	and residential, because they're always integrated
7	almost within the development units.
8	Q But there's different amounts of commercial in
9	each development, right?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And so the numbers aren't really at well,
12	strike that. I will leave it at that.
13	All right. Now, I want to direct you to
14	Exhibit 8 of our exhibits. And I don't know if you've
15	got book a up there or not. Actually, I've got it right
16	here. Sir, you've got a
17	MR. BROWN: Judge, I hate to do this. There's
18	another note up here I haven't seen previously.
19	THE COURT: Have a look at it. See if it's
20	something you need to talk about. He gets a chance
21	to look at your notes, Mr. McDonough.
22	THE WITNESS: That's fine. Nothing in there
23	that's
24	MR. BROWN: Well, let me we may need I
25	may need a copy so the witness can have one of

```
1
         these.
 2.
               THE COURT: Let me make a copy.
 3
               (Brief recess.)
 4
    BY MR. BROWN:
 5
               All right. Sir, let me direct you to Exhibit
         Q
        And Exhibit 8 is a map that you marked up during
 6
7
    your deposition. Do you recall that, sir? And this is
8
    confidential so I will try to speak in a way -- it's in
 9
    the confidential section, Your Honor, and I will try to
10
    be -- I don't think I need to get into the details of
11
    it -- and that map I had asked you to indicate where the
12
    next Villages developments were going to go. Do you
13
    recall that?
14
         Α
               I do.
15
               And you had indicated -- and you drew on the
          0
16
    map where they were all going, correct?
17
               I don't remember it, but if that --
         Α
18
               Well, I mean, is that -- do you recall if
         0
19
    that's what you did?
20
         Α
               I don't know that this is my handwriting on
21
    here.
22
               Well, do you see it says Exhibit 4 to your
         0
23
    deposition?
24
         Α
               Yes.
25
                      Do you see it says Confidential Exhibit
         Q
               Okay.
```

```
1
    4 -- I'm sorry. It was Hudson Depo Exhibit 4.
                                                      I think
2
    4A was to your deposition.
 3
               MR. WHARTON: Your Honor, no matter what's
4
         written on this piece of paper, I think it's
5
          important that the record is clear that all of
         these references to your deposition, meaning the
 6
7
          (b)(6) deposition.
8
               THE COURT: All right.
9
                             It's a distinction.
               MR. WHARTON:
10
                           The corporate representative
               THE COURT:
11
         deposition. And I do see on the exhibit label it
12
         says McDonough 4A, and the date being 11-16-18.
13
    BY MR. BROWN:
14
               Okay, sir. Now, what I I'd like to know is,
15
    first of all -- and you put numbers of some sort on
16
    these different future developments, correct?
17
               I did.
         Α
18
               Okay. And so if -- and on that map -- you'll
19
    notice that what we've been talking about is Bigham
20
    North, that on our Exhibit 6 there's a little more
21
    that's been built in since the time of your deposition.
22
    Do you see that?
23
         Α
               That's correct.
24
         0
               All right.
                           What is -- and using your map,
25
    what is the next area that -- well, what's the next area
```

1	that's going to be working on by The Villages or in
2	terms of building homes?
3	A It's the area of 3B.
4	Q And is that the area that's the cross-hatched
5	area here that we talked about earlier?
6	A No, that's the Bigham North. That's north of
7	the area, the difference between that map and this map.
8	Q I'm sorry. 3B?
9	A 3B and 3C.
10	Q And has construction already started in 3B and
11	3C?
12	A Home construction or site development?
13	Q Well, let's start with site development. Is
14	that going on?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And is there home construction started in 3B
17	and 3C?
18	A Yes not 3C. I'm sorry.
19	Q Okay. How many homes are slated to be built
20	in 3B?
21	A I don't know the exact count.
22	Q Well, you're an expert in construction. I
23	mean, you and you have a good idea on having a feel
24	for how much land there is and how many homes can be put
25	in there. Give me your best estimate as to how many

1	residences are going to go in 3B?
2	A 300.
3	Q And then how about 3C?
4	A About the same.
5	Q 300 each? Are they going with larger home
6	sites?
7	A No, it's the same. Pretty much the same mix
8	as what we do with other areas.
9	Q All right. And then what's the next area to
10	go in after that?
11	A This map indicated it's actually 6B. That
12	would be in the hashed area.
13	Q Okay. I think you said that's going to be how
14	many I think you said did you say 7,000? Am I
15	remembering correct? You said 4,200 in that area,
16	correct?
17	A 450.
18	Q Maybe I wrote it down wrong. So let me make
19	sure I understand this. How many homes are going to be
20	built totally in the three Bighams?
21	A About 3,500.
22	Q Okay. And you said it's only going to be 400
23	in all of that, or is it 4,200 in all?
24	A In the 6A part?
25	Q Yes.

1	A There is 450.
2	Q Okay.
3	A And the 5A part that is part of the hatch,
4	there's approximately 250.
5	Q Okay. And has construction started on those
6	homes?
7	A They have not.
8	Q Do you know when that's slated to begin?
9	A About 30 days from now, for site construction,
10	not home construction.
11	Q Right. I understand. Now and you're
12	right, and I appreciate your precision on this. So site
13	construction in this cross-hatch area is going to start
14	in 30 days, correct?
15	A We've already started some with our mass
16	grading work.
17	Q Okay. And what's the next area to get to
18	have homes in after that?
19	A The 5A.
20	Q And 5A is kind of off the cross-hatched area
21	somewhere, right?
22	A No, it's the lower part of the cross-hatched
23	area.
24	Q All right. And has site work started there?
25	A Mass grading has, yes.

1	Q And then how many homes are well, what's
2	the next area to I think you said I can't remember
3	what you said now. There's so many numbers of homes and
4	I'm not writing them down. So how many are going in
5	there?
6	A About 250.
7	Q Okay. Now, what's the next area after that
8	that's going to have homes in it?
9	A Most likely would be 5B.
10	Q All right. And has site work started on 5B?
11	A Mass grading has started, yes.
12	Q And when do you anticipate homes will start to
13	be built on that point?
14	A Probably nine months.
15	Q All right. And what's the how many homes
16	will go in there?
17	A Roughly 1,100.
18	Q Okay. And then let us go to what's the
19	next area after that?
20	A It hasn't been a hundred percent decided, but
21	most likely 5C or it could be up in the 4A area.
22	Q All right. And is 5C on this map?
23	A It is not.
24	Q Okay. Can you give me a rough estimate of
25	where that is? Let's just say is it north or south of

1	468?
2	A South and east.
3	Q So is okay. So it's in that general
4	vicinity of 5A and 5B?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q And how many homes are going in there?
7	A I would think that's in the thousand.
8	Q Okay. And has any mass grading or site work
9	started there?
10	A It has.
11	Q And so when do you anticipate that there will
12	be construction or homes commencing there?
13	A About a year.
14	Q All right. When's the next what's the next
15	area after that?
16	A That's up in the air.
17	Q Okay. So we've covered all the areas that
18	you're aware of in terms of short-term home development
19	and home building that's going to be going on?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q And really I think you've covered a time line
22	in terms of what is going to at least have construction
23	started over the next year, is that a fair statement?
24	A Well, it's it's close. I mean, we start
25	200 a month. You know, I'm not keeping track of all the

1 I have no idea how that works out at, but the numbers. 2 pace is -- sales is increasing now so pace of home 3 construction starts is going to increase also. 4 And just so we're clear, all the areas 0 Okay. 5 we just talked about are in Sumter County, correct, on 6 your map here? 7 Α Yes. 8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm done with the 9 I would renew my motion, in particular witness. 10 based on the fact that the discovery cutoff in the 11 order for the deposition of witnesses was March 15th and he has testified that all of this 12 13 information was gathered up some time after that. 14 THE COURT: I'll renew my reservation of 15 ruling and that -- the evidence is in the record at 16 this point. I'm going to give the parties an 17 opportunity to advise me as to my correct course of 18 action. 19 Mr. Wharton, anything further for this 20 witness? 21 MR. WHARTON: Yes, Your Honor. 22 And I have a quick question. THE COURT: 23 just sort of counting up the numbers. 24 looking at roughly about 3,500 houses for the area 25 that you described? That's sort of how I had added

```
1
          it up.
 2.
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, we do about 5,000 homes
 3
         per district so that's -- yeah, that's about right.
 4
               THE COURT: Mr. Wharton.
 5
                       REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 6
    BY MR. WHARTON:
7
               Well, let's talk about a couple of things.
         0
8
    You had indicated that you did not want to do your
 9
    calculation based on actual data until you felt like you
10
    had a sufficient number of homes, is that correct?
11
         Α
               That's correct.
12
               MR. BROWN:
                           That's leading, Your Honor,
13
         particularly in this area.
14
               THE COURT: You can ask him a little less
15
          leading and just ask him what he --
16
    BY MR. WHARTON:
17
          0
               Why didn't you do your --
18
               THE COURT: I'll sustain it.
19
    BY MR. WHARTON:
20
               -- calculation of the cost-per-home at an
         0
21
    earlier time, say two or three months before that?
22
               Because I really didn't have invoicing of
         Α
23
    completed areas that would give me a good indication of
24
    what my -- the actual costs were.
25
                      Let's do a little bit of math.
         Q
               Okay.
```

1	correct that you said that Bigham was putting in about
2	200 homes a month?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you did your estimate at the end of March?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q So if you look at and there was 1,000 homes
7	when you put your information in?
8	A Approximately, yes.
9	Q If you look at 200 a month, that would mean in
10	order to build 1,000 homes and 200 a month, it would
11	go it would have taken about five months to do that?
12	A That's correct.
13	Q Okay. So there were very few homes built,
14	say, at the in November of 2018?
15	MR. BROWN: Leading, Your Honor, particularly
16	in this area.
17	THE COURT: It's a little leading. Do you
18	know do you have kind of a rough estimate of the
19	number of homes that were completed in November of
20	2018 at the time that interrogatories were
21	THE WITNESS: I do not.
22	BY MR. WHARTON:
23	Q Now, Mr. Brown asked you a lot of questions
24	about things, calculations or component parts of your
25	calculations, which were not on your sheet. Do you

1	recall that?
2	A That's correct. I do.
3	Q Does your sheet reflect all of the component
4	parts that you used to put into your calculation?
5	A Yes. The each of the components, for
6	instance, the main line, the regulator cost, the main
7	line the main line cross unit and villa main line
8	cross are very specific completed paid invoices, what I
9	used to come up with those numbers.
10	Q But are there sometimes subcomponents that go
11	into those categories in order to make those totals?
12	A Oh, absolutely. Yes. There's many
13	components.
14	Q Let's take a look at an exhibit. Take a look
15	at SSGC Exhibit 9, if you will.
16	MR. WHARTON: May I approach, Your Honor?
17	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is beyond in
18	terms of having him go through these invoices.
19	THE COURT: All right. Well, I don't know
20	what the question is at this point. SSGC9 is in
21	SSGC9 is in evidence. So go ahead, Mr. Wharton.
22	BY MR. WHARTON:
23	Q What is SS what are the documents in South
24	Sumter Gas Company Exhibit 9?
25	A These are the first one is Hamlet, services

1	to certain home sites within courtyard villas. There's
2	documents that are as-builts of the service lines going
3	into each house, the main lines going into each house.
4	Q Let me ask a different question. Does that
5	exhibit include the documents at from the
6	construction of the gas lines and gas infrastructure at
7	Bigham through the end of March?
8	A It does.
9	MR. WHARTON: That's all we have, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Moyle, anything? I'm
11	sorry. You're never mind. All right.
12	MR. MOYLE: No.
13	THE COURT: And, Mr. McDonough, thank you.
14	You are excused, which is probably the best
15	birthday present you're going to get today.
16	THE WITNESS: I appreciated being here with
17	you guys.
18	MR. WHARTON: Give us five minutes to talk
19	about not calling anyone else, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Why don't we come back at 11:30.
21	It'll be a nice even number.
22	(Brief recess.)
23	MR. WHARTON: We rest, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right. South Sumter Gas
25	Company having rested. Mr. Brown, any rebuttal on

1	part of Peoples Gas?
2	MR. BROWN: We anticipate there to be another
3	witness, but can we have five minutes just to
4	discuss that?
5	THE COURT: Sure. Take another five. We're
6	making progress, you know. You catch the ball, you
7	get a first down, you take a time-out. That's how
8	it works.
9	(Laughter.)
10	MR. BROWN: No. That's right. I think the
11	issue with Leesburg was going to be next because
12	they were going to call Mr. Moses.
13	THE COURT: Oh, you had that's right, but
14	you were sleeping on whether or not mister
15	MR. BROWN: Yeah, so I think that's the issue.
16	THE COURT: On that one. So we do we have
17	another Leesburg witness?
18	MR. MOYLE: Yeah, we've slept on it. Our case
19	is still open, but we're not going to call Mr.
20	Moses, but I do want to make sure the deposition
21	of I think it may already be in TJ TJ is
22	in, but I don't think we gave you the
23	THE COURT: Does TJ have a last name?
24	MR. MOYLE: I can't say it.
25	MR. BROWN: Was that on your list? He wasn't

1	on the exhibit list.
2	MR. MOYLE: Yeah, he was.
3	MR. BROWN: Was he? Oh, the all-depo. The
4	all-depo one.
5	THE COURT: Yeah, he appeared as a
6	representative of the
7	MR. MOYLE: He's the president of
8	THE COURT: He's an expert.
9	MR. MOYLE: No, he's the President of Peoples
10	Gas. The first guy that took the stand, first
11	witness. Just like Mr. Brown, I just want to give
12	you the depo.
13	THE COURT: All right. So we're going to
14	have once we go off the record and adjourn, Mr.
15	Wharton knows the drill, we're not leaving after we
16	adjourn. We've probably got 30 or 40 minutes to
17	sort through all this stuff and make sure we're
18	squared. I'm going to give everybody back whatever
19	didn't come into evidence, so I have nothing but
20	what's in evidence.
21	MR. MOYLE: So that's 28. I just wanted to
22	if I'm resting, I wanted to make sure that was
23	THE COURT: So you've rested. Mr. Brown, any
24	objection to although 28 may already
25	MR. BROWN: Well, I mean, yes, just because

1	it's under the all-deposition. I guess I should
2	have objected to that, so.
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	MR. BROWN: Can we have five minutes, Your
5	Honor?
6	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
7	MR. BROWN: So you've rested now, too?
8	MR. MOYLE: Right.
9	THE COURT: All right. So 28 is in.
10	(Whereupon, City of Leesburg Exhibit No. 38
11	was entered into evidence.)
12	MR. WHARTON: So now the five minutes.
13	(Discussion off the record.)
14	THE COURT: Where do we stand, Mr. Brown?
15	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm going to call Mr.
16	Rick Moses, Your Honor.
17	MR. MOYLE: And, Your Honor, they've rested
18	their case. I think both of us have
19	THE COURT: It's rebuttal. They get rebuttal
20	as petitioner.
21	MR. MOYLE: I just was trying to understand
22	what they're going to rebut. I understand what
23	rebuttal is you only call them if there's something
24	you couldn't anticipate and
25	THE COURT: Well, it's not only couldn't

1	anticipate. If it's in response to anything that's
2	put on in the other party's case-in-chief. I think
3	not having heard Mr. Moses yet, I don't know if
4	that fits or not, but we will find out.
5	Mr. Moses, can I have you raise your right
6	hand?
7	Whereupon,
8	RICHARD MOSES
9	was called as a witness, having been first duly sworn to
10	speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
11	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: Your full name.
14	THE WITNESS: Richard Allen Moses.
15	THE COURT: You can be seated, sir.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. BROWN:
18	Q Could you state your name for the record,
19	please?
20	A Richard Allen Moses.
21	Q And what is your current job, sir?
22	A Bureau Chief of the Bureau of Safety for the
23	Florida Public Service Commission.
24	Q And what do you do as Bureau Chief for the
25	Bureau of Safety for the Public Service Commission?

1	A I'm responsible for the entire state for
2	natural gas and electric and I've also got the DOC
3	Emergency Operations Center.
4	Q When did you come to the PSC?
5	A December of 1990.
6	Q And when did you become the Bureau Chief of
7	the Bureau of Safety?
8	A April 1st, 2011.
9	Q And is as Bureau Chief, are you generally
10	aware of the safety capabilities of all of the natural
11	gas utilities in the State of Florida?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q Do you believe that from the period of
14	February 2018 until today that PGS and the City of
15	Leesburg are able to safely provide natural gas service
16	to customers in Sumter County?
17	A Yes.
18	MR. BROWN: No further questions, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	MR. WHARTON: I don't
21	THE COURT: Seemed pretty
22	MR. MOYLE: We don't have anything either.
23	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Moses.
24	Off the record.
25	(Discussion off the record.)

1 THE COURT: Anything further on the part of 2 Peoples? 3 No, Your Honor. MR. BROWN: 4 No, Your Honor. MR. WHARTON: 5 So let's talk a little bit -- and THE COURT: we can go off the record for this and I'll put it 6 7 on the record at the completion. 8 (Discussion off the record.) 9 THE COURT: So having concluded the 10 evidentiary portion of the proceeding, we just 11 discussed the time for submitting post-hearing 12 submittals. Peoples preferred to keep it at the 13 ten days for filing PRO's. Both the City and South 14 Sumter asked for 30. I've agreed to allow 30 days 15 for the filing of PRO's. I understand that waives 16 the time for my submitting the proposed -- the 17 recommended order beyond the 30 days from the 18 receipt of the transcript, but I typically gauge my 19 time based on the PRO's. I'll do my best to get my 20 own out in 20 days. It will be little a bit on one side or the other, but it won't be anything 21 22 dramatic past that. 23 So 30 days from the filing of the transcript, 24 proposed recommended orders. Length of proposed 25 recommended orders will be limited to 50 pages and

1	there will be a ten-page separate memorandum with
2	regard to the information provided by Mr. McDonough
3	with regards to the cost of service is \$1,219. And
4	I have a motion it strike on that and I'll allow a
5	ten-page memorandum both either in support or
6	opposition to that due at the same time as the
7	proposed recommended orders. So, any questions as
8	to our timing?
9	All right. So I'm going to go through each of
10	the exhibits. I've already kind of done this with
11	Peoples, but I'm going to do it again, just to make
12	we're clear. So if somebody has their list and can
13	follow along and make sure I haven't neglected to
14	put anything in.
15	So, for PGS, I have Exhibits 1, 2, 4 through
16	13; 16, 19 through 21; 27, 29 through 32; 44
17	through 46; 49, 51, 71 through 80.
18	MR. BROWN: That's what we have, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right. Now, the only
20	confidential documents I have for Peoples are 8
21	MR. BROWN: And 71, I believe.
22	THE COURT: And 71.
23	MR. BROWN: And 77, which is a portion of Mr.
24	Hudson's deposition.
25	THE COURT: All right. I didn't have that.

1	So 77, confidential. So what I'll do is that will
2	go in a separate envelope to the Commission. Don't
3	know if we have red envelopes here, but if we do
4	MR. BROWN: We provided you red envelopes for
5	those. And I think the confidential portion of Mr.
6	Hudson's deposition is also, I think, in a red
7	envelope in the binder.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Well, if I don't have
9	enough red or I lose them, it will just say
10	confidential in big bold letters. I don't think it
11	will be too much of a stretch to pick it out.
12	All right. For the City of Leesburg, I have
13	Exhibits 1, through 6A; 8 through 12; 16.
14	MR. BROWN: Wait. We were behind the
15	eight-ball. Can we start at the top with that,
16	Your Honor?
17	THE COURT: Sure. I have 1 through 6A, none
18	of which are confidential. I have 8 through 12. I
19	don't show any of those being confidential.
20	Sixteen, which is confidential. Nineteen through
21	28, none of which are confidential. And that's it.
22	MR. MOYLE: That's right.
23	THE COURT: All right. Now, do I have these
24	other depositions? I have the deposition of Mr
25	it says Szelistowski? I know I didn't get that

1	right. Sorry. Do I have the deposition
2	transcripts, 23 through 27? I know you just gave
3	me 28.
4	MS. PUTNAL: Yes, Your Honor, 23 and 26
5	well, hold on.
6	MR. BROWN: Yeah, I don't think did we
7	agree on
8	MR. PUTNAL: I think you have through 24 in
9	the main binder for City of Leesburg. We provided
10	28 this morning, but
11	THE COURT: Some of those, I don't know why
12	they would come in because they aren't for
13	instance, Mr. Moses wasn't offered as an expert. I
14	don't know how or why his deposition would
15	necessarily be in, although it's technically been
16	received in evidence by stipulation of the parties,
17	but I don't anything that doesn't fall within
18	I may have a deposition in evidence, but if it
19	doesn't fall in one of the categories for me to be
20	able to use it, I don't intend to use it for any
21	purpose.
22	MR. BROWN: I think that would be true for the
23	deposition of Mr. Isaac and I'm not sure how
24	that
25	MR. MOYLE: Could we do this? Could I just

1	send you the depositions? Do we have to do it now
2	in terms of getting you those transcripts?
3	THE COURT: You can late-file them.
4	MR. BROWN: But the one's we're late-filing
5	are not Mr. Moses or Mr. Isaac?
6	MR. MOYLE: Well, Isaac's is already in and
7	Mr. Moses, you know
8	THE COURT: Mr. Moses is in.
9	MR. BROWN: Is it? Okay. That's fine.
10	That's fine.
11	THE COURT: But he appeared. He's not greater
12	than 100 miles away. He's not a party. He's not
13	an expert. I don't intend to use his deposition
14	for any purpose. I know I know PSC has filed a
15	notice of appearance, but my recollection is that's
16	not as a party. So it's kind of
17	MR. BROWN: That's correct.
18	THE COURT: So, like I said, I'll evaluate the
19	deposition transcripts. If they don't fit the
20	category of me being able to use them, I'm not
21	going to use them, whether it's in evidence or not.
22	All right. So
23	MR. SELF: Excuse me. Can I clarify? Is 24,
24	Ed McGee, does that include the report, McGee
25	report, as well?

1	MR. MOYLE: It does.
2	THE COURT: I believe it does.
3	MR. BROWN: Twenty-four is for all parties.
4	He's not a party.
5	MR. MOYLE: No, that was the argument we had
6	about admitting his deposition and that the safety
7	expert that we went around and around on.
8	That's
9	THE COURT: I remember the I remember it.
10	MR. MOYLE: That's in.
11	MR. BROWN: Well it's not it was never
12	listed.
13	MR. PUTNAL: His deposition his exhibits
14	were included in his report.
15	THE COURT: It's listed in the prehearing
16	stipulation under the list of exhibits and there
17	wasn't any objection to it coming in at the
18	beginning of the hearing. So it's in for my
19	purposes.
20	MR. BROWN: All right. The depo, but not the
21	report
22	MR. MOYLE: No, the report it attached to the
23	depo and it's in
24	MR. BROWN: Oh, that's right. I do recall
25	that.

1	MR. MOYLE: That's what we had the argument
2	about.
3	THE COURT: Let me go back and look at my
4	notes and figure out what to do with it.
5	All right. So South Sumter, I have Exhibit 1
6	and 2, both of which are confidential. I have 3
7	through 6, none of which are confidential. I have
8	7 through 11, all of which are confidential. I
9	have 12 through 16, none of which are confidential.
10	Seventeen, which is confidential. Eighteen, which
11	is not confidential. And that's it.
12	MR. SELF: That's what I have.
13	THE COURT: Does that fit everybody's personal
14	notes?
15	All right. So, with that, is there anything
16	we need to take up today before we adjourn this
17	proceeding?
18	MR. WHARTON: I don't think so, Your Honor.
19	MR. MOYLE: No, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Well, with that, at 12:03 p.m. we
21	stand adjourned. Thank you.
22	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at
23	12:03 p.m.)
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF LEON )
5	COUNTI OF LEON )
6	I, DANA W. REEVES, Professional Court
7	Reporter, certify that the foregoing proceedings were
8	taken before me at the time and place therein
9	designated; that my shorthand notes were thereafter
10	translated under my supervision; and the foregoing
11	pages, numbered 817 through 910, are a true and correct
12	record of the aforesaid proceedings.
13	
14	I further certify that I am not a relative,
15	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
16	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
17	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
18	financially interested in the action.
19	DATED this 24th day of July, 2019.
20	A 20.0044
21	Janwleeves
22	
23	DANA W. REEVES NOTARY PUBLIC
24	COMMISSION #FF968527 EXPIRES MARCH 22, 2020
25	EAFIRED MARCH 22, 2020