

FILED 10/1/2019 DOCUMENT NO. 09136-2019 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

(850) 850-521-1708 bkeating@gunster.com

October 1, 2019

ELECTRONIC PORTAL

Mr. Adam Teitzman, Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20190001-EI – Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Attached for electronic filing, please find the **Florida Public Utilities Company's Prehearing Statement**, in the above-referenced docket.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing. As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Sincerely,

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

MEK Enclosures

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

DOCKET NO. 20190001-EI

DATED: October 1, 2019

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

In accordance with the Order Establishing Procedure for this Docket, Order No. PSC-2019-0059-PCO-EI, issued February 13, 2019, Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPUC," or "Company") hereby files its Prehearing Statement.

APPEARANCES Α.

Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 521-1706

On behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

В. WITNESSES AND EXHIBITS

i. All Known Witnesses

Witness	Subject	<u>Issue</u>
Curtis D. Young	Final True Up 2018	8
Curtis D. Young	Estimated/Actual 2019	9
Michelle Napier	Projection for 2020	10, 11, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 34, 35, 36
P. Mark Cutshaw	Special Projects	10, 11

Docket No. 20190001-EI Page 2

ii. All Known Exhibits

Witness	<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Title</u>
Young	CDY-1 (Composite)	Final True Up Schedules (Schedules A, C1 and E1-B for FPUC's Divisions)
Young	CDY-2 (Composite)	Estimated/Actual (Schedules El-A, El-B, and El-B1)
Napier	MDN-1 (Composite)	Schedules E1, E1A, E2, E7, E8, E10 and Schedule A

C. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

FPUC's Statement of Basic Position

<u>FPUC</u>: The Commission should approve Florida Public Utilities Company's final net true-up for the period January through December 2018, the estimated true-up for the period January through December, 2019, and the purchase power cost recovery factor for the period January through December, 2020.

D. FPUC'S POSITION ON THE ISSUES

I. <u>FUEL ISSUES</u>

COMPANY-SPECIFIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 1A: Should the Commission approve as prudent DEF's actions to mitigate the volatility of natural gas, residual oil, and purchased power prices, as reported in DEF's April 2019 and August 2019 hedging reports?

FPUC's Position: No position.

ISSUE 1B: Was DEF prudent in its actions and decisions leading up to and in restoring the unit to service after the February 2017 forced outage at the Bartow plant and, if

Docket No. 20190001-EI Page 3

not, what action should the Commission take with respect to replacement power costs?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 1C: Has DEF made prudent adjustments, if any are needed, to account for replacement power costs associated with any impacts related to the de-rating of the Bartow plant? If adjustments are needed and have not been made, what adjustment(s) should be made?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 2A: What is the appropriate revised SoBRA factor for the 2017 projects to reflect actual construction costs that are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial SoBRA factor?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 2B: What is the appropriate revised SoBRA factor for the 2018 projects to reflect actual construction costs that are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial SoBRA factor?

FPUC's Position: No position.

What is the appropriate_total gain under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL may recover for the period January 2018 through December 2018, and how should that gain to be shared between FPL and customers?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2018 through December 2018?

Docket No. 20190001-EI

Page 4

ISSUE 2E: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Attributable to

Off-System Sales under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel

clause for the period January 2018 through December 2018?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 2F: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Avoided due to

Economy Purchases under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel

clause for the period January 2018 through December 2018?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 2G: If the Commission approves the FPL Solar Together Program and Tariff, what is

the appropriate total FPL SolarTogether Credit amount to be recovered through the fuel cost recovery clause for the period January 2020 through December

2020?

FPUC's Position: No position.

ISSUE 2H: Are the 2020 SoBRA projects (Hibiscus, Okeechobee, Southfork, and Echo

River) proposed by FPL cost effective?

FPUC's Position: No position.

ISSUE 2I: What are the revenue requirements associated with the 2020 SoBRA projects?

FPUC's Position: No position.

ISSUE 2J: What is the appropriate base rate percentage increase to be effective when all of

the 2020 SoBRA projects are in service, currently projected to be May 1, 2020?

FPUC's Position: No position.

ISSUE 2K: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs for FPL, reflecting the base rate

percentage increase for the 2020 SoBRA projects, determined to be reasonable in

this proceeding?

Docket No. 20190001-EI

Page 5

ISSUE 2L: Has FPL made prudent adjustments, if any are needed, to account for replacement

costs associated with the April 2019 forced outage at Saint Lucie Unit 1 generating station? If adjustments are needed and have not been made, what

adjustment(s) should be made? (DEFERRED)

FPUC's Position:

ISSUE 2M: What is the appropriate base rate percentage decrease associated with the true-up

of the 2017 SoBRA projects approved by Order No. PSC-2018-0028-FOF-EI to

be effective January 1, 2020?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 2N: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs for FPL to be effective January 1,

2020, reflecting the base rate percentage decrease for the true-up of the 2017

SoBRA projects determined to be reasonable in this proceeding?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

Florida Public Utilities Company

No company-specific fuel issues for Florida Public Utilities Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 3A, 3B, 3C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Gulf Power Company

ISSUE 4A: Should the Commission approve as prudent Gulf's actions to mitigate the

volatility of natural gas, residual oil, and purchased power prices, as reported in

Gulf's April 2019 and August 2019 hedging reports?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 5A: Should the Commission approve as prudent TECO's actions to mitigate the

volatility of natural gas, residual oil, and purchased power prices, as reported in

TECO's April 2019 hedging report?

ISSUE 5B What was the total gain under TECO's Optimization Mechanism approved by

Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI that TECO may recover for the period January 2018 through December 2018, and how should that gain be shared between

TECO and customers?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2019 for gains

on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2020 for

gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder

incentive?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period

January 2018 through December 2018?

FPUC's Position: \$2,475,441 (Over-recovery) (Young)

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the

period January 2019 through December 2019?

FPUC's Position: \$4,409,893 (Under-recovery) (Young)

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be

collected/refunded from January 2020 through December 2020?

FPUC's Position: \$1,934,452. (Under-recovery) (Napier, Cutshaw)

ISSUE 11: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery

amounts for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

Docket No. 20190001-EI Page 7

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: The appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery

amount for the period January 2020 through December 2020 is

\$42,849,420. (Napier, Cutshaw)

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR (GPIF) ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

No company-specific GPIF issues for Duke Energy Florida, Inc. have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 12A, 12B, 12C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Florida Power and Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Gulf Power Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Gulf Power Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 14A, 14B, 14C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 15A, 15B, 15C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC GPIF ISSUES

ISSUE 16: What is the appropriate generation performance incentive factor (GPIF) reward or

penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2018 through December 2018 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

FPUC's Position: No position.

ISSUE 17: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2020 through

December 2020 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

ISSUE 18: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery

factor for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

FPUC's Position: The appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and

Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2020 through December 2020 is

\$44,783,872, which includes prior period true-ups. (Napier)

ISSUE 19: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each

investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period

January 2020 through December 2020?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: The appropriate tax revenue factor is 1.00072. (*Napier*)

ISSUE 20: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period

January 2020 through December 2020?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: The appropriate factor is 5.109¢ per kWh. (*Napier*)

ISSUE 21: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in

calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery

voltage level class?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: The appropriate line loss multiplier is 1.0000. (*Napier*)

ISSUE 22: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery

voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

FPUC's Position: The appropriate levelized fuel adjustment and purchased power cost recovery

factors for the period January 2020 through December 2020 for the Consolidated Electric Division, adjusted for line loss multipliers and including

taxes, are as follows:

Rate Schedule Adjustment

RS	\$0.07766
GS	\$0.07535

GSD	
	\$0.07228
GSLD	
	\$0.07009
LS	
	\$0.05621
Step rate for RS	
RS Sales	
	\$0.07766
RS with less than 1,000 kWh/month	
	\$0.07459
RS with more than 1,000 kWh/month	
	\$0.08709

Consistent with the fuel projections for the 2020 period, the appropriate adjusted Time of Use (TOU) and Interruptible rates for the Northwest Division for 2020 period are:

Time of Use/Interruptible

Rate Schedule	Adjustment On Peak	Adjustment Off Peak
RS		
	\$0.15859	\$0.03559
GS		
	\$0.11535	\$0.02535
GSD		
	\$0.11228	\$0.03978
GSLD		
	\$0.13009	\$0.04009
Interruptible		
	\$0.05509	\$0.07009

(Napier)

II. CAPACITY ISSUES

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 23A: What amount has DEF included in the capacity cost recovery clause for nuclear cost recovery?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

Docket No. 20190001-EI Page 10

ISSUE 23B: What is the appropriate true-up adjustment amount associated with the Hamilton

SoBRA project approved by Order No. PSC-2019-0159-FOF-EI to be refunded

through the capacity clause in 2020?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 24A: What amount has FPL included in the capacity cost recovery clause for nuclear

cost recovery?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 24B: What is the appropriate true-up adjustment amount associated with the 2017

SOBRA projects approved by Order No. PSC-2018-0028-FOF-EI to be refunded

through the capacity clause in 2020?

FPUC's Position: No position.

ISSUE 24C: What is the appropriate true-up amount associated with the 2018 SOBRA projects

approved by Order No. PSC-2018-0028-FOF-EI to be refunded through the

capacity clause in 2020? (DEFERRED)

FPUC's Position:

ISSUE 24D: What are the appropriate Indiantown non-fuel based revenue requirements to be

recovered through the Capacity Clause pursuant to the Commission's approval of

the Indiantown transaction in Docket No. 160154-EI for 2020?

FPUC's Position: No position.

Gulf Power Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Gulf Power Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 25A, 25B, 25C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 26A, 26B, 26C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the

period January 2018 through December 2018?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts

for the period January 2019 through December 2019?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be

collected/refunded during the period January 2020 through December 2020?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 30: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the

period January 2020 through December 2020?

FPUC's Position: No position.

ISSUE 31: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery

amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2020 through

December 2020?

FPUC's Position: No position.

ISSUE 32: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues

and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2020

through December 2020?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: No position.

ISSUE 33: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January

2020 through December 2020?

III. EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 34: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: The effective date for FPUC's cost recovery factors should be the first billing cycle for January 1, 2020, which could include some consumption from the prior month. Thereafter, customers should be billed the approved factors for a full 12 months, unless the factors are otherwise modified by the Commission. (*Napier*)

ISSUE 35: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

<u>FPUC's Position</u>: Yes. The Commission should approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding. The Commission should direct staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with the Commission's decision. (Napier)

IV. MISCELLANEOUS ISSUES

ISSUE 36: Should the Joint Motion to Modify Order No. PSC-2012-0425-PAA-EU Regarding Weighted Average Cost of Capital Methodology be approved?

FPUC's Position: Yes.

ISSUE 37: Should this docket be closed?

FPUC's Position: Yes.

e. Stipulated Issues

While not a party to stipulations at this time, the FPUC believes that it should be possible to reach a stipulation on each of the issues as they pertain to FPUC.

f. <u>Pending Motions</u>

FPUC has no pending motions at this time.

g. <u>Pending Confidentiality Claims or Requests</u>

FPUC has no pending requests for confidential classification.

h. Objections to Witness Qualifications as an Expert

FPUC has no objections to any witnesses' qualifications at this time.

i. Compliance with Order No. PSC-2019-0059-PCO-EI

FPUC has complied with all requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure entered in this docket, as well as the subsequent orders issued modifying that Order.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1st day of October, 2019.

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

Attorneys for Florida Public Utilities Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by Electronic Mail to the following parties of record this 1st day of October, 2019:

Suzanne Brownless Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us	James D. Beasley/J. Jeffry Wahlen/Malcolm Means Ausley Law Firm Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 jbeasley@ausley.com jwahlen@ausley.com
Steven Griffin Beggs & Lane P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591-2950 srg@beggslane.com	James W. Brew/Laura Wynn Stone Matheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC Eighth Floor, West Tower 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Washington, DC 20007 jbrew@smxblaw.com
Maria Moncada Joel Baker Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 Maria.Moncada@fpl.com Joel.Baker@fpl.com	Kenneth Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ken.Hoffman@fpl.com
Ms. Paula K. Brown Tampa Electric Company Regulatory Affairs P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111 Regdept@tecoenergy.com	Florida Industrial Users Power Group Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Moyle Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com
Mike Cassel Florida Public Utilities Company 1750 SW 14th Street, Suite 200 Fernandina Beach, FL 32034 mcassel@fpuc.com	Florida Retail Federation Robert Scheffel Wright/John T. LaVia Gardner Law Firm 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 schef@gbwlegal.com

Russell A. Badders	J.R. Kelly/P. Christensen/S. Morse/T.
Shane Boyett	David
Gulf Power Company	Office of Public Counsel
One Energy Place	c/o The Florida Legislature
Pensacola, FL 32520-0780	111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Russell.Badders@nexteraenergy.com	Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
Charles.Boyett@nexteraenergy.com	Kelly.JR@leg.state.fl.us
	Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
	Morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us
	David.Tad@leg.state.fl.us
Matthew Bernier	Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy	Duke Energy
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800	299 First Avenue North
Tallahassee, FL 32301	St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Matthew.Bernier@duke-energy.com	<u>Dianne.Triplett@duke-energy.com</u>
Holly Henderson/Lisa Roddy	Michael Barrett
Gulf Power Company	Division of Accounting and Finance
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 618	Florida Public Service Commission
Tallahassee FL 32301	2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
holly.henderson@nexteraenergy.com	Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
Lisa.Roddy@nexteraenergy.com	mbarrett@psc.state.fl.us

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706