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NOTICE OF RULEMAKING

BY THE COMMISSION:

 NOTICE is hereby given that the Florida Public Service Commission, pursuant to Section 120.54, Florida Statutes, has proposed the adoption of Rule 25-6.030, F.A.C., Storm Protection Plan, and Rule 25-6.031, F.A.C., Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause, relating to the implementation of Section 366.96, F.S., for storm protection plans and cost recovery.

 The attached Notice of Proposed Rules appeared in the October 7, 2019, edition of the Florida Administrative Register.

 Written requests for hearing and written comments on the rule must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Tallahassee, FL 32399-0862, no later than October 28, 2019.

 By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 7th day of October, 2019.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | /s/ Adam J. Teitzman |
|  | ADAM J. TEITZMANCommission Clerk |

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(850) 413‑6770

www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

AEH

Notice of Proposed Rule

[PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION](https://www.flrules.org/gateway/department.asp?id=25)

RULE NOS: RULE TITLES:

25-6.030 Storm Protection Plan

25-6.031 Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause

PURPOSE AND EFFECT: To implement Section 366.96, F.S., which requires the Commission to adopt rules to specify the elements that must be included in the public electric utility’s filing for the Commission’s review of its storm protection plan and to implement and administer the section.

Docket No. 20190131-EU

SUMMARY: Rule 25-6.030 establishes that a public electric utility must file a storm protection plan that covers the utility’s immediate 10-year planning period and which must be updated every 3 years. The rule describes the information that must be included in the storm protection plan and the information needed for the Commission to file an annual report with the executive and legislative branches on the planned and completed storm protection projects and the related rate impacts. Rule 25-6.031 establishes a storm protection plan cost recovery clause for a utility’s prudently incurred costs that result from implementing the utility’s storm protection plan. The rule sets forth the information a utility must submit in its storm protection plan cost recovery petition when seeking Commission review and approval of storm protection plan costs.

SUMMARY OF STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS AND LEGISLATIVE RATIFICATION: The agency has determined that this will not have an adverse impact on small business or likely increase directly or indirectly regulatory costs in excess of $200,000 in the aggregate within one year after the implementation of the rule. A SERC has been prepared by the agency. The SERC examined the factors required by Section 120.541(2), F.S., and concluded the rules will not likely directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of $200,000 in the aggregate in Florida within one year after implementation. Further, the SERC concludes that the rules will not likely have an adverse impact on economic growth, private-sector job creation or employment, private sector investment, business competitiveness, productivity, or innovation in excess of $1 million in the aggregate within five years of implementation. Thus, the rules do not require legislative ratification pursuant to Section 120.541(3), F.S. In addition, the SERC concludes that the rules will have no adverse impact on small businesses, small cities, or small counties. The rules will have minimal impact on state and local revenues and transactional costs. Any implementation or enforcement costs on the Commission will be offset by the additional staff positions and funding provided under the new law. None of the impact/cost criteria established in Section 120.541(2)(a), F.S., will be exceeded as a result of the rules.

The agency has determined that the proposed rule is not expected to require legislative ratification based on the statement of estimated regulatory costs or if no SERC is required, the information expressly relied upon and described herein: based upon the information contained in the SERC.

Any person who wishes to provide information regarding a statement of estimated regulatory costs, or provide a proposal for a lower cost regulatory alternative must do so in writing within 21 days of this notice.

RULEMAKING AUTHORITY: 366.96, F.S.

LAW IMPLEMENTED: 366.96, F.S.

IF REQUESTED WITHIN 21 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, A HEARING WILL BE SCHEDULED AND ANNOUNCED IN THE FAR.

THE PERSONS TO BE CONTACTED REGARDING THE PROPOSED RULE ARE: Adria Harper, (850)413-6082, aharper@psc.state.fl.us or Andrew King, (850)413-6195, aking@psc.state.fl.us., Office of General Counsel, 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850.

THE FULL TEXT OF THE PROPOSED RULE IS:

 25-6.030 Storm Protection Plan.

 (1) Application and Scope. Each utility as defined in Section 366.96(2)(a), F.S., must file a petition with the Commission for approval of a Transmission and Distribution Storm Protection Plan (Storm Protection Plan) that covers the utility’s immediate 10-year planning period. Each utility must file, for Commission approval, an updated Storm Protection Plan at least every 3 years.

 (2) For the purpose of this rule, the following definitions apply:

 (a) “Storm protection program” – a category, type, or group of related storm protection projects that are undertaken to enhance the utility’s existing infrastructure for the purpose of reducing restoration costs and reducing outage times associated with extreme weather conditions therefore improving overall service reliability.

 (b) “Storm protection project” – a specific activity within a storm protection program designed for the enhancement of an identified portion or area of existing electric transmission or distribution facilities for the purpose of reducing restoration costs and reducing outage times associated with extreme weather conditions therefore improving overall service reliability.

 (c) “Transmission and distribution facilities” – all utility owned poles and fixtures, towers and fixtures, overhead conductors and devices, substations and related facilities, land and land rights, roads and trails, underground conduits, and underground conductors.

 (3) Contents of the Storm Protection Plan. For each Storm Protection Plan, the following information must be provided:

 (a) A description of how implementation of the proposed Storm Protection Plan will strengthen electric utility infrastructure to withstand extreme weather conditions by promoting the overhead hardening of electrical transmission and distribution facilities, the undergrounding of certain electrical distribution lines, and vegetation management.

 (b) A description of how implementation of the proposed Storm Protection Plan will reduce restoration costs and outage times associated with extreme weather conditions therefore improving overall service reliability.

 (c) A description of the utility’s service area, including areas prioritized for enhancement and any areas where the utility has determined that enhancement of the utility’s existing transmission and distribution facilities would not be feasible, reasonable, or practical. Such description must include a general map, number of customers served within each area, and the utility’s reasoning for prioritizing certain areas for enhanced performance and for designating other areas of the system as not feasible, reasonable, or practical.

 (d) A description of each proposed storm protection program that includes:

 1. A description of how each proposed storm protection program is designed to enhance the utility’s existing transmission and distribution facilities including an estimate of the resulting reduction in outage times and restoration costs due to extreme weather conditions;

 2. If applicable, the actual or estimated start and completion dates of the program;

 3. A cost estimate including capital and operating expenses;

 4. A comparison of the costs identified in subparagraph (3)(d)3. and the benefits identified in subparagraph (3)(d)1.; and

 5. A description of the criteria used to select and prioritize proposed storm protection programs.

 (e) For the first three years in a utility’s Storm Protection Plan, the utility must provide the following information:

 1. For the first year of the plan, a description of each proposed storm protection project that includes:

 i. The actual or estimated construction start and completion dates;

 ii. A description of the affected existing facilities, including number and type(s) of customers served, historic service reliability performance during extreme weather conditions, and how this data was used to prioritize the proposed storm protection project;

 iii. A cost estimate including capital and operating expenses; and

 iv. A description of the criteria used to select and prioritize proposed storm protection projects.

 2. For the second and third years of the plan, project related information in sufficient detail, such as estimated number and costs of projects under every specific program, to allow the development of preliminary estimates of rate impacts as required by paragraph (3)(h) of this rule.

 (f) For each of the first three years in a utility’s Storm Protection Plan, the utility must provide a description of its proposed vegetation management activities including:

 1. The projected frequency (trim cycle);

 2. The projected miles of affected transmission and distribution overhead facilities;

 3. The estimated annual labor and equipment costs for both utility and contractor personnel; and

 4. A description of how the vegetation management activity will reduce outage times and restoration costs due to extreme weather conditions.

 (g) An estimate of the annual jurisdictional revenue requirements for each year of the Storm Protection Plan.

 (h) An estimate of rate impacts for each of the first three years of the Storm Protection Plan for the utility’s typical residential, commercial, and industrial customers.

 (i) A description of any implementation alternatives that could mitigate the resulting rate impact for each of the first three years of the proposed Storm Protection Plan.

 (j) Any other factors the utility requests the Commission to consider.

 (4) By June 1, each utility must submit to the Commission Clerk an annual status report on the utility’s Storm Protection Plan programs and projects. The annual status report shall include:

 (a) Identification of all Storm Protection Plan programs and projects completed in the prior calendar year or planned for completion;

 (b) Actual costs and rate impacts associated with completed activities under the Storm Protection Plan as compared to the estimated costs and rate impacts for those activities; and

 (c) Estimated costs and rate impacts associated with programs planned for completion during the next calendar year.

*Rulemaking Authority 366.96, FS. Law Implemented 366.96, FS. History–New \_\_\_\_\_.*

 25-6.031 Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause.

 (1) Application and Scope. This rule applies to each utility as defined in Section 366.96(2)(a), F.S.

 (2) After a utility has filed its Transmission and Distribution Storm Protection Plan (Storm Protection Plan), the utility may file a petition for recovery of associated costs through the Storm Protection Plan cost recovery clause. The utility’s petition shall be supported by testimony that provides details on the annual Storm Protection Plan implementation activities and associated costs, and how those activities and costs are consistent with its Storm Protection Plan. If the Commission approves the utility’s Storm Protection Plan with modifications, the utility shall, within 15 business days, file an amended cost recovery petition and supporting testimony reflecting the modifications.

 (3) An annual hearing to address petitions for recovery of Storm Protection Plan costs will be limited to determining the reasonableness of projected Storm Protection Plan costs, the prudence of actual Storm Protection Plan costs incurred by the utility, and to establish Storm Protection Plan cost recovery factors consistent with the requirements of this rule.

 (4) Storm Protection Plan cost recovery clause true-up amounts shall be afforded deferred accounting treatment at the 30-day commercial paper rate.

 (5) Subaccounts. To ensure separation of costs subject to recovery through the clause, the utility filing for cost recovery shall maintain subaccounts for all items consistent with the Uniform System of Accounts prescribed by this Commission, pursuant to Rule 25-6.014, F.A.C.

 (6) Recoverable costs.

 (a) The utility’s petition for recovery of costs associated with its Storm Protection Plan may include costs incurred after the filing of the utility’s Storm Protection Plan.

 (b) Storm Protection Plan costs recoverable through the clause shall not include costs recovered through the utility’s base rates or any other cost recovery mechanism.

 (c) The utility may recover the annual depreciation expense on capitalized Storm Protection Plan expenditures using the utility’s most recent Commission-approved depreciation rates. The utility may recover a return on the undepreciated balance of the costs calculated at the utility’s weighted average cost of capital using the return on equity most recently approved by the Commission.

 (7) Pursuant to the order establishing procedure in the annual cost recovery proceeding, a utility shall submit the following for Commission review and approval as part of its Storm Protection Plan cost recovery filings:

 (a) Final True-Up for Previous Year. The final true-up of Storm Protection Plan cost recovery for a prior year shall include revenue requirements based on a comparison of actual costs for the prior year and previously filed costs and revenue requirements for such prior year for each program and project filed in the utility’s cost recovery petition. The final true-up shall also include identification of each of the utility’s Storm Protection Plan programs and projects for which costs were incurred during the prior year, including a description of the work actually performed during such prior year, for each program and project in the utility’s cost recovery petition.

 (b) Estimated True-Up for Current Year. The actual/estimated true-up of Storm Protection Plan cost recovery shall include revenue requirements based on a comparison of current year actual/estimated costs and the previously-filed projected costs and revenue requirements for such current year for each program and project filed in the utility’s cost recovery petition. The actual/estimated true-up shall also include identification of each of the utility’s Storm Protection Plan programs and projects for which costs have been and will be incurred during the current year, including a description of the work projected to be performed during such current year, for each program and project in the utility’s cost recovery petition.

 (c) Projected Costs for Subsequent Year. The projected Storm Protection Plan costs recovery shall include costs and revenue requirements for the subsequent year for each program filed in the utility’s cost recovery petition. The projection filing shall also include identification of each of the utility’s Storm Protection Plan programs for which costs will be incurred during the subsequent year, including a description of the work projected to be performed during such year, for each program in the utility’s cost recovery petition.

 (d) True-Up of Variances. The utility shall report observed true-up variances including sales forecasting variances, changes in the utility’s prices of services and/or equipment, and changes in the scope of work relative to the estimates provided pursuant to subparagraphs (7)(b) and (7)(c). The utility shall also provide explanations for variances regarding the implementation of the approved Storm Protection Plan.

 (e) Proposed Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Factors. The utility shall provide the calculations of its proposed factors and effective 12-month billing period.

 (8) Recovery of costs under this rule does not preclude a utility from proposing inclusion of unrecovered Storm Protection Plan implementation costs in base rates in a subsequent rate proceeding.

*Rulemaking Authority 366.96, FS. Law Implemented 366.96, FS. History–New \_\_\_\_\_*.

NAME OF PERSON ORIGINATING PROPOSED RULE: Tom Ballinger

NAME OF AGENCY HEAD WHO APPROVED THE PROPOSED RULE: Florida Public Service Commission

DATE PROPOSED RULES APPROVED BY AGENCY HEAD: October 3, 2019

DATE NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE DEVELOPMENT PUBLISHED IN FAR: Volume 45, Number 111, June 7, 2019.