State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

January 16, 2020

TO:

Office of Commission Clerk

FROM:

Lynn M. Deamer, Chief of Auditing, Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis

RE:

Docket No.: 20190038-EI

Company Name: Gulf Power Company

Company Code: EI804

Audit Purpose: A19: Storm Restoration for Hurricane Michael

Audit Control No.: 2019-323-1-1

Attached is the final audit report for the Utility stated above. I am sending the Utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the Utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send a response to the Office of Commission Clerk. There are no confidential work papers associated with this audit.

LMD/cmm

Attachment:

Audit Report

cc:

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis File.

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
Bureau of Auditing
Tallahassee District Office

Auditor's Report

Gulf Power Company Storm Recovery Cost Audit – Hurricane Michael

As of October 31, 2019

Docket No. 20190038-EI Audit Control No. 2019-323-1-1 January 10, 2020

> Debra M. Dobiac Audit Manager

Marisa N. Glover Reviewer

Table of Contents

Purpose	1
Objectives and Procedures	2
Audit Findings None	5
Exhibits 1: Gulf's Hurricane Michael Final Storm Restoration C	osts 6

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Division of Accounting and Finance in its audit service request dated November 18, 2019. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Gulf Power Company in support of its filing for storm recovery costs in Docket No. 20190038-EI.

The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definitions

Gulf or Utility refers to Gulf Power Company.

Background

On November 15, 2019, Gulf filed a petition to facilitate an evaluation of Hurricane Michael storm costs. According to the petition, Gulf incurred \$427,674,776 in storm restoration costs and follow-up work related to Hurricane Michael from October 1, 2018 through October 31, 2019. After deducting Hurricane Michael non-incremental costs, related capital, third-party reimbursements, and below-the-line costs, the remaining total incremental storm losses amounted to \$314,612,139. After accounting for the pre-storm reserve balance, additional post-storm reserve accruals, replenishing the storm reserve, and interest, Gulf requests that the total storm amount to be recovered is \$295,748,645.

Expense

Payroll, Overtime, and Related Costs

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether regular payroll, overtime, and related costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined regular payroll, overtime, and related costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to payroll records. No exceptions were noted.

Contractors

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether contractor costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined contractor costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Line Clearing

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether line clearing costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined line clearing costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected

a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Vehicle and Fuel

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether vehicle and fuel costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined vehicle and fuel costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to source documents. No exceptions were noted.

Materials and Supplies

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether materials and supplies were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined materials and supplies from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or inventory records. No exceptions were noted.

Logistics

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether logistics costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined logistics costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or employee expense reports. No exceptions were noted.

Other Costs

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether other costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined other costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Other

Capitalizable Costs

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the capitalizable costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143(1)(d), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We determined capitalizable costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the capitalizable costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule. No exceptions were noted.

Third-Party Reimbursements

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether third-party reimbursement costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143 (1)(b), F.A.C.

Procedures: We determined third-party reimbursements from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the third-party reimbursements, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule. No exceptions were noted.

Below-the-Line Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether below-the-line costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143 (1)(b), F.A.C.

Procedures: We determined below-the-line costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the below-the-line costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule. No exceptions were noted.

Non-Incremental Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether non-incremental costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C.

Procedures: We determined non-incremental costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the non-incremental costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule.. No exceptions were noted.

Jurisdictional Factors

Objectives: The objective was to determine the jurisdictional factors used by the Utility.

Procedures: We determined that Gulf used the jurisdictional factors calculated for 2019 in its filing. We verified the calculation using support documentation provided by the Utility. No further work was performed.

Audit Findings

None

Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Gulf's Hurricane Michael Final Storm Restoration Costs

Exhibit MG-1. Page 1 of 2

Scorm Costs By Punction(A)								
LINE NO		Steam at Other (I)	Transportation (2)	Dumbutos (3)	Geograf (B) (4)	Customer Service (3)	Total (6)	Calculation of Recoverable Steam Amount (7)
Storm Ranarus Balanca (Pre-Storm)								\$(+8.0
Strem Resourcion Costs								
Regular Persoll and Rehard Costs (C) Overtime Persoll and Rehard Costs (C)		\$193 160		\$4,572 4,342	\$50 23	\$1,255 976	\$6,364 6,302	
Overtime Peyroll and Related Costs (C) Contractors		762		213.694	331	, i	237,343	
Line Clearing		1 70	1,376	18,298	ō	0	19.673	
Vehicle & Fuel			η	657	0	9	727 729.937	
Minerials & Supplies Logistics		1.789 95		26,509 107,111	9 32		121,796	
Logistics Other (D)		1 17		4,836	ã	ŏ	4913	
Total Storm Rahited Restoration Costs	Sum of Lines 4 - 11	\$3.013		\$3\$0,018	\$445	22,232	\$427,675	
Law Non-Incremental Costs		į					\$4.544	
Regular Payroll and Rahmed Costs (E)		\$193		\$2,646 70	\$50 23	มะรร	34,344	
Overtime Peyroll and Related Costs Contractors			•	0	331	ä	331	
Line Clearing		, ·	•	•		7		
Vegatitica Managament) •		290	0	이	788	
Veticle & Fool		0		171	0		186 9	
Microsials & Scoplins		1 8	•	0	32 9		32	
Logistics Other		٠ '	•	v	*	ĭ	-	
Thank-you Ads		٠ .	1	6	0	٥	7	
Logal Claims		0		21	0	0	251	
Total Non-Incremental Costs	Sum of Linus 15 • 21	\$193	1565	\$3,403	\$445	\$1,255	\$6,247	
Incremental Storm Losses								
Regular Payroll and Related Costs	Lines 4 - 15	\$40		\$1,927	\$0	20	1,420	
Overtime Peysoll and Related Cours	Line 5 - 16	160		4,272	0	976	6,204 237,011	
Commentum	Lines 6 - 17 Lines 7 - 19	762		213,694 18,003	ŏ	ĭ	18.883	
Line Clearing Vehicle & Frail	Lines 5 - 20	l ä		486	ŏ	اة	341	
Materials & Supplies	Linus 9 - 21	1,789	1,651	25,509	٥	이	29.948	
Legimes	Line 10 - 22	95		107,111	0	이	121,764	
Other	Line 11 - 24 - 25	17		4,60\$	<u>0</u>	976	4,654 \$421,428	
Subtotal	Sum of Lines 29 - 36	\$2,822	\$41.014	1376,613	30	25.0	5721.728	
Less: Third-Puty Reimbursoments (F)		0	117	4,837	0	٥	4.934	
Not Incremental Restourtion Costs Incremed	Linus 37 - 39	\$2,82	\$40,697	1371,777	\$0	\$976	\$416,473	
Lens: Capitalizable Costs, excluding Third-Party I	Leinberterett	1,493	11,758	\$1,611	0	0	101,861	
Total Incorpagnal Storm Lesses	Lines 41 - 43	\$1,330	\$29,140	\$283,166	50	\$976	2314,612	
Parisdictional Factor (G)		0,9720	0.9741	0.9963	0.9841	1.0000		
Retril Recoverable Costs	Line 45 * 47	\$1,293		\$2\$2,124	50	1976	\$312,777	531:
								\$26
Balance of Street Reserve after Funding Estimate	• •	com (trant-	7)					as
Less: Additional 2018 Accesses to Storm Reserve	(Pest-Seem)							
Pins: Interest on Unamortized Reserve Balance								40
Plm: Amount to Replanish Reserve to Lovel at S	-		2016 ("Implemen	acca Seam Reserv	Bulance")			
Subtritul - System Storm Losses to be Recovered from Customers (Lines 51 + 53 + 57)								129
Regulatory Assessment Fee Multiplier								1.0
Total System Storm Losses to be Recovered from	n Contorners ("Recoverable Storm A	norman (Linea 59 • 6	11					139

Notes:

(A) Sum own are as of October 31. 2019. Totals may not add due to rounding.

(B) General plant function reflects restantion come associated with employee assurance.

(C) Represent send payroll changed to the branch is war (function) being supported. For example, an employee that works in Legal but is supporting Distribution change from restoration would allocate their time to Distribution.

(D) Includes other miscellaneous costs, including reserve equipment in FERC Account 568, Line Transformers and removed as Capual Costs in Line 43 above.

(E) Represents regular payroll normally recovered through base run OctM and not charged to the Scann Reserve.

(F) Reinformement from AT&T for not poles and a Power-Costs up replaced by Gelf change restoration as a result of the storm.

(G) Introductional Factors are based on factors approved in Docker No. 1601164-21.