FILED 6/26/2020 DOCUMENT NO. 03357-2020 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

Docket No. 20190038-EI Gulf Power Company Petition for Limited Proceeding for Recovery of Incremental Storm Restoration Costs Related to Hurricane Michael, by Gulf Power Company.

Witness: **Direct Testimony of Debra M. Dobiac,**Appearing on Behalf of the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission

Date Filed: June 26, 2020

	1	
1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		COMMISSION STAFF
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DEBRA M. DOBIAC
4		DOCKET NO. 20190038-EI
5		JUNE 26, 2020
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7	A.	My name is Debra M. Dobiac. My business address is 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
8	Tallah	assee, Florida, 32399.
9	Q.	By who are you presently employed?
10	A.	I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or Commission) in the
11	Office	of Auditing and Performance Analysis. I have been employed by the Commission since
12	Januar	y 2008.
13	Q.	Please describe your current responsibilities.
14	A.	Currently, I am a Public Utility Analyst with the responsibilities of managing regulated
15	utility	financial audits. I am also responsible for creating audit work programs to meet a specific
16	audit p	purpose.
17	Q.	Briefly review your educational and professional background.
18	A.	I graduated with honors from Lakeland College in 1993 and have a Bachelor of Arts
19	degree	in accounting. Prior to my work at the Commission, I worked for six years in internal
20	auditir	ng at the Kohler Company and First American Title Insurance Company. I also have
21	approx	ximately 12 years of experience as an accounting manager and controller.
22	Q.	Have you presented testimony before this Commission or any other regulatory
23	agenc	y?
24	A.	Yes. I testified in the Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc. Rate Case, Docket No. 20080121-WS,
25	the W	ater Management Services, Inc. Rate Case, Docket No. 20110200-WU, and the Utilities,

- 1 Inc. of Florida Rate Case, Docket No. 20160101-WS. I also provided testimony for the Water
- 2 Management Services, Inc. Rate Case, Docket No. 20100104-WU, the Gulf Power Company
- 3 Rate Cases, Docket Nos. 20110138-EI and 20130140-EI, the Fuel and Purchased Power
- 4 Recovery Clause (Hedging Activities) for Gulf Power Company, Docket Nos. 20130001-EI,
- 5 | 20140001-EI and 20190001-EI, and the Fuel and Purchased Power Recovery Clause (Hedging
- 6 Activities) for Florida Power & Light Company, Docket No. 20180001-EI, and the Florida
- 7 Public Utilities Company's Limited Proceeding to recover incremental Storm Restoration Costs,
- 8 Docket No. 20180061-EI.

9 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony today?

- 10 A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the staff auditor's report issued on January 16,
- 11 | 2020, which addresses Gulf Power Company's (Gulf or Utility) petition for limited proceeding
- 12 | for recovery of incremental storm restoration costs related to Hurricane Michael. This report is
- 13 | filed with my testimony and is identified as Exhibit DMD-1.
- 14 Q. Was this report prepared by you or under your direction?
- 15 A. Yes, it was prepared under my direction.
- 16 Q. Please describe the work you performed.
- 17 **A.** I have separated the work performed into several categories.

18 Payroll, Overtime, and Related Costs

- We determined regular payroll, overtime, and related costs from Account 186 –
- 20 Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration
- 21 costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts
- 22 to payroll records. No exceptions were noted.

23 Contractors

- We determined contractor costs from Account 186 Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by
- 25 function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a

judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Line Clearing

We determined line clearing costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Vehicle and Fuel

We determined vehicle and fuel costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to source documents. No exceptions were noted.

Materials and Supplies

We determined materials and supplies from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or inventory records. No exceptions were noted.

Logistics

We determined logistics costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or employee expense reports. No exceptions were noted.

Other Costs

We determined other costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a

judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Capitalizable Costs

We determined capitalizable costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the capitalizable costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed as per Rule 6.0143(1)(d), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). No exceptions were noted.

Third-Party Reimbursements

We determined third-party reimbursements from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the third-party reimbursements, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed as per Rule 25-6.0143 (1)(b), F.A.C. No exceptions were noted.

Below-the-Line Costs

We determined below-the-line costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the below-the-line costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed as per Rule 25-6.0143 (1)(b), F.A.C. No exceptions were noted.

Non-Incremental Costs

We determined non-incremental costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the non-incremental costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule.. No

1	exceptions were noted
2	Jurisdictional Factors
3	We determined that Gulf used the jurisdictional factors calculated for 2019 in its filing.
4	We verified the calculation using support documentation provided by the Utility. No further
5	work was performed.
6	Q. Please review the findings in this report.
7	A. There were no findings.
8	Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
9	A. Yes, it does.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Docket No. 201900038-EI Auditor's Report - Storm Cost Recovery Audit Hurricane Michael Exhibit DMD-1, Page 1 of 8

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
Bureau of Auditing
Tallahassee District Office

Auditor's Report

Gulf Power Company Storm Recovery Cost Audit – Hurricane Michael

As of October 31, 2019

Docket No. 20190038-EI Audit Control No. 2019-323-1-1 January 10, 2020

> Debra M. Dobiac Audit Manager

Marisa N. Glover Reviewer

Table of Contents

Purpose	1
Objectives and Procedures	2
Audit Findings None	5
Exhibits 1: Gulf's Hurricane Michael Final Storm Restoration C	osts 6

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Division of Accounting and Finance in its audit service request dated November 18, 2019. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Gulf Power Company in support of its filing for storm recovery costs in Docket No. 20190038-EI.

The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definitions

Gulf or Utility refers to Gulf Power Company.

Background

On November 15, 2019, Gulf filed a petition to facilitate an evaluation of Hurricane Michael storm costs. According to the petition, Gulf incurred \$427,674,776 in storm restoration costs and follow-up work related to Hurricane Michael from October 1, 2018 through October 31, 2019. After deducting Hurricane Michael non-incremental costs, related capital, third-party reimbursements, and below-the-line costs, the remaining total incremental storm losses amounted to \$314,612,139. After accounting for the pre-storm reserve balance, additional post-storm reserve accruals, replenishing the storm reserve, and interest, Gulf requests that the total storm amount to be recovered is \$295,748,645.

Expense

Payroll, Overtime, and Related Costs

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether regular payroll, overtime, and related costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined regular payroll, overtime, and related costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to payroll records. No exceptions were noted.

Contractors

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether contractor costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined contractor costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Line Clearing

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether line clearing costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined line clearing costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected

Docket No. 201900038-EI Auditor's Report - Storm Cost Recovery Audit Hurricane Michael Exhibit DMD-1, Page 5 of 8

a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Vehicle and Fuel

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether vehicle and fuel costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined vehicle and fuel costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to source documents. No exceptions were noted.

Materials and Supplies

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether materials and supplies were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined materials and supplies from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or inventory records. No exceptions were noted.

Logistics

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether logistics costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined logistics costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or employee expense reports. No exceptions were noted.

Other Costs

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether other costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Michael.

Procedures: We determined other costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Other

Capitalizable Costs

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the capitalizable costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143(1)(d), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We determined capitalizable costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the capitalizable costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule. No exceptions were noted.

Third-Party Reimbursements

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether third-party reimbursement costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143 (1)(b), F.A.C.

Procedures: We determined third-party reimbursements from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the third-party reimbursements, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule. No exceptions were noted.

Below-the-Line Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether below-the-line costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143 (1)(b), F.A.C.

Procedures: We determined below-the-line costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the below-the-line costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule. No exceptions were noted.

Non-Incremental Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether non-incremental costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C.

Procedures: We determined non-incremental costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the non-incremental costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule.. No exceptions were noted.

Jurisdictional Factors

Objectives: The objective was to determine the jurisdictional factors used by the Utility.

Procedures: We determined that Gulf used the jurisdictional factors calculated for 2019 in its filing. We verified the calculation using support documentation provided by the Utility. No further work was performed.

Docket No. 201900038-EI Auditor's Report - Storm Cost Recovery Audit Hurricane Michael Exhibit DMD-1, Page 7 of 8

Audit Findings

None

Exhibits

Exhibit 1: **Gulf's Hurricane Michael Final Storm Restoration Costs**

Exhibit MG-1. Page 1 of 2

\$5\$\$\$#########							* # #	å¢;	. .	t & f	s ± e	* * *	:2	z z	T:	: 13	≃ 8		1 8 U	1212	ដដ	មគ	5 58	*==:	: :::	==	o 🐝 ·	10		u	8	Ş	
Total System Storm Losses to be Racovared from Cuttoners ("Racovareble Scarm America") (Lines 59 • 61	Regulatory Assessment Fee Multiplier	School - System Sterm Louses to be Recovered from Customers (Lines 31 + 33 + 33 + 37)	Hm: Amount to Replants Resears to Level at Septement Agreement implementation Date, December 31, 2016 (Emplementation Seam Resears Balance)	Hu: Intenst on Unsuccticed Reserve Salmos	Len: Additional 2018 Accords to Secon Reserve (Pert-Secon)	Balance of Steam Reserve after Funding Estimated Steam Costs ("Elaphie Restoration Costs") (Lasts 1 + 47)	Renal Recoverable Coun	Arisdictional Factor (G)	Total Incurrental Scorm Losses	Len: Capitalish Com, eschalag Thet-Puty Leinburement	Not be remercial Restoration Costs between	Leav. Third-Puty Rainbursements (F)	Subcosi	Logistics Other	Marariah & Seppisa	Line Charing	Overime Peyroll and Related Corn Comments	Engeler Psyroll and Rabined Com	Legal Claims Total New-Incremental Costs	Other Thesis you Ads	Monetals & Supplies Logistics	Vegratica Mussemus Vehicle & Prail	Compress	Lea: Non-honouseral Corn. Regular Papell and Rahmed Corn. (E)	Total Storm Rehand Restoration Costs	Logines Other (7)	Version a Proi	Contraction	Stem Recognizes Costs Regular Pepull and Radand Costs (C) Oversians Pepull and Radand Costs (C)	Steem Reserve Balance (Pre-Steem)			
eesn ("Racoverbia Seem Am		Constantings (Lines 51 + 53 + 55 -	sa Apanona lapkonazaio		, Agentaly	m Com (Elphis Restantion	Log 45 • 47		Lines 41 - 43	ileneri:	Linus 37 - 39		Seem of Lines, 29 - 36	E = 7:	Lens 9 - 21	Lines 7 - 19 Lines 8 - 20	Line 5 - 16	Linus 4 - 13	Seconditions 15 - 25						Sum of Lines + · 11								
19 • 65 marry) (man		÷	Data, Decumber 31, 201			Com) (Lne 1 * 49)	11.293	0.9720	81.13	1.493	ara	•	cara	17	1,789		ස් සි	is	\$193		• •	••	•	8015	\$10.03	93 17	7	- ž	081 815		9		
) (Tables				HUS	09741	129,140	117738	140,007	117	\$4L014	65 1633	1.631	Es	# #	Œ	1568	š –	••	= \$	•	" E	\$41,983	85°+1	F. 19.	i E	8 5		9	0000000	Soura
			bas Seam Reserve I				KITACS	0.9963	223,166	1197	117.777	4,337	5199.53	4,603	. X	£00.	213,694	\$1.927	S.403	<u> </u>	00	3 %	0	r S	110'01'1	107,111	X 657	15 PE	¢§		9		Searm Costs By Function(A)
			Bulance")				8	14863	ε	۰	g	۰	8	00	• •	••	00	۶. ۲	H	• •	n .	•••	111	8	£	េដ	• •	931	និង		3	Geomai (B)	(A)
							3976	1 3000	978	۰	868	٥	92.63	00	• •	00	, i	វិទ	81.295		00	.00	۰	n n	18.33	00	00	00	9% 52.13		9	r C	
							स्माध		:1911	101.161	24,614,5	+64	SCF TOPS	+63+	3946	######################################	257.01.1	143	24795	ヹ ੑ,	× 5	ž ž	14	#5#	5427.675	121.796 1.10+	727 727	1772 1947 1947 1947 1947 1947 1947 1947 1947	\$6.362 6.302		9	T (2)	
1391.16	1.00072	3251.336	40.508	50	(1 C30)	\$34,769	שלות																							(+L008)	Э	of Recoverable Seem Amount	Calculation

Norm:

(A) Sum one are a of October 31, 2019. Totals any note ald the to transfing.

(A) Sum ones are a of October 31, 2019. Totals any note ald the to transfing.

(B) General plant function profess retained on a strong of the profess. It is complete to expert any and a logal to an expertage Distribution that the service of the times of London of the times of London on the charge seators of PEMC Account ASI, Lies Transforment and removed as Capital Costs to Lies 43 shows.

(B) Languages regard accounts including seators of professes to PEMC Account ASI, Lies Transforment and removed as Capital Costs to Lies 43 shows.

(B) Removement for professes of the seator plant and a Power-Scotch top replaced by Coeff during neutration as a result of the seator.

(C) Removement for the seator and the construction of the country of the seators of the seators.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for limited proceeding for DOCKET NO. 20190038-EI recovery of incremental storm restoration costs related to Hurricane Michael, by Gulf Power Company.

DATED: June 26, 2020

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the testimony of Debra Dobiac on behalf of the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission was electronically filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, Florida Public Service Commission, and copies were furnished by electronic mail to the following on this 26th day of June, 2020.

Steven R. Griffin Beggs & Lane, R.L.L.P. Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950 srg@beggslane.com

Kenneth M. Rubin/ Jason A. Higginbotham Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408 Jason.higginbotham@fpl.com Ken.rubin@fpl.com

TSgt. Arnold Braxton/ Capt. Robert J. Friedman/Thomas A. Jernigan/ Ebony M. Pavton Federal Executive Agencies 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1 Tyndall AFB, FL 32403 Arnold.braxton@us.af.mil Robert.freidman5@us.af.mil Thomas.jernigan.3@us.af.mil Ebony.payton.ctr@us.af.mil

ULFSC.Tyndall@US.AF.MIL

Russell A. Badders Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520 Russell.badders@nexteraenergy.com

Holly Henderson/ Lisa Roddy Gulf Power Company 215 South Monroe Street, Ste 618 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Holly.henderson@nexteraenergy.com Lisa.roddy@nexteraenergy.com J.R. Kelly/Thomas A. (Tad) David Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us David.tad@leg.state.fl.us

Mark Bubriski
Gulf Power Company
134 West Jefferson Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Mark.bubriski@nexteraenergy.com

/s/Walt Trierweiler ATTORNEY NAME SENIOR ATTORNEY

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Telephone: (850) 413-6199 wtrierwe@psc.state.fl.us.