BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Storm protection plan cost recovery clause.

DOCKET NO. 20200092-EI ORDER NO. PSC-2020-0278-PCO-EI ISSUED: August 14, 2020

ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION

Section 366.96, Florida Statutes (F.S.), enacted by the 2019 Florida Legislature, sets forth standards for "transmission and distribution storm protection plans" (plan or plans) and for the recovery of costs associated with such plans. Pursuant to this statute and its implementing rules, each public utility must file with the Public Service Commission (Commission) a plan explaining its systematic approach to reducing restoration costs and outage times associated with extreme weather events and enhancing reliability over the immediate 10-year planning period. Subsection 366.96(3), F.S. Every three years, the Commission is to determine whether it is in the public interest to approve, approve with modification, or deny each utility's plan. Subsections 366.96(5) and 366.96(6), F.S. Annually, the Commission is to conduct a proceeding to determine a utility's prudently incurred plan costs and allow the utility to recover such costs through a charge separate and apart from its base rates, to be referred to as the storm protection plan cost recovery clause. Subsection 366.96(7), F.S.

This docket was established as the 2020 storm protection plan cost recovery clause for all public utilities. If the Commission determines in this docket that costs were prudently incurred, those costs will not be subject to disallowance or further prudence review except for fraud, perjury, or intentional withholding of key information by the public utility. This matter has been scheduled for an administrative hearing October 13-15, 2020.

Petition for Intervention

By petition dated June 22, 2020, the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG) requested permission to intervene in this proceeding. FIPUG represents that it is an association consisting of large users of electricity in Florida and that the association regularly appears in utility cases on behalf of its members to advocate their interests. FIPUG states that its members rely on the availability of adequate, reasonably priced electricity to operate their businesses in an effective, efficient, and competitive manner. FIPUG alleges that the cost of electricity to those users is a significant portion of their overall costs of production and operation. FIPUG avers that the substantial interests of its members are directly impacted by this docket because the outcome could affect the cost of electric utility service and, therefore, their costs of operations. FIPUG seeks to intervene in the instant proceeding on behalf of its members to advocate and protect their substantial interests in ensuring that the storm protection plan cost recovery clause rates that will ultimately be approved and charged are fair, just and reasonable.

FIPUG contacted Florida Power & Light Company, Duke Energy Florida, LLC, Tampa Electric Company, Gulf Power Company, and the Office of Public Counsel, and has represented

ORDER NO. PSC-2020-0278-PCO-EI DOCKET NO. 20200092-EI PAGE 2

that none of the parties object to FIPUG's intervention. The time for filing any opposition to FIPUG's intervention has expired.

Standards for Intervention

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.205, F.A.C., persons, other than the original parties to a pending proceeding, who have a substantial interest in the proceeding and who desire to become parties may move for leave to intervene. Motions for leave to intervene must be filed at least twenty (20) days before the final hearing, must comply with Rule 28-106.204(3), F.A.C., and must include allegations sufficient to demonstrate that the intervenor is entitled to participate in the proceeding as a matter of constitutional or statutory right or pursuant to Commission rule, or that the substantial interests of the intervenor are subject to determination or will be affected through the proceeding. Intervenors take the case as they find it.

To have standing, the intervenor must meet the three-prong standing test set forth in Florida Home Builders Association v. Department of Labor and Employment Security, 412 So. 2d 351, 353-54 (Fla. 1982), and Farmworker Rights Organization, Inc. v. Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services, 417 So. 2d 753, 754 (Fla. 1st DCA 1982), which is based on the basic standing principles established in Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation, 406 So. 2d 478, 481-82 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981). Associational standing may be found where: (1) the association demonstrates that a substantial number of an association's members may be substantially affected by the Commission's decision in a docket; (2) the subject matter of the proceeding is within the association's general scope of interest and activity; and (3) the relief requested is of a type appropriate for the association to receive on behalf of its members. Fla. Home Builders, 412 So. 2d at 353-54; Farmworker Rights Org.,417 So. 2d at 754.

Decision

FIPUG has sufficiently alleged standing in this proceeding under the three-prong test set forth in Florida Home Builders: 1) FIPUG asserts that a substantial number of its members are ratepayers of the utilities and will be directly and substantially affected by the decision in this case regarding those rates; 2) the subject matter of this proceeding includes a determination of whether the rates charged to be charged by the utilities are fair, just, and reasonable, which is within FIPUG's general scope of interest and activity on behalf of its members; and 3) because FIPUG's members are large consumers of electricity, customers who will be affected by the outcome of this case, FIPUG's participation in this docket is appropriate.

Under <u>Agrico</u>, the intervenor must show that (1) he will suffer injury in fact which is of sufficient immediacy to entitle him to a Section 120.57, F.S., hearing, and (2) the substantial injury is of a type or nature which the proceeding is designed to protect. The first aspect of the test deals with the degree of injury. The second deals with the nature of the injury. 406 So. 2d 478 at 482. The "injury in fact" must be both real and immediate and not speculative or conjectural. <u>International Jai-Alai Players Assn. v. Florida Pari-Mutuel Commission</u>, 561 So. 2d 1224, 1225-26 (Fla. 3d DCA 1990). <u>See also: Village Park Mobile Home Assn., Inc. v. State Dept. of Business Regulation</u>, 506 So. 2d 426, 434 (Fla. 1st DCA 1987), <u>rev. den.</u>, 513 So. 2d 1063 (Fla. 1987) (speculation on the possible occurrence of injurious events is too remote).

ORDER NO. PSC-2020-0278-PCO-EI DOCKET NO. 20200092-EI PAGE 3

Based on the above representations, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Andrew Giles Fay, as Prehearing Officer, that the Petition to Intervene filed by the Florida Industrial Power Users Groups (FIPUG) is hereby granted as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that FIPUG takes the case as it finds it. It is further

ORDERED that all parties to this proceeding shall furnish copies of all testimony, exhibits, pleadings, and other documents which may hereinafter be filed in this proceeding to:

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Karen A. Putnal
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone: (850) 681-3828
Facsimile: (850) 681-8788
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
kputnal@moylelaw.com
mqualls@moylelaw.com

By ORDER of Commissioner Andrew Giles Fay, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>14th</u> day of <u>August</u>, <u>2020</u>.

ANDREW GILES FAY

Commissioner and Prehearing Officer Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399 (850) 413-6770 www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

ORDER NO. PSC-2020-0278-PCO-EI DOCKET NO. 20200092-EI PAGE 4

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.