Dianne M. Triplett

August 26, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Duke Energy Florida, LLC's Solar Plant Operation Status Report Docket No. 20200007-EI

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF") is DEF's Solar Plant Operation Status Report for the month of July 2020, in accordance with Paragraph 15a. of the 2017 Second Revised and Restated Settlement Agreement, which was approved in Order No. PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU, dated November 20, 2017.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please feel free to call me at (727) 820-4692 should you have any questions concerning this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/ Dianne M. Triplett

Dianne M. Triplett

DMT/cmk Enclosures



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished via electronic mail to the following this 26th day of August, 2020.

| main to the following this 20° day of Magust, 2 | /s/ Dianne M. Triplett Attorney |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Charles Murphy | J.R. Kelly / P. Christensen / C. Rehwinkel / |
| Office of General Counsel | T. David / S. Morse / M. Fall-Fry |
| Florida Public Service Commission | Office of Public Counsel |
| 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. | c/o The Florida Legislature |
| Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 | 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 |
| cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us | Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 |
| | rehwinkel.charles@leg.state fl.us |
| J. Beasley / J. Wahlen / M. Means | kelly.jr@leg.state fl.us |
| Ausley McMullen | christensen.patty@leg.state fl.us |
| P.O. Box 391 | david.tad@leg.state.fl.us |
| Tallahassee, FL 32302 | fall-fry mireille@leg.state.fl.us |
| jbeasley@ausley.com | morse.stephanie@leg.state fl.us |
| jwahlen@ausley.com | |
| mmeans@ausley.com | Paula K. Brown |
| | Tampa Electric Company |
| Russell A. Badders | Regulatory Affairs |
| Gulf Power Company | P.O. Box 111 |
| One Energy Place, Bin 100 | Tampa, FL 33601 |
| Pensacola, FL 32520-0100 | regdept@tecoenergy.com |
| russell.badders@nexteraenergy.com | |
| | James W. Brew / Laura W. Baker |
| Jon C. Moyle, Jr. | Stone Law Firm |
| Moyle Law Firm, P.A. | 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. |
| 118 North Gadsden Street | Eighth Floor, West Tower |
| Tallahassee, FL 32301 | Washington, DC 20007 |
| jmoyle@moylelaw.com | jbrew@smxblaw.com |
| mqualls@moylelaw.com | lwb@smxblaw.com |
| Maria Moncada / David Lee | Kenneth Hoffman |
| 700 Universe Boulevard (LAW/JB) | Florida Power & Light Company |
| Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 | 134 W. Jefferson Street |
| maria.moncada@fpl.com | Tallahassee, FL 32301-1713 |
| david.lee@fpl.com | <u>ken hoffman@fpl.com</u> |
| | |

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Actual Data for Solar Generation Facilities Solar Base Rate Adjustment (SoBRA) Reporting July, 2020

| | | AVERAGE HOURLY NET OUTPUT (MWh) |
|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Hour of Day | System Firm Demand (MW) | Hamilton | Lake Placid | Trenton | Columbia | Debary |
| 1 1 | 4,672.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 2 | 4,336.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 3 | 4,101.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 4 | 3,948.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 5 | 3,893.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 6 | 3,946.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 7 7 | 4,087.6 | 12.0 | 7.1 | 10.8 | 16.3 | 3.8 |
| 8 8 | 4,393.2 | 44.1 | 24.8 | 38.4 | 46.0 | 15.3 |
| 9 9 | 4,870.4 | 58.0 | 32.7 | 48.1 | 56.5 | 31.2 |
| 10 10 | 5,464.6 | 58.3 | 33.7 | 49.4 | 56.9 | 45.3 |
| 1 11 | 6,192.1 | <mark>61.3</mark> | 35.9 | 45.4 | 58.7 | 52.4 |
| 2 12 | 6,981.9 | <mark>6</mark> 1.5 | 32.8 | 51.3 | 57.7 | 55.1 |
| 3 13 | 7,653.2 | <mark>61.8</mark> | 28.7 | 49.9 | 55.0 | 50.7 |
| 4 14 | 8,045.4 | 57.1 | 25.9 | 47.4 | 51.5 | 46.7 |
| 5 15 | 8,197.7 | 53.2 | 28.6 | 47.0 | 51.7 | 40.0 |
| 6 16 | 8,226.2 | 45.5 | 25.2 | 39.5 | 46.3 | 32.5 |
| 7 17 | 8,221.3 | 41.9 | 15.8 | 37.3 | 37.5 | 24.2 |
| 8 18 | 8,118.8 | 30.9 | 10.0 | 28.6 | 32.5 | 12.3 |
| 9 19 | 7,841.3 | 15.2 | 3.2 | 12.4 | 13.6 | 3.6 |
| 20 20 | 7,349.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 21 21 | 6,888.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 22 | 6,428.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 23 | 5,782.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 24 24 | 5,118.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Actual Data for Solar Generation Facilities Solar Base Rate Adjustment (SoBRA) Reporting PERIOD: July, 2020

| | Facility | Net Capability (MW) | Net Generation (MWh) ⁽¹⁾ | Capacity Factor (%) | Percent of Total Generation (%) | Total System Net Generation (MWh) ⁽²⁾ |
|---|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | HAMILTON | 74.9 | 18,650 | 33.5% | 0.4% | 4,202,430 |
| 1 | LAKE PLACID | 45.0 | 9,446 | 28.2% | 0.2% | |
| 1 | TRENTON | 74.9 | 15,692 | 28.2% | 0.4% | |
| 1 | COLUMBIA | 74.9 | 18,016 | 32.3% | 0.4% | |
| 1 | DEBARY | 74.5 | 12,821 | 23.1% | 0.3% | |
| 2 | Total: | 344.2 | 74,625.0 | 29.1% | 1.8% | |

| | Facility | Natural Gas Displaced (MCF) | Cost of NG (\$/MCF) ⁽³⁾ | Oil Displaced (Bbls) | Cost of Oil (\$/Bbl) ⁽³⁾ | Coal Displaced (Tons) | Cost of Coal (\$/Ton) ⁽³⁾ |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 3 | HAMILTON | 159,478 | \$1.97 | 731 | \$58.94 | 1,453 | \$35.41 |
| 3 | LAKE PLACID | 80,774 | \$1.97 | 370 | \$58.94 | 736 | \$35.41 |
| 3 | TRENTON | 134,184 | \$1.97 | 615 | \$58.94 | 1,223 | \$35.41 |
| 3 | COLUMBIA | 154,056 | \$1.97 | 706 | \$58.94 | 1,404 | \$35.41 |
| 3 | DEBARY | 109,633 | \$1.97 | 502 | \$58.94 | 999 | \$35.41 |
| 4 | Total: | 638,125 | \$1,257,298 | 2,923 | \$172,273 | 5,816 | \$205,920 |

| | Facility | CO2 Reductions (Tons) | NOx Reduction (Tons) | SO2 Reduction (Tons) | Hg Reduction (lbs) |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 5 | HAMILTON | 12,970 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | LAKE PLACID | 6,569 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | TRENTON | 10,913 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | COLUMBIA | 12,529 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | DEBARY | 8,916 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | Total: | 51,896 | 25 | 6 | 1 |

| | Facility | O&M (\$) | Carrying Costs (\$) ⁽⁴⁾ | Capital (\$) ⁽⁵⁾ | Other (\$) ⁽⁶⁾ | Fuel Costs (\$) | Total Cost of Generation (\$) |
|---|-------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| 7 | HAMILTON | \$70,840 | \$705,022 | \$304,530 | \$16,388 | \$0 | \$1,096,780 |
| 7 | LAKE PLACID | \$32,299 | \$383,407 | \$164,799 | \$8,683 | \$0 | \$589,188 |
| 7 | TRENTON | \$47,325 | \$623,956 | \$271,643 | \$17,550 | \$0 | \$960,474 |
| 7 | COLUMBIA | \$500,984 | \$706,921 | \$292,003 | \$17,645 | \$0 | \$1,517,552 |
| 7 | DEBARY | \$14,079 | \$569,398 | \$242,469 | \$14,286 | \$0 | \$840,232 |
| 8 | Total: | \$665,527 | \$2,988,702 | \$1,275,444 | \$74,552 | \$0 | \$5,004,225 |

(1) Net Generation data represents a calendar month.

(2) Total System Net Generation from Monthly Operating Report.

(3) Fuel Cost per unit data from Schedules A3 & A4.

(4) Carrying Cost data represents return on average net investment.

(5) Capital Cost data represents depreciation expense on net investment. 1 Month lag for Debary

(6) Other Cost data represents dismantlement costs and amortization on ITC (no ITC amortization for Hamilton, Lake Placid, Trenton, Columbia, Debary yet).

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Actual Data for Solar Generation Facilities Solar Base Rate Adjustment (SoBRA) Reporting YEAR-TO-DATE THROUGH: July, 2020

| | Facility | Net Capability (MW) | Net Generation (MWh) ⁽¹⁾ | Capacity Factor (%) | Percent of Total Generation (%) | Total System Net Generation (MWh) ⁽²⁾ |
|---|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | HAMILTON | 74.9 | 112,282 | 29.3% | 0.5% | 23,443,237 |
| 1 | LAKE PLACID | 45.0 | 61,023 | 26.5% | 0.3% | |
| 1 | TRENTON | 74.9 | 105,785 | 27.6% | 0.5% | |
| 1 | COLUMBIA | 74.9 | 80,345 | 21.0% | 0.3% | |
| 1 | DEBARY | 74.5 | 34,023 | 8.9% | 0.1% | |
| 2 | Total: | 344.2 | 393,458 | 22.4% | 1.7% | |

| | Facility | Natural Gas Displaced (MCF) | Cost of NG (\$/MCF) ⁽³⁾ | Oil Displaced (Bbls) | Cost of Oil (\$/Bbl) ⁽³⁾ | Coal Displaced (Tons) | Cost of Coal (\$/Ton) ⁽³⁾ |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 3 | HAMILTON | 992,125 | \$1.91 | 3,106 | \$55.73 | 2,610 | \$37.22 |
| 3 | LAKE PLACID | 540,898 | \$1.91 | 1,732 | \$55.62 | 1,376 | \$37.30 |
| 3 | TRENTON | 938,132 | \$1.90 | 2,962 | \$55.67 | 2,365 | \$37.38 |
| 3 | COLUMBIA | 717,200 | \$1.86 | 2,548 | \$54.28 | 2,574 | \$37.24 |
| 3 | DEBARY | 308,286 | \$1.87 | 1,268 | \$55.90 | 1,574 | \$36.77 |
| 4 | Total: | 3,496,641 | \$6,603,346 | 11,615 | \$643,946 | 10,500 | \$390,408 |

| | Facility | CO2 Reductions (Tons) | NOx Reduction (Tons) | SO2 Reduction (Tons) | Hg Reduction (Ibs) |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 5 | HAMILTON | 66,962 | 36 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | LAKE PLACID | 36,430 | 20 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | TRENTON | 63,119 | 35 | 3 | 0 |
| 5 | COLUMBIA | 49,942 | 27 | 3 | 0 |
| 5 | DEBARY | 22,496 | 12 | 2 | 0 |
| 6 | Total: | 238,949 | 130 | 15 | 1 |

| | Facility | O&M (\$) | Carrying Costs (\$) ⁽⁴⁾ | Capital (\$) ⁽⁵⁾ | Other (\$) ⁽⁶⁾ | Fuel Costs (\$) | Total Cost of Generation (\$) |
|---|-------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| 7 | HAMILTON | \$979,664 | \$4,981,288 | \$2,131,486 | \$114,716 | \$0 | \$8,207,154 |
| 7 | LAKE PLACID | \$367,813 | \$2,300,759 | \$980,094 | \$60,781 | \$0 | \$3,709,447 |
| 7 | TRENTON | \$576,329 | \$4,290,905 | \$1,837,615 | \$122,850 | \$0 | \$6,827,699 |
| | COLUMBIA | \$535,699 | \$3,422,603 | \$1,144,920 | \$88,225 | \$0 | \$5,191,447 |
| | DEBARY | \$18,129 | \$1,414,610 | \$482,267 | \$42,858 | \$0 | \$1,957,863 |
| 8 | Total: | \$2,477,634 | \$16,410,165 | \$6,576,382 | \$429,430 | \$0 | \$25,893,611 |

(1) Net Generation data represents a year-to-date value.

(2) Total System Net Generation from Monthly Operating Reports.

(3) Fuel Cost per unit data from Schedules A3 & A4.

(4) Carrying Cost data represents return on average net investment.

(5) Capital Cost data represents depreciation expense on net investment.

(6) Other Cost data represents dismantlement costs and amortization on ITC (no ITC amortization for Hamilton, Lake Placid, Trenton, Columbia, Debary yet).