Dianne M. Triplett

August 26, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Duke Energy Florida, LLC's Solar Plant Operation Status Report Docket No. 20200007-EI

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF") is DEF's Solar Plant Operation Status Report for the month of July 2020, in accordance with Paragraph 15a. of the 2017 Second Revised and Restated Settlement Agreement, which was approved in Order No. PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU, dated November 20, 2017.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please feel free to call me at (727) 820-4692 should you have any questions concerning this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/ Dianne M. Triplett

Dianne M. Triplett

DMT/cmk Enclosures



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished via electronic mail to the following this 26th day of August, 2020.

main to the following this 20° day of Magust, 2	/s/ Dianne M. Triplett Attorney
Charles Murphy	J.R. Kelly / P. Christensen / C. Rehwinkel /
Office of General Counsel	T. David / S. Morse / M. Fall-Fry
Florida Public Service Commission	Office of Public Counsel
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.	c/o The Florida Legislature
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850	111 West Madison Street, Room 812
cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us	Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
	rehwinkel.charles@leg.state fl.us
J. Beasley / J. Wahlen / M. Means	kelly.jr@leg.state fl.us
Ausley McMullen	christensen.patty@leg.state fl.us
P.O. Box 391	david.tad@leg.state.fl.us
Tallahassee, FL 32302	fall-fry mireille@leg.state.fl.us
jbeasley@ausley.com	morse.stephanie@leg.state fl.us
jwahlen@ausley.com	
mmeans@ausley.com	Paula K. Brown
	Tampa Electric Company
Russell A. Badders	Regulatory Affairs
Gulf Power Company	P.O. Box 111
One Energy Place, Bin 100	Tampa, FL 33601
Pensacola, FL 32520-0100	regdept@tecoenergy.com
russell.badders@nexteraenergy.com	
	James W. Brew / Laura W. Baker
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.	Stone Law Firm
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.	1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.
118 North Gadsden Street	Eighth Floor, West Tower
Tallahassee, FL 32301	Washington, DC 20007
jmoyle@moylelaw.com	jbrew@smxblaw.com
mqualls@moylelaw.com	lwb@smxblaw.com
Maria Moncada / David Lee	Kenneth Hoffman
700 Universe Boulevard (LAW/JB)	Florida Power & Light Company
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420	134 W. Jefferson Street
maria.moncada@fpl.com	Tallahassee, FL 32301-1713
david.lee@fpl.com	<u>ken hoffman@fpl.com</u>

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Actual Data for Solar Generation Facilities Solar Base Rate Adjustment (SoBRA) Reporting July, 2020

		AVERAGE HOURLY NET OUTPUT (MWh)				
Hour of Day	System Firm Demand (MW)	Hamilton	Lake Placid	Trenton	Columbia	Debary
1 1	4,672.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 2	4,336.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 3	4,101.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 4	3,948.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5 5	3,893.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 6	3,946.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2
7 7	4,087.6	12.0	7.1	10.8	16.3	3.8
8 8	4,393.2	44.1	24.8	38.4	46.0	15.3
9 9	4,870.4	58.0	32.7	48.1	56.5	31.2
10 10	5,464.6	58.3	33.7	49.4	56.9	45.3
1 11	6,192.1	<mark>61.3</mark>	35.9	45.4	58.7	52.4
2 12	6,981.9	<mark>6</mark> 1.5	32.8	51.3	57.7	55.1
3 13	7,653.2	<mark>61.8</mark>	28.7	49.9	55.0	50.7
4 14	8,045.4	57.1	25.9	47.4	51.5	46.7
5 15	8,197.7	53.2	28.6	47.0	51.7	40.0
6 16	8,226.2	45.5	25.2	39.5	46.3	32.5
7 17	8,221.3	41.9	15.8	37.3	37.5	24.2
8 18	8,118.8	30.9	10.0	28.6	32.5	12.3
9 19	7,841.3	15.2	3.2	12.4	13.6	3.6
20 20	7,349.1	0.8	0.1	0.6	0.7	0.2
21 21	6,888.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 22	6,428.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 23	5,782.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24 24	5,118.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Actual Data for Solar Generation Facilities Solar Base Rate Adjustment (SoBRA) Reporting PERIOD: July, 2020

	Facility	Net Capability (MW)	Net Generation (MWh) ⁽¹⁾	Capacity Factor (%)	Percent of Total Generation (%)	Total System Net Generation (MWh) ⁽²⁾
1	HAMILTON	74.9	18,650	33.5%	0.4%	4,202,430
1	LAKE PLACID	45.0	9,446	28.2%	0.2%	
1	TRENTON	74.9	15,692	28.2%	0.4%	
1	COLUMBIA	74.9	18,016	32.3%	0.4%	
1	DEBARY	74.5	12,821	23.1%	0.3%	
2	Total:	344.2	74,625.0	29.1%	1.8%	

	Facility	Natural Gas Displaced (MCF)	Cost of NG (\$/MCF) ⁽³⁾	Oil Displaced (Bbls)	Cost of Oil (\$/Bbl) ⁽³⁾	Coal Displaced (Tons)	Cost of Coal (\$/Ton) ⁽³⁾
3	HAMILTON	159,478	\$1.97	731	\$58.94	1,453	\$35.41
3	LAKE PLACID	80,774	\$1.97	370	\$58.94	736	\$35.41
3	TRENTON	134,184	\$1.97	615	\$58.94	1,223	\$35.41
3	COLUMBIA	154,056	\$1.97	706	\$58.94	1,404	\$35.41
3	DEBARY	109,633	\$1.97	502	\$58.94	999	\$35.41
4	Total:	638,125	\$1,257,298	2,923	\$172,273	5,816	\$205,920

	Facility	CO2 Reductions (Tons)	NOx Reduction (Tons)	SO2 Reduction (Tons)	Hg Reduction (lbs)
5	HAMILTON	12,970	6	2	0
5	LAKE PLACID	6,569	3	1	0
5	TRENTON	10,913	5	1	0
5	COLUMBIA	12,529	6	2	0
5	DEBARY	8,916	4	1	0
6	Total:	51,896	25	6	1

	Facility	O&M (\$)	Carrying Costs (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Capital (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Other (\$) ⁽⁶⁾	Fuel Costs (\$)	Total Cost of Generation (\$)
7	HAMILTON	\$70,840	\$705,022	\$304,530	\$16,388	\$0	\$1,096,780
7	LAKE PLACID	\$32,299	\$383,407	\$164,799	\$8,683	\$0	\$589,188
7	TRENTON	\$47,325	\$623,956	\$271,643	\$17,550	\$0	\$960,474
7	COLUMBIA	\$500,984	\$706,921	\$292,003	\$17,645	\$0	\$1,517,552
7	DEBARY	\$14,079	\$569,398	\$242,469	\$14,286	\$0	\$840,232
8	Total:	\$665,527	\$2,988,702	\$1,275,444	\$74,552	\$0	\$5,004,225

(1) Net Generation data represents a calendar month.

(2) Total System Net Generation from Monthly Operating Report.

(3) Fuel Cost per unit data from Schedules A3 & A4.

(4) Carrying Cost data represents return on average net investment.

(5) Capital Cost data represents depreciation expense on net investment. 1 Month lag for Debary

(6) Other Cost data represents dismantlement costs and amortization on ITC (no ITC amortization for Hamilton, Lake Placid, Trenton, Columbia, Debary yet).

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Actual Data for Solar Generation Facilities Solar Base Rate Adjustment (SoBRA) Reporting YEAR-TO-DATE THROUGH: July, 2020

	Facility	Net Capability (MW)	Net Generation (MWh) ⁽¹⁾	Capacity Factor (%)	Percent of Total Generation (%)	Total System Net Generation (MWh) ⁽²⁾
1	HAMILTON	74.9	112,282	29.3%	0.5%	23,443,237
1	LAKE PLACID	45.0	61,023	26.5%	0.3%	
1	TRENTON	74.9	105,785	27.6%	0.5%	
1	COLUMBIA	74.9	80,345	21.0%	0.3%	
1	DEBARY	74.5	34,023	8.9%	0.1%	
2	Total:	344.2	393,458	22.4%	1.7%	

	Facility	Natural Gas Displaced (MCF)	Cost of NG (\$/MCF) ⁽³⁾	Oil Displaced (Bbls)	Cost of Oil (\$/Bbl) ⁽³⁾	Coal Displaced (Tons)	Cost of Coal (\$/Ton) ⁽³⁾
3	HAMILTON	992,125	\$1.91	3,106	\$55.73	2,610	\$37.22
3	LAKE PLACID	540,898	\$1.91	1,732	\$55.62	1,376	\$37.30
3	TRENTON	938,132	\$1.90	2,962	\$55.67	2,365	\$37.38
3	COLUMBIA	717,200	\$1.86	2,548	\$54.28	2,574	\$37.24
3	DEBARY	308,286	\$1.87	1,268	\$55.90	1,574	\$36.77
4	Total:	3,496,641	\$6,603,346	11,615	\$643,946	10,500	\$390,408

	Facility	CO2 Reductions (Tons)	NOx Reduction (Tons)	SO2 Reduction (Tons)	Hg Reduction (Ibs)
5	HAMILTON	66,962	36	4	0
5	LAKE PLACID	36,430	20	2	0
5	TRENTON	63,119	35	3	0
5	COLUMBIA	49,942	27	3	0
5	DEBARY	22,496	12	2	0
6	Total:	238,949	130	15	1

	Facility	O&M (\$)	Carrying Costs (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Capital (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Other (\$) ⁽⁶⁾	Fuel Costs (\$)	Total Cost of Generation (\$)
7	HAMILTON	\$979,664	\$4,981,288	\$2,131,486	\$114,716	\$0	\$8,207,154
7	LAKE PLACID	\$367,813	\$2,300,759	\$980,094	\$60,781	\$0	\$3,709,447
7	TRENTON	\$576,329	\$4,290,905	\$1,837,615	\$122,850	\$0	\$6,827,699
	COLUMBIA	\$535,699	\$3,422,603	\$1,144,920	\$88,225	\$0	\$5,191,447
	DEBARY	\$18,129	\$1,414,610	\$482,267	\$42,858	\$0	\$1,957,863
8	Total:	\$2,477,634	\$16,410,165	\$6,576,382	\$429,430	\$0	\$25,893,611

(1) Net Generation data represents a year-to-date value.

(2) Total System Net Generation from Monthly Operating Reports.

(3) Fuel Cost per unit data from Schedules A3 & A4.

(4) Carrying Cost data represents return on average net investment.

(5) Capital Cost data represents depreciation expense on net investment.

(6) Other Cost data represents dismantlement costs and amortization on ITC (no ITC amortization for Hamilton, Lake Placid, Trenton, Columbia, Debary yet).