Docket No. 20200051-GU Peoples Gas System Petition for Rate Increase by Peoples Gas System

Witness: Direct Testimony of INTESAR TERKAWI

Appearing on Behalf of the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission

Date Filed: August 31, 2020

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		COMMISSION STAFF
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF INTESAR TERKAWI
4		DOCKET NO. 20200051-GU
5		AUGUST 31, 2020
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is Intesar Terkawi. My business address is 1313 N. Tampa Street, Suite 220,
9	Tampa	a, Florida 33602.
10	Q.	By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity?
11	A.	I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission (Commission) as a Public
12	Utility	Analyst in the Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis. I have been employed by
13	the Co	mmission since October 2001.
14	Q.	Briefly review your educational and professional background.
15	A.	In 1995, I received a Master of Arts Degree with a major in Communications from the
16	Unive	rsity of Central Florida. In 2001, I received a Bachelor of Science Degree from the
17	Unive	rsity of Central Florida with a major in accounting. I am also a Certified Public
18	Accou	intant.
19	Q.	Please describe your current responsibilities.
20	A.	Currently, I am a Public Utility Analyst with the responsibilities of managing regulated
21	utility	financial audits. I am also responsible for creating audit work programs to meet a
22	specifi	ic audit purpose.
23	Q.	Have you previously presented testimony before this Commission?
24	A.	Yes. I filed testimony in the Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause, Docket
25	Nos. 2	20140001-EI, 20150001-EI, 20160001-EI, 20170001-EI, 20180001-EI, and 20190001-

1 |EI.

2	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony today?	
3	A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the staff auditor's report of Peoples Ga	lS
4	System (PGS or Utility) which addresses the Utility's filing in Docket No. 20200051-GU	J,
5	Petition for Rate Increase by Peoples Gas System. We issued an auditor's report in this docke	et
6	on August 31, 2020. This report is filed with my testimony and is identified as Exhibit IT-1.	
7	Q. Was this audit prepared by you or under your direction?	
8	A. Yes, it was prepared by me or under my direction.	
9	Q. Please describe the work you performed in this audit?	
10	A. The procedures that we performed in this audit are listed in the Objectives an	ıd
11	Procedures section of the attached Exhibit IT-1, pages 4 through 8.	
12	Q. Please review the audit findings in this audit report.	
13	A. There were 2 audit findings reported in this audit and are found in the attached Exhib	it
14	IT-1, pages 9 and 10. They are summarized below.	
15	Finding 1: Association Dues and Economic Development Expenses	
16	2019 Operation and Maintenance expenses should be reduced by \$25,000. The projected tes	st
17	year expenses for 2021 should be reduced by \$26,112. The Utility stated to us that "th	ie
18	Associated Gas Distributors of Florida ('AGDF') also engages in lobbying activities an	d
19	advises the Company that a portion of the dues are for lobbying purposes on the invoice." I	'n
20	the 2019 base year, the portion of AGDF dues related to lobbying was \$50,000.	
21	Through its review the Utility has found that \$25,000 was directly charged to FERC Accourt	nt
22	426, a "below the line" account (an account not included in calculating regulatory recovery	/)
23	and the other \$25,000 was charged to FERC Account 930.2. The \$25,000 in FERC accourt	nt
24	930.2 was included in the 2019 base year data on MFR Schedule G-2, page 18 and wa	IS
25	trended forward at 2.2% inflation to the projected 2021 test year O&M, resulting in \$26,112.	

1 Finding 2: Advertising Expenses The analyst should consider removing \$88,453 from 2019 Advertising expenses. In addition, 2 3 \$605 should be reclassified to Account 930.2-Miscellaneous General Expenses. 4 The individual amounts, along with the justification for removing them, are as follows: 5 \$13,650 from Northeast Florida builders Association, invoice #18953, which 6 represents a non-utility sponsored event featuring food and a golf tournament. 7 • \$7,500 from Tampa Bay Builders Association Inc., which represents a non-utility sponsored event. 8 9 \$1,500 from Kiwanis Club of Inverness, which represents a non-utility sponsored 10 event. 11 \$426 from Kiwanis Club of Inverness, which represents a non-utility sponsored event. 12 \$680 from Business Wire, which represents an image-enhancing advertisement celebrating new customers with prize-gifts, and is non-utility in nature. 13 14 \$470 from Business Wire, which represents an image-enhancing advertisement, and is non-utility in nature. 15 16 \$580 from Business Wire, which represents an advertisement related to Hurricane 17 Dorian. 18 \$450 from Brandmark, which represents an advertisement related to Hurricane 19 Preparedness. 20 \$63,000 from Sparks Research that is a "Customer Retention Study," not an 21 advertising expense. 22 \$197 is a late payment to Data Publishing. • 23 **Q**. Does this conclude your testimony? 24 A. Yes. 25

Docket No. 20200051-GU Auditor's Report - Rate Case Exhibit IT-1, Page 1 of 13

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis Bureau of Auditing Tampa District Office

Auditor's Report

Peoples Gas System Rate Case Audit

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2019

Docket No. 20200051-GU Audit Control No. 2020-181-2-1 August 24, 2020

when Intesar Terkawi Audit Manager RM for **Ronald Mavrides** Audit Staff Lynn Deamer Reviewer

Table of Contents

Purpos	se	2
Object	tives and Procedures	3
1:	Findings Association Dues and Economic Development Expenses Advertising	
Exhibi		
1:	Rate Base	10
2:	Net Operating Income	
3:	Capital Structure	12

1

•

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Division of Accounting and Performance Analysis in its audit service request dated June 26, 2020. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Peoples Gas System in support of its filing for rate relief in Docket No. 20200051-GU.

The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definitions

PGS refers to Peoples Gas System. FERC refers to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. USOA refers to the FERC Uniform System of Accounts as adopted by Commission Rule 25-7.014-Records and Reports in General, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Background

Peoples Gas System filed a petition for a permanent rate increase on June 8, 2020 with a historical test year ending December 31, 2019, and a projected year ending December 31, 2021. In the MFRs the Utility submitted schedules, and we applied our procedures to these schedules.

Peoples Gas System is a division of Tampa Electric Company, providing natural gas distribution to approximately 361,000 customers throughout Florida. Tampa Electric is a wholly owned subsidiary of TECO Energy, Inc., which is a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Emera Incorporated (Emera).

The Utility's last petition for the rate relief was granted by Order No. PSC-2009-0411-FOF-GU, in Docket No. 20080318-GU using a projected test year of December 31, 2009. The historical test year was twelve months ended December 31, 2007.

Objectives: The objectives in this proceeding were to determine whether the Utility's 2019 historic year-end filing in Docket No. 20200051-GU is consistent and in compliance with Section 366.06 – Rates, Procedures for Fixing and Changing, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Commission Rule 25-7.014(3)-Records and Reports in General.

Procedures: We performed the following specific objectives and procedures to satisfy the overall objective identified above.

Rate Base

Utility Plant in Service

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether utility plant in service (UPIS) exists and is owned by the utility, additions are authentic and recorded at original cost, proper retirements were made when a replacement asset was put into service, UPIS is properly classified in compliance with the USOA, UPIS balances are properly stated based on Commission adjustments in the prior rate case and to recalculate the 13-month average balance for UPIS as of December 31, 2019.

Procedures: We reconciled the UPIS beginning balances in the MFRs to the prior order and then traced the UPIS balances in the MFRs to the utility's general ledger. We verified, based on a judgmental sample, that UPIS additions, retirements and adjustments for selected plant accounts are properly recorded for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2019. We recalculated the 13-month average balances for UPIS included in the filing. No exceptions were noted.

Construction Work in Progress:

Objectives: The objectives were to determine the nature and purpose of utility projects recorded as construction work in progress (CWIP), and whether projects that are eligible to accrue allowances for funds used during construction (AFUDC) are excluded from rate base pursuant to Commission Rule 25-7.0141, F.A.C. – Allowances for Funds Used During Construction, CWIP balances are properly stated based on Commission adjustments in the prior rate case in Order No. PSC-2009-0411-FOF-GU, and to recalculate the 13-month average balance for CWIP as of December 31, 2019.

Procedures: We traced the CWIP amounts in the MFRs to the utility's books and records for the period December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2019. We reviewed utility documents describing each project sampled to determine whether it was eligible to accrue AFDUC: 1. Jacksonville Expansion, 2. Southwest Florida Expansion, 3. Panama City Expansion, 4. Blue Marlin Miami Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) System, 5. Dade City Connector, 6. Arcadia to Sarasota Gate Linking, and 7. Gas Management System upgrade to Quorum. We recalculated the 13-month average for CWIP. No exceptions were noted.

Accumulated Depreciation

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether accruals, retirements and adjustments to accumulated depreciation (AD) are properly recorded in compliance with the USOA, to determine whether the utility used the depreciation rates established in prior orders, and to determine whether the balances are properly stated based on Commission adjustments in the prior rate case, and to recalculate the 13-month average balance for AD as of December 31, 2019.

Procedures: We traced the accumulated depreciation amounts in the MFRs to the utility's books and records for the period December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2019. We reconciled the prior ordered balances to the general ledger and MFRs. We recalculated the 13-month average balance for UPIS. We determined that the utility used the depreciation rates recommended in Order PSC-2012-027-PAA-GU. No exceptions were noted.

Working Capital

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the working capital (WC) account balances are properly stated based on Commission adjustments in the prior rate case in Order No. PSC-2009-0411-FOF-GU and Commission Rules, and to recalculate the 13-month average balance.

Procedures: We traced the 13-month average balances from the filing to the general ledger. We verified, based on judgmental sample of selected accounts, that the WC balance is properly stated, utility in nature, does not include non-utility items, and is consistent with the order cited above. We verified the interest bearing accounts to be; 1. Cash, 2. Competitive Rate Adjustment, 3. Conservation over/under recoveries, 4. Purchased Gas over/under recoveries, and 5. Cast Iron/Bare Steel Rider over/under recoveries. We verified, based on a judgmental sample of selected accounts, that the accumulated provision accounts year end balances comply with the Commission rules. No exceptions were noted.

Capital Structure

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the non-utility assets supported by the Utility's capital structure were removed in the rate base/capital structure reconciliation, the cost rates used in the computation of the cost of capital are appropriate, the rate base adjustments were adjusted in the capital structure, and to reconcile the Utility book amounts to the MFRs and the general ledger.

Procedures: We obtained the rate base/capital structure reconciliation and determined that the non-utility adjustments removed in rate base were removed in the capital structure. Audit staff traced the cost of capital cost rates for the historical base year to the debt documentation. We obtained a reconciliation of the rate base adjustments in the capital structure and traced it to the MFRs and to the general ledger. No exceptions were noted.

Net Operating Income

Operating Revenue

Objectives: The objectives were to verify that the revenues for the historical base year per the Utility's Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs) are representative of the Utility's books and records, to verify that all classes of customer bills are calculated correctly and are in compliance with the tariffed rates, to verify that unbilled revenues are calculated properly, and to verify compliance with ordered adjustments.

Procedures: We requested the Company to reconcile the differences between the revenue accounts per the ledgers and the filings, and reconciled the differences. We requested samples of all classes of customer bills and the tariffs, including the applicable competitive adjustment and swing charges. We recalculated all sample bills for accuracy and compliance with tariffed rates. We recalculated unbilled revenues to verify for accuracy. We reviewed the adjustments from Order PSC-2009-0411-FOF-GU for compliance to the Order. No exceptions were noted.

Operation and Maintenance Expense

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether 2019 Operation and Maintenance (O&M) expenses are properly recorded in compliance with the USOA, adequately supported by documentation, and in the correct amount and in the correct period.

Procedures: We verified, based on judgmental samples of utility transactions, that the sample transactions are adequately supported by source documentation, utility in nature, and do not include non-utility items, and are recorded consistent with the USOA. We reviewed contracts and allocations between the Utility and affiliates for compliance with Rule 25-6.1351, Cost Allocation and Affiliate Transactions. See Findings 1 and 2.

Depreciation and Amortization

Objective: The objectives were to determine whether 2019 depreciation expense is properly recorded in compliance with the USOA, Commission adjustments in the prior rate case, and to determine that depreciation expense accruals used the depreciation rates established in prior Commission orders.

Procedures: We sampled several accounts and recalculated the depreciation expense accruals to verify that the Utility is using the correct depreciation rates established in Order PSC-2012-0217-PAA-GU. We traced the depreciation expense adjustments to source documents and noted that they were consistent with the order cited above. No exceptions were noted.

Taxes Other Than Income (TOTI)

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Taxes Other Than Income is properly recorded and supported by adequate documentation.

Procedures: We verified, based on a sample of utility's transactions for selected TOTI accounts, that utility TOTI are adequately supported by source documentation. No exceptions were noted.

Other:

Analytical Review

Objectives: The objective was to perform an analytical review of the Utility's rate case filing using prior annual reports filed with the Commission.

Procedures: Audit staff developed a three year, analytical review that compared the annual percentage changes from 2017 to 2019, for all O&M accounts. An analytical review for the plant in service, construction work in progress, and accumulated depreciation from 2008 through 2019 was also generated to assess the annual changes for the purpose of sample selection of plant additions and retirements. No exceptions were noted.

Affiliate Transactions

Objectives: The objectives were to review intercompany charges to and from divisions, affiliated companies, and non-regulated operations, to determine if costs were allocated pursuant to Commission Rules, and to determine the original amounts allocated, whether the methodology was reasonable, and to check for accuracy and consistent application.

Procedures: Audit staff reviewed the Utility's policies and procedures relating to the recording of affiliate transactions and the cost/allocation manual for employees. During the review of rate base and net operating income, we examined items that were allocated as per the Utility's policies and procedures. No exceptions were noted.

Audit Findings

Finding 1: Association Dues and Economic Development Expenses

Audit Analysis: The Company stated "The Associated Gas Distributors of Florida ("AGDF") also engages in lobbying activities and advises the Company that a portion of the dues are for lobbying purposes on the invoice. In the 2019 base year, the portion of AGDF dues related to lobbying was \$50,000.

Through its review the Company has found that \$25,000 was directly charged to FERC account 426, a "below-the-line" account not included in the revenue requirement claim and the other \$25,000 was charged to FERC account 930.2. The \$25,000 in FERC account 930.2 was included in the 2019 base year data on MFR Schedule G-2, page 18 and was trended forward at 2.2% inflation to the projected 2021 test year O&M, resulting in \$26,112. The \$26,112 should have been included as an adjustment on MFR Schedule G-2, page 2. Therefor, the \$26,112 was inadvertently included in the Company's project 2021 test year revenue requirement claim."

Effect on the General Ledger: None

Effect on the Filing: Reduce 2019 Operation and Maintenance expenses by \$25,000 and reduce 2021 Operation and Maintenance expenses by \$26,112.

Finding 2: Advertising

Audit Analysis: We reviewed all print and audio/visual advertisements in 2019 and traced them to supporting documentation.

We are removing the following ads for the following reasons:

- \$13,650 from Northeast Florida builders Association, invoice #18953, which represents a non-utility sponsored event featuring food and a golf tournament.
- \$7,500 from Tampa Bay Builders Association Inc., which represents a non-utility sponsored event.
- \$1,500 from Kiwanis Club of Inverness, which represents a non-utility sponsored event.
- \$426 from Kiwanis Club of Inverness, which represents a non-utility sponsored event.
- \$680 from Business Wire, which represents an image-enhancing advertisement celebrating new customers with prize-gifts, and is non-utility in nature.
- \$470 from Business Wire, which represents an image-enhancing advertisement, and is non-utility in nature.
- \$580 from Business Wire, which represents an advertisement related to Hurricane Dorian, and belongs with Hurricane recovery.
- \$450 from Brandmark, which represents a Hurricane Preparedness, and belongs with Hurricane Recovery.
- \$63,000 from Sparks Research that is "Customer Retention Study," not an advertising expense.
- \$197 is a late payment to Data Publishing.

We reclassified the following transaction.

• \$605 from Volusia Building Industry Association, which represents association dues and should be reclassified to Account 930.2-Miscellaneous General Expenses.

Effect on the General Ledger: \$605 should be removed from Account 913.0 –Advertising and reclassified to Account 930.2-Miscellaneous General Expenses.

Effect on the Filing: The analyst should consider removing \$88,453 from 2019 advertising expense. The reclassification of association dues of \$605 has no effect on Operation and Maintenance expenses.

Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Rate Base

.

SCHEDULE B-2		F	PAGE 1 OF 1			
FLORIDA PU	BLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: PRO	TYPE OF DATA SHOWN:			
COMPANY:	PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM	AVERAGE RATE BAS	E AS ADJUSTED FOR THE HISTORI	C BASE YEAR.	HISTORIC BASE YEAR DATA: 12/31/19 WITNESS: S. HILLARY	
DOCKET NO	.: 20200051-GU					
.INE		AVERAGE		ADJUSTED		
NO.	UTILITY PLANT	PER BOOKS	ADJUSTMENT	AVERAGE	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	
1	PLANT IN SERVICE	\$1,848,671,090	(\$127,272,488)	\$1,721,398,602		
2	COMMON PLANT ALLOCATED	S 0	(\$1,201,761)	(\$1,201,761)		
3	ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT	\$5,031,897	(\$2,946,879)	\$2,085,018		
4	PROPERTY HELD FOR FUTURE USE	\$1,939,552	(\$1,939,552)	S0		
5	CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS	\$45,274,016	(\$18,890,013)	\$26,384,004	- 0	
6	TOTAL PLANT	\$1,900,916,555	(\$152,250.692)	\$1,748,665,863		
	DEDUCTIONS					
7	CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONS'	(\$11,835,439)	\$0	(\$11,835,439		
8	ACCUM, DEPR UTILITY PLANT	(\$751,096,791)	\$5,884,345	(\$745,432,448		
9	ACCUM. DEPR COMMON PLANT	\$0	\$368,026	\$366,026		
10	ACCUM, AMORT - ACQ, ADJ.	(\$4,782,911)	\$2,780,943	(\$2,001,968		
11	ACCUM, AMORT, - LEASEHOLD/OTHER	(\$15,607,977)	\$0	(\$15,607,977)		
12				\$0		
13				\$0	-8	
14	TOTAL DEDUCTIONS	(\$783,323,119)	\$8,813,313	(\$774,509,806	<u>)</u>	
15	PLANT NET	\$1,117,593,437	(\$143,437,379)	\$974,156,057		
	ALLOWANCE FOR WORKING CAPITAL					
16	BALANCE SHEET METHOD	(\$15,832,753)	(\$11,627,526)	(\$27,460,279	2	
17	TOTAL RATE BASE	\$1,101,760,684	(\$155,064,906)	\$946,695,778	-	
18	NET OPERATING INCOME	867,510,517	(\$8,241,671)	\$59,268,846		
19	RATE OF RETURN	6,13%		6.269		

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: 8-1, 8-3, 8-4, 8-5, 8-6, 8-7, 8-8, 8-9, 8-10, 8-11, 8-12, 8-13, C-1

RECAP SCHEDULES:

Exhibit 2: Net Operating Income

3CHEDULE C-1	NET OPERATING INCOME	PAGE 1 OF 1			
LORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: PROVIDE THE CALCULATION OF NET OPERATING INCOME PER BOOKS FOR THE HISTORIC BASE YEAR	TYPE OF DATA SHOWN: HISTORIC BASE YEAR DATA: 12/31/19			
COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM	AND THE PRIOR YEAR.	HISTORIC BASE TEAD DATA 12/31/18 WITNESS: S. HILLARY			
JOCKET NO.: 20200051-GU		WITNESS, S. HILLART			

NET OPERATING INCOME - HISTORIC BASE YEAR ENDED 12/31/2019

		E TOTAL	(1) OR YEAR INDED COMPANY	HIS YE	(2) CURRENT TORIC BASE FAR ENDED		(3)	(4) COMPANY	(5)	JUF	(6) RISDICTIONAL
line No.			R BOOKS E YEAR - 1)		AL COMPANY ER BOOKS	A	JUSTMENTS	ADJUSTED (2) - (3)	REVENUE ADJUSTMENT	PRO	AMOUNT POSED RATES
		12	/31/2018		2/31/2019						
1	OPERATING REVENUES	\$	475,275,000	\$	449,737,780	\$	(217,175,598) \$	232,562,182	\$ -	\$	232,562,182
	OPERATING EXPENSES:										
2	GAS EXPENSE		183,182,000		156,150,885		(156,150,885)	-			•
3	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE		120,420,000		128,715,895		(21,675,410)	107,040,486	-		107.040.486
Ļ	DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION		60,675,000		41,269,583		(1,904,562)	39,365,021			39,365,021
5	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES INCOME TAXES:		39,330,000		41,229,532		(27,094,543)	14,134,989	-		14,134,989
6	- FEDERAL		3,725,719		5,100,264		(1,725,202)	3,375,062	-		3,375,062
	- STATE		48,784		(336,687)		(383,324)	(720,011)	-		(720,011)
1	DEFERRED INCOME TAXES										
8	- FEDERAL		7,501,279		8,906,662		-	8,906,662	-		8,906,662
9	- STATE		3,221,180		3,331,714		-	3,331,714	•		3,331,714
0	INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT - NET		-				-		-		· · · ·
11 (GAIN ON SALE OF PROPERTY	3	(2,140,586)		(2,140,586))	•	(2.140,588)	 		(2,140,586)
12	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	5	415,963,376	\$	382,227,263	\$	(208,933,926) \$	173,293,338	\$ •	\$	173,293,336
13	OPERATING INCOME	5	59,311,624	\$	67,510,517	5	(8,241,671) \$	59,268,846	\$ •	\$	59,268,846

Exhibit 3: Capital Structure

CHEDULE D-1	COST OF CAPITAL - 13-MONTH AVERAGE	PAGE 1 OF 2		
LORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: PROVIDE THE COMPANY'S 13-MONTH AVERAGE RECONCILED	TYPE OF DATA SHOWN:		
:OMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM	JURISDICTIONAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST RATES FOR EACH CLASS OF CAPITAL FOR THE HISTORIC BASE YEAR OF THE CURRENT CASE AND THE HISTORIC BASE YEAR OR TEST YEAR OF THE LAST RATE CASE.	HISTORIC BASE YEAR DATA: 12/31/19 PRIOR HISTORIC BASE YEAR: 12/31/07		
XOCKET NO.: 20200051-GU	THE HISTORIC BASE TEAK OK TEST TEAK OF THE LAST HATE CASE.	WITNESS: S. HILLARY		

	LAST RA	TE CASE - HISTO	RIC BASE YE	AR ENDED 12	131/2007	PRESENT RATE CASE - HISTORIC BASE YEAR ENDED 12/31/2019						
						AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENTS					
NO.	CLASS OF CAPITAL (1)	DOLLARS (2)	RATIO (3)	COST RATE (4)	WEIGHTED COST (5)	PER BOOKS (6)	SPECIFIC (7)	PRORATA (8)	NET (9)	RATIO (10)	COST RATE (11)	WEIGHTED COST (12)
1	COMMON EQUITY	\$255,137,944	49.66%	11.25%	5.59%	\$496,723,714	(\$3,422,009)	(\$54,854,491)	\$438,447,214	45.31%	10.75%	4.98%
2	LONG TERM DEBT	178,249,290	34.69%	7.29%	2.53%	322,294,515	(2,591,496)	(35,550,549)	284,152,470	30.02%	4.73%	1.42%
3	SHORT TERM DEBT	19,770,275	3.85%	5.02%	0.19%	52,429,119	0	(5,830,048)	46,599,071	4.92%	3.04%	0.15%
4	CUSTOMER DEPOSITS	34,660,006	6.79%	6.66%	0.45%	26,343,504	0	{2,691,279}	23,652,225	2.50%	2.46%	0.06%
5	DEFERRED INCOME TAX	25,654,528	4.99%	0.00%	0.00%	203,969,631	(32,619,897)	(17,505,280)	153,844,655	16.25%	0.00%	0.00%
6	TAX CREDITS	105,440	0.02%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
7	TOTAL	\$513,778,482	100.00%	-	8.76%	\$1,101,760,684	(\$38,633,492)	(\$116,431,647)	\$946,695,635	100.00%		6.61%

Т

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for rate increase by Peoples Gas DOCKET NO. 20200051-GU System.

DATED: August 31, 2020

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the testimony of Intesar Terkawi on behalf of the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission was electronically filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, Florida Public Service Commission, and copies were furnished by electronic mail to the following on this 31st day of August, 2020.

J.R. Kelly/ Mireille Fall-Fry Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399 Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us Fall-fry.mireille@leg.state.fl.us

Thomas Szelistowski Peoples Gas System P.O. Box 2562 Tampa, FL 33601-2562

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen A. Putnal Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com mqualls@moylelaw.com Paula K. Brown/Kandi M. Floyd Peoples Gas System – Regulatory Affairs P.O. Box 111 Tampa, Florida 33601 regdept@tecoenergy.com

Andrew M. Brown/ Thomas R. Farrior Macfarlane Law Firm P.O. Box 1531 Tampa, Florida 33601 <u>ab@macfar.com</u> <u>trf@macfar.com</u>

/s/ Kurt Schrader

KURT SCHRADER SENIOR ATTORNEY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Telephone: (850) 413-6199 kschrade@psc.state.fl.us.