BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Natural Gas Conservation Cost Recovery Clause	DOCKET NO. 20200004-GU
	FILED: October 07, 2020
PREHEARING STATEMENT OF THE O	FFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL
The Citizens of the State of Florida, through the Order Establishing Procedure in this docket, Order No. 31, 2020, and modifying Order No. PSC-2020-0123-P Prehearing Statement.	PSC-2020-0043-PCO-GU, issued January
APPEARANCE:	
CHARLES J. REHWINKEL, Esquire Deputy Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida	n.
A. <u>WITNESSES:</u>	
None.	
B. <u>EXHIBITS:</u>	
None.	

C. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

The utilities bear the burden of proof to justify the recovery of costs they request in this docket. The utilities must carry this burden regardless of whether or not the Interveners provide evidence to the contrary. Further, the utilities bear the burden of proof to support their proposal(s) seeking the Commission's adoption of policy statements (whether new or changed) or other affirmative relief sought. Even if the Commission has previously approved a program, recovery of a cost, factor, or adjustment as meeting the Commission's *own* requirements, the

utilities still bear the burden of demonstrating that the costs submitted for final recovery meet any statutory test(s) and are reasonable in amount and prudently incurred. Further, recovery of even prudently incurred costs is constrained by the Commission's obligation to set fair, just, and reasonable rates. Further, pursuant to Section 366.01, Florida Statutes, the provisions of Chapter 366 must be liberally construed to protect the public welfare.

D. STATEMENT OF FACTUAL ISSUES AND POSITIONS

GENERIC CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY ISSUES

ISSUE 1: What are the final conservation cost recovery adjustment true-up amounts for the January 2019 through December 2019?

OPC: The OPC is not in agreement that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC does not accept that, given these circumstances, that the costs proposed for final true-up can necessarily be deemed prudent.

ISSUE 2: What are the appropriate conservation adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

OPC: The OPC is not in agreement that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC does not accept that, given these circumstances, that the estimated/actual costs proposed for recovery can necessarily be deemed reasonable.

ISSUE 3: What are the appropriate total conservation adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2021 through December 2021?

OPC: The OPC is not in agreement that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC does not accept that, given these circumstances, that the costs proposed for final true-up can necessarily be deemed prudent and thus collected.

ISSUE 4: What is the total conservation cost recovery amounts to be collected during the period January 2021 through December 2021?

OPC: The OPC is not in agreement that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC does not accept that, given these circumstances, that the costs proposed for recovery can necessarily be deemed reasonable or prudent and thus collected.

ISSUE 5: What are the conservation cost recovery factors for the period January 2021 through December 2021?

OPC: No position at this time; however, the factors should be based on costs deemed reasonable and or prudent after a hearing.

ISSUE 6: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the natural gas conservation cost recovery amounts and establishing natural gas conservation cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

OPC: No position at this time; however, the factors contained in the tariff(s) should be based on costs deemed reasonable and or prudent after a hearing.

ISSUE 7: What should be the effective date of the new conservation cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

OPC: No position.

COMPANY SPECIFIC CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY ISSUES

Florida City Gas

ISSUE 8A: Should the Commission approve FCG's Petition to establish a conservation cost recovery factor for the GS-1250K rate class, and if so, what is the appropriate conservation cost recovery factor for the period January 2021 through December 2021?

OPC: No position at this time; however, the factors should be based on costs deemed reasonable and or prudent after a hearing.

ISSUE 8B: How should the clause recoverable amounts allocated to this rate class be recorded

in the true up filings for 2021?

OPC: No position.

ISSUE 9A: Should the Commission approve FCG's Petition to establish a conservation cost

recovery factor for the GS11M-GS25M rate class, and if so, what is the appropriate conservation cost recovery factor for the period January 2021 through December

2021?

OPC: No position at this time; however, the factors should be based on costs deemed

reasonable and or prudent after a hearing.

ISSUE 9B: How should the clause recoverable amounts allocated to this rate class be recorded

in the true up filings for 2021?

OPC: No position.

ISSUE 10: Should this docket be closed?

OPC: No position.

E. STIPULATED ISSUES:

None.

F. PENDING MOTIONS:

None.

G. <u>REQUESTS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY</u>

Citizens have no pending requests for claims for confidentiality.

H. OBJECTIONS TO WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS AS AN EXPERT

OPC has no objections to any witness' qualifications as an expert in this proceeding.

I. <u>REQUIREMENTS OF ORDER</u>

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which the Office of Public Counsel cannot comply.

Dated this 7th day of October, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Charles J. Rehwinkel Charles J. Rehwinkel Deputy Public Counsel

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 (850) 488-9330

Attorneys for the Citizens of the State of Florida

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 20200004-GU

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Office of Public Counsel's Prehearing Statement has been furnished by electronic mail on this 7th day of October, 2020, to the following:

Florida City Gas
Kurt Howard
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach FL 33408
(561) 304-5458
kurt.how ard @ nexter a energy.c
om

Florida Power & Light
Company
Christopher T. Wright
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach FL 33408
(561) 691-2512
Christopher.Wright@fpl.com

Florida Public Utilities
Company; Fort Meade;
Indiantown; Chesapeake
Mike Cassel
208 Wildlight Ave.
Yulee FL 32097
(904) 491-4361
mcassel@fpuc.com

Gunster Law Firm
Beth Keating/Gregory M.
Munson
215 South Monroe Street,
Suite 601
Tallahassee FL 32301
bkeating@gunster.com
gmunson@gunster.com

Andrew M. Brown/Thomas R.
Farrior
P.O. Box 1531
Tampa FL 33601
(813) 273-4209
(813) 273-4396
ab@macfar.com
trf@macfar.com

Peoples Gas System Paula Brown/Karen L.
Bramley
Regulatory Affairs
P.O. Box 111
Tampa FL 33601
regdept@tecoenergy.com
klbramley@tecoenergy.com

Sebring Gas System, Inc. Jerry H. Melendy, Jr. 3515 Highway 27 South Sebring, FL 33870 jmelendy@floridasbestgas.co m St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc. Mr. Andy Shoaf P. O. Box 549 Port St. Joe FL 32457-0549 (850) 229-8216 (850) 229-8392 andy@stjoegas.com Florida Public Service Commission Andrew Fay 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, FL 32399 afay@psc.state.fl.us

/s/Charles J. Rehwinkel Charles J. Rehwinkel Deputy Public Counsel