

**Jacob Veaughn**

---

**From:** Jacob Veaughn on behalf of Records Clerk  
**Sent:** Friday, July 9, 2021 2:14 PM  
**To:** 'Michael Brian David'  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: 20210015-EL

Good afternoon, Michael David

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20210015 and forwarding your comments to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

**Jacob Veaughn**

Commission Deputy Clerk I  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399  
[Jacob.Veaughn@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:Jacob.Veaughn@psc.state.fl.us)  
850.413.6656

**From:** Michael Brian David <avtecharoundtheworld@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 9, 2021 2:12 PM  
**To:** Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>  
**Subject:** 20210015-EL

Hello,

My name is Michael David and am I writing to you with regards to docket #20210015-EI which is proposing a 20% hike in electricity rates.

First of, I believe this is not a decision based on the overall benefit of both FPL and its customers. As an utility provider, they are providing an essential service to us the customers. With that said, they(FPL) are the ONLY utility company in FL that we can have access from for this essential utility of electricity.

Unfortunately, this allows them to have a monopoly over the market and make any proposed rates or adjustments not taking into consideration being competitive as they have no competitor. This allows FPL to manipulate prices in favor of its investors, NOT ITS CUSTOMERS.

And this is undoubtedly and immoral correct!

It's customers should be the PRIMARY beneficiaries in all FPL's decisions, and its investors SECONDARY. As without its customers,there would be no company to invest in!

Miamians are currently already struggling to pay for utilities(which yes are at a somewhat low rate relatively) and this increase will only force many to take extreme measures to fulfill their needs.

There are other ways that FPL can better compensate themselves and have better returns by allowing solar power to become widely available and adopted for the benefit of all parties involved(FPL,it's customers,and the

planet). The ONLY entity which benefits from NOT having a solar program, is FPL as they can charge higher rates to us, its customers for electricity. A completely one-sided approach, but I assure you, the numbers make it "look" to its investors as if the company is performing better. When in actuality, the data shows FPL is ranked #51 of #52 in efficiency of power derived overall.

Thank you for your time and I WOULD STRONGLY ENCOURAGE you to consider banning this extremely INCONVENIENT price hike for the better overall need of all parties involved, including its primary customers (not by choice but by need)

Regards,  
Michael David