

Writer's Direct Dial Number: (850) 521-1706 Writer's E-Mail Address: bkeating@gunster.com

October 6, 2022

E-PORTAL FILING

Mr. Adam Teitzman, Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20220155-GU- Joint petition for approval of GRIP cost recovery factors for January 2023 through December 2023, by Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Public Utilities Company-Fort Meade, and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Attached for filing, please find the FPUC Companies' responses to Staff's First Data requests in the referenced docket.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing. As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Kind regards,

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

cc:/(Hampsonl)

Re: Docket No. 20220155-GU: Joint petition for approval of GRIP cost recovery factors, by Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Public Utilities Company-Fort Meade, and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

Florida Public Utilities Company and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation's Joint Responses to Staff's First Data Requests

1. Please update pipe replacement program progress tables for FPUC, Ft. Meade, and CFG contained in Attachment 1, copied here on Page 4, in the response to Staff's First Data Request in Docket No. 20210150-GU.

Company Response:

Please see Attachment 1 – Replacement Program. Due to some permit delays, the Company projects to have approximately 0.5 miles of pipeline to replace in 2023.

2. Please provide all the schedules filed in Witness Waruszewski's direct testimony in Excel format with formulas unlocked.

Company Response:

Please see Attachment 2 - GRIP Filing.

- 3. Please refer to Witness Waruszewski's direct testimony, Page 5, lines 4-7 for the following data requests.
 - a. Please describe the planned and completed pipe replacement projects for FPUC in 2022.

Company Response:

FPUC - Planned 2022

a. The planned and completed projects for FPUC are shown below:

Projects			
		Estimated Start	Estimated
Project Name	City	Date	End Date
Jeffrey Street	City of Boca Raton	Mar-22	Aug-22
Gateway	City of Boynton Beach	Oct-21	Oct-22
S. Seacrest	City of Boynton Beach	Feb-22	Jun-22
W. Ocean Ave - 7th	City of Boynton Beach	Mar-22	Aug-22
Delray - North	City of Delray Beach	Feb-22	Jun-22
SW 10th Street	City of Delray Beach	Nov-21	Apr-22
S. Lake Worth Ph.3	City of Lake Worth Beach	Oct-21	Dec-22

City of Lake Worth Beach	Sep-20	Dec-22
City of Lantana	Feb-21	Aug-22
City of Lantana	Jul-21	Mar-22
City of New Smyrna		
Beach	Jan-22	Dec-22
City of Riveria Beach	Apr-22	Dec-22
City of Sanford	Jan-22	Dec-22
City of West Palm Beach	Jan-22	Oct-22
City of West Palm Beach	Jan-21	Apr-22
City of West Palm Beach	Jul-21	Oct-22
City of West Palm Beach	Nov-22	Dec-22
Town of Palm Beach	May-21	Mar-22
Town of Palm Beach	Aug-20	Mar-22
Town of Palm Beach	May-20	Mar-22
	City of Lantana City of New Smyrna Beach City of Riveria Beach City of Sanford City of West Palm Beach Town of Palm Beach Town of Palm Beach	City of Lantana City of Lantana City of New Smyrna Beach Jan-22 City of Riveria Beach City of Sanford Jan-22 City of West Palm Beach Mov-22 City of West Palm Beach City of West Palm Beach Aug-20 Town of Palm Beach Aug-20

b. Please describe the planned and completed pipe replacement projects for CFG in 2022.

Company Response:

There were no pipeline replacement projects for CFG in 2022. CFG did incur costs in 2022 related to projects completed in 2021.

- 4. Please refer to the 2022 Schedules A-1 attached to the direct testimony of Witness Waruszewski.
 - a. Please provide the calculations used to determine the Revenue Expansion Factor of 1.351 on page 1 of 18.

Company Response:

Please see FN Schedule 1 tab in Attachment 3.

b. Please provide the calculations used to determine the Revenue Expansion Factor of 1.343 on page 7 of 18.

Company Response:

Please see CFG Schedule 2 tab in Attachment 3.

c. Please provide the calculations used to determine the Revenue Expansion Factor of 1.357 on page 13 of 18.

Company Response:

Please see FT Schedule 3 tab in Attachment 3.

- 5. Please refer to the 2022 Schedules A-1 attached to the direct testimony of Witness Waruszewski.
 - a. Please provide the calculations used to determine the Equity Cost of Capital, inclusive of Income Tax Gross-up of 6.13% on page 3 of 18.

Company Response:

Please see Schedule A-1 of Attachment 2, Page 1 of 18.

b. Please provide the calculations used to determine the Equity Cost of Capital, inclusive of Income Tax Gross-up of 6.08% on page 9 of 18.

Company Response:

Please see Schedule A-1 of Attachment 2, Page 7 of 18.

c. Please provide the calculations used to determine the Equity Cost of Capital, inclusive of Income Tax Gross-up of 5.48% on page 15 of 15.

Company Response:

Please see Schedule A-1 of Attachment 2, Page 13 of 18.

- 6. Please refer to Witness Waruszewski's direct testimony, Page 3, lines 12-13 for the following data requests. For the following data requests, please assume that a Commission decision has not yet been made in the 2022 Rate Case before January 2023, the requested effective date for GRIP factors.
 - a. What adjustments does the Company believe would be necessary to recalculate 2023 GRIP factors, until a Commission decision has been made in the 2022 Rate Case? Please describe the included adjustments and explain.

Company Response:

If the Commission has not made a decision in the rate case before January 2023, the Company would have to adjust the beginning balance for qualified investments in 2023 for each division as reflected on pages 4, 10 and 16 of Attachment 5-GRIP Rate Case Not Approved to reflect the projected ending balance at December 31, 2022 (not adjusted for the investment rolled into capital as filed in the rate case). This would increase both the revenue requirement and the GRIP factors for each division.

b. If any adjustments were described in the above data request, please provide revised tariffs in Attachment A to the Petition as well as revised schedules in Exhibit RCW-1, assuming there has been no Commission decision made in the 2022 Rate Case prior to January 2023.

Company Response:

Please see Attachment 4-Tariff Pages, which reflects tariff pages as if the rate case decision is not made prior to January 2023 and Attachment 5-GRIP Filing - Rate Case Not Approved, which reflects the GRIP factor changes to Exhibit RCW-1 as described in the response to part a above.

7. Please refer to Witness Waruszewski's response to the question in his direct testimony on Page 6, lines 24-25. Approximately when does the Company intend to file its request for GRIP Phase II with the Commission? Please explain.

Company Response:

The Company expects to file this request in October 2022.

8. Please refer to Witness Waruszewski's direct testimony, Page 7, lines 15-20 for the following. Please provide revised schedules RCW-1, assuming the Commission approves the Company's proposed rate structure as requested in the 2022 Rate Case.

Company Response:

If the Commission approves the Company's proposed rate structure and consolidation of the companies as proposed in the 2022 Rate Case, the GRIP factors will be consolidated and no longer be calculated by individual company as previously filed. The surcharge factors apply to both the sales service and transport service rate classes. Please see Attachment 6-GRIP Filing — Rate Case Approved for the revised calculations.