

Matthew R. Bernier Associate General Counsel

September 29, 2023

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Petition for Limited Proceeding for Recovery of Incremental Storm Restoration Costs Related to Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Isaias, Ian, Nicole and Tropical Storm Fred by Duke Energy Florida, LLC; Docket No. 20230020-EI

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

On behalf of Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF"), please find attached for electronic filing in the above reference docket:

- DEF's Petition for Approval of Actual Incremental Storm Restoration Costs Related to Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Isaias, Ian, Nicole, and Tropical Storm Fred;
- Direct Testimony of Christopher A. Menendez and Exhibit No. (CAM-1) and Exhibit No. (CAM-2);
- Direct Testimony of Shelly Ross and Exhibit No. ____(SR-1), Exhibit No. ____(SR-2), Exhibit No. ____(SR-3), Exhibit No. ____(SR-4), Exhibit No. ____(SR-5), Exhibit No. ____(SR-6), Exhibit No. ____(SR-7) and Exhibit No. ____(SR-8); and
- Direct Testimony of William Todd Fountain.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please feel free to call me at (850) 521-1428 should you have any questions concerning this filing.

Respectfully,

s/ Matthew R. Bernier Matthew R. Bernier

MRB/mw Attachments

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by Duke Energy Florida, LLC, for limited proceeding for recovery of incremental storm restoration costs related to Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Isaias, Ian, Nicole and Tropical Storm Fred Docket No. 20230020-EI

Dated: September 29, 2023

PETITION BY DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC, FOR APPROVAL OF ACTUAL INCREMENTAL STORM RESTORATION COSTS RELATED TO <u>HURRICANES ELSA, ETA, ISAIAS, IAN, NICOLE, AND TROPICAL STORM FRED</u>

Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF" or the "Company"), pursuant to Section 366.076(1), Florida Statutes, Rules 25-6.0143 and 25-6.0431, Florida Administrative Code, the 2021 Settlement Agreement approved by the Florida Public Service Commission (the "Commission") in Order No. PSC-2021-0202A-AS-EI, and Order No. PSC-2023-0111-PCO-EI (authorizing recovery of an interim storm restoration recovery charge), hereby files this petition requesting approval of (a) DEF's actual recoverable storm restoration costs related to Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Isaias, Ian, Nicole and Tropical Storm Fred (the "Storms"), including replenishment of DEF's storm reserve as contemplated by the 2021 Settlement Agreement and financing costs (the "Recoverable Storm Costs"), in the amount of \$431.4 million; and (b) the process for refunding or collecting any overcollection or shortfall in Recoverable Storm Costs at the conclusion of the interim charge previously authorized by this Commission. In support of this Petition, DEF states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. DEF is an investor-owned utility operating under the jurisdiction of the Commission pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 366, F.S. The Company's principal place of business is located at 299 1st Avenue North, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701.

This Petition is being filed in accordance with the requirements of Rule 28-106.201,
 F.A.C.¹

3. The Commission, located at 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399, is the agency affected by this Petition. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 366.04, 366.05, 366.06 and 366.076, F.S., and Rules 25-6.0143 and 25-6.0431, F.A.C.

4. For purposes of this Petition and the resulting proceeding, Petitioner's address shall be that of its undersigned counsel. Any pleading, motion, notice, order or other document required to be served upon DEF or filed by any party to this proceeding should be served upon DEF's undersigned counsel.

5. DEF does not know which, if any, of the issues of material fact set forth in the body of this Petition, or the supporting testimony and exhibits, may be disputed by any others who may plan to participate in this proceeding.

BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW

6. DEF serves more than 1.9 million retail customers in Florida. Its service area comprises approximately 20,000 square miles, including the densely populated areas of Pinellas and western Pasco Counties and the greater Orlando area in Orange, Osceola and Seminole

¹ Portions of subsections (2)(b)(c) and (f) of Rule 28-106.201, F.A.C., do not apply to this proceeding and are, therefore, not being addressed in this Petition.

Counties. DEF supplies electricity at retail to approximately 350 communities and at wholesale to municipalities, utilities, and power agencies in Florida.

7. On January 23, 2023, DEF filed a petition for a limited proceeding seeking authority to implement an interim storm restoration recovery charge to recover estimated Recoverable Storm Costs that DEF incurred in the amount of \$442.1 million in connection with the Storms (the "Interim Storm Charge"). The Commission approved the Interim Storm Charge for collection over the April 2023 through March 2024 timeframe. *See* Order No. PSC-2023-0111-PCO-EI. The Commission further ordered DEF to "file documentation of the total storm costs for our review and true-up of any excess or shortfall" after actual storm costs are known. *See id.* at p. 4.

8. In accordance with that Order, DEF is contemporaneously filing documentation demonstrating the actual storm costs DEF incurred in connection with the Storms. This documentation consists of the pre-filed testimony, with accompanying exhibits, of DEF witnesses William Todd Fountain, Shelly Ross, and Christopher Menendez which (a) document DEF's actual Recoverable Storm Cost amount of \$431.4 million; (b) demonstrate that those costs were prudently incurred; (c) demonstrate that DEF accounted for those costs in accordance with the Incremental Cost and Capitalization Approach ("ICCA") contained in Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C. and the Irma Settlement Agreement²; and (d) propose a process for refunding or collecting any overcollection or shortfall in Recoverable Storm Costs at the conclusion of the Interim Storm Charge previously authorized by this Commission.

9. In his pre-filed testimony, Mr. Fountain describes the operation of the Company's storm plan, including the storm-related preparedness plans and processes that DEF utilized during

² See Order No. PSC-2019-0232A-AS-EI, Docket No. 20170272-EI.

the Storms. Mr. Fountain provides a storm-by-storm discussion of the timeline DEF followed for onboarding resources (both native and non-native resources, including mutual assistance), mobilizing and demobilizing of resources, and completing restoration efforts. Mr. Fountain also discusses the number of resources brought to bear in response to each storm. Finally, he also provides an overview of the storm-related costs incurred in responding to each of storms.

10. As detailed in Ms. Ross' pre-filed testimony, DEF's actual Recoverable Storm Cost amount of \$431.4 million was calculated in accordance with the ICCA methodology required by Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C., and following the Storm Restoration Cost Process Improvements included in the Irma Settlement. Ms. Ross describes how DEF tracked, recorded, and accounted storm costs during and after the storms, and explains the processes DEF has in place to ensure costs assigned to storms are in fact attributable to those storms. DEF's accounting records thoroughly track all storm restoration costs charged to DEF and the Company's payment of those charges.

11. In Mr. Menendez's testimony, he describes the process for recovering the Recoverable Storm Costs as well as the Company's proposal for handling any true-up after the cessation of the Interim Storm Charge.

12. Mr. Menendez's testimony also includes PWC's Opinion and Examination Report as Exhibit No. __ (CAM-2). This Report was prepared and submitted as required by Paragraph II.B. of the Irma Settlement.

CONCLUSION

Wherefore, DEF respectfully requests that the Commission (a) determine that DEF's actual Recoverable Storm Cost amount of \$431.4 million was prudently incurred; (b) determine that DEF's actual Recoverable Storm Costs were appropriately calculated in compliance with Rule 25-6.0143 and the Irma Settlement; (c) enter an order permitting DEF to collect or refund any under or overcollection of Storm Costs through the capacity recovery clause as described in Mr. Menendez's pre-filed direct testimony; and (d) grant other such relief as the Commission determines appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew R. Bernier

DIANNE TRIPLETT Deputy General Counsel 299 1st Avenue North St. Petersburg, Florida 33701 T: (727) 820-4692 F: (727) 820-5041 E: dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

MATTHEW R. BERNIER

Associate General Counsel 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 T: (850) 521-1428 F: (727) 820-5041 E: matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

STEPHANIE A. CUELLO

Senior Counsel 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 T: (850) 521-1425 F: (727) 820-5041 E: <u>stephanie.cuello@duke-energy.com</u> <u>FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com</u>

Attorneys for Duke Energy Florida, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 20230020-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail this 29th day of September, 2023, to the following:

<u>/s/ Matthew R. Bernier</u> Matthew R. Bernier

Austin Watrous	Charles J. Rehwinkel
Suzanne Brownless	Office of Public Counsel
Office of General Counsel	111 W. Madison St., Rm 812
Florida Public Service Commission	Tallahassee, FL 32399
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.	rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850	
awatrous@psc.state.fl.us	
sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us	
Stephanie U. Eaton	Derrick Price Williamson
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC	Steven W. Lee
110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500	Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
Winston-Salem, NC 27103	1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101
seaton@spilmanlaw.com	Mechanicsburg, PA 17050
	dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com
	slee@spilmanlaw.com

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: PETITION BY DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC, FOR LIMITED PROCEEDING FOR RECOVERY OF INCREMENTAL STORM RESTORATION COSTS RELATED TO HURRICANES ELSA, ETA, ISAIAS, IAN, NICOLE, AND TROPICAL STORM FRED

FPSC DOCKET NO. 20230020-EI

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CHRISTOPHER A. MENENDEZ

SEPTEMBER 29, 2023

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Christopher A, Menendez. My business address is Duke Energy
3		Florida, LLC, 299 1st Avenue North, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701.
4		
5	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?
6	A.	I am employed by Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF" or the "Company") as
7		Director of Rates and Regulatory Planning.
8		
9	Q.	Please describe your duties and responsibilities in that position.
10	A.	I am responsible for the Company's regulatory planning and cost recovery,
11		including the Company's Storm Cost Recovery Filings.
12		
13	Q.	Please describe your educational background and professional experience.
14	A.	I joined the Company on April 7, 2008. Since joining the company, I have held
15		various positions in the Florida Planning & Strategy group, DEF Fossil Hydro
16		Operations Finance and DEF Rates and Regulatory Strategy. I was promoted to my 1

1 current position in April 2021. Prior to working at DEF, I was the Manager of 2 Inventory Accounting and Control for North American Operations at Cott 3 Beverages. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from the 4 University of South Florida, and I am a Certified Public Accountant in the State of 5 Florida. 6 7 Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony? 8 The purpose of my testimony is to explain DEF's proposed true-up of any final A. 9 over or under recovery amount related to the Interim Storm Restoration Recovery 10 Charge effective the first billing cycle of April 2023 and ending the earlier of full 11 recovery or with the last billing cycle of March 2024. This charge was approved 12 by the Commission in Order No. PSC-2023-0111-PCO-EI ("the Order"). 13 14 Q. Do you have any exhibits to your testimony? 15 A. Yes, I am sponsoring the following: 16 Exhibit No. (CAM-1) "Recovery of Storm Restoration Costs." This Exhibit 17 shows the total recoverable restoration costs, along with monthly revenues and 18 interest collected through July 2023. An update to this Exhibit will be filed 19 with the Commission on or before June 1, 2024. 20 Exhibit No. (CAM-2) "PWC Opinion and Examination Report". • 21

- 22
- Q. Please describe the Interim Storm Restoration Recovery Charge.

1	A .	The Interim Storm Restoration Recovery Charge ("Interim Charge") was designed
2		to recover estimated incremental storm restoration costs of approximately \$442.1M
3		associated with Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Ian, Isaias, Nicole, and Tropical Storm ("TS")
4		Fred (the "Storms") over a 12-month period from April 2023 through March 2024,
5		or until fully recovered. The Order states "once the total actual storm costs are
6		known, DEF shall file documentation of the storm costs for our review and true-up
7		of any excess or shortfall. The disposition of any over/under recovery and
8		associated interest, will be considered by this Commission at a later date."
9		
10	Q.	How will DEF determine the final over or under recovery true-up amount
11		related to the Interim Charge, and what is DEF's proposal to refund or charge
12		customers for any excess or shortfall?
13	A.	DEF will compare the final Storm Recovery Amount approved for recovery by the
14		Commission to actual revenues from the Interim Charge to determine any excess
15		or shortfall. Interest will be applied to this amount at the 30-day commercial paper
16		rate. Thereafter, DEF proposes to collect or refund the excess or shortfall through
17		the capacity clause in the normal true-up process.
18		
19	Q.	How will DEF notify the Commission of the actual revenues received from the
19 20	Q.	How will DEF notify the Commission of the actual revenues received from the Interim Charge?
19 20 21	Q. A .	How will DEF notify the Commission of the actual revenues received from the Interim Charge? DEF will file a supplement to my direct testimony in the form of Exhibit No
19 20 21 22	Q. A.	How will DEF notify the Commission of the actual revenues received from the Interim Charge? DEF will file a supplement to my direct testimony in the form of Exhibit No (CAM-1), on or before June 1, 2024, that will show actual recoverable restoration
 19 20 21 22 23 	Q. A.	How will DEF notify the Commission of the actual revenues received from the Interim Charge? DEF will file a supplement to my direct testimony in the form of Exhibit No (CAM-1), on or before June 1, 2024, that will show actual recoverable restoration costs along with monthly revenues and interest collected through the earlier of

1 2 Q. Are you familiar with the Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission 3 in Docket No. 20170272? 4 A. Yes. That docket was opened for the Commission to review DEF's incremental 5 storm restoration costs incurred in responding to Hurricane Irma and six other 6 named storms. The docket concluded with the Commission's approval of a 7 Settlement Agreement between DEF, the OPC, FIPUG, FRF, and PCS Phosphate 8 (the "Irma Settlement"). The Commission's approval was memorialized in Order 9 No. PSC-2019-0232-AS-EI. 10 Did the Irma Settlement include a requirement that DEF engage an 11 **Q**. 12 independent outside firm to evaluate DEF's compliance with the Storm 13 **Restoration Cost Process Improvements?** 14 Yes. Paragraph II.B. of the Irma Settlement required DEF to engage an independent A. 15 audit firm to evaluate DEF's compliance with the terms of the Irma Settlement after 16 the first named storm that caused recoverable costs in excess of the lower of \$40M 17 or one-half of DEF's authorized storm reserve amount. For DEF, Hurricane Ian 18 triggered the requirement of Paragraph II.B. 19 20 **Q**. Has DEF complied with the requirements of Paragraph II.B.? 21 Yes. DEF engaged PWC to conduct the review required by the Irma Settlement. A. 22 PWC's Opinion and Examination Report is attached as Exhibit No. (CAM-2). 23 24 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

1 A. Yo 2 3 4 5 6	Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	
3 4 5 6	
4 5 6	
5 6	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
10	
1/	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Ian, Isaias, Nicole/Tropical Storm Fred Recovery of Storm Restoration Costs (\$000's)

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)=C+D	(F)=B+E
--	-----	-----	-----	-----	---------	---------

		Total Recoverable			Net Monthly	Ending
Year	Month	Restoration Costs	Revenues	Interest	Activity	Balance
2023	April	(426,711)	34,579	(1,141)	33,438	(393,273)
2023	May	(393,273)	35,843	(1,030)	34,813	(358,460)
2023	June	(358,460)	43,406	(875)	42,531	(315,929)
2023	July	(315,929)	47,206	(700)	46,506	(269,422)





Report of Independent Accountants

To the Management of Duke Energy Florida, LLC

We have examined the accompanying management assertion of Duke Energy Florida, LLC (DEF) that (i) the accompanying Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs is an accurate presentation of the incremental storm restoration costs recognized as of July 31, 2023 for costs incurred for the period from September 24, 2022 through March 10, 2023 based on the criteria described in Notes 1 and 2 and (ii) that appropriate documentation to support the accompanying Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs has been prepared, as well as that internal controls over the accompanying Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs have been established and maintained, based on the criteria described in Note 3. DEF's management is responsible for the assertion. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assertion based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether management's assertion is fairly stated, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about management's assertion. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of management's assertion, whether due to fraud or error. In performing our examination, consistent with the Duke Energy Florida, LLC storm cost settlement agreement filed on April 9, 2019 (Docket No. 20170272-EI), our examination procedures included the following activities:

- a) Interviewed key personnel
- b) Reviewed operating policies and procedures
- c) Reviewed relevant documents, such as executed contracts, labor and equipment rates, established work day hours, over time and double time criteria, and vendor employee rosters
- d) Compared vendor employee rosters to approved timesheets, and expense receipts (hotel, fuel or meal)
- e) Inspected and compared paid invoices to submitted expense receipts, submitted timesheets
- f) Recalculated and reconciled paid invoices
- g) Reconciled paid invoices with overall vendor invoice summaries or utility expense recap documents

We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are required to be independent and to meet our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with relevant ethical requirements related to the engagement.

Management's assertion and our examination procedures were limited to evaluating the accuracy of the information presented in the Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs and did not consider the completeness of the information presented in the Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs.

The supplemental information to the Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs, included on page 8, has been presented by DEF for additional analysis. DEF's filing on Document No. 00418-2023 was not part of our examination engagement, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on DEF's filing on Document No. 00418-2023 or the supplemental information.

In our opinion, management's assertion is fairly stated in all material respects.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Columbus, Ohio September 15, 2023

Docket No. 20230020 Witness: Menendez Exhibit No. __(CAM-2) Page 2 of 8

Management Assertion on the Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs

Management of Duke Energy Florida ("DEF" or the "Company") asserts that the accompanying Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs is an accurate presentation of the incremental storm restoration costs recognized as of July 31, 2023 for costs incurred for the period from September 24, 2022 through March 10, 2023 based on the criteria described in Notes 1 and 2.

Management also asserts that appropriate documentation to support the accompanying Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs has been prepared, as well as that internal controls over the accompanying Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs have been established and maintained, based on the criteria described in Note 3.

Duke Energy Florida

Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs

As of July 31, 2023 for Costs Incurred for the period from September 24, 2022 through March 10, 2023

		Settled in Cash			Total Incremental
		Net of Non-		Accrued for	Storm Restoration
	Туре	Incremental Costs	Imputed	Future Payment	Costs
Α	Regular Payroll	\$ 4,312,733	\$-	\$-	\$ 4,312,733
в	Overtime Payroll	9,874,448	-	-	9,874,448
С	Labor Burdens/Incentives	5,075,949	-	-	5,075,949
D	Overhead Allocations	1,194,985	-	-	1,194,985
Е	Employee Expenses	16,457,252	-	-	16,457,252
F	Contractor Costs	317,562,371	-	1,666,265	319,228,636
G	Materials & Supplies	19,036,828	-	-	19,036,828
н	Internal Fleet Costs	451,432	-	-	451,432
I	Other	-	-	-	-
J	Insurance Deductible	1,000,000	-	-	1,000,000
к	Interest	-	4,669,608	-	4,669,608
	Subtotal	374,965,998	4,669,608	1,666,265	381,301,871
L	Less Capitalizable Costs	(12,390,855)	-	(1,323,799)	(13,714,654)
	Total Incremental Storm Restoration Costs	\$ 362,575,143	\$ 4,669,608	\$ 342,466	\$ 367,587,217

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs.

Docket No. 20230020 Witness: Menendez Exhibit No. __(CAM-2) Page 4 of 8

Duke Energy Florida Notes to the Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs As of July 31, 2023 for Costs Incurred for the period from September 24, 2022 through March 10, 2023

1. Background

Duke Energy Florida ("DEF" or the "Company") is a public utility providing electric service to approximately 1.9 million customers. DEF is a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy Corporation.

In September 2022, Hurricane Ian struck the Company's service territory, severely damaging parts of the electrical system and causing power outages. Customer interruptions peaked at approximately 1,169,102 during September 30, 2022. The accompanying Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs includes the total incremental storm restoration costs recognized as of July 31, 2023 for costs incurred for the period from September 24, 2022 through March 10, 2023 to repair DEF's electrical system as a direct result of the effects of Hurricane Ian.

For purposes of this assertion, incurred costs are those for which (A-D) employees have delivered a service for which base pay, overtime, and labor burdens have been paid, (E) employees and contractor crews were reimbursed for lodging, meals and mileage, (F) vendors delivered a service for which an amount has been paid or is owed, (G) inventoried material, fuel tankers (at staging sites) and other supplies, (H) fuel for company owned vehicles, (J) certain storm damages are applied to an insurance deductible, (K) carrying charges for amounts recognized for A through J, calculated using the monthly average of the 30-day commercial paper rate or (L) capitalized costs that were excluded from incremental storm costs.

Accounting Policies & Regulation

The Company's accounting policies conform to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (US GAAP), including the accounting principles for rate-regulated entities and are in accordance with the accounting requirements and ratemaking practices of the applicable regulatory authorities of the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) including the Duke Energy Florida Storm Cost Settlement Agreement filed on April 9, 2019 (Docket No. 20170272-EI) (hereinafter referred to as the "2019 Storm Cost Settlement Agreement Agreement") and the Florida Administrative Code (FAC) rule 25-6.0143. DEF's operations are subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and DEF's retail operations are also subject to regulation by the FPSC.

2. Cost Identification and Basis of Preparation

On June 13, 2019, the FPSC issued an order approving the settlement agreement for the recovery of storm restoration costs associated with Hurricanes Irma and Nate and ordering an "incremental cost methodology" and "process improvements" designed to reduce the number of disputes regarding storm restoration costs in the future (the 2019 Storm Cost Settlement Agreement). The cost methodology and process improvements related to incremental storm restoration costs recognized as of July 31, 2023 for costs incurred for the period from September 24, 2022 through March 10, 2023 include the following:

- A. Regular Payroll represents regular labor payroll costs incurred by employees of DEF or employees of affiliate entities of DEF for time spent related to storm restoration activities. Regular payroll costs were evaluated on a monthly basis and only those actual labor costs charged to storm reserve project work orders (i.e., charge codes) that were (a) comprised of base pay for employees of DEF and employees of affiliate entities of DEF and (b) greater than the applicable operation and maintenance expense three-year average for the same months, were deemed recoverable under the Incremental Cost and Capitalization Approach (ICCA) methodology set forth in FAC 25-6.0143*.
- B. **Overtime Payroll** represents overtime labor costs incurred by employees of DEF or employees of affiliate entities of DEF for time spent related to storm restoration activities. Overtime payroll costs were evaluated on a monthly basis and only those actual labor costs charged to storm reserve project work orders (i.e.,

Docket No. 20230020 Witness: Menendez Exhibit No. __(CAM-2) Page 5 of 8

charge codes) that were (a) comprised of overtime pay for employees of DEF and employees of affiliate entities of DEF and (b) greater than the applicable operation and maintenance expense three-year average for the same months, were deemed recoverable under the Incremental Cost and Capitalization Approach (ICCA) methodology set forth in FAC 25-6.0143*.

- C. Labor Burdens/Incentives represents costs such as medical, payroll tax, and other non-incentive benefits incurred by employees of DEF or employees of affiliate entities of DEF for time spent related to storm restoration activities. Labor burden costs were evaluated on a monthly basis and only those actual labor costs charged to storm reserve project work orders (i.e., charge codes) that were (a) comprised of labor burdens for employees of DF and employees of affiliate entities of DEF and (b) greater than the applicable operation and maintenance expense three-year average for the same months, were deemed recoverable under the Incremental Cost and Capitalization Approach (ICCA) methodology set forth in FAC 25-6.0143*.
- D. Overhead Allocations includes costs, such as employee labor from support organizations, related to employees of DEF or employees of affiliate entities of DEF that are allocated to this storm project based on payroll and overtime charges. Overhead Allocations were evaluated on a monthly basis and only those actual overhead costs charged to storm reserve project work orders (I.e., charge codes) that were (a) incurred for employees of affiliate entities of DEF and (b) greater than the applicable operation and maintenance expense three-year average for the same months, were deemed recoverable under the Incremental Cost and Capitalization Approach (ICCA) methodology set forth in FAC 25-6.0143*.
- E. **Employee Expenses include** the cost of lodging for employee and contractor crews and expenses such as meals and mileage reimbursement for employees using their personal vehicles during storm restoration.
- F. **Contractor Costs** represents the time and equipment costs incurred by third party contractors hired for storm restoration activities. Contractor costs were evaluated on a monthly basis and only those actual contractor costs charged to storm reserve project work orders (i.e., charge codes) that were greater than the operation and maintenance expense three-year average for the same month, were deemed recoverable under the ICCA methodology set forth in FAC 25-6.0143*. Contractors are third party vendors providing contract services in the utility industry.
- G. **Materials and Supplies** include the materials and supplies used to repair and restore service and facilities to pre-storm condition. Fuel costs associated with fueling services utilized during restoration to re-fuel contractor vehicles are also included as part of materials and supplies.
- H. Internal Fleet Costs includes the fuel and maintenance costs for DEF fleet vehicles. Fleet costs were evaluated on a monthly basis and only those actual fleet costs charged to storm reserve project work orders (i.e., charge codes) that were greater than the operation and maintenance expense three-year average for the same month, were deemed recoverable under the ICCA methodology set forth in FAC 25-6.0143*.
- I. **Other** costs not assigned to other categories.
- J. **Insurance Deductible** applied to certain storm damages. These costs were incurred by DEF to restore certain generation sites damaged by the storm. The insurance policy carries a \$1M deductible before the policy reimburses the company for any claim above the \$1M deductible.
- K. **Interest** represents the carrying charges for amounts recognized that is calculated by the Company when the storm reserve balance is in an asset position. The Interest is aggregated for Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Isaias, Ian, Nicole, and Tropical Storm Fred.
- L. **Capitalizable Costs** includes the aggregate adjustment for incurred storm restoration costs that are charged to capital, in accordance with DEF's 2019 Storm Cost Settlement Agreement.

*Under the ICCA methodology set forth in FAC 25-6.0143, additional internal and contract labor hired (or related costs) and fuel costs for storm restoration activities (i.e., transmission and distribution (T&D) utility field activities) (including vegetation management) were only charged to the storm reserve project work orders when greater than the actual monthly average of internal and contract labor (or related) costs and fuel costs, respectively, charged to operation and maintenance expense for the same month in the three previous calendar years. The three-year average was based on calendar years 2019-2021. As permitted by FAC 25-6.0143, and as applicable, management adjusted the historical monthly internal and contract labor (or related) costs and fuel

Docket No. 20230020 Witness: Menendez Exhibit No. __(CAM-2) Page 6 of 8

costs charged to operation and maintenance expense from calculated monthly averages. Each adjustment was properly documented, including a detailed explanation of the nature and derivation of the adjustment.

3. Documentation and Internal Controls

Storm Cost Documentation

For purposes of this assertion, "appropriate documentation to support the accompanying Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs has been prepared" means the following:

- For types A-C in Note 2, a labor analysis workpaper, which summarized the payroll costs presented in the Summary, including the inputs used to calculate the actual labor costs charged to storm reserve project work orders (i.e., charge codes) that were greater than the operation and maintenance expense three-year average for the same month.
- ii. For type D in Note 2, an overhead allocations analysis workpaper, which summarized the overhead allocation costs presented in the Summary, including the inputs used to calculate the actual overhead allocations charged to storm reserve project work orders (i.e. charge codes) that were greater than the operation and maintenance expense three-year average for the same month.
- iii. For type E in Note 2, a journal transactions report of all employee expenses, which is used to summarize all employee expenses as presented in the Summary.
- iv. For type F in Note 2, reconciliation files by third party vendor or internal storm audit support, which included validation of time, equipment and expenses billed, along with associated contract rates, billing and point of origin location, distance to travel, assumed travel days, dates secured, date started travel, date arrived, date released, time released, released to whom and, if vendor travels home, the date arrived at home.
- v. For type G in Note 2, a journal transactions report of all materials and supplies issued from the inventory system, invoiced by the vendor, or processed in the expense reporting system.
- vi. For type H in Note 2, a fleet analysis workpaper, which summarized the fleet costs presented in the Summary, including the inputs used to calculate the actual fleet costs charged to storm reserve project work orders (i.e., charge codes) that were greater than the operation and maintenance expense three-year average for the same month.
- vii. For Type J in Note 2, costs are totaled and compared to what the insurance policy will reimburse above the deductible. For example, if insurable claim costs are \$2M, insurance will make a net payment of \$1M after applying the \$1M claim deductible.
- viii. For type K in Note 2, an electronic file with the calculation of interest using the monthly average 30day commercial paper rate.

Internal Controls

For purposes of this assertion, "internal controls over the Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs have been established and maintained" means the following:

Control objective

To ensure that storm restoration costs incurred and included in the Company's Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs are accurate and meet the criteria necessary for recovery under the 2019 Storm Cost Settlement Agreement.

Control activities

- For the analysis workpapers and journal transactions reports described in i., ii., iii., v. and vi. in Note
 an individual other than the preparer of the analysis reviewed the analysis and documented their approval of the analysis.
- 2) For each contractor cost vendor (type F in Note 2), described in iv. in Note 3, the Company verifies invoice rates for labor, equipment, and mileage to ensure they match vendor contracts.
- 3) For each third-party vendor invoice described in iv. and v. in Note 3, an individual other than the

Docket No. 20230020 Witness: Menendez Exhibit No. __(CAM-2) Page 7 of 8

preparer of the storm restoration costs approval documentation reviewed the invoice and supporting documentation.

- For carrying charges calculated based on the amount deferred as an asset, described in viii. in Note
 3, an individual other than the preparer of the calculation reviewed the calculation and
 documented their approval of the calculation each month.
- 5) For the Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs, the numerical schedules and accompanying notes have been reviewed and approved by the DEF Finance Manager for each respective business unit.
- 6) For capitalized storm costs, the materials used in the capital calculation are based on average DEF unit rates; these rates are reviewed and updated periodically in DEF's work management systems. This ensures consistency across DEF's projects. Labor costs in the capital calculation are based on average "blue sky" labor rates and hours. The capital calculation is reviewed by Finance management who is not the preparer.

Docket No. 20230020 Witness: Menendez Exhibit No. __(CAM-2) Page 8 of 8

Duke Energy Florida

Supplemental information to the Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs (unaudited – not part of the examination engagement)

As of July 31, 2023 for Costs Incurred for the period from September 24, 2022 through March 10, 2023

The supplemental information to the Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs is a rollforward of the incremental storm restoration costs recognized as of December 31, 2022 (the date of the Company's original filing with the FPSC) to July 31, 2023 for costs incurred for the period from September 24, 2022 through March 10, 2023 plus recoverable costs recognized for costs incurred subsequent to March 10, 2023 related to the third party examination of the Summary of Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs.

	I	ncremental Storm Restoration Costs	Set	ttled in Cash	Fu	Accrued for ture Payment or Imputed
Recognized as of December 31, 2022 (presented in Document No. 00418-2023)	\$	376,873,500	\$	254,551,095	\$	122,322,405
Adjustments Subsequent to						
Accrual/Payment Changes:						
Payment of Contractor Invoices		1,098,375		122,575,948		(121,477,573)
Employee Expenses/Labor Loaders/Allocations/Other		860,877		860,877		-
Accrual for Tri-City Substation Capital Project		821,433		-		821,433
Adjustments to Various Costs Categories		(46,716)		(46,716)		-
Imputed Storm Interest		4,669,608		-		4,669,608
		7,403,577		123,390,109		(115,986,532)
Management Adjustments:						
Remove Materials Allocations Charged to Working Stock		(2,183,595)		(2,183,595)		-
Reclass costs from Incremental to Non-Incremental		(1,090,514)		(1,090,514)		-
Reclass costs from Hurricane Nicole to Hurricane Ian		619,334		619,334		-
Correct 3-Year Analysis Formula		320,445		320,445		-
Remove Non-DEF Projects		(640,876)		(640,876)		-
		(2,975,206)		(2,975,206)		-
Less Capitalizable Costs		(13,714,654)		(12,390,855)		(1,323,799)
Recognized as of July 31, 2023 (Presented in Management's Assertion)		367,587,217		362,575,143		5,012,074
Third Party Examination of Huricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration Costs Summary		550,000		-		550,000
Recognized as of July 31. 2023 for Hurricane Ian Incremental Storm Restoration	\$	368.137.217	\$	362.575.143	\$	5.562.074
• • •	-	. ,		. ,		. ,

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: PETITION BY DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC, FOR LIMITED PROCEEDING FOR RECOVERY OF INCREMENTAL STORM RESTORATION COSTS RELATED TO HURRICANES ELSA, ETA, ISAIAS, IAN, NICOLE AND TROPICAL STORM FRED

FPSC DOCKET NO. 20230020-EI

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF SHELLY ROSS

SEPTEMBER 29, 2023

1 I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS.

Q. Please state your name and business address.

2

3

4

5

6

A. My name is Shelly Ross. My current business address is 4359 SE Maricamp Rd
 Ocala, Florida 34480.

Q. By whom are you employed and what are your responsibilities?

7 I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services, LLC, a Service Company A. 8 affiliate of Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("Duke Energy Florida," "DEF," or the 9 "Company") and a subsidiary of Duke Energy Corporation ("DE"). My current 10 position is Manager Finance II of Customer Delivery Florida. I oversee a group 11 that has responsibility for the budgeting and forecasting, O&M and capital 12 accounting for Distribution Operations among other responsibilities. I also 13 collaborate with other finance personnel with similar responsibilities for 14 Transmission Operations, Customer Operations and Fossil/Hydro Generation 15 Operations, and thus I am representing the finance and accounting organizations

2

1

that provide support to the functional groups of DEF that incur expenses during major storm events.

4 Q. Please summarize your educational background and professional experience. 5 A. I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration from the 6 University of North Carolina in 1990 and a Master of Accounting Degree from The 7 University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in 1991. I began my career at Ernst & 8 Young in their Tax Consulting and Compliance area, followed by a Tax Manager 9 role in for an Equipment Leasing Company. In 1997, I joined Duke Energy's Tax 10 Department in Raleigh, followed by a consolidation accounting role in the 11 nonregulated business, followed by a role in capital planning and then in 2007 12 joined the finance support group for Distribution Operations as Lead Financial 13 Analyst. I moved to Florida in 2012. I was promoted to Manager II of Customer 14 Delivery Finance in September of 2021. I was a licensed CPA in North Carolina 15 from 1993 until I went inactive in 2018.

16

17

18

II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY.

Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?

A. On January 23, 2023, DEF filed estimated storm costs in the instant docket
 associated with Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Isaias, Ian, Nicole, and Tropical Storm ("TS")
 Fred (collectively referred to herein as the "Storms"). The purpose of my testimony
 is to explain and support the actual incremental costs for these Storms and to discuss
 the methods used to comply with Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C., and the Storm Cost
 Settlement Agreement approved in Order No. PSC-2019-0232-AS-EI

1		("Agreement") to identify and remove non-incremental O&M and capitalized costs
2		from total storm restoration costs.
3		
4	Q.	Do you have any exhibits to your testimony?
5	А.	Yes, I am sponsoring the following exhibits to my testimony:
6		• Exhibit No (SR-1) – Storm Costs Recovery Total
7		• Exhibit No (SR-2) – Hurricane Nicole Cost Summary
8		• Exhibit No (SR-3) – Hurricane Ian Cost Summary
9		• Exhibit No (SR-4) – TS Fred Cost Summary
10		• Exhibit No (SR-5) – Hurricane Elsa Cost Summary
11		• Exhibit No (SR-6) – Hurricane Isaias Cost Summary
12		• Exhibit No (SR-7) – Hurricane Eta Cost Summary
13		• Exhibit No (SR-8) – Storm Cost Recovery Interest Calculation
14		These exhibits were prepared based on information kept in the normal course of
15		business in the books and records of the Company and are true and accurate to the
16		best of my knowledge.
17		
18	Q.	Please describe the net costs for which recovery is sought in this proceeding.
19	A.	DEF is seeking recovery of incremental costs incurred in responding to the named
20		Storms as defined under the Incremental Cost and Capitalization Approach
21		("ICCA") methodology required under Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C. The Company has
22		prudently incurred \$431.4 million (retail) of incremental restoration costs for
23		Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Ian, Isaias and Nicole and TS Fred as shown in Exhibit No.
24		(SR-1). These costs exclude all non-incremental costs and capital costs, as

defined under the ICCA methodology and adopted under the Agreement. Recovery of the total storm restoration cost will also allow DEF to replenish the storm reserve (\$131.8 million) which was completely depleted by the Storms, and also includes interest expense (\$4.7 million) as shown in Exhibit No. __ (SR-8) calculated at the commercial paper rate from April 2023 to March 2024.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Please explain how storm-related costs are tracked and accounted for during and after each storm, and the process that the Company uses to verify that costs assigned to the Storms were in fact related to the Storms and were incremental.
- 11 A. When a potential major storm event is approaching its service territory, DEF creates 12 separate project codes for each function (Transmission, Distribution, Generation, 13 and Customer Service) to process and aggregate the total amount of storm 14 restoration costs incurred for financial reporting and regulatory recovery purposes. 15 DEF uses these codes to account for all costs directly related to storm restoration, 16 including costs that will not be recoverable from DEF's storm reserve, based on the 17 ICCA methodology and as further clarified in the Agreement. All storm restoration 18 costs charged to these storm projects are initially recorded in FERC Account 186, 19 Miscellaneous Deferred Debits except for Transmission capital projects. All costs 20 charged to FERC Account 186 are subsequently reviewed, and based on the outcome of that review, are cleared and charged to either the storm reserve (FERC 21 22 Account 228.1), normal O&M expense or capital. I will further discuss the 23 Company's process to review incurred costs and ensure only allowable costs as

2

3

4

5

1

defined in the ICCA methodology and Agreement are included for recovery later in my testimony.

Q. Please further explain the process for accumulating accounting data related to storm costs.

6 A. For Distribution, major storm costs are initially accumulated in FERC Account 186, 7 including charges that are considered non-incremental or capital. Using the ICCA 8 methodology and Agreement, non-incremental amounts are identified and 9 subsequently credited from FERC Account 186 and debited to base rate O&M 10 expense. Capital costs are also identified and subsequently credited from FERC 11 Account 186 and debited to FERC Account 107, Construction Work in Progress. 12 After non-incremental and capital costs are removed from FERC Account 186, the 13 remaining balance is then credited, and FERC Account 228.1 is debited to bring 14 FERC Account 186 to zero leaving only allowable costs for recovery in Account 15 228.1. Transmission follows the same process except that any capital work that is 16 done during the major storm is charged directly to specific projects that are mapped 17 to FERC Account 107.

- 18
- 19
 20
 21
 22
 23

24

Q. Please explain the recoverable incremental costs incurred by DEF for Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Ian, Isaias, Nicole and TS Fred.

1 A. Exhibit No. (SR-1) summarizes total recoverable storm costs for all storms:

- Hurricane Eta (2020): \$9.2 million
 - Hurricane Isaias (2020): \$0.3 million
 - Hurricane Elsa (2021): \$14.6 million

1	• TS Fred (2021): \$0.2 million
2	• Hurricane Ian (2022): \$359.6 million
3	• Hurricane Nicole (2022): \$42.9 million
4	Exhibit No(SR-2) through Exhibit No(SR-7) break out recoverable storm
5	costs by function for each storm.
6	
7	As shown on Exhibit No(SR-2) through Exhibit No(SR-7), DEF's incurred
8	costs for the Storms are broken into the categories below, and, when netted with
9	non-incremental and capitalizable costs, are consistent with the ICCA methodology
10	and the Agreement. Although my testimony focuses on Hurricane Ian, cost
11	accumulation and review processes were similar for the other storms.
12	
13	1. Regular Payroll – Amounts in this category represent regular labor payroll
14	costs incurred by DEF employees or employees of affiliate entities of DEF
15	for time spent related to storm restoration activities. For Transmission and
16	Distribution ("T&D"), the difference between the actual (September and
17	October 2022) and 3-year historical average (September and October 2019-
18	2021) O&M base payroll for the month(s) of the activities directly related to
19	the storm in the absence of a storm was excluded from recoverable storm
20	costs as the non-incremental amount.
21	
22	2. Overtime Payroll – Amounts in this category represent overtime labor costs
23	incurred by DEF employees or employees of affiliate entities of DEF for time

1 spent related to storm restoration activities. For T&D, the difference 2 between the actual (September and October 2022) and the 3-year historical average (September and October 2019-2021) O&M overtime for the 3 month(s) of the activities directly related to the storm in the absence of a 4 5 storm was excluded from recoverable storm costs as the non-incremental 6 amount. 7 8 3. Labor Burdens/Incentives - Amounts in this category include employee 9 bonuses and costs such as medical, payroll tax and other non-incentive benefits 10 incurred by DEF employees or affiliate entities of DEF for time spent related to storm restoration activities. For T&D, the difference between the actual 11 12 (September and October 2022) and the three-year historical average (September 13 and October of 2019-2021) O&M labor burdens/incentives for the months(s) of 14 the activities directly related to the storm in the absence of a storm was excluded 15 from recoverable storm costs as the non-incremental amount. 16 17 Bonuses paid to employees for their extraordinary efforts and dedication to 18 DEF's customers were removed from this Storm cost recovery request. Note, 19 while the Company believes the bonuses paid to employees are properly 20 recoverable, DEF is not seeking recovery of those costs. 21 22 4. Overhead Allocations - Amounts in this category include costs, such as 23 employee labor from support organizations, related to employees of DEF or 24 employees of affiliate entities of DEF that are allocated to the storm project based on payroll and overtime charges. For T&D, the difference between the actual (September and October 2022) and the three-year historical average (September and October 2019-2021) overhead allocations for the month(s) of the activities directly related to the storm in the absence of a storm was excluded from recoverable storm costs as the non-incremental amount.

- Employee Expenses Amounts in this category include costs of lodging for employee and contractor crews and expenses such as meals and mileage reimbursement for employees using their personal vehicles during storm restoration.
- 6. Contractor Costs Amounts in this category include time and equipment costs incurred by third party contractors hired for storm restoration activities. For T&D, the difference between the actual (September and October 2022) and the three-year historical average (September and October 2019-2021) contractor costs for the month(s) of the activities directly related to the storm in the absence of a storm was excluded from recoverable storm costs as the non-incremental amount.
- 7. Materials and Supplies Amounts in this category include materials and supplies used to repair and restore service and facilities to pre-storm condition and exclude the portion of materials and supplies used in restoration activities that are included in capitalized cost. Fuel costs associated with fueling services

1		utilized during restoration to re-fuel contractor vehicles are also included as part
2		of materials and supplies costs.
3		
4		8. Internal Fleet Costs – Amounts in this category include fuel and maintenance
5		costs for DEF fleet vehicles. For T&D, the difference between the actual
6		(September and October 2022) and the three-year historical average (September
7		and October 2019-2021) variable fleet costs for the month(s) of the activities
8		directly related to the storm in the absence of a storm was excluded from
9		recoverable storm costs as the non-incremental amount.
10		
11		9. Uncollectible Account Expenses – DEF is not seeking recovery of uncollectible
12		account expenses.
13		
14		10. Other Expenses – Amounts in this category include other minor amounts of
15		storm-related expenses not included in one of the categories above.
16		
17		The Company has support for all storm costs on Exhibit No(SR-1) available
18		for Commission review.
19		
20	Q.	Is the Company including for recovery in this filing any costs prohibited from
21		recovery under the ICCA methodology and the Agreement?
22	А.	No. DEF is not including any costs prohibited from recovery under the ICCA
23		methodology (that is, the types of costs identified in paragraph (1)(f) of the Rule)
24		or the Agreement. In the preceding section of my testimony, I discussed allowable

1		costs as well as amounts DEF excluded from this recovery request based on DEF's
2		determination that certain of the costs were non-incremental or capitalizable.
3		
4	Q.	Please explain the amounts capitalized to property, plant and equipment by
5		the Company.
6	А.	The ICCA methodology states, " capital expenditures for the removal, retirement
7		and replacement of damaged facilities charged to cover storm-related damages
8		must exclude the normal cost for the removal, retirement and replacement of those
9		facilities in the absence of a storm." Rule 25-6.0143(1)(d), F.A.C.
10		
11		DEF has a process to ensure all units of property ("UOP") installed during storm
12		restoration are capitalized at reasonable material and labor amounts (i.e., resulting
13		in capital amounts at the normal cost for the removal, retirement, and replacement
14		of those facilities), to ensure a storm cost recovery request that is incremental under
15		the ICCA methodology.
16		
17		For Transmission, specific projects were issued for capital work allowing real-time
18		tracking of those projects for material and equipment costs. As capital work was
19		performed, associated labor costs were moved to the capital projects per the ICCA
20		methodology.
21		
22		For Distribution, the Company's tracking of materials allows for accounting of all
23		units of property used during storm restoration resulting in the proper capitalization
24		of those units of property. DEF's Supply Chain organization issues materials 10

1 directly to the storm project when shipped from the distribution center to the various 2 base camps, and Supply Chain personnel at Operating Centers issue materials used 3 during the storm to the storm project. Once the restoration effort was completed, 4 all unused materials from the base camps were picked up and brought back to the 5 distribution center where they were placed in a specific area for return processing. 6 All returned materials were segregated and tagged to be identified as materials 7 initially charged to the storm restoration. The materials were then returned by 8 applying the same accounting that was used during the restoration effort. As a 9 result, only the actual units installed during storm restoration were capitalized. 10 11 Once the number of UOPs were confirmed, the Company's Finance organization 12 determined a normal, reasonable total dollar amount to capitalize those UOPs. 13 14 Materials Costs – the number of each UOP was identified and grouped (e.g., 15 poles, transformers, wire, etc.). The material costs associated with the UOP and 16 the number of UOP then became the basis of the calculation to determine the 17 estimated total capital amount. A material burden was applied to all materials 18 which represents the cost associated with warehousing, handling, and shipping, 19 and was reflected in the capital calculation. Working stock, which is generally 20 accounted for as a burden of chargeable materials, was directly charged to the 21 storm project. 22 Contract Labor - For each grouping of UOP, DEF's Resource Optimization 23 group estimated the average number of hours to install under normal conditions 24 for that type of UOP and number of line resources needed. The average number

1		of hours was multiplied by the number of resources to derive the total hours to
2		install that UOP. Then a simple average was calculated of internal labor and
3		native contractor rates and that rate was multiplied by the number of hours for
4		each UOP to determine the estimated capital labor to install.
5		• Other costs – As part of the normal amount of capital cost for a UOP, an
6		overhead allocation rate was applied based on the total number of estimated
7		hours to install the UOP. This overhead rate is consistent with the rate used in
8		DEF's work management system – Maximo.
9		
10		For each storm, the amount of storm costs capitalized is outlined in Exhibit No.
11		(SR-2) through Exhibit No(SR-7).
12		
13	Q.	In addition to T&D, please describe the other functional areas that incurred
13 14	Q.	In addition to T&D, please describe the other functional areas that incurred costs related to the storms.
13 14 15	Q. A.	In addition to T&D, please describe the other functional areas that incurred costs related to the storms. Customer Service incurred incremental, non-budgeted costs for some of the same
13 14 15 16	Q. A.	 In addition to T&D, please describe the other functional areas that incurred costs related to the storms. Customer Service incurred incremental, non-budgeted costs for some of the same categories of costs as T&D. Customer Service used a non-incremental cost
 13 14 15 16 17 	Q. A.	 In addition to T&D, please describe the other functional areas that incurred costs related to the storms. Customer Service incurred incremental, non-budgeted costs for some of the same categories of costs as T&D. Customer Service used a non-incremental cost approach consistent with the ICCA methodology and Agreement. Generation
 13 14 15 16 17 18 	Q. A.	 In addition to T&D, please describe the other functional areas that incurred costs related to the storms. Customer Service incurred incremental, non-budgeted costs for some of the same categories of costs as T&D. Customer Service used a non-incremental cost approach consistent with the ICCA methodology and Agreement. Generation incurred incremental insurance deductible amounts that were included in
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	Q.	 In addition to T&D, please describe the other functional areas that incurred costs related to the storms. Customer Service incurred incremental, non-budgeted costs for some of the same categories of costs as T&D. Customer Service used a non-incremental cost approach consistent with the ICCA methodology and Agreement. Generation incurred incremental insurance deductible amounts that were included in recoverable storm costs as well.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Q.	In addition to T&D, please describe the other functional areas that incurred costs related to the storms. Customer Service incurred incremental, non-budgeted costs for some of the same categories of costs as T&D. Customer Service used a non-incremental cost approach consistent with the ICCA methodology and Agreement. Generation incurred incremental insurance deductible amounts that were included in recoverable storm costs as well.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Q. A. Q.	In addition to T&D, please describe the other functional areas that incurred costs related to the storms. Customer Service incurred incremental, non-budgeted costs for some of the same categories of costs as T&D. Customer Service used a non-incremental cost approach consistent with the ICCA methodology and Agreement. Generation incurred incremental insurance deductible amounts that were included in recoverable storm costs as well.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Q. A. Q.	In addition to T&D, please describe the other functional areas that incurred costs related to the storms. Customer Service incurred incremental, non-budgeted costs for some of the same categories of costs as T&D. Customer Service used a non-incremental cost approach consistent with the ICCA methodology and Agreement. Generation incurred incremental insurance deductible amounts that were included in recoverable storm costs as well. Please explain why there could be further adjustments to the costs for which DEF is seeking recovery in this filing.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Q. A. Q.	In addition to T&D, please describe the other functional areas that incurred costs related to the storms. Customer Service incurred incremental, non-budgeted costs for some of the same categories of costs as T&D. Customer Service used a non-incremental cost approach consistent with the ICCA methodology and Agreement. Generation incurred incremental insurance deductible amounts that were included in recoverable storm costs as well. Please explain why there could be further adjustments to the costs for which DEF is seeking recovery in this filing. As of the date of this filing, the Company has not yet finalized payment of all

1		the right to file supplemental schedules with any necessary adjustments with the
2		Commission as appropriate.
3		
4	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
5	А.	Yes.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
		13

Line No.	Description	Reference	Incremental Storm Cost	Storm Reserve Balance	
1	Reserve Balance - Retail			\$	131,848
2	Storm Costs (2020)				
3	Eta	SR-7 Line 30	-20,160		
4	Isaias	SR-6 Line 30	-259		
5	Sub-Total		-20,419		
6	Plus: Over-Recovery from Hurricane Dorian		3,397		
7	Plus: Amount Recovered through Storm Surcharge August 2021-December 2021		7,579		
8	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs 2020 - Retail (a)		10,976		122,405
9	Storm Costs (2021)				
10	Elsa	SR-5 Line 30	-14,609		
11	Fred	SR-4 Line 30	-155		
12	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs 2021 - Retail	Line 10 + Line 11	-14,764		107,641
13	Storm Costs (2022)				
14	lan	SR-3 Line 30	-359,576		
15	Nicole	SR-2 Line 30	-42,928		
16	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs 2022 - Retail		-402,504		(294,863)
17	Amount Required to Restore Storm Reserve to \$131.8M (b)		426,711		131,848
	,		- 1		- ,
18	Interest on Unamortized Reserve Deficiency Balance	SR-8 Line 7	-4,670		
19	Total Storm Recovery Amount - Retail		-	\$	431,381

Notes:

 (a) An interim storm restoration recovery charge for Hurricanes Eta & Isaias was approved to begin August 2021 in Order PSC-2021-0271-PCO-EI. This Order also approved Eta & Isaias costs to be offset by the over-recovery of storm restoration costs collected for Hurricane Dorian and Tropical Storm Nestor. The interim storm restoration recovery charge for Eta & Isaias was suspended at the end of 2021, and the uncollected balance moved to the Storm Reserve per the Rate Mitigation Agreement approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0425-FOF-EI.

(b) Amount of Storm Reserve approved per 2021 Settlement Order PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI.

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Storm Cost Recovery Cost Summary - Hurricane Nicole (\$000's)

			Estimated Storm Costs By Function								
											Storm
Line					Generation	Generation	Generation		Customer		Reserve
No.	Description		Transmission	Distribution	Base	Intermediate	Peaking	Solar	Service	Total	Balance
1	Pre-Storm Reserve Balance									0	(\$251,935)
2	Storm Related Restoration Costs - Nicole										
2	Regular Payroll		131	1,238	-	-	-	-	1	1.370	
4	Overtime Payroll		317	3.048	-	-	-	-	13	3.378	
5	Labor Burdens/Incentives		14	619	-	-	-	-	3	636	
6	Overhead Allocations		180	650	-	-	-	-	5	835	
7	Employee Expenses		14	3,436	-	-	-	-	4	3,454	
8	Contractor Costs		1,698	33,636	-	-	-	-	59	35,394	
9	Materials & Supplies		184	3,061	-	-	-	-	0	3,246	
10	Internal Fleet Costs		25	141	-	-	-	-	-	166	
11	Uncollectible Account Expenses		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12	Other		33	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	
13				-							
14	Subtotal - Storm Related Restoration Costs	Lines 3-13	2,596	45,829	-	-	-	-	86	48,511	
15	Less: Estimated Non-Incremental Costs - Nicole										
10	Regular Pavroll		_	(183)	_	_	_	_	(1)	(184)	
10	Overtime Payroll		_	(100)	_	_	_	_	(1)	(104)	
18	Labor Burdens/Incentives		(4)	(671)	_	_	_	-	(10)	(678)	
10	Overhead Allocations		(30)	(271)	_	<u>-</u>	-	-	(5)	(306)	
20	Employee Expenses		(0)	(2,7) (4)	_	_	-	-	- (0)	(000)	
20	Contractor Costs		(76)	(1)	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	(77)	
22	Materials & Supplies		(2)	(10)	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	(13)	
23	Internal Fleet Costs		(=)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
24	Uncollectible Account Expenses		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
25	Other		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
26	Subtotal - Estimated Non-Incremental Costs	Lines 16-25	(112)	(1,140)	-	-	-	-	(23)	(1,275)	
27	Less: Capitalizable Costs		(1,361)	(2,632)	-	-	-	-	-	(3,993)	
28	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Nicole - System	Lines (14 + 26 + 27)	1.123	42.057	-	-	-		63	43.243	
	······································			,							
29	Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI)		71.994%	100.000%	92.865%	88.321%	90.678%	92.865%	100%		
30	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Nicole - Retail	Lines (28 x 29)	\$809	\$42.057	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$63	42.928	\$42.928
		· · · · ·	<u> </u>			• -	•			, -	. , -
31	Post-Storm Reserve Balance										(\$294,863)

Docket No. 20230020 Exhibit SR-2 Page 1 of 1
Duke Energy Florida, LLC Storm Cost Recovery Cost Summary - Hurricane Ian (\$000's)

		Estimated Storm Costs By Function									
				0		0		0	01		Storm
Line	Description	Tronomicsion	Distribution	Generation	Generation	Generation	Color	Customer	Other	Total	Reserve
NO.	Description Description	Transmission	Distribution	Base	Intermediate	Peaking	Solar	Service	(a)	Iotal	Balance
I										U	\$107,041
2	Storm Related Restoration Costs - Ian										
3	Regular Payroll	1,488	3.065	-	-	-	-	122	-	4,674	
4	Overtime Payroll	2,276	7,321	-	-	-	-	368	-	9,965	
5	Labor Burdens/Incentives	1,636	5,215	-	-	-	-	247	-	7,099	
6	Overhead Allocations	744	547	-	-	-	-	144	-	1,434	
7	Employee Expenses	388	16,090	-	-	-	-	51	-	16,529	
8	Contractor Costs	11,697	307,418	-	-	-	-	400	-	319,516	
9	Materials & Supplies	2,408	16,766	-	-	-	-	29	-	19,203	
10	Internal Fleet Costs	256	231	-	-	-	-	-	-	487	
11	Uncollectible Account Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12	Other	822	0	-	-	-	-	-	550	1,372	
13	Insurance Deductible	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	-	-	1,000	
14	Subtotal - Storm Related Restoration Costs Lines 3-13	21,715	356,653	-	-	-	1,000	1,361	550	381,279	
15	Less: Estimated Non-Incremental Costs - Ian										
10	Regular Payroll	(101)	(139)	-	-	_	_	(122)	-	(362)	
10	Overtime Payroll	(101)	(100)	_	_	_	-	(122)	-	(002)	
18	Labor Burdens/Incentives	(319)	(1 555)	-	-	-	-	(149)	-	(2 023)	
10	Overhead Allocations	(96)	(1,000)	-	-	-	-	(143)	-	(239)	
20	Employee Expenses	(30)	(28)	-	-	-	-	(1++)	-	(200)	
20	Contractor Costs	(590)	(519)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1 109)	
22	Materials & Supplies	(107)	(59)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(166)	
22	Internal Elect Costs	-	(36)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(36)	
20	Uncollectible Account Expenses	_	(00)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(00)	
25	Other	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
26	Subtotal - Estimated Non-Incremental Costs Lines 16-25	(1,256)	(2,336)	•	-	-	-	(505)		(4,097)	
		(0.040)	(0.000)								
27	Less: Capitalizable Costs	(6,818)	(6,896)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(13,715)	
28	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Ian - SystemLines (14 + 26 + 27)	13,641	347,421	•	•	•	1,000	856	550	363,468	
29	Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI)	71.994%	100.000%	92.865%	88.321%	90.678%	92.865%	100%	100%		
30	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Ian - Retail Lines (28 x 29)	\$9.821	\$347.421	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$929	\$856	\$550	359.576	\$359.576
		+-,				<i></i>	֥				····,
31	Post-Storm Reserve Balance										(\$251,935)

Docket No. 20230020 Exhibit SR-3 Page 1 of 1

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Storm Cost Recovery Cost Summary - Tropical Storm Fred (\$000's)

				E						
Line No.	e . Description		Transmission	Distribution	Generation Base	Generation Intermediate	Generation Peaking	Customer Service	Total	Storm Reserve Balance
1	Pre-Storm Reserve Balance								0	\$107,796
2 3	Storm Related Restoration Costs - Fred Regular Payroll		18	149	-	-	-	1	168	
4	Overtime Payroll		2	240	-	-	-	17	259	
5	Labor Burgens/Incentives		2	174	-	-	-	13	189	
6 7	Employee Expenses		2	1	-	-	-	1	4	
0	Contractor Costs		26	20	-	-	-	U	20	
0	Materials & Sunnlies		20	35	_			-	35	
10	Internal Fleet Costs		4	20	-	_	_	-	24	
11	Uncollectible Account Expenses		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12	Other		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13										
14	Subtotal - Storm Related Restoration Costs	Lines 3-13	53	801	-	-	-	33	887	
15	Less: Estimated Non-Incremental Costs - Fred									
16	Regular Payroll		(18)	(142)	-	-	-	(1)	(161)	
17	Overtime Payroll		(0)	(240)	-	-	-	(17)	(257)	
18	Labor Burdens/Incentives		(0)	(174)	-	-	-	(13)	(187)	
19	Overhead Allocations		(2)	-	-	-	-	(1)	(3)	
20	Employee Expenses		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
21	Contractor Costs		-	(62)	-	-	-	-	(62)	
22	Materials & Supplies		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	Internal Fleet Costs		-	(20)	-	-	-	-	(20)	
24			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
25	Other Subtotal Estimated Non Ingromental Casta	Linca 16 25	- (20)	-	-	-	-	- (22)	-	
20	Subtotal - Estimated Non-incremental Costs	Lines 10-25	(20)	(037)	-	-	-	(33)	(690)	
27	Less: Capitalizable Costs		-	(31)	-	-	-	-	(31)	
28	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Fred - System	Lines (14 + 26 + 27)	33	133	-	-	-	0	165	
29	Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU)		70.203%	99.561%	92.885%	72.703%	95.924%	100%		
30	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Fred - Retail	Lines (28 x 29)	\$23	\$132	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$155	\$155
21	Post-Storm Reserve Balance									\$107 <i>61</i> 1
51										φ107,041

Docket No. 20230020 Exhibit SR-4 Page 1 of 1

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Storm Cost Recovery Cost Summary - Hurricane Elsa (\$000's)

				E	Estimated Storm	Costs By Function	ı			
										Storm
Line					Generation	Generation	Generation	Customer		Reserve
No.	Description		Transmission	Distribution	Base	Intermediate	Peaking	Service	Total	Balance
1	Pre-Storm Reserve Balance		· · · ·				• • •		0	\$122,405
2	Storm Related Restoration Costs - Elsa									
3	Regular Payroll		183	308	-	-	-	2	493	
4	Overtime Payroll		176	620	-	-	-	12	808	
5	Labor Burdens/Incentives		189	503	-	-	-	7	700	
6	Overhead Allocations		185	28	-	-	-	2	215	
7	Employee Expenses		15	821	-	-	-	-	836	
8	Contractor Costs		1,489	10,542	-	-	-	-	12,031	
9	Materials & Supplies		9	994	-	-	-	-	1,003	
10	Internal Fleet Costs		30	26	-	-	-	-	56	
11	Uncollectible Account Expenses		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12	Other		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13								-		
14	Subtotal - Storm Related Restoration Costs	Lines 3-13	2,277	13,842	-	-	-	23	16,142	
15	Less: Estimated Non-Incremental Costs - Elsa									
16	Regular Payroll		-	-	-	-	-	(2)	(2)	
17	Overtime Payroll		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
18	Labor Burdens/Incentives		(27)	(125)	-	-	-	(7)	(159)	
19	Overhead Allocations		(183)	(11)	-	-	-	(2)	(197)	
20	Employee Expenses		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
21	Contractor Costs		-	(324)	-	-	-	-	(324)	
22	Materials & Supplies		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	Internal Fleet Costs		-	(6)	-	-	-	-	(6)	
24	Uncollectible Account Expenses		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
25	Other		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
26	Subtotal - Estimated Non-Incremental Costs	Lines 16-25	(211)	(467)	-	-	-	(11)	(689)	
27	Less: Capitalizable Costs		-	(171)	-	-	-	-	(171)	
28	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Elsa - System	Lines (14 + 26 + 27)	2,066	13,204	•	-	•	12	15,282	
29	Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU)		70.203%	99.561%	92.885%	72.703%	95.924%	100%		
		Lines (00 - 00)								
30	I otal Recoverable Restoration Costs - Elsa - Retail	Lines (28 x 29)	\$1,451	\$13,146	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12	\$14,609	\$14,609
31	Post-Storm Reserve Balance								-	\$107,796

Docket No. 20230020 Exhibit SR-5 Page 1 of 1

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Storm Cost Recovery Cost Summary - Hurricane Isaias (\$000's)

No.DescriptionDescriptionDescriptionDescriptionCurrent on the Reserve and the Re				E	Estimated Storm	Costs By Function	1			
Unit Description Description Generation Generation Generation Customer Total Reserve Balance 1 Pre-Storm Reserve Balance Intermediate Intermediate Intermediate Intermediate Service Total Service Service Total Service Servi										Storm
No. Description Transmission Distribution Base Intermediate Service Status 1 Pre-Store Seturation 10 66 - - - 512.654 3 Regular Payrol 10 66 - - - 66 512.654 4 Outtine Payrol 23 200 - - - 66 512.654 5 Labor Rudershneets/et/set 23 200 - - - 10 66 6 Contract Oces 13 2 - - 10 66 6 Contract Oces 11 15 - - 10 <	Line				Generation	Generation	Generation	Customer		Reserve
Pre-Storm Reserve Balance Markania Ontotal Ontotal Ontotal Status Status<	No.	Description	Transmission	Distribution	Base	Intermediate	Peaking	Service	Total	Balance
Storn Related Restoration Costs - Isaisa Regular Payroll Ordering Payroll Ordering Allocations Contraction Costs - Isaisa Contraction Costs - Isaisa Contraction Costs Contraction Costs Lines 3:13 Contraction Costs Lines 3:13 Contraction Costs Lines 3:13 Contraction Costs Lines 1:13 Contraction Costs Lines 1:14 Contraction Costs Lines 1:12 Contraction Costs Lines 1:12 Contraction Costs Lines 1:12 Lines 1:12 Lines 1:12 Lines 1:12 Lines 1:12 Contraction Costs - Isais - System Lines 1:12 Lines 1:12 Contraction Resoration Costs - Isais - System Lines 1:12 Lines 1:12 Contraction Resoration Costs - Isais - System Lines 1:12 Lines 1	1	Pre-Storm Reserve Balance					. connig			\$122.664
2 Som Related Restoration Costs - kaiss 0 56 - - - 66 4 0 227 - - - 527 2 Dowlme Payroll 40 327 - - 327 2 Overhees Allocations 1 2 - - 327 3 Dowlmes Allocations 1 12 - - 327 5 Dowlmes Allocations 226 74 - - 301 3 Encloyee Expansa 12 13 - - 301 1 Interner Parel 12 13 - - - 301 10 Interner Parel 12 13 - </td <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>¥,••</td>	·									¥,••
3 Regular Payroll 10 66 - - - 66 4 Overline Payroll 40 327 - - - 337 5 Labor Burdens/Incentrives 23 200 - - - 337 7 Employve Expenses 1 15 - - - 337 6 Contraction Costs 226 74 - - - 337 10 Indicate Account Expenses - - - 337 - - - 337 10 Uncollectible Account Expenses -<	2	Storm Related Restoration Costs - Isaias								
4 0 327 - - - 367 1 100 327 - - - 223 6 0 327 - - - 23 6 0 223 200 - - - 367 7 200 - - - 301 37 301 7 10 Internel Face Costs 226 74 - - - 301 10 Internel Face Costs 226 74 - - - 301 11 Uncollegable Account Expenses - - - - 301 11 Uncollegable Account Expenses -	3	Regular Pavroll	10	56	-	-	-	-	66	
6 Labor Burdensincentwes 23 200 - - - 223 0 Wether Allocations 1 12 - - - 300 7 Engloyne Expresses - - - - - - - 300 1 15 - - - - - - 300 1 Uncollectible Account Express - - - - - - 300 1 Uncollectible Account Express -	4	Overtime Payroll	40	327	-	-	-	-	367	
6 Overhead Allocations 1 1 2 - - - 1 3 2 Engloyee Expanses 1 15 - - - 3 6 0 Materials & Supplies - - - - 3 7 - - - 30 1 Internal Field Casts - - - - - - 30 1 Uncollective Account Expanses - <t< td=""><td>5</td><td>Labor Burdens/Incentives</td><td>23</td><td>200</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>223</td><td></td></t<>	5	Labor Burdens/Incentives	23	200	-	-	-	-	223	
7 Engloyee Expenses 1 15 - - - 16 8 Contractor Casts 26 74 - - - 30 9 Meterials & Supplies - 37 - - - 30 1 Instant Flexi Costs - - - - - 30 0 Other - - - - - - - - - 30 1 Subtical - Storm Related Restoration Costs Lines 3-13 312 730 -	6	Overhead Allocations	1	2	-	-	-	-	3	
8 Contractor Costs 226 74 - - - 300 9 Materials Supplies - - - - 301 11 Uncollectible Account Expenses 12 18 - - - 301 2 Other - - - - - - - - 30 -	7	Employee Expenses	1	15	-	-	-	-	16	
9 Materials & Supplies	8	Contractor Costs	226	74	-	-	-	-	300	
10 Internal Fleet Costs 12 18 - - - 30 11 Uncollectible Account Expenses - <td>9</td> <td>Materials & Supplies</td> <td>-</td> <td>37</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>37</td> <td></td>	9	Materials & Supplies	-	37	-	-	-	-	37	
11 Uncollectible Account Expenses - - - - - - - - - - - 1 12 Other 3 312 730 -<	10	Internal Fleet Costs	12	18	-	-	-	-	30	
12 Other	11	Uncollectible Account Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13 14 Subtolal - Storm Related Restoration Costs Lines 3-13 312 7.30 - - - 1,042 15 Less: Estimated Non-Incremental Costs - Isaias -<	12	Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14 Subtotal - Storm Related Restoration Costs Lines 3-13 312 730 - - 1,042 15 Less: Estimated Non-Incremental Costs - Isaias Regular Payroll (10) (49) - - - (59) 16 Regular Payroll (31) (323) - - - (126) 17 Overhead Allocations (33) (123) - - - (126) 19 Dordhead Allocations (11) (2) - - - (126) 19 Dordhead Allocations (11) (2) - - - (126) 10 Employee Expenses -	13									
15 Less: Estimated Non-Incremental Costs - Isaias Regular Payroll - - - - (59) 16 Regular Payroll (10) (49) - - - (59) 17 Overfame Payroll (3) (123) - - - (36) 18 Labor Burdens/Incentives (3) (123) - - - (36) 19 Overfaed Allocations (19) (8) - - - (202) 20 Employee Expenses (16) - - - - - - (202) 21 Internal Fleet Costs -	14	Subtotal - Storm Related Restoration Costs Lines 3-13	312	730	•	-	•	-	1,042	
10 Class - Structure Interference Code - Isolits (10) (49) - - - (59) 17 Overtine Payroll (31) (233) - - - (354) 18 Labor Burdens/Incentives (31) (233) - - - (354) 19 Overtine Payroll (3) (123) - - - (33) 19 Overtine Allocations (11) (2) - - - (3) 20 Employee Expenses -	15	Less: Estimated Non-Incremental Costs - Isaias								
10 Contractor Costs (10) (123) - - - (126) 13 (123) - - - - (126) 14 Labor Burdens/Incentives (3) (123) - - - (126) 14 Labor Burdens/Incentives (3) (123) - - - (126) 15 Overthead Allocations (11) (2) - - - (126) 16 Contractor Costs (194) (6) - - - (202) 20 Internal Fleet Costs - <td>10</td> <td>Regular Payroll</td> <td>(10)</td> <td>(40)</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>(59)</td> <td></td>	10	Regular Payroll	(10)	(40)	_	_	_	_	(59)	
10 Overhead Allocations (1) (22) - - - (12) 19 Overhead Allocations (1) (2) - - - (12) 10 Overhead Allocations (1) (2) - - - (12) 10 Overhead Allocations (1) (2) - - - (13) 20 Employee Expenses (1) (2) - - - (13) 11 Contractor Costs (1) (2) - - - (14) 21 Contractor Costs (19) (10) - - - (16) 22 Materials & Supplies - - (16) - - - - 23 Internal Fleet Costs -	10	Overtime Pavroll	(10)	(323)					(354)	
10 Lass contraction (1) 1	18	Labor Burdens/Incentives	(31)	(123)					(126)	
19 5 - 0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	10	Overhead Allocations	(3)	(123)					(120)	
20 Encretor Costs 1	20	Employee Expenses	(1)	(2)					(3)	
21 Oddarials & Supplies 1000 - - - 1000 22 Materials & Supplies - - - - - - 23 Internal Fleet Costs - - - - - - - - - 24 Uncollectible Account Expenses -	20	Contractor Costs	(194)	- (8)		_		-	(202)	
22 Internal Fleet Costs -	21	Materials & Supplies	(134)	(0)	_	_	_	_	(202)	
23 Michael account Expenses 4 Uncollectible Account Expenses 5 Other 24 Uncollectible Account Expenses 25 Other 26 Subtotal - Estimated Non-Incremental Costs 27 Less: Capitalizable Costs 28 Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - System 29 Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU) 70 Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - Retail 29 Lines (28 x 29) 251 \$208 208 \$0 209 \$0 201 Fold Reserve Balance	22	Internal Elect Costs		(16)					- (16)	
24 Other 1 <td>23</td> <td>Incollectible Account Expenses</td> <td></td> <td>(10)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(10)</td> <td></td>	23	Incollectible Account Expenses		(10)					(10)	
20 Subtrail Estimated Non-Incremental Costs Lines 16-25 (239) (521) - - - (760) 27 Less: Capitalizable Costs - - - - - - (760) 28 Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - System Lines (14 + 26 + 27) 73 209 - - - 282 29 Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU) 70.203% 99.561% 92.885% 72.703% 95.924% 100% - 30 Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - Retail Lines (28 x 29) \$51 \$208 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$259 \$259 31<	24	Other								
20 Custofic Lines (0.25) (1021) 2 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1 <th1<< td=""><td>25</td><td>Subtotal - Estimated Non-Incremental Costs</td><td>(230)</td><td>(521)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>(760)</td><td></td></th1<<></th1<>	25	Subtotal - Estimated Non-Incremental Costs	(230)	(521)				-	(760)	
27Less: Capitalizable Costs28Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - SystemLines (14 + 26 + 27)7320928229Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU)70.203%99.561%92.885%72.703%95.924%100%.30Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - RetailLines (28 × 29)\$51\$208\$0\$0\$0\$0\$25931Post-Storm Reserve Balance	20		(200)	(021)					(100)	
28 Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - System Lines (14 + 26 + 27) 73 209 - - - 28 29 Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU) 70.203% 99.561% 92.885% 72.703% 95.924% 100% 30 Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - Retail Lines (28 x 29) \$51 \$208 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$259 31 Post-Storm Reserve Balance \$122.405 \$122.405 \$122.405 \$122.405	27	Less: Capitalizable Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
28 Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - System Lines (14 + 26 + 27) 73 209 - - - 282 29 Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU) 70.203% 99.561% 92.885% 72.703% 95.924% 100% \$259 30 Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - Retail Lines (28 x 29) \$51 \$208 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$259 \$259 31 Post-Storm Reserve Balance \$100 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>										
29 Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU) 70.203% 99.561% 92.885% 72.703% 95.924% 100% \$259 30 Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - Retail Lines (28 x 29) \$51 \$208 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$259 \$212 405 31 Post-Storm Reserve Balance \$112 405 \$112 405 \$112 405 \$112 405 \$112 405	28	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - SystemLines (14 + 26 + 27)	73	209	•	-	•	-	282	
30 Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - Retail Lines (28 x 29) \$51 \$208 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$259 31 Post-Storm Reserve Balance \$122 405 \$122 405 \$122 405 \$122 405	29	Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU)	70 203%	99.561%	92 885%	72 70.3%	95 924%	100%		
30 Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - Retail Lines (28 x 29) \$51 \$208 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$259 31 Post-Storm Reserve Balance \$122.405 \$122.405 \$122.405	20			00.00170	02.00070	12.10070	00.02470	10070		
31 Post-Storm Reserve Balance	30	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Isaias - RetailLines (28 x 29)	\$51	\$208	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$259	\$259
	31	Post-Storm Reserve Balance								\$122,405

Docket No. 20230020 Exhibit SR-6 Page 1 of 1

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Storm Cost Recovery Cost Summary - Hurricane Eta (\$000's)

			Estimated Storm Costs By Function								
Line No.	Description		Transmission	Distribution	Generation Base	Generation Intermediate	Generation Peaking	Customer Service	Other	Total	Storm Reserve Balance
1	Pre-Storm Reserve Balance					•	·				\$131,848
n	Storm Polated Postoration Costs - Eta										
2 3	Regular Payroll		40	304	_	_	_	4	-	348	
4	Overtime Pavroll		112	820	-	-	_	31	-	962	
5	Labor Burdens/Incentives		17	212	-	-	-	10	-	239	
6	Overhead Allocations		13	57	-	-	-	4	-	74	
7	Employee Expenses		2	798	-	-	-	0	-	801	
8	Contractor Costs		83	16,435	-	-	-	-	-	16,518	
9	Materials & Supplies		0	1,004	-	-	-	-	-	1,004	
10	Internal Fleet Costs		37	45	-	-	-	-	-	82	
11	Uncollectible Account Expenses		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12	Other				-	-	-	0	-	0	
13	Irma Settlement Process Implementation Costs (a)		-	-	-	-	-	-	1,044	1,044	
14	Subtotal - Storm Related Restoration Costs	Lines 3-13	305	19,675	-	-	-	49	1,044	21,072	
15	Less: Estimated Non-Incremental Costs - Eta										
16	Regular Payroll		-	-	-	-	-	(4)	-	(4)	
17	Overtime Payroll		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
18	Labor Burdens/Incentives		(17)	(211)	-	-	-	(10)	-	(239)	
19	Overhead Allocations		(13)	-	-	-	-	(4)	-	(17)	
20	Employee Expenses		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
21	Contractor Costs		(83)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(83)	
22	Materials & Supplies		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	Internal Fleet Costs		-	(34)	-	-	-	-	-	(34)	
24			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
25	Other Subtotal Estimated Non Ingromental Casto	Linca 16 25	-	- (245)	-	-	-	- (4.0)	-	- (277)	
20	Subtotal - Estimated Non-Incremental Costs	Lilles 10-25	(114)	(243)	-	•	-	(18)	-	(377)	
27	Less: Capitalizable Costs		-	(395)	-	-	-	-	-	(395)	
28	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Eta - System	Lines (14 + 26 + 27)	191	19.035		-	-	31	1.044	20,301	
20		2		10,000				01	1,011	20,001	
29	Jurisdictional Factor (Order PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU)		70.203%	99.561%	92.885%	72.703%	95.924%	100%	100%		
30	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs - Eta - Retail	Lines (28 x 29)	\$134	\$18,951	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31	\$1,044	\$20,160	\$20,160
31	Dorian Over-Recovery (b)										3,397
32	Recovered through Storm Surcharge (b)									ŀ	7,579
33	Post-Storm Reserve Balance										\$122,664

Notes:

(a) - Per Storm Restoration Cost Process Improvements section II.D. of the Corrected Storm Cost Settlement Agreement approved in Order No. PSC-2019-0232-AS-EI.

(b) - An interim storm restoration recovery charge for Hurricanes Eta & Isaias was approved to begin August 2021 in Order PSC-2021-0271-PCO-EI. This Order also approved Eta & Isaias costs to be offset by the over-recovery of storm restoration costs collected for Hurricane Dorian and Tropical Storm Nestor. The interim storm restoration recovery charge for Eta & Isaias was suspended at the end of 2021, and the uncollected balance moved to the Storm Reserve per the Rate Mitigation Agreement approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0425-FOF-EI.

Docket No. 20230020 Exhibit SR-7 Page 1 of 1

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Storm Cost Recovery Interest Calculation (\$000's)

Line No.	Description	Apr 2023	May 2023	Jun 2023	Jul 2023	Aug 2023	Sep 2023	Oct 2023	Nov 2023	Dec 2023	Jan 2024	Feb 2024	Mar 2024	Total
1	Unrecovered Eligible Costs - Beg Balance	426,711	393,273	358,460	315,929	269,422	224,098	179,650	138,996	105,209	73,947	41,079	9,070	
2	Less: Estimated Current Month Surcharge Revenue (a)	(34,579)	(35,843)	(43,406)	(47,206)	(45,824)	(44,753)	(40,774)	(33,786)	(31,262)	(32,868)	(32,009)	(30,404)	(452,714)
3	Unrecovered Eligible Costs Before Interest	392,132	357,430	315,054	268,723	223,598	179,345	138,876	105,209	73,947	41,079	9,070	(21,334)	
4	Monthly Average Eligible Costs	409,422	375,351	336,757	292,326	246,510	201,721	159,263	122,102	89,578	57,513	25,075	(6,132)	
5	Annual Interest Rate (b)	4.93%	5.08%	5.12%	5.23%	5.23%	5.23%	5.23%	5.23%	5.23%	5.23%	5.23%	5.23%	
6	Monthly Interest Rate	0.41%	0.42%	0.43%	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%	
7	Monthly Interest on Unrecovered Storm Costs ©	1,140.8	1,030.0	875.0	699.7	499.9	304.7	119.5	-	-	-	-	-	4,669.6
8 9	Unrecovered Storm Costs Approved Storm Reserve Balance	261,425 131,848	226,612 131,848	184,081 131,848	137,575 131,848	92,250 131,848	47,802 131,848	7,148 131,848	105,209	73,947	41,079	9,070	(21,334)	
10	Unrecovered Costs - Ending Balance	393,273	358,460	315,929	269,422	224,098	179,650	138,996	105,209	73,947	41,079	9,070	(21,334)	

•

Notes: (a) Based on actual revnues April 2023 - July 2023 & estimated kWh sales August 2023 - March 2024. Storm charge revenues are allocated to the amortization of unrecovered eligible restoration costs.

(b) Calculated using commercial paper rate as of July 2023.

Docket No. 20230020 Exhibit SR-8 Page 1 of 1

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: PETITION BY DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC, FOR LIMITED PROCEEDING FOR RECOVERY OF INCREMENTAL STORM RESTORATION COSTS RELATED TO HURRICANES ELSA, ETA, ISAIAS, IAN, NICOLE, AND TROPICAL STORM FRED

DOCKET NO. 20230020-EI

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM TODD FOUNTAIN

1	I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS.	
---	----	----------------------------------	--

2 Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is William Todd Fountain. I am employed by Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF" or the "Company"). My business address is [6571 38th Ave. N., St Petersburg, FL 33710].

Q. Please tell us your position with DEF and describe your duties and responsibilities in that position.

- 8 A. I am the General Manager of Emergency Preparedness for Customer Delivery responsible
 9 for DEF's annual hurricane season readiness, and when hurricanes strike, I serve as the
 10 Incident Commander for restoration.
- 11

12

3

4

5

Q. Please summarize your educational background and employment experience.

A. I have over 32 years of experience in the utility industry. I began my career in 1991 with
 then Florida Power Corporation and have worked my way up from my apprenticeship to
 making Journeyman Lineman. After spending time as a lineman, I moved into the Control
 Room as a dispatcher and later became the Director of the Dispatch Control Room. After

spending a number of years in the Control Room, I became the Director of DEF's 1 Distribution Vegetation Management program. After approximately four-and-a-half (4 ¹/₂) 2 years as the Director of Vegetation Management, in June 2021, I assumed my current role 3 as GM, Emergency Preparedness. 4 5 6 II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding? 7 0. I am testifying on behalf of the Company in support of recovery of DEF's incremental 8 A. 9 storm-related costs incurred responding to Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Isaias, Ian, Nicole, and Tropical Storm Fred (herein, the "Storms"). I will begin by providing an overview of the 10 total distribution storm-related costs and cost categories. I will discuss the operation of the 11 Company's storm plan, including the Company's goals and priorities as it prepares for, 12 responds to, and recovers from a storm's impact on its system. I will conclude my 13

testimony by describing DEF's successful efforts at implementing its plan in response to the Storms and, ultimately, to restore electric service safely and efficiently to its customers.

16 17

18

19

14

15

Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits to your testimony?

A. No, I am not sponsoring any exhibits.

20

Q. Please summarize your testimony.

A. My testimony explains the Company's Storm Response Plan (the "Plan") as well as
 implementation of the Plan in response to the Storms. My testimony demonstrates that
 the Company's preparations in advance of the Storms, actions in response to the Storms,
 and ultimately costs incurred responding to the Storms were prudently taken and

prudently incurred, and therefore the Company should be permitted to fully recover its Incremental Storm Restoration Costs.

Q. Will you please provide a summary of the six Storms at issue?

A. Yes.

Hurricane Eta

On October 31, 2020, a tropical storm that would ultimately become Hurricane Eta originated from a vigorous tropical wave in the eastern Caribbean Sea. The tropical storm strengthened to a Category 4 hurricane on November 2, 2020, with a peak intensity of 150 mph, as it moved through Central America causing devastating flooding and landslides. Over the next five days, Hurricane Eta traveled to South Florida, the central part of the Florida Keys, where it caused intense flooding and extreme rainfall ranging from 10-25 inches.

Hurricane Eta was the third most intense November Atlantic hurricane on record. Eta weakened to a tropical depression as it hovered over Central America for two days before moving north over water, where it later reorganized. Over the next five days, the system moved erratically, making its third landfall in the Florida Keys. It eventually made a fourth landfall about 100 miles north of St. Petersburg just after midnight Thursday, November 12, 2020, with maximum sustained winds of 50 mph, and then moved across Florida and finally back out into the Atlantic near Jacksonville later that day.

On November 7, 2020, as Tropical Storm Eta churned in the Caribbean Sea and was expected to head closer to Florida, Governor DeSantis declared a state of emergency for the southern counties of Florida, which he expanded on November 11, 2020, after the storm strengthened to a Category 1 hurricane, to encompass Alachua, Citrus, Dixie, Gilchrist, Hernando, Hillsborough, Levy, Manatee, Marion, Pasco, Pinellas, Sarasota, and Sumter counties. DEF remained ready to respond to the storm.

DEF activated its Incident Command organization on November 10, 2020. A total of 2,689 restoration resources were utilized and pre-staged to support restoration from a possible Category 1 hurricane direct impact to South and Central Florida. Over the following days, resource plans were adjusted in response to changing National Hurricane Center ("NHC") forecasts. While Florida was spared the worst of Hurricane Eta, sustained wind gusts associated with the storm are estimated to have reached upwards of 70 mph along Florida's coastline. Tropical storm-force gusts in excess of 50 mph reached far inland into central Florida. Hurricane Eta's winds caused 2,400 outage and non-outage events affecting 140,000 customers

Hurricane Isaias

On July 29, 2020, Tropical Storm Isaias formed over the eastern Caribbean Sea and continued a northwest track to become a hurricane on July 31, 2020. The hurricane was downgraded to a tropical storm over the Andros Island with maximum sustained winds of 70 mph, then made a gradual north-northwest turn as the center passed 30-40 miles east of the Palm Beach County coast on August 2, 2020. A Tropical Storm Warning was issued for southeast Florida on Friday, July 31, and a Hurricane Watch was also issued for Broward and Palm Beach Counties. Later that day, the Hurricane Watch was upgraded to

a Hurricane Warning for the Palm Beach County coast and northward to the Volusia/Brevard County line. The storm was forecasted to travel just east of Florida.
Expected impacts to the state included heavy rain and heavy winds as a Category 1 hurricane with 85 mph winds. Governor DeSantis declared a state of emergency for all east coast counties from Miami-Dade to Nassau.

Downgraded to a Tropical Storm just 55 miles east-southeast of Cape Canaveral, Isaias had maximum sustained winds of 70 mph, capable of damaging roofs and buildings, and destroying mobile homes along Florida's east coast. Isaias' main legacy, however, was the large tornado outbreak that generated the strongest tropical-cyclone spawned tornado across the east coast of the United States since Hurricane Rita. At one time, tropical storm watches and warnings extended over 1,000 miles, from Florida to Maine. Hurricane Isaias caused 354 outage and non-outage events in DEF's service territory and impacted 11,700 DEF customers. DEF's restoration work related to Isaias occurred between August 2 - 3, 2020, utilizing on-system native resources.

Hurricane Elsa

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Elsa developed near the Lesser Antilles where it was named Tropical Depression Five on June 20, 2021. Elsa briefly became a hurricane on July 2, 2021, while moving across the northern Caribbean. The center of Tropical Storm Elsa moved between Jamaica and Hispaniola, then cut across central Cuba at a decreased forward speed on July 5, 2021. The first Tropical Storm watches were issued for the southwest Big Bend and Apalachee Bay. Elsa emerged into the Florida Straits on July 6, 2021, passing near the Lower Keys, followed by a northward turn paralleling the west coast of Florida. Elsa regained shortlived hurricane status prior to landfall around Taylor and Dixie counties as a strong tropical

1	storm on July 7, 2021. Elsa then turned northeast with 30-mph gusts and heavy rainfall for
2	several hours moving into north Florida and South Georgia before accelerating along the
3	eastern seaboard where it later became a post-tropical depression on July 9, 2021.
4	Restoration work began on July 7, 2021, as Elsa's bands reached the Gulf coast. Over a
5	48-hour period, crews restored service to 30,799 customers and closed 1,322 outage events.
6	A total of 2,171-line, service, vegetation management, and damage assessors were involved
7	in DEF's restoration efforts for Elsa. Crews were released by July 8, 2021.
8	Tropical Storm Fred
9	On August 9, 2021, the National Hurricane Center ("NHC") began tracking a disturbance
10	east of the Virgin Islands and designated the system as Potential Tropical Cyclone 6. The
11	initial forecast was for a west-northwest track across the Caribbean Islands. The system
12	was upgraded to TS Fred on August 10, 2021. The combination of land interaction with
13	the rugged terrain of Hispaniola and wind shear from an upper low over Florida resulted in
14	TS Fred weakening to a tropical depression the following day. Further interaction with the
15	Greater Antilles caused TS Fred to become a system with no closed circulation as it
16	emerged into the extreme southeast Gulf on August 14, 2021. On August 15, 2021, a
17	Tropical Storm Watch was issued for the Florida Panhandle and coastal Franklin County
18	as the forecast called for a north-northwest motion around the western edge of the ridge.
19	Fred then regained strength later August 15, 2021, and watches along the northern Gulf
20	coast were upgraded to a Tropical Storm warning. TS Fred steadily strengthened over the
21	warm Gulf waters until landfall near the Eastern Florida Panhandle, with maximum
22	sustained winds of 65 mph. TS Fred produced life-threatening storm surges of 3 to 5 feet
23	in Apalachee Bay.

Restoration work began on August 16, 2021. Over a 48-hour period, crews restored service to 21,077 customers and closed 767 outage events. A total of 124 DEF resources, consisting of line, service, vegetation management, and damage assessors, were identified in 3 waves to assist in the restoration efforts for TS Fred. Crews were released by August 17, 2021.

Hurricane Ian

Hurricane Ian is tied for the fifth strongest U.S. Mainland hurricane landfall on record with 150 mph winds. Ian made a series of landfalls across Cuba and the United States and will be regarded as one of the costliest tropical cyclones on record. On October 11, 2022, NOAA stated total losses would be more than \$50 billion, likely making Ian the costliest hurricane in Florida's history.

The NHC began tracking a tropical disturbance on September 19, 2022, located several hundred miles east of the Windward Islands. This disturbance gradually became more organized as it moved westward, crossing the southwest Caribbean, and became Tropical Depression Nine while over the central Caribbean Sea. TS Ian formed on September 23, 2022, but only slowly strengthened over the following days. On September 26, 2022, Ian attained hurricane strength while located a little more than 300 miles south of Cuba. Ian rapidly strengthened prior to striking western Cuba early on September 27, 2022. Ian only briefly weakened crossing Cuba, then strengthened to a monstrous Category 4 hurricane once it reemerged in the southeastern Gulf of Mexico after completing an eyewall-replacement cycle ("ERC"). An ERC is a standard occurrence for strong hurricanes as the center is replaced by a new one. This process aids in the expansion of the wind field as the

radius of maximum winds expands away from the old center prior to the full development of the new one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Once the ERC was complete, Ian once again started to intensify as it started to track to the north-northeast. This was a notable and earlier shift toward the east than many Numerical Weather Prediction ("NWP") Models had suggested, including both global American ("GFS") and European ("ECWMF") models. The NHC forecast had originally showed the "cone of uncertainty" including an area from Fort Myers to the Florida Panhandle. The forecast cone was generally near the Tampa Bay metropolitan area just 36-48 hours prior to landfall, then subsequent track updates continued trending farther south, or "right" of the prior track. A frontal boundary in the US strengthened and dug farther south toward the Southeast US than most of the model guidance had originally projected, which was largely the reason for track shift. This resulted in a landfall farther south.

Ian made its second landfall on Cayo Costa, FL on September 28, 2022, with near peak intensity of 150 mph. The storm devastated central and south Florida, leaving widespread and catastrophic damage near the landfall point in the Fort Myers/Naples/Port Charlotte region. Ian inched its way across central Florida moving at approximately 8 to 9 mph, which compounded the damage seen at immediate landfall and led to a sustained period of wind and rainfall across central/southern Florida. Ian officially made landfall around 3:10PM EDT and did not exit the state until approximately 10:30AM EDT the following day near Cape Canaveral, spending more than 19 hours over Florida while slowly weakening.

Despite exiting Cape Canaveral around 10:30 AM EDT Thursday, September 28, 2022, Ian lifted northward just offshore of the Florida Atlantic Coastline heading toward the Carolinas. This allowed western rainbands to impact eastern locations such as Orlando, Apopka, and Deland with torrential, flooding rain, and tropical storm force gusts through 3 to 4PM EDT that afternoon. Hurricane Ian's impacts lasted nearly 24 hours from the start of impacts from Saint Petersburg to Orlando, to Gainesville and then on to Apopka, respectively. The heavy rain on Thursday exacerbated record-breaking rainfall and river flooding across the I-95 corridor. Ian officially made a third landfall near Georgetown, SC, with 85 mph winds. Following landfall in South Carolina, rapid dissipation began over land. The remnants fully dissipated on October 2, 2022, near the western North Carolina/Virginia border.

In total, more than 10,000 resources helped support massive restoration in DEF's territory between September 29, 2022, and October 2, 2022. By end of day October 2, 2022, DEF restored outages to meet established ETRs in all counties. Approximately 23,000 outages were restored impacting 1,159,000 customers. Crews were released by zone as restoration was completed between October 2nd and October 6th, as the last remaining outages were restored.

Hurricane Nicole

Hurricane Nicole was only the third November hurricane on record to make landfall in Florida. Prior to Nicole, a November hurricane had not made landfall in Florida since 1985. Nicole had a wide wind field with impacts far away from its core. Strong swells in combination with high tide caused devastating storm surge and coastal flooding along the east central Florida coast.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

On November 7, 2022, Nicole formed as a Subtropical Storm northeast of the Bahamas. Nicole strengthened to a Tropical Storm and on November 9, 2022, made landfall on Great Abaco Island in the northwestern Bahamas. Later that day Nicole became a hurricane while making landfall on Grand Bahama Island. On November 10, 2022, Nicole made landfall on the east coast of Florida just south of Vero Beach as a Category 1 hurricane. Nicole downgraded to a tropical storm with sustained winds of 70 mph at 25 miles northwest of Vero Beach. Later on November 10, 2022, Nicole weakened to a tropical depression with sustained winds of 35 mph located about 20 miles north of Tallahassee.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Nicole brought wind gusts of 70 mph or more to three major weather stations: Melbourne Airport, Cocoa Beach, and Orlando Sanford Airport. A wind gust of 100 mph was recorded at the 600-foot tower at the Kennedy Space Center. While the storm surge could be Nicole's biggest hazard, especially for coastal areas, inland flooding from heavy rain and power outages from strong winds were also forecast. After sweeping ashore between West Palm Beach and Melbourne, the storm was forecast to quickly head toward Tampa early November 10, 2022, enter the Gulf of Mexico, make a second landfall on Florida's Big Bend then work its way up the east coast.

Governor DeSantis issued a State of Emergency for 34 counties in the potential path of Nicole to encourage emergency preparations and later expanded the State of Emergency to all 67 Florida counties due to potential impacts as the storm moved across the state. Nicole was a large and well-organized storm that brought heavy rains to central and northern Florida. A widespread 2 to 5 inches of rain with localized 6-inch totals was forecast for much of the Florida peninsula and Big Bend. Ordinarily this would be unremarkable for Florida, but many locations were still reeling from flooding left over from Hurricane Ian's

1

assault on the state in late September. The St. Johns River, already at flood stage, was expected to rise further. Tropical Storm forced winds extended about 345 miles to the northeast of the center and 12-foot seas extended out 690 miles to the northeast of the center.

While Florida was spared the worst of Hurricane Nicole, sustained winds associated with the storm are estimated to have reached upwards of sixty-five miles per hour along central and northern Florida. Tropical storm-force winds greater than thirty-nine miles per hour reached far inland into central Florida. Hurricane Nicole's winds ultimately caused approximately 600,000 customers in the Florida region to lose power.

3,431 resources worked on DEF's restoration efforts between November 10, 2022, and November 11, 2022. DEF made rapid progress and restored 98% of its impacted customers within 12 hours after Nicole exited the state. 6,412 outages were restored impacting 303,917 customers. Crews were released on November 11, 2022.

THE COMPANY'S DISTRIBUTION STORM PLAN III.

Q. Please describe DEF's distribution system storm plan.

DEF prepares for major storms year-round. Hurricane season readiness begins several A. months before the start of the season and includes training, drills, and implementation of lessons learned from the prior year. DEF's comprehensive storm plan is modeled on Homeland Security's Incident Command Structure ("ICS") and incorporates the best practices the Company has developed from experiences with past storms. The ICS affords rapid scalability in response to a specific threat.

The scalability of ICS is reflected in DEF's three distinct levels of restoration response. Level 1 is for restoration events lasting 6-12 hours, Level 2 is for 12-24-hour events, and level 3 is for major events exceeding 24 hours and is designed for restoration on the scale of a hurricane. The same basic functions are performed at all storm levels, but as resources increase to match the storm's anticipated threat, the organization expands to ensure efficient restoration of the Company's system. While it is appropriate for an individual in a lower-level event to perform parts of several storm roles, those same roles are broken out and staffed by an increasing number of dedicated resources as the scope of restoration work increases. The decision to activate at a particular response level is made by the storm management team, and is guided by weather forecasts, resource modeling, and expected restoration duration. The flexibility of the storm plan is such that, for any given restoration event, DEF may have an area operating at Level 2 while another area is activated at Level 3. This allows areas within the Company operating at a lower restoration levels.

The ICS plan is built upon three phases of storm restoration: (1) pre-storm activation, (2) outage repair and restoration, and (3) returning the distribution grid to normal. Pre-storm activation begins as early as 120 hours prior to landfall, and includes detailed weather forecasting, modeling of potential damage and resource requirements, and preparation for support of logistics needs. The outage repair and restoration phase include operational activities after storm impact to restore service to all customers capable of receiving it. Returning the grid to normal is necessary to restore DEF's electrical infrastructure to its pre-hurricane condition.

1	Q.	Can you please describe the different roles within DEF's storm plan?
2	А.	Yes. Within the storm plan there are a multitude of roles that facilitate an efficient
3		restoration process. These roles are organized along five functional lines:
4		(1) Operations (restoration of service);
5		(2) Planning (forecasts, modeling, damage assessment, and situational awareness);
6		(3) Logistics (staging, material, and supplies);
7		(4) Governmental Liaison (coordination with state and county Governmental Agencies);
8		and
9		(5) External Communication (outreach and communication to customers, community
10		leaders and media).
11		Personnel are assigned roles under the storm plan that may differ from their regular daily
12		responsibilities and, as a result, it is imperative that they are effectively trained. This
13		training is normally completed in the second quarter of each year throughout the Company
14		and within each of the functional areas of responsibility. To further ensure storm
15		preparedness, DEF conducts storm readiness drills to test the effectiveness of the training
16		program and employees' ability to execute their assigned storm roles. DEF's storm
17		restoration plan is coordinated with the state-wide storm preparedness efforts through
18		participation in the state Emergency Operations Center ("EOC") coordinated storm drill
19		conducted each May.
20		
21	Q.	When and how do you activate your ICS major storm organization?
22	А.	DEF's formal ICS activation process kicks off as soon as a threat is identified, which is
23		typically 72 to 96 hours prior to forecasted landfall. DEF's initial focus is to ascertain the

most detailed weather information available including date, time, and strength of the storm, path, size and wind fields, precipitation, and exact time when wind is anticipated to diminish and fall below 39 mph (DEF's limit for safe travel).

At 48 to 72 hours, DEF uses storm modeling tools to predict the amount of damage to DEF's system, where that damage will likely occur, and the quantity of resources required to quickly restore outages. Also considered are potential forecast variables including track and intensity changes, early hurricane arrival, and when travel conditions will deteriorate effecting travel to the DEF mustering locations. More specifically, the modeling tools estimate the number of personnel required, such as linemen, tree trimmers and damage assessors, providing the Company an estimate of the necessary scale of restoration response. At this point, efforts are focused on notifying DEF customers and employees of potential impact and beginning storm readiness activities and initial efforts to acquire resources. A progression of pre-landfall checklists is followed to ensure orderly preparation each day thereafter.

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q. How does DEF use the information from predictive hurricane damage models?

A. Once DEF has estimated the number of resources required and where and to what extent each region within DEF's territory will be impacted, several processes begin in unison. DEF's Resource Management function secures commitments for restoration manpower and Staging and Logistics prepares to open mustering and base camp sites to receive them.

21 <u>Resource Management</u>

Resource Management first secures internal line and tree resource commitments from other Duke Energy jurisdictions. Internal Duke Energy personnel are available immediately and can be moved into forward positions to expedite restoration. Next, DEF contacts the Southeastern Electric Exchange ("SEE") Mutual Assistance Group to secure commitments from the participating companies for remaining resource needs. SEE Mutual Assistance is governed by an existing agreement between all participating utilities. Most Mutual Assistance utilities assess the impact of the storm on their systems and hold resources until their utility is in the clear. Utilities not in the storm's projected path typically must travel from significant distances and must be activated several days prior to landfall.

<u>Staging</u>

Depending on the time, path, and confidence in the storm's expected impact, decisions concerning when committed crews are activated, paid to be mobilized, and sent to an offsite mustering location are made prior to landfall. To expedite the restoration process, DEF mobilizes crews to mustering sites located along Interstates 75, 4, and 95. Safety is the highest priority, so the sites ultimately used depend upon the path of the storm; DEF seeks sites as close as possible to expected damage without unnecessarily placing crews in harm's way. The number of crews mobilized and where they are mustered depends greatly on confidence in the weather forecast. Restoration is fastest when resources are pre-staged before driving conditions deteriorate.

Logistics

Concurrent with the acquisition of resources, DEF's Logistics function establishes a coordinated schedule to open mustering sites and base camps, and to secure anticipated

lodging needs. The use of mustering sites allows the Company to validate rosters and crew compliments for billing; orient non-native crews to DEF's safety policies, switching practices, and technical specifications; and prepare crews for reassignment to a restoration base camp that accommodates truck parking, inventory storage, refueling, meals, and lodging.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

21

22

Q. Is pre-staging restoration crews part of DEF's hurricane plan, and is the practice supported by industry experience and regulatory guidance?

9 A. Yes. About 24 hours before impact DEF focuses on pre-staging, which is an integral part 10 of DEF's hurricane plan, a well-established industry best practice, and a hedge against uncertain hurricane forecasts (timing and location). When combined with strong logistics 11 and operational procedures, acquiring resources prior to landfall reduces restoration time. 12 13 Rebuilding and repairing the electric grid after a hurricane requires more resources than native staffing. Not only must the area of impact and extent of direct damage be 14 considered, but also the hurricane's subsequent path that could affect travel to the state, 15 access to damage, and availability of remaining resources. Securing, mobilizing, on-16 boarding, and strategically locating Mutual Assistance crews takes several days and must 17 be initiated before weather impact is certain. Pre-staging decisions are based on detailed 18 forecast data and advanced modeling tools developed and continuously improved through 19 years of experience. 20

Pre-staging reduces overall restoration days and total customer outage hours. During a hurricane state of emergency, communities suffer economic loss and deal with threats to

public health and safety. For these reasons, DEF's primary objective in storm response is the safest, fastest, most transparent restoration managed responsibly from a cost perspective.

Pre-staging greatly improves the accuracy of Estimated Times of Restoration ("ETRs"). Accurate and early ETRs are vital to community first responders who are managing threats to public health and safety, and to customers who evacuated and are seeking to return home. ETRs are a combination of estimated repair man-hours and resources available to do the work. When available resources are in place and engaged in work, the resulting ETRs can be provided sooner and are far more accurate than when acquisition and mobilization uncertainties must be included.

11 Q. How does the Company on-board crews and what steps does the Company take to 12 ensure that they are effectively utilized?

A. The Company on-boards newly arriving crews at staging and logistics sites where rosters 13 are verified, and arrival times documented. Crews go through a detailed overview of 14 Company safety rules and protocols, as well as information on construction standards. 15 Once restoration begins, crews are assigned to Restoration Coordinators ("RC"). The RC 16 is a key oversight role for managing work. RCs assign their crews daily work packages 17 that are prepared in advance and monitor progress of restoration. RC's also review time 18 19 sheets and provide feedback to the storm center about crew effectiveness. This information is used by Operations and Logistics during demobilization to sequence crew releases so 20 21 that the more productive and lower cost crews are among the last to be released.

23

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q. How is DEF's resource plan developed?

A. Resource plan commitments must be made far enough in advance to allow mobilization to strategically place mustering sites. The timing of crew mobilization is based on getting resources into position before driving conditions deteriorate and crew safety is endangered. The resource plan is continuously checked and adjusted as information becomes more certain. Adjustments can include both additions and releases of resources.

Predictive damage modeling provides a target number of resources and is the basis for Mutual Assistance requests. The resource plan covers many risks including early hurricane arrival and increased strength (as Hurricane Michael quickly did in 2018, attaining Category 5 status at landfall), shifting of storm track, widening of wind field, tornados, and flooding. These risks are mitigated by the number of resources secured, skill type (e.g., line, tree, damage assessment), pre-position location, and if not pre-positioned, the influence of the hurricane on post-landfall highway travel. While these decisions are made, by necessity, with imperfect forecast information, the consequences of inaction are enormous and well-documented.

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Q. What occurs as the storm begins to impact DEF's service territory?

A. When the storm-force winds commence in DEF's service territory, the Distribution Control Center ("DCC") is in constant communication with the Energy Control Center ("ECC") and the Transmission storm center. The ECC gives both storm centers a thorough description of what transmission lines and substations are dropping out of service as the storm passes, giving the Company a real-time assessment of the location of the storm damage. Crews in the storm's direct path shelter in place where safe to do so, while crews on the boundaries respond to emergency calls. The ECC and storm centers jointly establish restoration priorities and coordinate restoration strategies to maintain grid stability.

Q. What happens after the storm passes?

A. DEF's storm response has three main components: (1) governmental and EOC support and response; (2) statistical damage assessment; and (3) Assess, Isolate, and Restore ("AIR") feeder backbone restoration. These three components enable local and state governments to respond to the storm's impact and allows DEF to both estimate the amount of storm damage actually incurred by the distribution system and begin restoration of the highest priority feeders.

DEF can promptly respond as local governments and county EOCs encounter issues that require immediate attention. These issues may involve, for example, support for road clearing teams, or removing a downed power line with police personnel standing by at the site. By having DEF personnel assigned to county EOCs, DEF can facilitate communication with various governmental agencies also at the EOCs, such as fire departments, to quickly respond to the site, take care of the downed line, and allow the government agency staff to pursue other critical assignments.

Concurrent with these activities, DEF rapidly assesses a statistically valid sample of its total facilities to validate the damage and associated resources that were predicted by the model, and to provide operations management more information for determining the best restoration strategy. As part of pre-storm season preparation, DEF identifies segments of

feeders and associated branch lines in each area served by an operations center that are representative of the overall network of feeders and branch lines for the local area. As soon as the storm winds drop below 39 miles per hour, damage assessment teams are activated to get a better understanding of the damage to the distribution system. The previously identified representative distribution line segments are assigned to damage assessment teams who are responsible for a pole-by-pole survey of those segments, to inventory the extent of damage incurred, and return damage information to be compiled and analyzed. Based upon the storm damage found in this representative sample, DEF extrapolates the amount of storm damage for the rest of the local distribution network and aggregates these assessments to get a system-wide storm damage estimate. These estimates are used to adjust the pre-landfall resource mobilization plan as needed.

The AIR feeder backbone restoration process is a method by which DEF restores core infrastructure and catalogues storm damage for further repair. This process is intended to quickly restore the feeder backbone through the operation of switches only, inventory sections of the feeder that DEF is not able to immediately restore and identify devices off the feeder that are not in service. DEF begins planning for the AIR effort prior to the storm season when each of the local management teams prioritize the order of restoration for critical feeders within their jurisdiction. Highest priority is assigned to feeders that are crucial to the health, safety, and welfare of the public.

21 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q. How is the restoration phase of the storm plan carried out?

A. At this juncture of the restoration efforts, DEF deploys resources to the local operating 1 areas. To efficiently use this first wave of resources, DEF assigns them to the storm 2 damage that was identified through the feeder AIR process. This allows the Company to 3 assign the first wave to the highest priority work on the most critical components of the 4 distribution infrastructure. Based upon the information collected from the statistical 5 assessment, including aerial storm damage assessments using drones and helicopters, 6 information reported to DEF's outage management system, and the knowledge of local 7 management, the management team has the information it needs to determine what feeders 8 require detailed damage assessment. When the detailed assessment of a feeder segment is 9 complete, the results of that effort are compiled into an associated work package. This 10 work package allows DEF to effectively communicate the scope of the work to be done 11 and further assists the Company in managing productivity expectations of line and tree 12 crew resources. Additionally, the work package information assists local management in 13 14 allocating resources and determining Estimated Time of Restoration ("ETRs").

15

16

17

Q. How does the Company communicate information to its customers prior to, during and after a storm?

A. Before a storm, the Company issues news releases, posts social media information related
 to storm and safety tips, issues public service announcements, sends customers emails
 focused on preparedness, and proactively shares stories with the media focused on DEF's
 preparedness efforts to inform customers. To address the needs of customers with medical
 or special needs, DEF conducts outbound call campaigns to ensure these customers are
 aware of pending severe weather and to prepare for potentially extended outages.

The Company also launches a dedicated webpage focused on the specific storm event where the public can find news releases, safety tips, videos, restoration information and links to other valuable resources. Banners on the Company's main page direct customers to the storm and safety information and eventually to the dedicated storm webpage once it is launched. All pre-storm communications include storm and safety tips, and instructions on how to report outages. DEF's proactive outreach to the media often results in interviews and stories focused on storm preparedness.

During a storm, the Company develops daily messages for the media, customers, and field personnel. The Company publishes daily updates via news releases and social media on various topics, including storm damage, ETRs, and out of town resources. DEF secures TV, print, and radio advertising to provide restoration updates. Customers participating in DEF outage communication programs receive updates via email, phone, and text on restoration progress and ETRs. Ongoing updates regarding storm restoration are also provided on the Company's dedicated storm page which includes updated outage maps. Furthermore, during a storm event, updates are continuously provided to elected officials, community leaders and other stakeholders to ensure that they have the information needed to share with the public and to plan accordingly.

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

After a storm, the Company prepares wrap-up messages to share with customers, community leaders, and other stakeholders. News releases are published to provide final

outage-related numbers, thank customers for their patience, thank local first responders, and thank the companies that provided off-system resources.

Q. Does the Company update ETRs during the restoration process?

A. Yes. DEF has three levels of ETRs: (1) an initial system level ETR; (2) a view of ETRs by city and county; and (3) device level ETRs. As the storm restoration progresses, DEF moves from higher level ETRs to increasing levels of detail, providing customers with immediate information. ETRs are continuously updated and expanded to greater levels of detail during restoration. Factors that influence ETR updates include integrating any new information the Company has collected; the extent and severity of the storm damage; the critical and priority restoration needs DEF may receive from ECC, state and local governments, and EOCs; and the availability of resources. Additionally, ETR's can be impacted by timing of resource arrival due to a number of external factors such as road and bridge closures, crews that have to travel through the path of the storm (after it has cleared), evacuee traffic, and lodging and fuel availability along major routes into the state. As required, DEF shifts line and tree crews, equipment, and material to address new priorities or to increase productivity. During restoration, DEF is constantly striving to improve ETRs and meet or exceed ETR goals.

Q. How does the Company wind down its restoration process?

A. As the Company nears the completion of storm restoration work within any part of the service territory, DEF begins demobilization efforts. DEF makes a best faith effort to use the most productive and cost-effective resources during restoration. As a part of the

demobilization plan, DEF surveys local management and RCs to assess productivity of the non-native line and tree personnel. Combining this information with the daily cost of the personnel, DEF builds a restoration plan that retains the safest, most productive, costeffective resources until no longer needed.

Q. Is there anything else that must be done after storm restoration is complete?

A. Yes. The final phase of hurricane response is restoration of the system to its pre-storm status. When in the storm outage restoration phase, DEF performs the essential work necessary to restore the fundamental operating characteristics of the distribution infrastructure. The initial primary focus is getting "lights on" and safety considerations rather than correcting all damaged facilities that are still capable of functioning. For example, during the storm outage restoration phase, DEF may leave in place poles that are damaged and in need of repair but are able to safely provide service to customers in the short term, capacitor banks and reclosers are returned to service only if immediately required, and animal mitigation hardware is not installed pursuant to DEF's day-to-day standards. After the restoration efforts are concluded, DEF conducts electrical and physical condition sweeps of the feeder backbone and identifies the issues that require mitigation to return the distribution system to its pre-storm state.

The Company also conducts a "tree sweep" which is a detailed vegetation patrol of the feeder backbones to identify any storm damage to trees that were not mitigated during the storm restoration phase. The tree sweep is focused on cracked or broken limbs that are tenuously hanging over-top of facilities and will eventually come down. Trained

vegetation management personnel are responsible for identifying trees or branches damaged by the storm and immediately mitigating any such damage. This process requires considerable subject matter expertise because these issues can be camouflaged when the leaves are still green, meaning that only the most obvious can be easily identified.

Q. How do you measure the effectiveness of your storm planning and restoration process?

Beginning with restoration effectiveness, one of the main measures that the Company uses A. is the cumulative percentage of customers restored versus the projection of where DEF should be at the end of each day. Moving backward from DEF's final ETR goals, the Company sets milestones that must be achieved each day to achieve the overall goal. DEF generates these milestones down to the operations center level based on the amount of storm damage on DEF's system, the level of resources at the Company's disposal, and DEF's restoration history. This analysis tells DEF whether it is being as effective as it needs to be and, if not, helps to highlight or correct any issues that may be impacting the Company's performance.

Effective planning comes down to ensuring that the Company has the processes in place to provide maximum flexibility. Due to the nature of these storms, DEF will never be able to precisely predict the location and timing of the storms or the extent of damage they will create. It is more important that DEF's planning process ensures it has the flexibly to adapt to inevitable changes in the location, timing, and intensity of storms as they arise. In DEF's

1		judgment, the planning process does in fact provide DEF with the needed flexibility to cope
2		effectively with the hurricane season.
3		
4		Finally, safety of the restoration workforce is another critically important measure of
5		effectiveness. There were no serious or OSHA recordable injuries responding to the
6		Storms.
7		
8	IV.	DEF'S INCREMENTAL COSTS INCURRED AS A RESULT OF HURRICANE
9		ΕΤΑ
10 11	Q.	Please identify what incremental costs the Company incurred in connection with
12		Hurricane Eta.
13	А.	Incremental storm-related costs incurred by the Company attributable to Hurricane Eta are
14		\$9.2 million, as shown on Exhibit No(SR-1) to the direct testimony of Shelly Ross.
15		
16	Q.	Please describe Hurricane Eta and how you implemented the plan you described
17		above.
18	А.	Eta's timeline and DEF's response was as follows:
19		Tuesday, November 10, 2020: The 6am NHC forecast showed Tropical Storm Eta making
20		landfall as a Tropical Depression near Pensacola 5 days later on Sunday, November 15.
21		DEF activated its ICS storm organization, consulted with in-house Meteorologists, and
22		began modeling potential damage. DEF's tropical storm preparation must consider what
23		is possible as well as what is likely to happen. As a result, DEF began resource acquisition
24		and ultimately secured 2,689 crew members consisting of:
	1	

1	 507 Duke Energy Florida employee Line crews;
2	 338 DEF Native Line contractors;
3	 448 Duke Energy Carolinas and Midwest Line contractors;
4	 269 SEE Mutual Assistance Line crews;
5	 555 non-MA line contractors;
6	 76 Damage Assessment contractors; and
7	 496 DEF Vegetation Management contractors.
8	Demonstrating how unpredictable tropical systems can be, by the 10pm NHC forecast
9	(shown below) things had changed dramatically:
10	• Eta's track shifted 300 miles east to Cedar Key;
11	\circ Landfall moved up from Sunday afternoon (November 15) to Thursday
12	afternoon (November 12); and
13	 Landfall intensity increased to Tropical Storm force.
14	Wednesday, November 11, 2020: The 7am NHC forecast intensified Tropical Storm
15	Eta to a category 1 hurricane in the Gulf of Mexico and increased forward speed,
16	making landfall as a Tropical Storm around midnight on Thursday, November 12.
17	Crews began restoration overnight as outer bands entered DEF's service territory.
18	Thursday, November 12, 2020: Restoration crews began work as soon as it was safe to
19	do so and continued throughout the day. By midnight all customers who could receive
20	power had been restored.
21	November 13, 2020: All crews were released to travel home.
22	



Q. Please describe the Company's process for seeking Mutual Assistance from outside sources and identify the date on which the Company communicated with Mutual Assistance organizations with respect to Hurricane Eta.

A. Once a tropical system is identified that threatens DEF's service territory, the process to acquire off system restoration personnel is activated. There are primarily two avenues for acquiring off system support. The first is through non-Investor-Owned Utility ("IOU") vendors using pre-negotiated agreements. DEF had over 90 vendor agreements in place prior to Hurricane Eta. The second avenue for off system support is through the SEE Mutual Assistance process. Mutual Assistance calls are set up to assess resource availability from outside the projected impact area. Resources typically include linemen, vegetation management, damage assessment, support, and logistics personnel for both distribution and transmission restoration work. Depending on the projected event timing and intensity, the objective is to have resources mobilized and pre-positioned ahead of

impact. Due to the time it takes for crews outside Florida to mobilize, this requires the Company to incur costs for off-system resources based on NHC tropical weather forecasts, which are subject to change. The Company's communications with Mutual Assistance organizations for Eta began Monday, November 9. Mobilization was based on travel distance and arrival at pre-stage locations south of the track before deterioration of safe driving conditions.

8 Q. When did the Company's Mutual Assistance costs for Hurricane Eta begin to 9 accrue?

A. Costs for Hurricane Eta began to substantially accrue on November 11, 2020, as crews
 were mobilized. Mobilization was based on travel distance and arrival at DEF mustering
 locations before driving conditions deteriorated to the point of being unsafe. As is industry
 standard, Mutual Assistance charging begins when the responding entities prepare to travel
 and work on DEF's system (examples include stocking material and preparing trucks and
 equipment for highway travel).

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Q. Did the Company issue public announcements in connection with Hurricane Eta?

A. Yes. To keep customers and the public updated on preparation and restoration efforts, DEF issued news releases in English and Spanish and responded to 20 inquiries from TV, radio, and print media outlets. More than 87,000 users visited Duke Energy's outage map. In addition, DEF published social media posts which covered several topics including safety, storm damage, resources, updated outage information and restoration

1		progress. More than 640 messages were received on social media and over 270 responses
2		sent.
3		
4	Q.	When was the Company fully restored from Hurricane Eta?
5	А.	DEF was fully restored by midnight on November 12, 2020. Over the preceding 36 hours
6		over 101,000 customers were restored from 1,493 outage and non-outage events.
7		
8	V.	DEF'S INCREMENTAL COSTS INCURRED AS A RESULT OF HURRICANE
9		ISAIAS.
10		
11	Q.	Please describe your planning and response to Hurricane Isaias and its impact on
12		your system?
13	А.	Friday, July 31, 2020: The NHC 5pm forecast shown below indicated a category 1 or 2
14		hurricane moving north along Florida's Atlantic coast. With impact less than 48 hours
15		away, DEF's Central Florida territory was well within the cone of uncertainty. DEF
16		activated its ICS storm organization, consulted with in-house Meteorologists, and began
17		modeling potential damage. DEF's tropical storm preparation must consider what is
18		possible as well as what is likely to happen. As a result, DEF began activation of
19		employees and native line and vegetation contractors.
20		Saturday and Sunday, August 1 and 2, 2020: As Hurricane Isaias moved north, DEF
21		restored service to 11,700 customers by responding to 354 outage and non-outage events.


1		posts which covered several topics including safety, storm damage, resources, updated
2		outage information and restoration progress.
3		
4	Q.	When was the Company fully restored from Hurricane Isaias?
5	А.	Hurricane Isaias's outer bands began to directly impact DEF's service territory on
6		Saturday, August 1, 2020, and DEF was fully restored on Sunday, August 2.
7		
8	VI.	DEF'S INCREMENTAL COSTS INCURRED AS A RESULT OF HURRICANE
9		ELSA.
10	Q.	Please describe your planning and response to Hurricane Elsa and its impact on your
11		system?
12	А.	July 1, 2021: The NHC 5pm forecast shown below indicated a tropical storm rapidly
13		moving westward toward the Windward Islands. The storm was to reach the southern
14		Caribbean over the next couple of days and strengthening was anticipated. DEF activated
15		its ICS storm organization, consulted with in-house Meteorologists, and began modeling
16		potential damage. DEF's tropical storm preparation must consider what is possible as well
17		as what is likely to happen. As a result, DEF began activation of employees and native
18		line and vegetation contractors.



1		
2	Q.	When was the Company fully restored from Hurricane Elsa?
3	А.	Hurricane Elsa's outer bands began to directly impact DEF's service territory late Tuesday
4		July 6 th and DEF was fully restored on Thursday July 8th.
5		
6	VII.	DEF'S INCREMENTAL COSTS INCURRED AS A RESULT OF HURRICANE
7		IAN.
8	Q.	Please describe your planning and response to Hurricane Ian and its impact on your
9		system?
10	А.	The NHC's Friday, September 23rd 5pm forecast shown below indicated a tropical
11		depression located in the Lesser Antilles and expected to become a tropical storm that
12		evening. Significant intensification was forecast on to take place over Sunday and Monday
13		(September 25-26), and the system is forecast to become a hurricane by early Monday
14		(September 26).
15 16		DEF activated its ICS storm organization, consulted with in-house Meteorologists, and
17		began modeling potential damage. DEF's storm preparation must consider what is possible
18		as well as what is likely to happen. As a result, DEF began activation of employees, native
19		and non-native line, and vegetation contractors.



1	А.	Yes. To keep customers and the public updated on preparation and restoration efforts, DEF
2		issued news releases in English and Spanish. In addition, DEF published daily social media
3		posts which covered several topics including safety, storm damage, resources, updated
4		outage information and restoration progress.
5		
6	Q.	When was the Company fully restored from Hurricane Ian?
7	А.	Hurricane Ian made landfall at approximately 3:10pm, Wednesday, September 28 and
8		exited our service territory late afternoon on Thursday, September 29th. By the end of the
9		day Sunday, October 2 nd we were fully restored.
10		
11	VIII.	DEF'S INCREMENTAL COSTS INCURRED AS A RESULT OF HURRICANE
12		NICOLE.
13	Q.	Please describe your planning and response to Hurricane Nicole and its impact on
14		your system?
15	А.	The NHC 1pm forecast for November 7th shown below indicated Tropical Storm Nicole
16		located off the east coast of Florida and expected to become a hurricane prior to its
17		projected landfall.
18		
19		DEF activated its ICS storm organization, consulted with in-house Meteorologists, and
20		began modeling potential damage. DEF's storm preparation must consider what is possible
21		as well as what is likely to happen. As a result, DEF began activation of employees, native
22		and non-native line, and vegetation contractors.
		36
	1	



1		posts which covered several topics including safety, storm damage, resources, updated
2		outage information and restoration progress.
3		
4	Q.	When was the Company fully restored from Hurricane Nicole?
5	А.	Hurricane Nicole made landfall on Thursday, November 10 th . By the end of the day Friday,
6		November 11, we were fully restored
7		
8	IX.	DEF'S INCREMENTAL COSTS INCURRED AS A RESULT OF TROPICAL
9		STORM FRED.
10	Q.	Please describe your planning and response to Tropical Storm Fred and its impact on
11		your system?
12	А.	The NHC's 2 pm forecast for August 11, 2021, shown below, indicated a tropical storm
13		located just north of central Cuba moving northwest towards the Florida peninsula. DEF
14		activated its ICS storm organization, consulted with in-house Meteorologists, and began
15		modeling potential damage. DEF's tropical storm preparation must consider what is
16		possible as well as what is likely to happen. As a result, DEF began activation of
17		employees and native line and vegetation contractors.

		Note: The cone contains the probable path of the storm center but does not show the size of the storm. Hazardous conditions can occur outside of the cone. 30N 8 AM Mon 9 9 8 AM Mon 9 9 8 AM Str 15N 8 AM Str 90W 8 AM First 15N 90W 90W 80W 75W 70W 65W 60W 70W 65W 60W 75W 70W 65W 60W 75W 70W 65W 60W 75W 70W 65W 70W 65W 70W 65W 70W 65W 70W 65W 80W 75W 70W 65W 80W 75W 70W 65W 80W 75W 70W 65W 70W 65W 70W 65W 70W 65W 70W 65W 70W 70W
1		Potential track area: Watches: Warnings: Current wind extent: Day 1-3 Day 4-5 Hurricane Trop Stm Hurricane Trop Stm
2		
3	Q.	Please identify what incremental costs DEF incurred in connection with Tropical
4		Storm Fred.
5	А.	The incremental costs incurred by the Company in connection with Tropical Storm Fred
6		are \$.02 million, as shown on Exhibit No(SR-1).
7		
8	Q.	When did the Company's Mutual Assistance costs for Tropical Storm Fred begin to
9		accrue?
10	А.	Costs for Tropical Storm Fred began to substantially accrue on Sunday, August 15, as crews
11		within the state were mobilized to the panhandle region.
12		
13	Q.	Did the Company issue public announcements in connection with Tropical Storm
14		Fred?
15	A.	Yes. To keep customers and the public updated on preparation and restoration efforts, DEF
16		issued news releases in English and Spanish. In addition, DEF published daily social media

1		posts which covered several topics including safety, storm damage, resources, updated
2		outage information and restoration progress.
3		
4	Q.	When was the Company fully restored from Tropical Storm Fred?
5	А.	Tropical Storm Fred moved onshore Monday, August 16th, and DEF was completely
6		restored by 5:00pm Tuesday, August 17 th .
7		
8 9	X.	COMPLIANCE WITH THE HURRICANE IRMA SETTLEMENT'S PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS
10		
11	Q.	Did DEF comply with the Storm Restoration Cost Process Improvements included
12		as part of the Hurricane Irma Settlement when responding to these storms and
13		calculating the incremental costs?
13 14	А.	calculating the incremental costs? Yes. Since entering the Agreement, DEF has developed detailed practices and policies to
13 14 15	A .	calculating the incremental costs? Yes. Since entering the Agreement, DEF has developed detailed practices and policies to ensure compliance with the Process Improvements during a restoration event. DEF has
13 14 15 16	A .	calculating the incremental costs? Yes. Since entering the Agreement, DEF has developed detailed practices and policies to ensure compliance with the Process Improvements during a restoration event. DEF has also entered into service agreements with many vendors that include acknowledgment of
13 14 15 16 17	А.	calculating the incremental costs? Yes. Since entering the Agreement, DEF has developed detailed practices and policies to ensure compliance with the Process Improvements during a restoration event. DEF has also entered into service agreements with many vendors that include acknowledgment of and compliance with the vendor-specific Process Improvements. That said, as was noted
13 14 15 16 17 18	A .	calculating the incremental costs? Yes. Since entering the Agreement, DEF has developed detailed practices and policies to ensure compliance with the Process Improvements during a restoration event. DEF has also entered into service agreements with many vendors that include acknowledgment of and compliance with the vendor-specific Process Improvements. That said, as was noted in that order, "all parties are in agreement regarding DEF's primary objective following a
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A .	calculating the incremental costs? Yes. Since entering the Agreement, DEF has developed detailed practices and policies to ensure compliance with the Process Improvements during a restoration event. DEF has also entered into service agreements with many vendors that include acknowledgment of and compliance with the vendor-specific Process Improvements. That said, as was noted in that order, "all parties are in agreement regarding DEF's primary objective following a storm, which is power restoration to its customers, and that 'the company will not allow
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A .	calculating the incremental costs? Yes. Since entering the Agreement, DEF has developed detailed practices and policies to ensure compliance with the Process Improvements during a restoration event. DEF has also entered into service agreements with many vendors that include acknowledgment of and compliance with the vendor-specific Process Improvements. That said, as was noted in that order, "all parties are in agreement regarding DEF's primary objective following a storm, which is power restoration to its customers, and that 'the company will not allow the policies and procedures to impede speedy power restoration for its customers." ¹ In
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A .	calculating the incremental costs? Yes. Since entering the Agreement, DEF has developed detailed practices and policies to ensure compliance with the Process Improvements during a restoration event. DEF has also entered into service agreements with many vendors that include acknowledgment of and compliance with the vendor-specific Process Improvements. That said, as was noted in that order, "all parties are in agreement regarding DEF's primary objective following a storm, which is power restoration to its customers, and that 'the company will not allow the policies and procedures to impede speedy power restoration for its customers.'" ¹ In recognition of the primary importance of safe and speedy restoration, if a situation occurs

¹ Order No. PSC-2019-0232-AS-EI, pg. 4.

1		hampering, rather than aiding, restoration efforts, the Company would document why
2		compliance is causing unwarranted delays, and then implement a work-around.
3		
4	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
5	A.	Yes