1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
2		ON BEHALF OF COMMISSION STAFF			
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF TOMER KOPELOVICH			
4		DOCKET NO. 20230020-EI			
5		MARCH 29, 2024			
6					
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.			
8	A.	My name is Tomer Kopelovich. My business address is 24715 Portofino Drive; Lutz,			
9	FL; 3	3559.			
10	Q.	By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity?			
11	A.	I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or Commission) as a			
12	Public	e Utility Analyst III. I have been employed by the Commission since October 2002.			
13	Q.	Please give a brief description of your educational background and professional			
14	exper	ience.			
15	A.	I graduated from University of South Florida in 1991 with a Bachelor of Science			
16	degre	e in Finance. I have worked for the Florida Public Service Commission for 21 years, and			
17	I have	e varied experience in the electric, gas, and water and wastewater industries. My work			
18	exper	ience includes various types of rate cases, cost recovery clauses, and utility audits. I am			
19	also a	Certified Public Accountant.			
20	Q.	Please describe your current responsibilities.			
21	A.	My responsibilities consist of planning and conducting utility audits of manual and			
22	auton	nated accounting systems for historical and forecasted data.			
23	Q.	Have you previously presented testimony before this Commission?			
24	A.	Yes. I presented testimony in several dockets before this Commission. Those dockets			
25	includ	le Dockets 2009001-EI; and 20110001-EI.			

1	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?				
2	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor staff's Auditor Report of Duke Energy				
3	Florid	a, LLC., which addresses the Utility's filing in Docket No. 20230020-EI. An Auditor's				
4	Repor	t was filed in the docket on February 16, 2024. This report is filed with my testimony				
5	and is	identified as Exhibit (TK-1).				
6	Q.	Was this audit prepared by you or under your direction?				
7	A.	Yes. It was prepared under my direction				
8	Q.	Please describe the objectives of the audit and the procedures performed during				
9	the au	dit?				
10	A.	The objectives and procedures are listed in the Objectives and Procedures section of				
11	the att	ached Exhibit (TK-1), pages 2 through 4.				
12	Q.	Were there any audit findings in the Auditor's Report (Exhibit TK-1) which				
13	addre	ss the schedules prepared by the Utility in support of its filing in Docket No.				
14	20230020-EI?					
15	A.	No.				
16	Q.	Does that conclude your testimony?				
17	A.	Yes.				
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

Docket No. 20230020-EI Auditor Report of DEF Exhibit (TK-1) pg 1 of 8

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
Bureau of Auditing

Auditor's Report

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Storm Recovery Cost Audit

January 1, 2020 through September 30, 2023

Docket No. 20230020-EI Audit Control No. 2023-285-2-1

February 13, 2024

Tomer Kopelovich
Audit Manager

Lily Sampson

Audit Staff

Donna Brown Reviewer

Table of Contents

Purpose	.1
Objectives and Procedures	.2
Audit Findings None	5
Exhibit 1: Summary of Storm Cost Recovery	6

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Division of Accounting & Finance in its audit service request dated October 9, 2023. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Duke Energy Florida, LLC, in support of its filing for rate relief in Docket No. 20230020-EI.

The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definitions

Duke Energy Florida, LLC, hereinafter referred to as DEF, Duke, and or Utility.

Incremental Costs are costs that are incremental to costs normally charged to non-cost recovery clause operating expenses in the absence of a storm.

Non-incremental Costs are costs that are not incremental to costs normally charged to non-cost recovery clause operating expenses in the absence of a storm.

Background

On September 29, 2023, DEF filed a Petition for Approval of Actual Storm Restoration Costs related to Hurricane Nicole, Ian, Elsa, Isaias, Eta and Tropical Storm Fred (the "Storms"). The Petition also included the interest on the balance of unamortized Storm Restoration Costs. In this filed petition, DEF is requesting approval of (i) DEF's actual recoverable storm restoration costs, including replenishment of the Utility's storm reserve as contemplated by the 2021 Settlement Agreement and financing costs; and (ii) the process for refunding or collecting any overcollection or shortfall in Recoverable Storm Costs at the conclusion of the interim charge previously authorized by this Commission.

DEF is seeking total retail recovery in the amount of \$431,381,000. This is pursuant to Section 366.076(1), Florida Statutes, Rules 25-6.0143 and 25-6.0431, Florida Administrative Code, and the 2021 Settlement Agreement approved by the Florida Public Service Commission in Order No. PSC-2023-0111-PCO-EI.

Expense

Payroll, Overtime, and Related Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether payroll, overhead, and related costs were properly stated, storm related, and recoverable pursuant to Rule 25-6.0143, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We scheduled payroll, overhead, and related costs by cost type and storm. We reconciled the recoverable costs for the six named storms listed in Exhibit SR-1, in the testimony filed by Shelly Ross, to general ledger Account 186-Miscellaneous Deferred Debits. We selected a statistical sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to the payroll register and allocation schedules. No exceptions were noted.

Employee Expense

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether employee expense was properly stated, storm-related, and recoverable pursuant to Rule 25-6.0143, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We scheduled employee expense by storm. We selected a statistical sample of costs for testing which included meals, travel and lodging, and traced the amounts to the invoice and/or supporting documentation. No exceptions were noted.

Contractor Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether contractor costs were properly stated, storm-related, and recoverable pursuant to Rule 25-6.0143, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We scheduled contractor costs by storm. We selected a statistical sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to the supporting documentation. No exceptions were noted.

Materials and Supplies

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether materials and supplies were properly stated, storm-related, and recoverable pursuant to Rule 25-6.0143, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We scheduled material and supplies by storm. We selected a statistical sample of costs for detail testing and traced the items to the documentation or supporting invoices. No exceptions were noted.

Internal Fleet Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether Internal Fleet costs were properly stated, storm-related, and recoverable pursuant to Rule 25-6.0143, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We scheduled internal fleet costs by storm. We selected a statistical sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to the supporting documentation. No exceptions were noted.

Other Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether other costs were properly stated, storm-related, and recoverable pursuant to Rule 25-6.0143, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We scheduled other operating costs by storm. We selected a statistical sample of costs for detail testing and traced the items to supporting invoices and documentation. No exceptions were noted.

Other

Capitalized Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether the capitalized costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143(1)(d), F.A.C.

Procedures: We requested a detailed description of the capitalized costs as well as the policies and procedures for recording these costs. We tested a sample of the capitalized costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery, only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule. No exceptions were noted.

Non-Incremental Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether the non-incremental costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs pursuant to Rule 25-6.0143, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We requested a detailed description of non-incremental costs as well as the policies and procedures for recording these costs. We selected a sample of costs for detail testing and traced the items to supporting documentation. No exceptions were noted.

Third-Party Reimbursements

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether third-party reimbursement costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs pursuant to Rule 25-6.0143 (1)(b), F.A.C.

Procedures: We requested a detail listing of any third-party reimbursements or insurance claims. We noted that there was one insurance policy pertaining to property coverage that included generation sites. The utility met the deductible for insured storm damage, so this amount was chargeable to the storm reserve per Rule 25-6.0143, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). No exceptions were noted.

Jurisdictional Factors

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether the Utility used the appropriate jurisdictional factors for the filing.

Procedures: We obtained and reviewed Order No. PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI in Docket No. 20230020-EI, issued June 28, 2021. We reconciled the jurisdictional factors to the utility filing. No exceptions were noted.

Docket No. 20230020-EI Auditor Report of DEF Exhibit (TK-1) pg 7 of 8

Audit Findings

None

Exhibit

Exhibit 1: Summary of Storm Cost Recovery

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Storm Cost Recovery Cost Summary - Storm Reserve (\$000's)

Docket No. 20230020 Exhibit SR-1 Page 1 of 1

Line No.	Description	Reference	Incremental Storm Cost	Storm Reserve Balance	
1	Reserve Balance - Retail			\$	131,848
2	Storm Coste (2020)				
3	Eta	SR-7 Line 30	-20.160		
4	Isalas	SR-6 Line 30	-259		
5	Sub-Total	5. (5 <u>C.</u> 10 50	-20,419		
6	Plus: Over-Recovery from Hurricane Dorian		3,397		
7	Plus: Amount Recovered through Storm Surcharge August 2021-December 2021		7,579		
8	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs 2020 - Retail (a)		10,976		122,405
9	Storm Costs (2021)				
10	Elsa	SR-5 Line 30	-14,609		
11	Fred	SR-4 Line 30	-155		
12	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs 2021 - Retail	Line 10 + Line 11	-14,764		107,641
13	Storm Costs (2022)				
14	lan	SR-3 Line 30	-359.576		
15	Nicole	SR-2 Line 30	-42,928		
16	Total Recoverable Restoration Costs 2022 - Retail		-402,504		(294,863)
17	Amount Required to Restore Storm Reserve to \$131.8M (b)		426,711		131,848
18	Interest on Unamortized Reserve Deficiency Balance	SR-8 Line 7	-4,670		
19	Total Storm Recovery Amount - Retail		-	\$	431,381

Notes

⁽a) An interim storm restoration recovery charge for Hurricanes Eta & Isaias was approved to begin August 2021 in Order PSC-2021-0271-PCO-EI.

This Order also approved Eta & Isaias costs to be offset by the over-recovery of storm restoration costs collected for Hurricane Dortan and Tropical Storm Nestor.

The interim storm restoration recovery charge for Eta & Isaias was suspended at the end of 2021, and the uncollected balance moved to the Storm Reserve per the Rate Mitigation Agreement approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0425-FOF-EI.

⁽b) Amount of Storm Reserve approved per 2021 Settement Order PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for limited proceeding for recovery of incremental storm restoration costs related to Hurricanes Elsa, Eta, Isaias, Ian, Nicole, and Tropical Storm Fred, by Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

DOCKET NO. 20230020-EI

DATED: March 29, 2024

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the testimony of Tomer Kopelovich on behalf of the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission was electronically filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, Florida Public Service Commission, and copies were furnished by electronic mail to the following on this 29th day of March, 2024.

Matthew R. Bernier/
Stephanie A. Cuello
Duke Energy Florida
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
matt.bernier@duke-energy.com
stephanie.cuello@duke-energy.com
FLRegulatoryLegal@dukeenergy.com

Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy Florida
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, Florida 33701
Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Charles Rehwinkel
Office of Public Counsel
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

Derrick Price Williamson, Esq./ Steven W. Lee, Esq. Spilman, Thomas, & Battle, PLLC 1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101 Mechanicsburg, PA. 17050 dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com slee@spilmanlaw.com Stephanie U. Eaton, Esq. Spilman, Thomas, & Battle, PLLC 110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500 Winston-Salem, NC. 27103 seaton@spilmanlaw.com

Suzanne Brownless
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399
sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us
discovery-gcl@psc.state.fl.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 20230020-EI Page 2

/s/ Suzanne S. Brownless_

SUZANNE S. BROWNLESS
Special Counsel, Office of the General Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Telephone: (850) 413-6199