

VOTE SHEET

JUNE 11, 2002

RE: Docket No. 001305-TP - Petition by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for arbitration of certain issues in interconnection agreement with Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.

ISSUE A: Should the Commission grant BellSouth's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Authority?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes.


APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Jaber, Baez, Palecki

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING



REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

See additional recommendation
on last page and page
before last .

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

96060 JUN 11 02

VOTE SHEET

JUNE 11, 2002

Docket No. 001305-TP - Petition by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for arbitration of certain issues in interconnection agreement with Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission grant Supra's Motion to Strike and Reply to BellSouth's Opposition to Supra's Motion for Reconsideration for a New Hearing in Docket No. 001305-TP and/or Supra's Motion for Leave to File Reply to BellSouth's Opposition to Motion to Motion to Strike, or in the Alternative, to Strike New Issues Raised in BellSouth's Opposition?

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends that Supra's Motion to Strike, as it pertains to Section VI of BellSouth's Opposition to Supra's Motion for Reconsideration for a New Hearing in Docket No. 001305-TP, be denied. As for Supra's Motion for Leave to File Reply to BellSouth's Opposition to Motion to Strike, or in the Alternative, to Strike New Issues Raised in BellSouth's Opposition, staff recommends that the Motion for Leave to File Reply be denied, but that the Motion to Strike New Issues Raised in BellSouth's Opposition, specifically those pertaining to BellSouth's request for sanctions, be granted.

APPROVED

ISSUE 2: Should the Commission grant Supra's Motion for Reconsideration of Denial of its Motion for Rehearing of Order No. PSC-02-0413-FOF-TP?

RECOMMENDATION: No. Supra has not identified a point of fact or law which was overlooked or which the Commission failed to consider in rendering its decision on these issues. Staff, therefore, recommends that the Motion be denied.

APPROVED

VOTE SHEET

JUNE 11, 2002

Docket No. 001305-TP - Petition by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for arbitration of certain issues in interconnection agreement with Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 3: Should the Commission grant Supra's Motion for Reconsideration and Clarification of Order No. PSC-02-0413-FOF-TP?

RECOMMENDATION: The Commission should grant, in part, and deny, in part, Supra's Motion for Reconsideration and Clarification of Order No. PSC-02-0413-FOF-TP, as more specifically outlined in the analysis portion of staff's May 30, 2002 memorandum.

APPROVED

ISSUE 4: Should BellSouth's Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-02-0637-PCO-TP be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: No. BellSouth has failed to identify a mistake of fact or law in the Prehearing Officer's decision. Therefore staff recommends that the Commission deny BellSouth's Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-02-0637-PCO-TP.

APPROVED

ISSUE 5: Should BellSouth's May 24, 2002, Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-02-0663-CFO-TP be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: No. BellSouth has not identified a mistake of fact or law in the Prehearing Officer's decision. Therefore, the Motion should be denied. However, in accordance with Rule 25-22.006(10), Florida Administrative Code, and Order No. PSC-02-0700-PCO-TP, issued May 23, 2002, the information should continue to retain confidential treatment through judicial review.

APPROVED

Additionally, staff recommended Supra's motion for clarification and/or reconsideration of order PSC-02-0663-CFO-TP (filed 5/31) be denied.

APPROVED

VOTE SHEET

JUNE 11, 2002

Docket No. 001305-TP - Petition by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for arbitration of certain issues in interconnection agreement with Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 6: Should Supra's Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-02-0700-PCO-TP be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: No. Supra has not identified a mistake of fact or law in the Prehearing Officer's decision.

APPROVED

ISSUE 7: Should this Docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: No. If the Commission approves staff's recommendations in Issues 2 and 4, the parties should be required to file their final interconnection agreement conforming with the Commission's arbitration decision within 14 days of the issuance of the Order from this recommendation. Thereafter, this Docket should remain open pending approval by the Commission of the filed agreement.

APPROVED

Additionally, staff recommended Supra's motion for emergency stay (filed 6/10) and second verified motion to disqualify and recuse, be denied. (filed 6/5)

APPROVED