

Kay Flynn

040009-TX

ORIGINAL

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2004 10:50 AM
To: Jackie Gilchrist
Cc: Jeremy Susac
Subject: RE: Lightyear filing (again)

I will use your e-mail as a means of opening the CLEC app docket with today's date, since the filing itself was received 12/15/03. We will also send the check to Fiscal for deposit.

Let me know if questions.

Kay

-----Original Message-----

From: Jackie Gilchrist
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2004 9:54 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: FW: Lightyear filing (again)

fyi

-----Original Message-----

From: Jeremy Susac
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2004 9:52 AM
To: Jackie Gilchrist
Subject: RE: Lightyear filing (again)

Hey Jackie:

I agree with both statements. Thanks.

Sorry for the delay - just got back from the Holidays today.

Regards,

Jeremy

-----Original Message-----

From: Jackie Gilchrist
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2004 9:11 AM
To: Jeremy Susac
Cc: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: Lightyear filing (again)

AUS
CAF
CMP
COM
CTR
ECR
GCL
OPC
MMS
SEC
OTH

Jeremy,

Am I correct that a docket should be opened to process the CLEC application? If so, then the \$250 would apply to the CLEC certification. As far as the IXC, my preference would be that a transfer docket not be opened. Please let me know if you disagree.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2004 9:05 AM
To: Jackie Gilchrist
Subject: Lightyear filing (again)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00047 JAN-5 3

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Jackie, back in December we received a filing from Swidler Berlin that was reviewed by you and Jeremy Susac, and his preliminary finding was it was an internal transfer and not to be docketed, but he would continue to review the materials....have you heard further from Jeremy? We have continued to hold the \$250 check they submitted and I do need to return it if there is definitely no need to open a transfer docket. Can you advise?

Thanks.

Kay