FILED APR 23, 2015			
			4/16/2015
FPSC	- COMMISSION CLERK	Commission Conference	1
1	FLORII	BEFORE THE DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
2			
3			
4	In the Matter of:		
5	NUCLEAR COST RECO	OVERY CLAUSEDOCKET NO. 150009-EI	
6	FUEL AND PURCHASE	D POWER COST DOCKET NO. 150001-EI	
7	RECOVERY CLAUSE W PERFORMANCE INCEN		
8		/	
9			
10			
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 5	
12	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
13	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR	
14		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN	
15		COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS	
16	DATE:	Thursday, April 16, 2015	
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148	
18		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida	
19	REPORTED BY:	Andrea Komaridis	
20		Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for	
21		the State of Florida at Large	
22			
23		PREMIER REPORTING 114 W. 5TH AVENUE	
24		TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA (850) 894-0828	
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Item No. 5.
3	MR. LAUX: Good morning, Commissioners. Item
4	No. 5 is staff's recommendation concerning Duke's
5	petition to end the Levy Project fix factors.
6	Staff notes that a group of intervenors, including
7	the Office of Public Counsel, the Florida Retail
8	Federation, and PCS Phosphate filed a joint
9	response in support of ending these factors. Staff
10	also addresses in Issue No. 1 a motion to dismiss
11	the petition filed by FIPUG.
12	I believe there are interested parties here
13	that may want to address the Commission.
14	Staff is available to answer questions or, at
15	your pleasure, go issue by issue.
16	MR. YOUNG: Commissioners, Issue 1, as
17	stated as stated, Issue 1 addresses FIPUG's
18	motion to dismiss DEF's petition. Staff recommends
19	that FIPUG's motion be denied because it fails to
20	meet the applicable standard because the DEF
21	petition states a cause of action upon which relief
22	may be granted.
23	Staff is available for any question.
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioners, I think we
25	should take up Issue No. 1 first and then we can

Florida Public Service Commission Commission Conference

1	
1	take up the rest all in bulk. If I can get a
2	motion for Issue No. 1. There has been no
3	paperwork filed for the motion to dismiss, so
4	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Move staff
5	recommendation.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Second.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: It's been moved and seconded,
8	staff recommendation on Issue No. 1.
9	All in favor say aye.
10	(Chorus of ayes.)
11	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Any opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: By your action, you have
14	approved the staff recommendation on that issue.
15	Okay. Issues 2 through is it five? Two
16	through five.
17	MR. LAUX: In Issue 2, staff recommends the
18	Commission approve ending the Levy Project fix
19	factors, thereby minimizing the potential of over-
20	collection of revenues before a final true-up of
21	actual project costs can be fully determined by the
22	Commission.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: And three.
24	MR. LAUX: In Issue 3, staff recommends the
25	Commission take no action at this time on the

Florida Public Service Commission Commission Conference

1	remainder of Duke's request since prior Commission
2	orders coupled with guidance provided by the
3	existing statutes, rules, and a 2013 settlement
4	agreement fully addresses the matter.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: And four?
6	MR. LAUX: Issue 4, staff recommends Duke
7	file Duke's filed tariff reflecting the ending
8	
	of the fix factors should be approved with an
9	effective date of April 27th, 2015, which is the
10	beginning date of the first full billing cycle in
11	the month of May.
12	Issue 5, staff recommends that these ongoing
13	dockets should remain open.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're going to go a
15	little out of order. And we'll take Mr. Moyle up
16	first to see what cars he is going to talk about.
17	MR. MOYLE: Thank you. Thank you.
18	FIPUG wants to spend a little time talking
19	about Issue Issue 3. And it also filed a motion
20	to dismiss, which you've which you've handled
21	that relates really to an issue that is front and
22	center, we believe, this \$54 million issue.
23	I say it's front and center because the first
24	sentence of Duke's petition that they filed reads,
25	"Duke Energy Florida petitions the Florida Public

1	Service Commission to approve deferral of
2	collection of the approximate 54 million currently
3	involved in litigation until such time as the
4	litigation is finalized." And it goes on. So, in
5	terms of FIPUG's position, the \$54 million is, we
6	believe, something that warrants some discussion,
7	some consideration, and your action.
8	Before I before I get into into the
9	argument, I think ultimately, we are in agreement

with staff recommendation, which is don't take any action on the 54 million. I think we differ with respect to the reasons why.

And you know, FIPUG has argued both in its pleadings and will argue today that the \$54 million issue was before this Commission and you all had evidence of it. And you took action and said ratepayers get a credit for the \$54 million.

18 And there is a PSC press release that is dated 19 October 2nd, 2004. The first sentence of that 20 document says, quote, the three-member panel of the 21 Florida Public Service Commission today ordered 22 that a credit be given to customers for \$54 million 23 in equipment that was never received for the 24 Luke -- Levy nuclear project. 25 The press release goes on and quotes

Premier Reporting

Reported by: Andrea Komaridis

1	Commissioner Brown in part and says, quote,
2	customers shouldn't have to pay for something that
3	was never delivered on. And FIPUG agrees
4	wholeheartedly with that.
5	During the discussion that previously took
6	place, you know, Commissioner Balbis, who was on
7	the Commission at that time, made a similar
8	observation and said, quote, obviously, if
9	customers never receive the equipment, it is not
10	prudent.
11	You previously looked at this issue and said,
12	you know what, this \$54 million should be credited
13	to customers. Customers should have it. It
14	doesn't make sense to charge customers for
15	equipment that was never produced, that was never
16	delivered. And we applaud that decision and ask
17	that you stand firm on that.
18	We FIPUG is concerned that this may be a
19	bit of an effort to try to breathe new life into
20	the \$54 million issues issue, which we don't
21	think is warranted. We think that that the
22	previous consideration, when you all heard heard
23	the argument, you made motions, that you issued a
24	press release, that we think you handled it and
25	you delivered a message that ratepayers should

Reported by: Andrea Komaridis

1	benefit to the tune of \$54 million.
2	Thus, we were a little concerned when the
3	first sentence in the Duke filing suggests that
4	potentially the \$54 million issue still exists and
5	is something that could come back around. We don't
6	think so. And surely, applying carrying charges,
7	applying interest to a \$54 million sum that you've
8	already signaled shouldn't shouldn't be
9	recovered is not appropriate.
10	I think really really just the essential
11	point is to underscore that, indeed, ratepayers
12	should not be charged for something that was never
13	delivered. The \$54 million credit that was
14	provided should remain unaffected. And any efforts
15	to try to breathe new life or claw back this
16	\$54 million should be resisted and not allowed to
17	move forward at this point in time.
18	So, with that, those are our comments. Thank
19	you.
20	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Moyle.
21	Mr. Rehwinkle.
22	MR. REHWINKLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	Charles Rehwinkle, Deputy Public Counsel.
24	Commissioners, the Public Counsel supports the
25	staff's recommendation. We think staff's

1	recommendation is correct. And it is in accord
2	with our response. And we urge that you vote to
3	approve it as written.
4	And I am also authorized on behalf of PCS
5	Phosphate to say they concur in the remarks that I
6	just made. Mr. Brew had some a need to stay
7	close to his family and could not be down here, but
8	otherwise would have been down here to present to
9	you today.
10	Thank you.
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
12	Mr. Wright.
13	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14	Likewise, the Florida Retail Federation
15	supports the staff's recommendation and
16	particularly the approval of the tariff provision
17	as stated in the recommendation.
18	Thank you.
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir.
20	Ms. Triplett.
21	MS. TRIPLETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22	I'm going to be brief because I, too, for Duke
23	Energy Florida we agree also with the staff
24	recommendation.
25	And a lot of the comments that Mr. Moyle made,

1	
1	we responded to in writing. So, I don't the
2	only thing I want to repeat here is that Mr. Moyle
3	ignores the very clear language in your order from
4	the last year's NCRC, which clearly states there is
5	no dispute regarding the prudence of DEF's original
6	actions or activities when it made the scheduled
7	milestone payments in 2008 and 2009 totaling the
8	approximately \$54 million.
9	So, there was no finding that the those
10	actions were imprudent. And Mr. Moyle's arguments
11	about the import of that of that order based on
12	the press release and choice statements made
13	pulled from the agenda transcript are just simply
14	wrongs legally and factually.
15	And again, we would support the staff
16	recommendation on these issues.
17	Thank you.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
19	Okay. Commissioners, any questions of staff
20	or the other parties? Commissioner Brisé.
21	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22	I just have one question for staff. In Docket
23	140009-EI, we ordered Duke to make an adjustment to
24	the 2015 forecasted expenses with the expectation
25	that it would benefit customers.

1	If we approve the petition today by Duke, how
2	would it impact customers?
3	MR. LAUX: Commissioner, your prior decision
4	concerning the \$54 million adjustment placed Duke
5	in the position to end the Levy fix factors sooner
6	than they other than they would have otherwise.
7	Staff's recommendation before you to approve that
8	is in line with that decision.
9	The impact would be that, for a residential
10	customer that is using approximately a thousand
11	kilowatt hours per month, their bill beginning in
12	May will be \$3.45 lower than it would have
13	otherwise been.
14	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So, just so that
15	I'm clear, our decision back then and our decision
16	today if we move to approve this petition is
17	consistent would be consistent and customers
18	would see a reduction in their bill.
19	MR. LAUX: Correct.
20	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Brown.
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	I agree with the intervenors' argument here
24	that this should be a ministerial function at this
25	time. I mean, this is as Commissioner Brisé

1	just pointed out, this is in conformance with our
2	prior order back in October. And really, any other
3	issues will be addressed at a later, more
4	appropriate time. So, I do support the staff
5	recommendation.
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Was that a motion?
7	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Chairman, I would
8	move staff recommendation on all items.
9	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Second.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: It's been moved and seconded
11	staff recommendations on all items I'm sorry
12	all issues on items Item No. 5.
13	Any further discussion?
14	Seeing none, all in favor say aye.
15	(Chorus of ayes.)
16	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Any opposed?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: By your action, you have
19	approved the staff recommendation on Item No. 5.
20	MR. LAUX: Thank you, Commissioners.
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
22	(Agenda item concluded.)
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	I, ANDREA KOMARIDIS, Court Reporter, do hereby
5	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
6	time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
8	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
9	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
10	and that this transcript constitutes a true
11	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
13	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
14	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
15	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
16	financially interested in the action.
17	DATED THIS 23rd day of April, 2015.
18	\frown
19	() ()
20	Calut ??
21	
22	ANDREA KOMARIDIS NOTARY PUBLIC
23	COMMISSION #EE866180 EXPIRES FEBRUARY 09, 2017
24	
25	