

**Nickalus Holmes**

---

**From:** Nickalus Holmes on behalf of Records Clerk  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 1:39 PM  
**To:** 'Pico'  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: PSC Docket 20250011

Good afternoon

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20250011, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Thank you,  
Nick Holmes  
Commission Deputy Clerk II  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
850-413-6770

*PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your email message may be subject to public disclosure.*

**From:** Pico <comichazi@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 1:26 PM  
**To:** Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>  
**Subject:** PSC Docket 20250011

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear FPSC Clerk :

I am a FL. homeowner who strongly favors reliable 24/7, low cost, and low footprint electricity. I strongly urge your support to REJECT the PSC Docket 20250011 by FP&L, Duke Energy, & TECO who have proposed a \$9 billion rate hike for solar energy development. FP&L, TECO, and Duke Energy believe it's best to support the FL Renewable Energy (ie., solar) mandate from 2004. A lot has happened since then, & continues each day, where refinements in other energy

sectors such as nuclear power & biofuel power, now support phenomenal changes to a highly diverse energy array.

This proposal is not acceptable because of FP&L's overextension in solar power, and FLP isn't increasing low-cost energy alternatives (natural gas), nor are they supporting FL's existing long-term economies and wise land use, and they are not promoting a diversity of energy types. The large space needed for this Docket proposal (192,000 acres) is beginning to infringe on Florida's longstanding cattle production, citrus farming, wildlife habitat, & crop production in the So. FL landscape. Solar farms will simply take up too much land space in what appears as open landscape (ie., ag lands & wildlife habitat). Solar power generation in FL. is maximally efficient for only 5.1 hrs of the day (DOE Berkeley Natl Lab). And to the detriment of our State & National economy, solar power components are largely made in China and this invariably drives up my overall electric bill. In the last 5 yrs., Europe and Australia have faced rolling "blackouts" due to an overreliance on solar power. Florida has very good potential for hosting Artificial Intelligence (AI) development with its current land area & a low business tax framework. However, AI absolutely requires a highly dependable, 24-hr power grid which can only be met with a rich and stable power diversity. Hence, Florida's public power providers should be working to diversify its array of power generation. Thankfully, Pres. Trump has ordered a reform of nuclear power permitting that should be operational in the very near future. This reform and the refinement of small module (nuclear) reactors have unleashed high hopes for future nuclear power development.

Duke Energy, TECO, and FP&L must be given a clear signal to redevelop a proposal that promotes nuclear & low-carbon emission, fuel sources for power development. This action would fulfill the PSC's own recommendations identified in the April 2025 Feasibility Report to advance Nuclear Power in FL. The 2024 Workshop on Nuclear Feasibility engaged numerous state & federal officials including those with technical expertise in nuclear power and it aligns well with Florida's energy policy (F.S. 377.602). Your leadership necessitates that public utilities and all FL utilities should be developing a more diverse power sources with more natural gas plants built with US components and sources...all of which are cost efficient and American made. Thus, I urge your support to REJECT this Docket proposal (20250011) outright with no compromise and to urge these public utilities to redesign their proposal to develop more reliable and abundant, low-cost power diversity alternatives which will benefit FL businesses and current & future ratepayers.

Sincerely,  
Duane Trochessett  
311 23rd St SW  
Naples, FL