10513-90

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	ROGER HOWE, Office of the Public Counsel,
3	Claude Pepper Building, Room 812, 111 West Madison
4	Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, Telephone No.
5	(904) 488-9330.
6	EDWARD A. TELLECHEA and M. ROBERT CHRIST,
7	Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Legal
8	Services, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida
9	32399-0850, Telephone No. (904) 487-2740, appearing on
10	behalf of the Commission Staff.
11	CINDY MILLER, Florida Public Service
12	Commission, Division of Appeals, 101 East Gaines
13	Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0861, Telephone No
14	(904) 247-7464, Counsel to the Commissioners.
15	
16	
17	REPORTED BY: JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR
18	Official Commission Reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	3
1	PROCEEDINGS
Ī.	
2	(Hearing convened at 3:30 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Call the hearing to order.
4	We're here on a motion to postpone hearing and
5	reschedule CSAR dates, filed by the Public Counsel.
6	Take appearances of counsel.
7	MR. HOWE: I'm Roger Howe with the Office of
8	Public Counsel.
9	MR. CHILDS: Matthew Childs of the firm of
10	Steel, Hector and Davis, appearing on behalf of Florida
11	Power and Light Company.
12	MS. KAUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Lawson,
13	McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves, appearing on behalf of
14	Nassau Power Corporation.
15	MR. CHRIST: I'm Bob Christ on behalf of
16	Commission Staff.
17	MR. TELLECHEA: Ed Tellechea, Staff counsel.
18	MS. MILLER: Cindy Miller on behalf of the
19	Commission.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Do we need to read a notice any more than just commenting what we're here on? All right, Mr. Howe, it's your ball.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HOWE: Chairman Wilson, we had requested a postponement of a hearing and rescheduling of CSAR dates for several reasons. Mainly because this case

is on a very fast track. It does appear to me to be on such a fast track, and expedited consideration would not be fair to any of the parties concerned.

What Florida Power and Light has filed thus far is a Letter of Intent to purchase Georgia Power Company's Scherer Unit No. 4. The Letter of Intent expresses the party's intentions, and how they think they will proceed but it doesn't provide any concrete information. The Letter of Intent also explicitly states that it shall not be binding on the parties. So the procedure the Commission is following in this case if it sticks to the dates in the Case Assignment and Scheduling Record would have the Commission go to hearings on December 11, 12 and 13th without having before it the definitive agreements between the parties.

The Letter of Intent states explicitly that
it is contingent upon the parties entering into
definitive agreements by December 31st, 1990. So the
procedures followed by the Commission, under the
current schedule, would have the Commission hearings on
December 11 through 13 without having those definitive
agreements before it. We have the Commission voting on
February 5th, of 1991 after the definitive agreements
have been entered into, but without any record based on

those agreements.

The Letter of Intent states that Florida

Power and Light, Georgia Power, JEA and Southern

Companies wish to have a decision as expeditiously as possible. But it appears that the drop-dead date is June 30th of 1991. The Letter of Intent contemplates that if decisions are not received from regulatory agencies by the end of this year, that Florida Power and Light will enter into unit power sales arrangements with Georgia Power, and those will continue in place until a final approval is received or until June 30th, 1991.

Since the critical date is June 30th of 1991, there appears to be no reason for the Commission to hurry to vote by February 5th of 1991, which would be four months in advance of any evident drop-dead date, and would also require the Commission to vote on a record that does not include the definitive agreements. On that basis, we request that the hearing be postponed and the CSAR date be rescheduled.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Ms. Kaufman, I believe you filed something in support of Public Counsel's motion; joined in it.

MS. KAUFMAN: We did, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Do you have any further

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

argument?

MS. KAUFMAN: Yes, I do. As you noted, we joined in Public Counsel's motion. We agreed that the expedited schedule that's being followed in this case is not only prejudicial to the parties but it's unnecessary.

As Mr. Howe pointed out, what I guess we're now referring to the drop-dead date, is June 30th, 1991; over six months away. If the Commission proceeds under the current schedule, they will not reach the December 31, 1990 date that Florida Power and Light had requested. They won't vote, as Mr. Howe pointed out, until February 5th. We believe that there is plenty of time before June 30th, 1991 in order to accommodate a more reasonable schedule in this case.

I think that what's clear from what's been filed in this case so far, and that would include the pre-prehearing conference that the parties attended in which I believe approximately 22 issues were identified, is that the issues in this case are very complex. And that they are going to require some detailed analysis in order for an informed decision to be made; and, therefore, we would join in Public Counsel's motion that a more reasonable schedule be followed in this case. And I think we can do that and

still accommodate the June 30th date. Thank you.

MR. CHILDS: Let me try to respond to those.

Commissioner, we filed our petition on

September the 28th, 1990. And with that petition, we

filed the prepared testimony of Messrs. Woody, Shapiro,

Dennis, Waters and Gower.

In the petition, we asked for expedited consideration, and we filed the testimony of our witnesses with the petition in order to support the expedited consideration that we requested. And I make that point because I think that in terms of the argument that the issues are complex, and that parties need additional time, that the parties have had two months at least since the petition was filed with all of the testimony. I don't think that it is all that unusual for the Commission to permit or to have a hearing held 2 or 2-1/2 months after testimony is filed.

The other thing that I want to address in terms of the prejudice to the parties in getting prepared is the time between our filing, both of our petition and the testimony, for discovery and that was the point that was made. I think it's implicit when someone says it's prejudice, and I believe it was in the Office of Public Counsel's original filing.

We had a copy of the filing hand delivered to
the Office of Public Counsel on September 28th when we
filed. They did file to intervene not quite a month
later on the 22nd of October. On the day that they
filed their Motion to Postpone the proceeding, that was
the first day that they served us with discovery.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: What date was that?

MR. CHILDS: 11-9. November 9. They served
us with, counting all the parts, 43 interrogatories.

Those were mailed. On the 15th of November they served
us with another 68 interrogatories. I believe that

Public Counsel's intention is to conduct between five
and seven depositions between now and the time we go to
hearing. I believe we can accommodate them.

I don't want to be critical of their position

because I know that it's difficult getting prepared for

a hearing, but I think there's been substantial time,

and I think that, in fact, the burden has been shifted

back to FPL because now we're facing really a substantial

effort to try to answer the interrogatories, make our

people available for depositions, cover those depositions

very shortly before we're going to hearing. We have made

extreme effort to expedite responses to the interrogatories so that they are delivered just as fast as we have

them and we have done so, so far. I don't have the tally

as to those that we've given early, but we have been able to give some early and try to respond as promptly as we can.

I want to come back to the comment about the drop-dead date, and the argument that maybe you don't need to do it -- have the hearing as currently scheduled.

In our petition and in the Letter of Intent
that we attached to that petition, we note that the
approvals associated with this transaction will include
those by the Securities and Exchange Commission and by
the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the FERC.

It's not a transaction where it's simply okay
if the Public Service Commission says it's all right,
we'll go ahead with it. There are other approvals
required. Those approvals are going to be necessary
before there can be a closing by June 30 of next year.

The hearing date -- and Public Counsel is right in talking to you about a vote on February 5th.

That's when I believe your CSAR schedules the vote. If we move the hearing, we move back, I believe, all other times from the date the hearing is held. And I think we put a real threat of not having the proceeding concluded, and not having a final order from this Commission in time. And I realize, and I hope you take

it just as argument when I say this, but Florida Power and Light Company firmly believes this is an extremely attractive alternative for it to pursue. It would be, I believe, disadvantaged if the schedule is changed to put pressure on the ability to close this transaction. And I say it's argument because I'm not suggesting any prejudging. But this is our thinking, is that it would put extreme pressure on the ability to close the transaction and to keep what we believe is a favorable transaction available to FPL and its customers.

And for those reasons, I would urge you to conclude that there has not been a prejudice transferred to the other parties because of the schedule. And that, in fact, a delay of this proceeding could increase significantly the risk of the transaction continuing to be available.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: What part of the discovery have you been able to respond to at this point? Have you done all the November 9th interrogatories, have you responded to all of those as of yet?

MR. CHILDS: The November 9 is -- I think our schedule was to have -- we haven't filed any of those that were served on us on the 9th. My notes reflect that seven of those, and that does not include the sub

parts, were supposed to be completed by today for routing, but those would really not be responded to until, according to my information, December the 12th, which in the midst of the hearing. But we will have them before the hearing.

Commissioner, I'm sorry, I concluded but there is one thing I would like to add, if I could, on the argument.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: (Nods head)

MR. CHILDS: The comment about not having the definitive agreements, and it is clear that the parties, Florida Power and Light Company and Georgia Power, duly contemplate having definitive agreements. We think we're going to have them before the hearing. On the other hand, I think it's important to consider that the decisions that are presented to this Commission for consideration, or the evaluations in the planning process, are routinely made upon the basis of estimates of what technology to select, the costs associated with it, the operating parameters associated with those, and comparison process without there being definitive agreements. And I realize that they are not definitive agreements and we wish they were.

But on the other hand, we felt that in view of the time schedule that we had and in view of what we

think is certainty as to those items that need to be resolved between the parties and the potential significance of those items as to the overall price, and terms and conditions, we can give the Commission and the parties a very good view of why we believe this is a proper alternative and why it is, when looked at by others, the proper alternative.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Ms. Kaufman, have you filed any discovery?

MS. KAUFMAN: We have not, Chairman Wilson.

We are scheduling depositions now. If I might comment
on that. One reason I believe that Nassau, and the
main reason that Nassau did not intervene in this
proceeding sooner is that, if you'll recall, the
Commission just voted on November 1 in regard to our
contract. And so part of that time we were not even
sure what the status of our 435 megawatt contract was.
And we did not want to expend the resources to become
involved in this proceeding unless we determined that
it was absolutely necessary. So we have not filed any
interrogatories or requests for production, but we are
attempting to schedule depositions now.

MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, we have responded.

The Staff started their discovery a little earlier.

Staff, so far as I know, has three sets of

interrogatories outstanding, and they started on the
2 26th of October. We have responded to eight of the
3 first 18. We've responded to two of the second 12, and
4 three, I believe, of the third set, which included
5 three questions, and intend to be able to respond to
6 the remainder by tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Ms. Kaufman, do you intend to sponsor a witness?

MS. KAUFMAN: Yes, Chairman Wilson, we have filed, in accordance with the CASR, some very brief testimony. We filed that on Wednesday. Certainly it's by no means the type of analysis we would do if we had sufficient time to do discovery and to do a complete analysis of the testimony of Florida Power and Light.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Mr. Howe, have you filed testimony as well?

MR. HOWE: Yes. We have filed the testimony of two individuals, Carlton Partells, from Energy Resources System Group, PSRG. I'm more familiar with the acronym, and from Schef Wright.

In each of those testimonies the witnesses
state that they, as our office is, are basically forced
to oppose this because the data available at this time
is insufficient. Whether we will ultimately be in
opposition to Florida Power and Light's purchase of

Scherer Unit No. 4, we don't know. It depends on whether it does turn out to be the best deal for the customers.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Do you have any concluding argument?

MR. HOWE: Yes, Chairman Wilson. I'd like to point out to you this is not a normal circumstance.

We're dealing here with a utility that has its load center towards the southern part of Florida. The Scherer Unit No. 4 is north of Macon, Georgia. It's a long ways away from a load center.

There is also the fact that we're being asked to proceed on a Letter of Intent that by its own terms says there will be more definitive agreements. I point out some of those agreements that are not normal incidents of additional plant, as Mr. Childs mentioned.

There is to be a definitive agreement on the purchase of the unit; a definitive agreement on the transmission expansion service to get the power to Florida, to get it through JEA to Florida Power and Light; an operation and maintenance agreement; a fuel supply agreement; a unit power sales agreement and an assignment of JEA's UPS obligation to Southern Company to Florida Power and Light. These are all the definitive agreements that are going to be -- that are

	identified in the Letter of Intent. And so I don't
2	believe this is in the nature of a normal plant
3	addition. Additionally, the capacity is identified as
4	being necessary in 1996. And we're dealing with
	additions of capacity to Florida Power and Light's rate
6	base in 1991.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: When will -- if this

current time schedule is maintained, would you be able

to complete your responses to the interrogatories that

have been filed by the parties? First of all, Mr. Howe,

do you anticipate any further interrogatories being filed?

MR. HOWE: Perhaps I should state we've also -- interrogatories have been filed by the Coalition of Local Governments also.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: They are not here.

MR. HOWE: I don't believe they are here today. And they have also filed testimony.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: They are still not here.

MR. HOWE: I may be filing discovery on their evidentiary presentation through Mr. Wells, Pat Wells, who's gone into some detail in the fuel supply decisions which haven't been addressed in detail yet in the discovery.

In conversation with Mr. Childs, I guess it was last Wednesday before the Thanksgiving holiday, I

1	stated I would like to schedule depositions as quickly
2	as possible. It would be our intent to depose three of
3	the four witnesses who have prefiled testimony as well
4	as Mr. Usery of Southern Company Services and Mr.
5	Williams of Georgia Power, the two other individuals
6	who signed the Letter of Intent.
7	CHAIRMAN WILSON: All right. And when will
8	those take place?
9	MR. HOWE: We haven't set a date as yet. One
10	of the things we discussed, I believe, was that next
11	week some of Florida Power and Light's witnesses are
12	going to be in the ICL hearings. Is that correct?
13	MR. CHILDS: Would you like to do them this
14	week all on one day?
15	MR. HOWE: Yeah. I said that we could try to
16	do them this week. I think we will need close to one
17	day for each.
18	MR. CHILDS: Make them all available if
19	you have the people to take the depositions, we'll make
20	them all available.
21	MR. HOWE: Okay. We could perhaps then
22	schedule them for this week. Now, what comes out of
23	those depositions, I'm sure you're familiar with
24	aware that documents may be referred to, individuals

may be referred to that necessitates further deposition

25

and discovery.

MS. KAUFMAN: Chairman Wilson, Nassau would point out that they are involved heavily in the Indiantown hearing that's scheduled for next week. And I'm not sure what our availability will be to dispatch personal to depositions in the Scherer case the week preceding that other hearing.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: I have the same problem,

I'm involved in them too.

When Mr. Childs do you -- can you commit to -- if we maintain the current schedule, responding to interrogatories, discovery that had been filed by the parties?

MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, I don't have a commitment date. I will put it -- try to explain it this way: One of the requests that -- Mr. Howe mentioned the Coalition of Local Governments, I think that's due the 24th, which is after the hearing. The second set of Public Counsel is due on the 14th and the first is due on the 12th, which is, you know, right in the middle of the hearing. We intend to make all those answers available prior to the commencement of the hearing and as soon as possible. We have I can't say that I'll have all the answers by this Friday. I'd like to but if their answers are completed and

available we will.

This is a substantial number of interrogatories.

Over hundred interrogatories by the Office of Public

Counsel, so it takes a significant amount of effort, but

we will have them before the hearing, and as soon as

possible.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Were the interrogatories served on the 13th mailed or hand delivered?

MR. CHILDS: The interrogatories, the first set, was dated the 9th of November and they were mailed.

MR. HOWE: If I might on that point, we did
mail them but I'm just operating on representations -I had my secretary call and I thought, Matt, that she
had arranged for somebody from your office to come over
and pick them up.

MR. CHILDS: No. Roger, I don't know that she called. I know they were mailed and I got them stamped in on the 12th.

MR. HOWE: I apologize.

MR. CHILDS: And the second set was hand delivered, they're dated the 15th. That's when we got them.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Is the second set mailed or hand delivered.

Hand delivered. MR. CHILDS: 1 MR. HOWE: I think both. We mailed a copy 2 and then what we do is we have called your office and asked that you be nice enough to send somebody over to 5 pick them up. That's hand delivered? MR. CHILDS: 6 MR. HOWE: I think that's how it works. 7 MR. CHILDS: And we do it, right. MR. HOWE: As far as I know. I never 9 questioned it. (Laughter) 10 11 CHAIRMAN WILSON: Well, I haven't heard anything that would compel postponing the hearing. 12 I'm taking, Mr. Childs, your representations 13 to be a commitment to provide the answers to these 14 interrogatories and this discovery prior to hearing. 15 During the hearing, if as a result of information that 16 has come out in discovery or interrogatories, the 17 witnesses for either Public Counsel or Nassau Power 18 19 must go beyond the originally filed testimony, we will be mindful of the fact you have had discovery come in 20 prior to the filing of that testimony, and we'll be 21 lenient with respect to that. Otherwise we're going to 22 stick to this schedule. 23

CHATRMAN WILSON: So the motion is denied.

MR. CHILDS: Thank you.

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Thank you all. Mr. Childs, that also includes a commitment 2 that as interrogatories or discovery is ready, it would be forwarded to Public Counsel or to Nassau Power and not wait until the last moment or until everything is ready at one time. But as individual items are ready, 6 you would provide that to the parties. 7 MR. TELLECHEA: I'd like the record to 8 reflect that I notified everyone by telephone -- was it 9 last -- actually the week before last that there would 10 be oral arguments held today. All parties were 11 notified. 12 CHAIRMAN WILSON: I should have called on you 13 earlier to determine what kind of notice had been given 14 for this. I appreciate your reminding me. Okay. 15 Thank you. We're adjourned. 16 (Whereupon, hearing adjourned at 4:00 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22

23

24

25

1	F L O R I D A) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	I, JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR, Official Commission
4	Reporter
5	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Oral Argument, in the
6	captioned matter, Docket No. 900796-EI, was heard by the
7	Florida Public Service Commission Prehearing Officer at
8	the time and place herein stated; it is further
9	CERTIFIED that I reported in shorthand the
10	proceedings held at such time and place; that the same has
11	been transcribed under my direct supervision, and that
12	this transcript, consisting of 20 pages, constitutes a
13	true and accurate transcription of my notes of said
14	proceedings; it is further
15	CERTIFIED that I am neither of counsel nor
16	related to the parties in said cause and have no interest,
17	financial or otherwise, in the outcome of this docket.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
19	at Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida, this 28th day of
20	November, A.D., 1990.
21	Jon Kelly
22	JOY KEYLY CSR, KPR OFFICIAL COMMISSION REPORTER
23	FPSC Bureau of Reporting Fletcher Building, Room 264
24	101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301-0871
25	Telephone No. (904) 488-5980