HOPPING BOYD GREEN & SAMS

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

CARLOS ALVAREZ

JAMES S. ALVES

BRIAN H. BIBEAU

ELIZABETH C. BOWMAN

ELIZABETH C. BOWMAN WILLIAM L. BOYD, IV RICHARD S. BRIGHTMAN

PETER C. CUNNINGHAM THOMAS M. DEROSE WILLIAM H. GREEN WADE L. HOPPING FRANK E. MATTHEWS

RICHARD D. MELSON RECEIVED & FILED

CAROLYN S. RAEPPLE GARY P. SAMS

CHERYL G. STUART FPSC-BULEAU OF RECORDS

FING BOYD OREEN & SAM

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET POST OFFICE BOX 6526

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32314

(904) 222-7500 FAX (904) 224-8551

March 20, 1991

KATHLEEN BLIZZARD
RICHARD W MOORE
ANGELA R MORRISON
MARIBEL N NICHOLSON
DIANA M PARKER
LAURA BOYD PEARCE
GAR / V PERKO
MICHAEL P. PETROVICH
DAVID L POWELL
DOUGLAS S. ROBERTS
CECELIA C SMITH

OF COUNSEL W. ROBERT FOKES

Mr. Steve C. Tribble
Director
Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Re: Docket No. 910060-TP -- MCI Response to Staff Data Request dated February 19, 1991 --Request for Confidential Classification

Dear Mr. Tribble:

Enclosed in the attached sealed envelope is one copy of the two page confidential response of MCI Telecommunications Corporation ("MCI") to the Staff's February 19, 1991 data request in the above docket. The confidential portion of the information has been highlighted on that copy. Also attached are two edited copies of the response from which the confidential material has been eliminated.

Pursuant to Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes (Supp. 1990) and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, MCI requests that the Commission grant confidential classification to MCI's response to this data request.

I. <u>Identification of Confidential Information</u>

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.006(4), the following is an identification the material for which specified confidential classification is being sought:

O2802 MAR 20 1991
-PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Mr. Steve C. Tribble March 20, 1991 Page 2

The names and addresses of MCI's 900/976 information providers/customers. This information appears at lines 23 through 50 of page 1 and lines 1 through 50 of page 2 of MCI's response to the data request.

II. Justification for Confidential Classification

In accordance with Section 350.121, Florida Statutes (1989) and Section 364.183, Florida Statutes (Supp. 1990), and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, the material requested by the Staff should be classified as confidential material that is exempt from Section 119.07(1), Florida Statutes.

This material consists of the names and addresses of MCI's customers for a competitive service offering. As such, this information is "proprietary confidential business information" of MCI within the meaning of Section 364.183(3), Florida Statutes (Supp. 1990) In particular, this information constitutes "trade secrets" of MCI under Section 364.183(3)(a) and "information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of the information [MCI]" under Section 364.183(3)(e).

This compilation of customer information is owned or controlled by MCI within the meaning of Section 364.183(3); is intended to be and is treated by MCI as confidential information; and has not been disclosed to the public in a compiled form.

The public disclosure of the names and addresses of MCI's 900/976 customers in this compiled form would provide MCI's competitors with valuable market data which could be used to MCI's competitive detriment. Customer lists are jealously guarded in competitive markets as trade secrets. If such a customer list became available to competitors of MCI, it could be used by such competitors for targeted sales and marketing efforts designed to lure those customers away from MCI. Morevoer, to the extent that an analysis of the names and addresses of MCI's customers may reveal MCI's relative success in penetrating various portions of the 900/976 market, it could enable a competitor to derive valuable market information regarding the success of MCI's marketing and sales strategies.

Mr. Steve C. Tribble March 20, 1991 Page 3

The foregoing examples of the way that the proprietary customer list could be used by a competitor is illustrative and not exhaustive. In a market with multiple providers of a competitive service, a compiled customer list can be used by other providers in a variety of ways to attempt to market the competitor's services and to frame marketplace responses to the disclosing company's revealed strengths or weaknesses.

While a retail customer of MCI (or a competitor of MCI) may be able to identify one or more of the entities shown on the customer list by a review of MCI or LEC billing statements, it would be costly and time-consuming, and perhaps impossible, to compile a complete customer list through such secondary means. The incidental disclosure of this information on an uncompiled basis therefore does not lessen the need for protection of the compiled list.

MCI understands that the Staff intends to use this information to contact specific 900/976 customers to solicit data for preparation of an economic impact statement. So long as the Staff is requesting such information from the 900/976 customers of all IXCs from whom it has obtained customer list information, MCI does not object to such use of the information since it would not result in public disclosure of MCI-specific customer data. Nevertheless, for the reasons set forth above, such limited use of the information does not require or justify placing the compiled list in the public record.

III. Duration of Confidentiality

Because of the dynamic nature of competition in the state of Florida, MCI is unable to provide a date by which the material contained in the responses to this data request would no longer be proprietary and confidential business information. MCI therefore requests that the information be held confidential for the full 18 month period permitted by Section 364.183(4), with the right for MCI to renew the request at the end of that period if the information has not been returned to MCI prior to that date.

Mr. Steve C. Tribble March 20, 1991 Page 4

IV. Disposition of Materials

MCI requests that the attached information be returned to it after the Staff's use of that information is complete.

V. Conclusion

Based upon the above, the material provided by MCI in the response to the Staff's February 21, 1991 data request to MCI should be classified as confidential material and exempt from public disclosure.

Very truly yours,

Res D. Mes

Richard D. Melson

Attorney for MCI Telecommunications Corporation

RDM/cm Enclosures

cc: Ann Hinson Shelfer
 Parties of Record
 (w/o confidential enclosure)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent by U.S. Mail to the following this 20th day of March, 1991.

Harris R. Anthony
E. Barlow Keener
Southern Bell Telephone Co.
c/o Marshall Criser, III
150 So. Monroe Street
Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301

David Smith
Division of Legal Services
Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Thomas Parker GTE florida, Inc. P. O. Box 110, MC7 Tampa, FL 33601

Floyd Self
Messer, Vickers, Caparello
French, Madsen & Lewis
P.O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Alan Berg United Telephone Company P.O. Box 5000 Altamonte Springs, FL 32716

Robert A. Butterworth Attorney General State of Florida Department of Legal Affairs The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050 Tracy Hatch
Division of Legal Services
Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

David Erwin
Mason, Erwin & Horton
1311-A Paul Russell Road
Suite 101
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Lee Willis
Ausley, McMullen, McGehee,
Carothers & Proctor
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Jack Shreve
Charles Beck
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Joe Gillan Gillan Associates P.O. Box 541038 Orlando, FL 32854-1038

Andrew D. Lipman Jean L. Kiddoo Robert G. Berger Swidler & Berlin 3000 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007 Nycom Information Services Attn: Jodi DelVecchio 5 High Ridge Park Stanford, CT 06905

D. Bruce May Holland & Knight P.O. Drawer 810 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Michael W. Tye AT&T Telecommunications Suite 1400 106 East College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301

Attorney

MEMORANDUM

2	/20	/ Q 1	
J	120	/ 71	

TO:	DIVISION OF APPEALS (OPR) DIVISION OF AUDITING AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS DIVISION OF RESEARCH DIVISION OF WATER AND SEWER DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES
FROM:	DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (FLYNN)
RE:	CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN INFORMATION
	DOCUMENT NO.: 2803-91
	DESCRIPTION: Response to Staff's 2/19/91 Data Request
	SOURCE: MCI Telecommunications Corporation
	DOCKET NO.: 910060-TP
the attand for memoral must prompt to should	The above material was received with a request for entiality (attached). Please prepare a recommendation for torney assigned to the case by completing the section below rwarding a copy of this memorandum, together with a brief ndum supporting your recommendation, to the attorney. You repare and forward a recommendation within 10 working days he date of this memorandum. Copies of your recommendation also be provided to the Division of Records and Reporting the Division of Appeals.
	Please read each of the following and check if applicable.
	The document(s) is (are), in fact, what the utility asserts it (them) to be.
	The utility has provided enough details to perform a reasoned analysis of its request.