

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition to Resolve) DOCKET NO. 920214-EU
Territorial Dispute Between) FILED: 8/3/92
Talquin Electric Cooperative,)
Inc. and Town of Havana.)
_____)

ORIGINAL
FILE COPY

STAFF'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-92-0216-OCO-EU, the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission files its Prehearing Statement.

a. All Known Witnesses

None.

b. All Known Exhibits

None at this time.

c. Staff's Statement of Basic Position

No position at this time.

d. Staff's Position on the Issues

ISSUE 1: What is the geographical description of the disputed area?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2: What is the nature of the disputed area, including population and the type of utilities seeking to serve it, and degree of urbanization of the area and its proximity to other urban areas, and the present and reasonably foreseeable future requirements of the area for other utility services?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 3: Are there other areas of potential conflict between Talquin Electric and the Town of Havana?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ACK _____
AFA _____
APP _____
CAF _____
CMU _____
CTP _____
EWS _____
LCS _____
① _____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
514 8/3/92
PSC-RECORDS

ISSUE 4: Which utility has historically served the area?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 5: What is the location, purpose, type, and capacity of each utility's facilities existing as of the filing of the petition by Talquin Electric Cooperative, Inc.? When were such facilities in the disputed area constructed?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 6: What is the expected customer load and energy growth in the disputed area?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 7: Does either company have any facilities for the provision of electricity within the disputed area?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 8: What additional facilities would each party have to build in order to provide service to the disputed area?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 9: How long would it take for each party to provide service to the disputed area?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 10: What is the ability of each utility to extend existing facilities to the area in question?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 11: Is each utility capable of providing adequate and reliable electric service to the disputed area?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 12: Has unnecessary and uneconomic duplication of electric facilities taken place in the vicinity of the disputed area or in other areas of potential dispute between the parties?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 13: What would be the cost to each utility to provide electric service to the disputed area?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 14: What would be the cost to each utility if it were not permitted to serve the area in dispute?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 15: What would be the effect on each utility's ratepayers if it were not permitted to serve the disputed area?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 16: If all other things are equal, what is the customer preference in the disputed area?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 17: Are the parties bound by a territorial agreement?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 18: Which party should be awarded the service area in dispute?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 19: Should this docket be closed?

POSITION: No position at this time.

e. Pending Motions

None.

f. Compliance with Order No. PSC-92-0216-OCO-EU

Staff knows of no requirements set forth in Order No. PSC-92-0216-OCO-EU with which it cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of August, 1992.



MARY ANNE BIRCHFIELD
Staff Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
101 East Gaines Street
Fletcher Building - Room 226
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863
(904) 487-2740

MAB:bmi
920214ps.bmi