

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution by City ) DOCKET NO. 920642-TL  
Council of Port Richey for ) ORDER NO. PSC-92-1330-PCO-TL  
extended area service between ) ISSUED: 11/17/92  
the Hudson exchange and Tarpon )  
Springs, Clearwater, St. )  
Petersburg, and Tampa exchanges; )  
also between the New Port )  
Richey and Clearwater, St. )  
Petersburg and Tampa exchanges. )

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

By Order No. PSC-92-0822-PCO-TL, issued August 17, 1992, we directed GTE Florida Incorporated (GTEFL), BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell), and United Telephone Company of Florida (United) to perform certain traffic studies. We ordered the companies to perform these traffic studies so that we could further evaluate Resolution No. 92-5 filed with this Commission by the City Council of the City of Port Richey and Resolution No. 92-233 filed by the Board of County Commissioners of Pasco County. These Resolutions requested that we consider requiring implementation of extended area service (EAS) between all exchanges in Pasco County and the St. Petersburg and Tampa exchanges. The companies were directed to prepare and submit the studies to us within 60 days of the issuance date of Order No. PSC-92-0822-PCO-TL, making the studies due by October 16, 1992.

On August 28, 1992, GTEFL filed a Motion for Extension of Time requesting an extension through and including November 16, 1992, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies. GTEFL's request was granted by Order No. PSC-92-1209-PCO-TL, issued October 26, 1992.

Subsequently, it came to our attention that United was inadvertently left off the mailing list for this docket and was not furnished a copy of Order No. PSC-92-0822-PCO-TL at the time it was issued. In recognition of this omission, the traffic study order was reissued as to United only, by Order No. PSC-92-1229-PCO-TL, issued October 30, 1992. The terms of that Order require that United prepare and submit the necessary traffic studies by December 29, 1992.

On October 28, 1992, United filed a Motion for Extension of Time requesting an extension through and including January 18, 1993, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

13535 NOV 17 1992

REG. PROCS./REPORTING

ORDER NO. PsC-92-1330-PCO-TL  
DOCKET NO. 920642-TL  
PAGE 2

As grounds for its request, United states that additional time is needed to provide a full month of experience under the \$.25 plan on the Trillacoochee to Brooksville, Dade City to Brooksville, and San Antonio to Brooksville routes which must be included in the traffic studies.

Upon consideration, I find it appropriate to grant United's Motion. Accordingly, United shall be granted an extension of time until the close of business on January 18, 1993, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Betty Easley, as Prehearing Officer, that the Motion for Extension of Time filed on October 28, 1992, by United Telephone Company of Florida is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that United Telephone Company of Florida shall file the required traffic study data on or before January 18, 1993.

By ORDER of Commissioner Betty Easley, as Prehearing Officer, this 17th day of November, 1992.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
BETTY EASLEY, Commissioner  
and Prehearing Officer

( S E A L )

ABG

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that

ORDER NO. PSC-92-1330-PCO-TL  
DOCKET NO. 920642-TL  
PAGE 3

is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.