## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

```
In re: Application for rate
increase in Brevard, Charlotte,
Lee, Citrus, Clay, Duval
Highlands, Lake, Marion, Martin,
Nassau, Orange, Osceola, Pasco,
Putnam, Seminole, Volusia, and
Washington Counties by
SOUTHERN STATES UTILITIES, INC.,
Collier County by MARCO SHORES
UTILITIES (Deltona); Hernando
County by SPRING HILL UTILITIES
(Deltona); and Volusia County by
DELTONA LAKES UTILITIES (Deltona).
```

Docket No. 920199-WS
Order No.
PSC-93-0423-FOF-WS
Issued: 3-22-93

## COVA'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Cypress and Oak Villages Association ("COVA") of Homosassa hereby moves for reconsideration of PSC Order No. 93-0423-FOF-WS, issued March 22, 1993 and as grounds for this motion would state:

1. Neither COVA nor any of the customers of Southern States Utilities were given adequate notice of the issue of statewide uniform rates and the criteria that would be considered by the PSC and its staff in authorizing uniform statewide rates.
(a) The company's minimum filing requirements did not request uniform rates.
(b) The billing insert sent to customers did not advise them of the manner in which the rate adjustment would affect them;
(c) The information distributed to the news media was misleading;
(d) The PSC has issued numerous orders requiring rulemaking prior to imposition of uniform rates, and discussing the factors that should be considered before adopting uniform rates, but departed from those rulings in the present case, without
adequate notice to persons relying on prior rulings in Order Nos. 21202, 21631 and 24715.
(e) No party to this case, other than PSC staff, advocated uniform rates, and the staff did not give notice that it would advocate uniform rates at the hearing.
(f) COVA as an intervenor received schedules showing the impact of uniform rates only upon receipt of the PSC staff recommendation after the formal hearing, and after COVA had submitted all allowable evidence and briefs.
2. The PSC lacks statutory authority to set rates across system boundaries on a statewide, uniform basis. Even if the PSC had statutory authority to do so, its current reasoning constitutes a reversal of many years of statutory interpretation, of which the legislature presumptively approved in light of its failure to amend the statute to call for uniform rates. Brennan v. General Telephone Co. of Florida; 488 F.2d 157 (5th Cir. 1973); Austin V. Austin, 350 So.2d 102 (Fla. 1st DCA 1977), cert. denied 357 So.2d 184.
3. The present order may be characterized as "free wheeling policy making." Agency policy is properly established only through rule making proceedings, as the commission has recognized in the prior orders directing staff to initiate rulemaking. MacDonald v. Division of Banking and Finance, 360 So.2d 1116 (Fla. 1st DCA 1979).
4. As it applies to the Sugarmill Woods water and sewer system, the implementation of statewide uniform rates is in
violation of the doctrine of administrative res judicata. In Docket No. 88-1339-WS, COVA intervened on behalf of customers in Sugarmill Woods, to challenge the transfer of the Twin County Utility system to Southern States Utilities based on the potential implementation of countywide uniform rates, which would be prejudicial to COVA members in light of their high service availability fees (CIAC). The Commission disregarded COVA's concern on the basis that "we do not find it appropriate at this time to combine this system with other Southern States systems for rate making purposes." Order No. 21631, Page 9. In contemporaneous rule making proceedings, of which the customers and or COVA received notice, the commission indicated that it would address the subject of uniform statewide rates through rule making proceedings, Order No. 21202.
5. The commission's "policy" to encourage larger utilities to acquire small, troubled utilities has not been adopted through proper proceedings, and if squarely addressed, would be shown to be a policy that results in unfair, unreasonable and discriminatory rates on a statewide uniform basis. These acquisitions always work to the existing customers' detriment. Every time a new substandard system is acquired, it harms current customers of the utility because the cost of improving the system will be spread among them. The policy is therefore arbitrary and capricious and contrary to \$367.081, F.S. Examples of this effect are shown on Schedule 8 attached.
6. There was no evidence before the commission to support its
findings concerning administrative efficiencies, rate stability, avoidance of rate shock, savings on rate case expense, economies of scale, and reduction of administrative expenses. There was no notice that uniform rates would be adopted on these criteria, and thus, no effective opportunity to defend. The only factor that supports uniform rates is "ease of implementation," which is staff's way of expressing a desire to reduce its workload.
7. There was no evidence supporting the commission's finding or conclusion that no customers would be harmed by the imposition of uniform rates. As the attached schedules show, customers of Sugarmill Woods will pay additional charges of more than $\$ 350$ per year, $\$ 660,000$ total, to subsidize other customers.
8. The rates adopted are inherently unfair, unreasonable and discrimatory as to Sugarmill Woods:
(a) The average customers in Sugarmill Woods would pay \$753.84 annually, under statewide rates, as compared to \$447.24 for stand alone rates. In addition, the record shows that the Citrus County Property Appraiser, Ron Schultz, is attempting to correct an error in assessment for the test year, which will lower the average annual stand-alone rates to $\$ 387.24$. Thus, with uniform rates, each Sugarmill Woods customer will be paying more than $\$ 350.00$ per year to subsidize other utilities. See attached Schedules 4 and 5.
(b) The increased revenue from Sugarmill Woods brings SSU's return on rate base up from 10.67 percent to 57.22 percent for water. The sewer system has a negative rate base, but Sugarmill Woods customers will be paying $\$ 284,904$ to $S S U$, an
infinite rate of return. COVA's comparison worksheets of CIAC vs. Subsidy are attached as Schedule 1 (water) and Schedule 2 (sewer) and show that the total subsidy cost to Sugarmill Woods customers is $\$ 528,871$ (without considering the property tax reduction -approximately $\$ 130,000$-- described above), or 13.19 percent of the overall subsidy of $\$ 4,809,077$. The annual subsidy per Sugarmill Woods customer exceeds the net CIAC per customer of several of the subsidized systems, in many instances those whose net CIAC appear to consist of nominal tap-in fees.
(c) The attached acquisition information report from SSU which shows rate base at transfer (Schedule 8) shows that the annual subsidy being credited to some of the acquired systems exceeds the rate base at transfer and/or the purchase price paid by STU.
(d) The proposed rates do not avoid "rate shock" for customers in Sugarmill Woods, whose rates will be increased dramatically with no corresponding benefit to their utility system. The customers who benefit and avoid rate shock, will be those whose systems need major capital improvements due to past inadequate funding (i.e. low CIAC and/or rates) which also benefited those customers.
9. As shown above, Sugarmill Woods customers have kept their rates low through CIAC, paid to the developer for hookup or as part of the purchase price of their homes. The present order deprived Sugarmill Woods' customers of the benefit of their investment in their own utility system, and effectively takes away
a significant portion of the property values of their homes. The large amounts of CIAC paid by these customers were to be allocated to the local utility system only. The Supreme Court has held that impact fees, which are the municipal equivalent of CIAC, are allowable only when allocated to a specific project or plant benefitting the property owners bearing the financial impact of the fees. Contractor and Builders Association v. City of Dunedin, 329 So.2d 314, 320 (Fla. 1976).
10. The application of statewide uniform rates to Sugarmill Woods may also be viewed as an impairment of contract rights. At the time of purchase, the sales contracts and disclosure statements for these homes stated that their purchase price included the costs of the water and sewer systems. The customers subsequently enforced this representation in Docket No. 840206.
11. The commission has stated, in prior orders on this docket, that the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC) is representing all of the affected customers on the issue of statewide uniform rates. The OPC has an inherent conflict of interest on this issue since some of its customers will benefit financially to the detriment of others. ("A lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation will be directly adverse to the interests of another client. . . " Rule 4-1.7, Florida Bar, Rules of Professional Conduct). The ruling on statewide uniform rates will also bar effective intervention of local homeowners groups by diluting their return on savings achieved. Thus, the PSC has effectively killed the customers' representation both by the OPC
and customer groups such as COVA. COVA has consistently intervened in the rate cases involving Sugarmill Woods, and its track record has been good. In every instance, COVA, has saved its membership and other residents substantial amounts on their water and sewer bills, above and beyond any savings achieved by OPC or PSC staff. For example:
(a) The 1981 rate case was staff assisted, but COVA had an impact that increased imputed funds in the CIAC account.
(b) COVA again intervened in the 1985 rate case and was able to lower the used and useful for water distribution and was able to impute CIAC of $\$ 422,090$ to cover terms in land sales contracts that stated the price of a lot included the water system. In the appeal process, COVA negotiated a private settlement with the utility that resulted in a significant reduction in water charges. COVA also successfully obtained a gallonage cap reduction for wastewater.
(c) COVA intervened in the 1988 transfer of the system to SSU and obtained another increase to CIAC as well as an order to increase water plant capacity through the installation of new wells.
(d) COVA intervened in the last SSU rate case, Docket No. 9003329-WS and its participation is at least partly responsible for the order denying the rate increase. COVA hired outside counsel (at great expense) to represent them in the appeal of this case.
(e) In the instant rate case, COVA discovered and presented evidence concerning the overpayment of property taxes,
and had meaningful input on a number of other issues.
One of the ultimate effects of uniform rates will be to take homeowner groups like COVA "out of the loop" because any cost savings resulting from such participation will be spread of 127 utilities. The Commission failed to consider this important factor.
12. The record shows that uniform statewide rates create a disincentive to the owner to operate each system efficiently by concealing the financial effects of mismanagement. When combined with neutralization of customer activists such as COVA, this result is particularly insidious. For example, after COVA uncovered the massive error in property taxation on the Sugarmill Woods system, SSU refused to provide the property appraiser with information necessary to correct it. Property Appraiser Schultz observed, "In my several years as a property appraiser, I have not previously encountered such institutional disdain for a potential refund." (Exhibit 9).

Since property taxes are a "pass through" item under PSC rules, and the PSC refused, in the present order, to involve itself in the tax issue raised by COVA or to hold back funds pending a potential tax refund, the customers are left helpless.
13. The order states, as to property taxes on non-used-anduseful plant, that "it would be erroneous to reduce property taxes by the non-used-and-useful ratio unless the utility is taxed at the same ratio on all its property." This conclusion makes no sense. In Citrus County, non-used-and-useful is taxed at 60\%. It would be
a simple mathematical calculation to determine the taxes applicable to this property (which as the order observes, does not benefit current customers and should not be included in operating expense). The position stated in the order is inconsistent with Rule 2530.425 which requires the utility to provide the non-used-anduseful property tax information for a simple pass-through rate adjustment. Why should the utility not be required to present the information in a rate case?

Here, COVA (not SSU) presented the non-used-and useful-tax information, which should have reduced their individual utility bills by $\$ 4.75$ per month on a stand alone basis. Under statewide rates, however, it means almost nothing to the individual ratepayer. The order thus leaves SSU with a continued disincentive for being aggressive in trying to control property taxes, as the PSC has announced it will not scrutinize this expense.

The order also contains errors in calculation: Page 86 of the order states that property taxes for non-used-and-useful were removed from test year expenses and included in AFPI. This is not true for Sugarmill Woods. There was no adjustment for the water system, and only a small adjustment for the sewer system. page 87 of the order contains inaccurate figures for the Citrus County tax increases on Sugarmill Woods; the total increase for 1989 and 1990 and \$139,724.

These errors are significant to ratepayers on a stand alone basis, insignificant on a statewide basis, thus discouraging a COVA appeal. This creates further doubt concerning the conclusion that
statewide rates will result in cost savings that will benefit the customer. Rather, it removes the incentive to strive for economic efficiency while simultaneously stifling effective customer participation.

WHEREFORE, COVA requests the commission to reconsider Order No. 93-0423-FOF-WS issued 3-22-93.
Respectfully submitted,
SUSAN W. FOX
Florida Bar 241547
MACFARLANE FERGUSON
111 Madison Street
Suite 2300
Post Office Box 1531
Tampa, Florida 33601
813/273-4212
ATTORNEYS FOR CYPRESS AND OAK
VILLAGES ASSOCIATION

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail this Znd day of Sforee, 1993 to the following persons:

Larry M. Haag, Esquire County Attorney Citrus County 107 N. Park Avenue, Suite 8 Inverness, Florida 34450

Michael B. Twomey, Esquire Assistant Attorney General Department of Legal Affairs Room 1603 The Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050
Ken Hoffman, Esquire
Messer, Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis, Goldman \& Metz 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 701
P. O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876
Chuck Hill, Esquire
Division of Water \& Sewer
Florida Public Service Commission
101 E. Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Harold McLean, Esquire
Associate Public Counsel
Office of the Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400
Mat Feil, Esquire
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Brian Armstrong, Esquire
Southern States Utilities
General Offices
1000 Color Place
Apopka, Florida 32703
Michael Mullin, Esquire
Nassau County Board of County Commissioners
P. O. Box 1563
Fernandina Beach, Florida 32034







## PATE SCHEDULE WASTEWATER

UTILITY: Southern States Utilities, Inc./Deliona Utilities, Inc. SYSTEM: SUGAR MILL WOODS
COUNTY: CITRUS
TEST YEAR ENDED: December 31, 1991


General Service
Base Facility Charge:


| $\$ 8.06$ | $\$ 12.01$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 12.09$ | $\$ 18.02$ |
| $\$ 20.15$ | $\$ 30.03$ |
| $\$ 40.30$ | $\$ 60.05$ |
| $\$ 64.48$ | $\$ 96.08$ |
| $\$ 128.96$ | $\$ 192.16$ |
| $\$ 201.50$ | $\$ 300.25$ |
| $\$ 403.00$ | $\$ 600.50$ |
| $\$ 644.80$ | $\$ 960.80$ |
| $\$ 926.90$ | $\$ 1.381 .15$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 2.65$ | $\$ 4.09$ |


| $\$ 8.06$ | $\$ 6.90$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 12.09$ | $\$ 10.35$ |
| $\$ 20.15$ | $\$ 17.25$ |
| $\$ 40.30$ | $\$ 34.50$ |
| $\$ 64.48$ | $\$ 55.20$ |
| $\$ 128.96$ | $\$ 110.40$ |
| $\$ 201.50$ | $\$ 172.50$ |
| $\$ 403.00$ | $\$ 345.00$ |
| $\$ 644.80$ | $\$ 552.00$ |
| $\$ 926.90$ | $\$ 793.50$ |
| $\$ 3.00$ | $\$ 2.27$ |

## Typical Residential Bills

$\frac{5 / 8^{*} \times 3 / 4^{4} \text { meter }}{3 \mathrm{M}}$
5 M
6 M (Maximum Bill)
10 M
$\$ 14.69$
$\$ 19.11$
$\$ 21.32$
$\$ 21.32$
$\$ 21.74$
$\$ 28.26$
$\$ 31.52$
$\$ 31.52$
$\$ 9.26$
$\$ 11.24$
$\$ 12.23$
$\$ 12.23$

| $\$ 14.69$ | $\$ 22.24$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 19.11$ | $\$ 29.06$ |
| $\$ 21.32$ | $\$ 32.47$ |
| $\$ 21.32$ | $\$ 32.47$ |


| $\$ 15.56$ | $\$ 12.57$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 20.56$ | $\$ 16.35$ |
| $\$ 23.06$ | $\$ 18.24$ |
| $\$ 23.06$ | $\$ 18.24$ |

## RATE゙ SCHEDULE WATER

UTILITY: Southern States Utilities, Inc./Deltona Utilities, Inc. SYSTEM: SUGAR MILI. WOODS
COUNTY: CITRUS
TEST YEAR ENDED: December 31, 1991

|  | Monthly Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Rates | Commission Approved Interim Rates | Utility Requested Final Rates | Staff Primary (Statewide Plus \$.05) | Staff Alternate One (Statewide Plus \$.00) | Staff Alternate Two ( $\$ 30.00$ Capped). | Stand- <br> Alone <br> Pates |
| Base Facility Charga: <br> Meter Size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/8'x3/4" | \$2.00 | \$3.23 | \$8.18 | \$5.00 | \$5.00 | . \$4.00 | \$2.57 |
| $3 / 4^{\circ}$ | \$3.00 | \$4.85 | \$12.27 | \$7.50 | \$7.50 | \$6.00 | \$3.86 |
| $1{ }^{\circ}$ | \$5.00 | \$8.08 | \$20.45 | - \$12.50 | \$12.50 | \$10.00 | \$6.43 |
| 1-1/2 | \$10.00 | \$16.16 | \$40.90 | - \$25.00 | \$25.00 | \$20.00 | \$12.85 |
| $2{ }^{\circ}$ | \$16.00 | \$25.86 | \$65.44 | \$40.00 | \$40.00 | \$32.00 | \$20.56 |
| $3{ }^{-}$ | \$31.99 | \$51.70 | \$130.88 | \$80.00 | \$80.00 | \$64.00 | \$41.13 |
| 4* | \$49.99 | \$80.79 | \$204.50 | \$125.00 | \$125.00 | \$100.00 | \$64.26 |
| $6{ }^{\prime}$ | \$99.98 | \$161.58 | \$409.00 | \$250.00 | \$250.00 | \$200.00 | \$128.53 |
| $8^{*}$ | --- | --- | \$854.40 | \$400.00 | \$400,00 | - $\$ 320.00$ | \$205.65 |
| 10' | --- | --- | \$940.70 | \$575.00 | \$575.00 | \$460.00 | \$295.62 |
| Gallonage Charge per 1,000 | \$0.58 | \$0.88 | \$0.80 | \$1.24 | \$1.19 | \$1.00 | \$0.84 |

Typical Pesidential Bills




SCHEDULE NO. 5
GOUTHERN STATES UTLLTTES, INC DOCKET NO. 820189-WS

| Water Syatem | Blling Data |  |  | Rovenue Aequirement Data |  |  |  | Present Ratea |  | Pilmary Bratowide Ratos Plus $\$ .05 / 8 \mathrm{Bat}$. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alternate One } \\ \text { Strawide } \\ \text { Rates } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Alternate Two Capped Rates $10 \mathrm{M}-\$ 30.00$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 8tand-Alone } \\ \text { Ratot } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { Bills } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Factored ERO: | Gallons Bold | Syatem Revenue Requitroment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ctatawlde } \\ \text { Plus } \$ .05 \\ \text { Rater } \\ \text { OOver } \\ \text { Under } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Statewide Ratee (Over) Under | Capped Raten (Over) Under | Base <br> Faclinty Charge | Gallonage Charge | Base <br> Facilty Charge | GaHonage Charge | Base <br> Faclity Charge | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gallonage } \\ \text { Chanpe } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Basa <br> Faclify Charge | Gallonage Charge | Base <br> Facility Charge | Gallonage Charge |
| Amele latand | 14,101 | 28,370 |  | \$395,827 | (\$70,142 | (556,940 | 5878 | 59.28 | \$0.87 | 85.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | 4.71 | \$1.00 | \$4.72 | \$1.00 |
| Apache 8hores | 1,823 | 1,823 | 3,148 | \$33,235 | \$19,339 | \$18,494 | \$7,397 | 85.82 | \$4.71 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | \$11.38 | \$3.50 |
| Apple Valloy | 11,002 | 11,650 | 121,642 | \$163,004 | (\$551,017) | ( 544,835 ) | (528,047) | 85.39 | \$1.00 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$5.36 | \$1.00 | \$4.34 | \$0.88 |
| Bay Lake Estates | 775 | 775 | 6,743 | \$24,179 | \$11,803 | \$12,240 | \$2,926 | \$9.82 | \$0.51 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.18 | \$9.87 | \$2.00 | \$8.87 | \$2.43 |
| Beacon HIIs | 31,202 | 32,102 | 420,572 | \$519,413 | (\$178,206) | ( 5155,178 ) | (\$75,288) | 55.03 | \$0.65 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$5.00 | \$1.00 | \$3.04 | \$0.32 |
| Beecher's Point | 488 | 942 | 4,293 | \$23,033 | \$12,822 | \$13,138 | \$4,885 | 88.65 | \$1.49 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.49 | \$9.87 | \$2.00 | \$7.79 | \$3.64 |
| Buant store | 2,237 | 0,838 | 44,188 | \$258,160 | \$168,072 | \$170,280 | \$100,529 | 87.51 | \$2.81 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.18 | 69.97 | 82.00 | \$12.03 | \$3.96 |
| Carton villago | 1,236 | 1,236 | 8,556 | \$21,185 | \$3,221 | \$3,648 | \$42 | 85.88 | \$1.48 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.18 | \$5.81 | \$1.48 | \$5.18 | \$1.59 |
| Chuluota | 7,700 | 8,080 | 50,048 | \$207,017 | \$100,789 | \$103,206 | \$22,888 | ${ }^{56.38}$ | \$1.00 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$8.87 | \$2.00 | \$8.08 | \$2.78 |
| Ctrue Park | 4,230 | 4,230 | 24,029 | \$81,588 | \$5,871 | \$7,102 | 6131 | \%6.65 | \$0.98 | \$5.00 | \$1,24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$6.59 | \$1.20 | \$4.35 | \$1.59 |
| Catrus Epings Uthities | 19,789 | 21,873 | 123,413 | 5437,127 | \$170,590 | \$176,770 | 5621 | *6.32 | \$1.03 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.18 | \$9.85 | \$1.74 | \$0.33 | \$2.39 |
| Crystal River Highlands | 798 | 798 | 4,514 | \$23,2089 | \$13,482 | \$13,707 | \$0,085 | \$3.0 | \$0.e | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$8.87 | \$2.00 | \$9.25 | \$3.48 |
| Daetwyler Shores | 1,552 | 1,054 | 14,311 | \$33,498 | \$7,157, | \$7,873 | \$54 | 4. | \$1. | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$8.18 | \$1.60 | 42 | \$1.88 |
| Deftona Utilitios | 282,447 | 283, 828 | 2,655,983 | \$4,203,831 | (5621,35x | (5488,555) | (595s) | *3.18 | \$1.95 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$55.00 | \$1.18 | \$ $\$ 8.03$ | \$1.71 | \$11.03 | \$1.11 |
| Dol Ray Manor | 702 | 702 | 11,000 | \$24,792 | \$7,052 | \$88,102 | \$132 | ${ }^{8} 5.389$ | \$1.00 | $\$ 5.00$ $\$ 5.00$ | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.18 | \$6.92 | \$1.28 | \$6.29 | $\$ 1.53$ $\$ 1.35$ |
| Druld Hille | 3,022 | 4,046 | 40,111 | \$80,212 | \$9,504 | $\$ 11,510$ $\$ 10,255$ | $\$ 132$ <br> $\$ 85$ | \$5.38 <br> 5.88 <br> 8.8 | $\$ 1.00$ $\$ 1.48$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 0 0}$ $\$ 5.00$ | $\$ 1.24$ <br> $\$ 1.24$ | \$5.00 | \$1.18 | \$8.15 | \$1.81 | \$7.49 | $\$ 1.35$ <br> $\$ 2.18$ |
| East Lake Harfls Estates | 2,040 | 2,040 | 5,228 | $\$ 27,001$ $\$ 38,780$ | $\$ 9,893$ $\$ 7,798$ | $\$ 10,255$ <br> 88,547 | \$858 | \$5.88 <br>  <br> 5.38 | \$ 81.400 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | 85.00 | \$1.19 | \$7.48 | \$1.38 | \$5.31 | \$2.18 |
| Fern Park | 2,210 | 2,276 | 14,973 11,150 | $\$ 38,760$ <br> $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 , 6 2 3}$ | \$1, | \$75 | ( 54,432$)$ | \$5.88 | \$1.48 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | $\$ 5.00$ | \$1.19 | \$5.85 | \$1.48 | \$4.45 | \$1.27 |
| Fern Terrace | 1,648 | 1,648 | 8,304 | \$23,27e | \$3,008 | \$3,471 | \$56 | \$4.12 | \$0.78 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$8,34 | \$1.32 | \$4.43 | \$1.68 |
| Fountains | 180 | 100 | 1,440 | \$23,120 | \$20,200 | \$20,281 | \$18,220 | \$5.67 | \$2.33 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | \$40.70 | \$10.81 |
| Fox Run | 1,104 | 1,107 | 0,727 | \$74,030 | \$55,004 | \$56,080 | \$42,709 | \$4.45 | \$1.14 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$0.97 | 82.00 | \$21.16 | \$5.12 |
| Friendly Center | 242 | 242 | 1,418 | \$8,631 | \$3,638 | \$3,709 | \$1,357 | \$5.88 | \$1.48 | \$5,00 | $\$ 1.24$ | \$5.00 | \$1.18 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | \$9.48 | \$2.80 |
| Galden Terrace | 1,267 | 1,439 | 4,294 | \$24,822 | \$12,062 | \$12,277 | \$1,647 | \$8.97 | \$2.53 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | 85.00 | \$1.19 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | \$8,49 | \$2.88 |
| Goxpel Island Estates | 89 | 98 | 573 | \$10,417 | \$9,188 | 69,215 | \$8,284 | \$5.00 | \$1.00 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | ${ }^{6} 5.00$ | \$1.19 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | \$36,88 | \$1.46 |
| Grand Terrace | 797 | 813 | 4,524 | \$22,083 | \$11,723 | \$11,948 | \$4,244 | 88.62 | \$1.18 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | 85.00 | \$1.18 | \$9.97 | 8.00 | \$8.42 | \$3.22 |
| Marmony Homes | 784 | 784 | 8,085 | \$21,016 | \$8,985 | 87,389 | \$20 | \$5.39 | \$1,00 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | 81.18 | \$9.05 | \$1.72 | \$8.71 | \$1.75 |
| Hermite Cove | 2,136 | 2,136 | 8,087 | \$44,089 | \$25,808 | \$28,110 | \$10,504 | ${ }^{55.59}$ | \$2.53 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | \$9.59 | \$3.87 |
| Hobby Hills | 1,224 | 1,224 | 5,497 | \$22, 872 | \$8,576 | \$8,851 | \$34 | 5.88 | \$1.48 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$8.79 | \$1.95 | \$5.62 | \$2.68 |
| Holiday Haven | 1,348 | 1,430 | 4,035 | \$28,615 | \$16,027 | \$16,228 | \%5,833 | \$1.14 | \$1.20 | \$55.00 | $\$ 1.24$ <br> $\$ 1.24$ <br> 81 | +55.00 | 81.19 | \$89.87 | \$1.95 | \$8.69 | \$3.55 $\$ 2.03$ |
| Hollday Helghts | 631 | 631 2,957 | 8,021 $\mathbf{1 5 , 8 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 8 , 2 8 7}$ <br> $\mathbf{8 4 2 , 7 0 5}$ | \$8,180 | \$7,087 | \$84 | \$2.98 | \$0.55 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$8.81 | \$1.45 | \$5.67 | \$1.63 |
| Impertal Moblle Terrace | 2,943 3,074 | 2,957 3,150 | 13,245 13, | \$98,072 | \$55,153 | \$55,815 | \$29,630 | \$5.67 | \$2.33 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | 58.97 | \$2.00 | \$11.28 | \$3.93 |
| Intercession City intertachen Lake Ext.Park Manor | 2,040 | 2,97e | 11,106 | * 51,970 | \$22,468 | \$23,021 | \$78 | 65.69 | 81.41 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | $\$ 8.91$ | $\$ 1.94$ | \$6.74 | \$2.26 |
| Jungle Den | 1,376 | 1,378 | 2,953 | \$28,875 | \$15,618 | \$15,766 | \$8,535 | \$10.86 | \$3.16 | 85.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | $\$ 9.97$ | \$2.00 | \$11.50 | \$3.50 |
| Koystone Helghts | 11,788 | 14,535 | 100,238 | \$250,462 | \$50,029 | \$55,041 | 5438 | \$5.60 | 81.28 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$8.55 | \$1.31 | \$5.44 | \$1.68 |
| Klngswood | 753 | 759 | 3,417 | \$16,693 | \$7,931 | \$8,102 | \$1,592 | \$5.47 | \$2.55 | \$5.00 | $\$ 1.24$ | \$5.00 | \$1.18 | \$8.87 | \$2.00 | \$8.77 | \$2.73 |
| Lake Ajay Estates | 420 | 482 | 4,163 | \$33,302 | \$25,450 | \%25,656 | 819,841 | \$5.37 | \$2.20 | 85.00 | \$1.24 | *5.00 | \$1.18 | $\$ 9.97$ | \$2.00 | \$21.61 | \$5.40 |
| Lake Brantley | 798 | 786 | 7,056 | \$19,128 | \$5,829 | \$8,181 | \$25 | \$5.38 | \$1.00 | \$5.00 | 51.24 | \$5.00 | $\$ 1.19$ | 87.77 | 81.75 | \$7.46 | \$1.78 |
| Lake Conway Park | 1,022 | 1,022 | 8,374 | \$24,308 | \$8,035 | 68,054 | ( 53$)$ | \$4.09 | \$1.04 | \$5.00 | 81.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$8.70 | \$1.82 | 87.56 | \$1.96 |
| Lake Merriet Estates | 3,418 | 3,436 | 29,442 | \$54,033 | (5885 | \$507 | \$89 | \$5.30 | \$1.00 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$5.89 | \$1.10 | \$4.81 | \$1.22 |
| Lakevtew Villas | 156 | 158 | 389 | *8, 689 | \$7,356 | 87,974 | 68,291 | $\$ 2.03$ | 50.83 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | 65.00 | \$1.19 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | \$35.00 | \$8.54 |
| Lellanl Helghts | 4,689 | 4,689 | 46,855 | \$81,784 | (\$3,001) | (\$1,818) | \$159 | \&4.77 | \$0.78 | \$5 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | 81.18 | \$6.32 | \$1,00 | \$5.30 | \$1.13 |
| Lolsure Lakes | 2,925 | 2,925 | 8,539 | \$49,382 | \$24,124 | \$24,531 | \$3,0 | 87.18 | \$1.87 | \$5.00 | 81.24 | \$5.00 | 81.19 | \$8.97 | \%200 | 8.61 | \$2.83, |
| Marco Shores Utillites | 3,300 | 5,000 | 38,839 | \$178,180 | \$108,809 | \$100,643 | \$53,933 | \$9.15 | \$1.68 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | 89.97 | \$2.00 | \$11.36 | \$3.28 |
| Marlon Oaks Lititiee | 20,633 | 26,350 | 131,409 | 8724,08? | \$410,783 | *417,326 | \$168,068 | $\$ 5.10$ | \$1.63 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | 88.52 | \$3.38 |
| Meredith Manor | 8,082 | 0,323 | 72,386 | \$141,281 | \$1,307 | \$4,827 | ${ }^{\$ 248}$ | *5.39 | \$1.00 | \$5.00 | 81.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$5.89 | \$1.14 | 9.73 | \$1.29 |
| Motningview | 414 | 552 | 3,520 | \$13,773 | \$6,533 | 80,729 | \$1,135 | \$5.88 | \$1.48 | \$5.00 | 51.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.18 | 8.87 | \$1.00 | 87.83 | \$2.84 |
| Oak Foreat | 1,054 | 1,713 | 12,804 | \$33,547 | \$8,000 | \$9,530 | \$49 | \%4.76 | \$0.85 | \$5.00 | 81.24 | \$5.00 | \$4.19 | 87.47 | \$1.00 | ${ }_{68.23}$ | $\$ 1.77$ |
| Oakwood | 2,330 | 2,330 | 0,557 | 344,480 | \$19,450 | 318,928 | 5577 | \$5.47 | \$2.55 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | 5.00 | \$1.19 | \$8.97 | 82.00 | 50.58 | 52.40 |
| Palsades Country Club | 328 | 320 | 8,540 | \$20,025 | \$17,170 | \$17,487 | \$10,580 | \%5.60 | $\$ 1.48$ | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | 81.19 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | \$11.93 | \$3.52 |
| Paim Port | 1,088 | 1,086 | 4,150 | \$19,308 | 88,309 | \$0,817 | ( 5218 ) | \$5.50 | \$2.53 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.18 | \$8.07 | \$1.29 | \$7.87 | \$2.48 |
| Palm Terrace | 14,205 | 14,498 | 68,978 | 4358,509 | \$187,319 | $\pm 200,769$ | \$72,086 | \$3.25 | \$3.07 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | 88.48 | \$3.37 |
| 相a Moblle Home Park | 730 | 730 | 2,107 | \$11,048 | 84,080 | \$4,7e8 | $\$ 1$ | \$5.68 | 81.48 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | $\$ 5.00$ | 81.18 | \$9.45 | \$1.80 | 89.46 | \$1.90 |
| Park Menor - Comblsed whth | en lat | Entates (t) | nterconnec | d) |  |  |  | 65.59 | \$2.53 | \$5.00 | 81.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$9.01 | \$1.04 | 68.74 | \$2.28 |
| Pleciola istand | 1,589 | 1,007 | 11,888 | \$25,000 | [2,308 | (2, 083 | ( 81,850$)$ | \$5.80 | \$1.4日 | \$5.00 | \$1,24 | 65.00 | \$1.19 | \$5.85 | 51.48 | \$5.01 | \$1.44 |


| DOCKET NO. 920199-WS <br> FESRUAFY 3, 1993 <br> BOUTHERN STATES UTILTTES, INC. DOCKET NO. 920189-W8 SCHEDULE OF WATER RATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water System | Billing Data |  |  | Revenue Fequirement Date |  |  |  | Present Rates |  | Primary8uatowide RatesPlus $\$ .05 / \mathrm{Ga}$. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Atarmatio One } \\ \text { Otatewlde } \\ \text { Raten } \end{gathered}$ |  | Alternate Two Capped Pates $10 \mathrm{M}-\$ 30.00$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 8tand-Alone } \\ \text { Fintes } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { Bliles } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Gallone Bold | Systom Revenue Fequifement | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Statewile } \\ \text { Plue } 5.05 \\ \text { Pater } \\ \text { COver } \\ \text { Under } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Statewlde <br> fatea <br> ONer <br> Under | Capped Rates (Over) Under | Base <br> Facilty Charre | Gallonage Charge | Base Facillty Charge | Gallonage Charpe | Base Fecllity Churge | Ballonage Charge | Base Facllity Charge | Gallionage Chatre | Base Faclily Charge | Gallonage Charge |
|  | 2,082 | 2,126 | 13,096 | \$43,509 | \$15,000 | \$18,015 | \$58 | \$5.67 | \$2.33 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.10 | \$8.60 | $\$ 1.87$ | 58.45 | 52.22 |
| Pine Ridge Utilition | 4,799 | 11,371 | 69,152 | \$188,899 | \$31,447 | 534,004 | \$388 | - \$20.6 | \$1.27 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.10 | \$5.01 | \$1.73 | 54.60 | 81.70 |
| Pinoy Woods | 2,018 | 2,018 | 18,702 | \$39,577 | \$8,032 | \$8,887 | $\$ 18$ | \$5.88 | \$1.48 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | 85.00 | 81.19 | \$8.24 | $\$ 1.57$ | \%8.18 | \$1.58 |
| Point O' Woods | 3,808 | 3,983 | 17,142 | \$68,518 | \$24,298 | \$25,155 | \$180 | \$3.43 | 80.95 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | 81.19 | \$8.43 | \$1.85 | \%5.28 | \$2.60 |
| Pomona Park | 1,024 | 2,092 | 7,201 | *30,898 | \$10,707 | \$11,070 | ${ }_{505}^{5685}$ | ${ }^{\$ 6.50}$ | 52.63 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | 81.19 | \$7.97 | 81.85 | \$8.00 | \$1.85 |
| Poatmaster Village | 1,818 | 1,818 | 14,008 | \$51,325 | \$23,696 | \$24,428 | \$3,559 | - ${ }_{\text {+5, }}$ | \$0.54 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | $\begin{array}{r}85.00 \\ \hline 8.00\end{array}$ | \$1.10 | 50.97 | 62.00 | \%8.88 | 52.37 |
| Quatl Ridge | 228 | 228 | 1,140 | \$0,368 | \$8,784 | \$8,841 | 64,785 | \$5.88 | \$8.48 | \$0.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | 59.67 | \$2.00 | \$13.11 | \$5.57 |
| River Grove | 1,284 | 1,284 | 5,565 | \$31,065 | \$17,078 | \$17,357 | \$8,460 | \$5.50 | \$2. 35 | \$5.00 | 81.24 | \$5.00 | 81.19 | 60.07 | \$2.00 | *9.50 | \$3.27 |
| Alver Park | 4,144 | 4,144 | 8,689 | \$62,427 | \$28,428 | \$28,912 | \$488 | 85.50 | $\$ 2.53$ | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | 81.18 | \$9.97 | 62.00 | \$8.49 | \$2.68 |
| Holling Greenfiosemont | 1,286 | 1,289] | 15,709 | \$63,232 | \$30,324 | \$37,109 | \$17,080 | \$5.38 | $\$ 1.08$ | 85.00 | \$1.24 | 85.00 | $\$ 1.18$ | \$9.97 | 82.00 | 59.64 | \$3.18 |
| Rosomont - Comblned with Rolling | een (Inter | rconnecteol |  | - |  |  |  | \$5.31 | ${ }^{51.08}$ | 85.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | 59.97 | \$2.00 | \$8.54 | ${ }_{\$ 3.18}$ |
| Sath Springs | 1,342 | 1,858 | 5,654 | \$101,464 | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 84,784 \\ \mathbf{\$ 3 , 6 8 1} \\ \hline 2,45\end{array}$ |  | \$71,233 | \$8.85 <br> $\mathbf{8 4 . 6 4}$ | $\$ 0.98$ <br> $\$ 1.03$ | 55.00 8500 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 85.00 | 81.10 85.19 | ${ }_{50}^{50.97}$ | \$2.00 | \$27.49 | 88.64 83.47 |
| Saratoga Hadbour/Welaka | 1,576 | 1,594 | 4,643 | \$30,757 | \$22,495 | \$22,727 | \$11,044 | \$5.58 | $\$ 8_{563}$ | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | 89.97 | \$2.00 | \$12.00 | *3.68 |
| Sliver Lake Est.Nostern Shoret | 14,554 | 10,250 | 280,971 | \$203,782 | ( $\$ 214,817)$ | ( 5201,766 | ( $\$ 133,034$ ) | \$3.22 | \$0.57 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.18 | 84.00 | \$1.00 | \$3.51 | c0.52 |
| Silver Lake Oaks | 312 | 312 | 1,170 | \$15,853 | \$12,294 | \$12,353 | \$0,854 | *5, 18 | 82.35 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.10 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | \$15.70 | \$8.00 |
| Skycrest | 1,378 | 1,376 | 5,330 | \$20,479 | \$8,400 | \$6,668 | \$37 | \$5.88 | \$1.48 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | 81.19 | \$7.30 | 81.84 | \$7.33 | \$1.94 |
| Spring HIII Utilitios | 271,533 | 303,022 | 2,795,838 | \$3,748,228 | ( $51,304,608)$ | ( $\$ 1,164,814)$ | ( 5330,583$)$ | ${ }_{\text {2 }} \mathbf{8} .75$ | \$0.74 | ${ }^{5} 5.00$ | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$4.00 | \$1.00 | \$3.88 | 80.80 |
| St. John's Highlands | 852 | 852 | 3,156 | \$18,808 | \$9,675 | 89,832 | \$2,645 | 55.59 | \$1.41 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | 81.19 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | ${ }_{68.76}$ | \$3.17 |
| Stone Mountaln | 74 | 74 | 1,269 | \$8,379 | \$4,405 | \$4,469 | \$3,073 | \$5.88 | 81.48 | *5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$0.97 | \$2.00 | \$14.07 | 4.13 |
| Sugar Mill | 7,208 | 7,631 | 25,103 | \$143,180 | \$72,592 | \$73,847 | \$15,588 | ${ }_{68.80}^{680}$ | \$4.10 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$6.00 | 81.19 | \$9.97 | \$2.00 | ${ }_{68.76}^{587}$ | 82.90 |
| Sugar Mill Woode | 21,674 | 51,705 | 338,802 | \$418,542 | ( $\$ 260,807$ ( | ( 5243,087 \% | ( 5128,270$)$ | - 52.00 | \$0.58 | \$5.00 | $\$ 1.24$ $\$ 1.24$ | 85.00 85.00 | 81.10 | \$8.00 | \$1.00 | \$82.57 | \$0.84 |
| Sunny Hith Utiltites Sunshine Purkway | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} 4,982 \\ 81 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 7,280 | 30,075 <br> 13,024 | $\$ 155,743$ <br> $\$ 35,177$ | \$81,349 | \$82,84 | \$2,339 | - \$4.60 | \$0.91 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | 81.19 | ¢9.97 | \$2.00 | \$8.487 | 83.10 <br> 88.30 |
| Tropleal Park | 8,838 | 6,848 | 31,108 | \$114,064 | \$37,030 | \$39,105 | (52,705) | \$5.12 | \$2.09 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | 81.18 | \$8.12 | \$1.85 | \$5.16 | \$2.41 |
| Unlversity Shores | 33,864 | 37,652 | 335,850 | \$543,964 | (582,325) | ( 565,533 ) | (\$124,691) | \$5.62 | \$1.30 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | \$1.19 | \$5.59 | \$1.30 | 4.44 | \$1.08 |
| - Venetian Viliage | 1,570 | 1,570 | 8,333 | \$25,481 | \$6,038 | \$7,355 | 6150 | \$5.88 | \$1.48 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | *5.00 | \$1,18 | \$6.87 | \$1.74 | 86.77 | 51.74 |
| Welaka - Comblned with Saratoga H | Habour fint | terconnected |  | - | \$0 | 80 | \$0 | \$5.59 | \$2.53 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | ${ }^{5} 5.00$ | \$1.19 | ${ }^{59.97}$ | \$2.00 | \$12.00 | *3.68 |
| Cofastern 8hores - Comblned with 8 | Bliver Lake Es | atates (inter | rconnected) |  | \$0 | so | \$0 | ${ }^{5} 5.88$ | \$1.48 | \$5.00 | $\$ 1.24$ | \$5.00 | 81.19 | \$4.00 | \$1.00 | \$3.51 | *0.52 |
| Westmont | 1,460 | 1,468 | 11,383 | \$29,282 | \$8,812 | \$7,481 | ¢43, | ${ }^{50.15}$ | \$1.82 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | \$5.00 | 51.19 | \$7.00 | \$1.47 | \$6.19 | \$1.69 |
| Pindsong | 1,310 | 1,328 | 7,559 | \$35,778 | \$18,830 | 819,308 | \$0,585 | \$5.67 | \$2.33 | \$5.00 | \$1.24 | 85.00 | \$1.10 | \$0.97 | \$200 | ${ }^{68.42}$ | \$3,14 |
| Woodmere | 12,800 | 17.658 | 180,585 | *285,489 | ( 5550,208$)$ | (541,178) |  |  |  | ${ }^{55} 5.00$ |  | \$55.00 |  |  |  | ${ }_{617.51}$ |  |
| Wootens | $\begin{array}{r}206 \\ \hline 6.167 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}208 \\ \mathbf{6 , 5 7 1} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}413 \\ \hline 21.704 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\mathbf{\$ 6 , 9 3 7} \\ \mathbf{8 8 0 , 1 7 9} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\$ 5,349$ <br> $\$ 24,886$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 3 6 1}$ <br> $\mathbf{\$ 5 2 5 1 5 1}$ | \$4,002 | - $\$ 5.58$ | $\$ 2.53$ $\$ 0.87$ | $\mathbf{5} 5.00$ $\mathbf{5 5 . 0 0}$ | $\$ 1.24$ <br> $\$ 1.24$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 . 0 0}$ $\mathbf{\$ 5 . 0 0}$ | $\$ 1.19$ $\$ 1.19$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ <br> $\mathbf{\$ 6 . 8 7}$ <br> 68 | $\$ 2.00$ $\$ 1.00$ | $\$ 17.51$ <br> $\$ 8.02$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 9 3}$ <br> $\$ 2.23$ |
| totals | 890.182 | 1,027,391 | 8,740,481 | \$15.82870\% | (\$470,838) | (\$39.512) | (\$31.839) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Present rates include minimum gallonag.

Present rates include minimum gallonage.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wastewater syatom | BildingDate |  |  | Rovenue Feculrement Data |  |  |  | Prowent Haten |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prinary } \\ & \text { Capped Rave } \end{aligned}$$0 M-\$ 45.00$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Atternato Orie } \\ \text { 8tatowide } \\ \text { Rates } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Alternato Two Cepped fantor $6 M$ - $\$ 4.75$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stend-Alore } \\ \text { Aetos } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { Billip } \end{gathered}$ | Factored | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fsoctored } \\ \text { Ositione } \\ \text { (Bes. }-8 \mathrm{CM}) \end{gathered}$ | 8yatom Hevernic Reguremera | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Capped } \\ & \$ 45.00 \\ & \text { Ratos } \\ & \text { (Over) } \\ & \text { Under } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8tatowide } \\ & \text { Halos } \\ & \text { (OWo) } \\ & \text { Under } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cappod } \\ & \text { He.75 } \\ & \text { Fowe } \\ & \text { OVer) } \\ & \text { Under } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Gase facllity Charre | Gallonege Charge | Callonage Cap | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bese } \\ & \text { Frollity } \\ & \text { Churge } \end{aligned}$ | Gallonage Charge | Base <br> Faclily Cherpe | Gationage Charpe | Bese Facillty Chures | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gallonage } \\ \text { Charge } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Bace Facility Charge | Gallonage |  |
| nsolue lapend | 12.055 | 17,067 | 176,028 |  | (55.387) | (\$190.092) | $\underset{\substack{* 51,389 \\ 44,990}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 18.59 \\ 87.35 \\ 87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.55 \\ & 84.75 \end{aligned}$ | 10 M 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 12.00 \\ & \$ 12.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2.82 \\ & \$ 5.50 \end{aligned}$ | \$12.01 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3.41 \\ & \$ 3.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 12.00$ <br> $\$ 12.00$ <br> 1 | $\$ 2.91$ $\$ 5.79$ | $\$ 12.00$ $\$ 15.00$ | 52.02 <br> 56.91 <br> 8. |  |
| Apactio \$8.jers | 1.340 | 1,940 | 1,684 | + $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$30,729 } \\ & \$ 52.639\end{aligned}$ | \$5,367 ( 517.679 | (88,003) | ( $\$ 20.080$ | 56.64 | \$3.59 | 10 M | \$12.00 | 4.48 | \$12.01 | *s. 41 | \$12.00 | 44.74 | \$12.00 | \$2.74 |  |
| Applo Valloy | 1,096 | $\begin{array}{r}1,014 \\ 20,804 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 10.347 168.631 | (\$52,639 |  | ( 5100.360 | ( 4 48,322) | 87.46 | \$1.65 | om | \$11.08 | \$2.22 | \$12.01 | \$3.41 | \$11.08 | \$2.61 | \$11.98 | 52.22 |  |
| Beacon Hilly | $\begin{array}{r}101 \\ \hline 1002\end{array}$ | 455 | 701 | *20,339 | 10,584 | \$12,211 | *10,357 | \$6.55 | \$2.22 | 10M | \$12.00 | 45.50 | \$12.01 | \$3.41 | \$12.00 | \$5.78 | \$30.01 | \$8.56 |  |
| Burn Store | 1.700 | 4,343 | 28,091 | \$177.780 | (\$18) | \$33,600 | (77, 8 (6) | \$5.96 | \$1.70 | 10 M | \$11.96 | \$4.60 | \$32.09 | \$3.41 | \$11.08 | \$4.95 | \$11.08 | \$4.06 |  |
| Crulluota | 1,578 | 1,578 | 6.372 | \$240.511 | \$186,529 | \$109.631 | \$184,681 | \$8.04 | \$33.88 | ${ }_{89}^{10 \mathrm{M}}$ | \$12.00 | \$5.50 | \$12.09 | 43.44 $\$ 3.41$ | \$12.00 | \$55.79, |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$18.92 } \\ \$ 8.37 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ |  |
| Critus Paxk | 3,108 | 3.106 | 12,120 | $\$ 182,172$ $\$ 164.100$ | \$78.240 | \$103,540 | \$74,725 | $\$ 12.25$ <br> $\$ 12.00$ | \$\$2.26 | 8 M 10 M | $\$ 12.00$ <br> $\$ 12.00$ | ( ${ }_{5}^{55.50}$ | \$12.01 | \$3.4.41 | \$12.00 | \$6.51 | \$25.90 |  |  |
|  | 8,136 <br> 53,016 | 8,154 57,714 | 27,205 | \$2,036,692 | \$903 | 441,050 | ( $\$ 17.156$ ) | \$13.30 | - | Fint Rate | \$12.00 | \$5.15 | \$12.01 | \$3.41 | \$12.00 | \$5.22 | \$12.00 | \$5.15 |  |
| Fishermen's Haven | 1,746 | 1,746 | 6,512 | 446,032 | 30 | \$2,857 | (\$1,880) | \$5.57 | \$1.47 | 7 M | \$12.00 | \$3.05 | \$12.09 | \$9.41 | \$12.00 | 4.14 | \$12.00 | ${ }_{53.85}^{53.85}$ |  |
| Fiorida Contal Corrmaces Park | 284 | 1,365 | 13,403 | \$109,105 | 5654 | \$43,402 | \$2,307 | 80.ef | *.25 | Flat | \$ 512.00 | \$53.80 | \$12.01 | **3.4 | \$12.00 | \$5.78 | ${ }_{8} 82.00$ | 56.25 |  |
| Fox fun | 1,079 | 1,079 | 5,497 | \%47,327 |  | 815.623 $\$ 12.713$ | \$2,651 | \$12.14 | - | Flat Rato | \$12.00 | \$5.50 | \$12.01 | \$3.41 | \$12.00 | \$5.79 | \$12.00 | \$7.48 |  |
| Hallday Havon | 1.147 <br> 1.978 | 1,231 <br> 1,376 |  | (386,107 | \$86, 534 | \$770.318 | \$ $\$ 83,720$ | \$11.95 |  | Flut hato | \$12.00 | \$5.80 | \$12.01 | *3.41 | \$12.00 | *5.79 | \$4.90 | \$12.40 |  |
| Lotitanl Heloghe | 4,049 | 4.733 | 25,353 | \$159,343 | (5110) | \$15,046 | ( 77,474 | \$13.25 | \$3.32 | ${ }^{10 \mathrm{M}}$ | \$12.00 | \$4.01 | *12.01 | *3.44 | \$12.00 | \$4.30 | \$12.00 | *.0.01 |  |
| Loteure Lekes | 2.752 | 2.782 | 0.684 | \$31.710 | ( $\$ 4.034$ ) | ( 524.748$)$ | (56,025 | 47.85 | \$1.26 | 10 m | 驁000 | \$2.00 | \$12.01 | *3.44 | \$8.00 | \$2.29 | \$8.00 | 81.41 |  |
| murco Shores Ulilitios | 2,834 | 3,484 | 12,623 | \$130,487 | \$ $\$ 19,238$ | - \$48,580 |  | $\$ 24.26$ <br> 60.11 | \$5.00 | ${ }_{\text {Flual Rate }}$ | \$12.00 | \$5.50 55.50 | \$12.01 | + 3.3 .41 | \$12.00 | 85.70 $\mathbf{5 5 . 7 0}$ | \$12.00 | \$7.02 $\mathbf{7 7 . 0 2}$ |  |
| Marion Oakt Ulillios | 15.128 | 45,522 | 51,956 1,756 | \$\$502,821 | \$124,110 | \$ $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 1 , 2 6 5} \mathbf{\$ 2 , 0 3 6}$ |  | 4.6.64 | \$3.60 | 10 M | \$12.00 | 44.57 | \$12.01 | *3.44 | \$12.00 | \$4.66 | \$12.00 | \$4.57 |  |
| Morrodith Martor | 428 | 324 424 | 1,756 2,068 | \$828,304 | \$11,943 | \$16,257 | \$11,944 | \$ 13.10 | *9.88 | 10 M | \$12.00 | \$5.50 | \$12.01 | *3.41 | \$12.00 | *5.70 | \$27.50 | \$0.10 |  |
| Palm Port | 1,074 | 1.074 | 3,605 | *30,914 | (59) | \$5.515 | (\$1.072) | \$6.05 | \$2.94 | 8 M | \$12.00 | 4.02 | \$12.07 | \$0.41 | \$12.00 | 65.21 | \$12.00 | 4.92 |  |
| Palm Terrace | 12,223 | 12,223 | 42.139 | \$208,026 | ( 5172 | 60,194 | ( $\$ 12,302$ ) | \$5.40 | *0.77 | ${ }_{\text {OM }}$ | \$12.00 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5.04$ | \$12.09 | * 5.41 | \$12.00 | \$3.90 | \$12.00 | \$8.01 |  |
| Park Manor | 340 | 365 | 1,352 | \$17,008 | *0,050 | c3,878 | \$5,6e4 | \% 68.95 | *3.04 | Finama | \$ $\$ 12.00$ | \$5.50 | \$ $\$ 2.01$ | \$3.44 | ${ }_{\$ 12.00}$ | 65.79 | \$18.00 | \$7.44 |  |
| Polnt O' Woode | 1,303 | 1,303 <br> 2008 | 4,944 | ( 580.650 | \$10.803 |  | (52,76) | \$12.25 | \$2.28 | ${ }_{\text {BM }}$ | \$12.00 | 4.72 | \$12.01 | \$3.41 | \$12.00 | \$5.01 | \$12.00 | 4.72 |  |
| Bllwer Liks oaks | ${ }_{208}$ | - ${ }^{2} 2068$ | 960 | \$18,200 | 57,413 | \$0,435 | \$7,151 | ${ }^{56.05}$ | 6s.77 | ${ }^{8} \mathrm{M}$ | \$12.00 | \$5. 50 | \$12.01 | *s.41 | \$12.00 | 45.78 | \$25.00 | \$0.16 |  |
| (S) south Forty | 250 | 500 | 0.003 | \$116.449 | \$63,318 | \$805,614 | 561,298 | \$12.23 | \$ 82.75 | Ala/s | \$ $\$ 12.00$ | \$6.60 | \$12.09 | \$3.41, | \$12.00 | \$6.89, | \$355.00 | ${ }_{\text {\% }}^{\$ 13.75}$ |  |
| - Sprino Min Utilics | ${ }^{50.153}$ | [4,318 | (375,391 | \$1,3570,87 | ${ }^{(5063}$ | ( 53,048 | (56,401) | \$11.51 | \$0.04 | 10 M | \$12.00 | *3.24 | \$12.01 | \$3.41 | \$12.00 | \$3.53 | \$12.00 | *3.26 |  |
| (0) Bugas mith Woods | 20,027 | 21,731 | 114,425 | \$306,275 | (561.750) | ( 5 284,004 | (500,930) | \$3.00 | \$2.21 | ${ }^{6 M}$ | \$6.06 | \$2.21 | \$12.01 | *3.414 | ${ }^{56.06}$ | \$2.50 | 50.90 | \$1.80 |  |
| C) Bunny tills ulunios | 2.090 | 2.009 | 7,307 | \$105.216 | *30,345 | \$54,783 | \$37,100 | \% 22.08 | - | Fint Rato | \$12.00 | \$53.60 | \$ $\$ 12.01$ | **.41 | \$12.00 | \$8.78 | \$20.00 |  |  |
| -. Sunurine Perkway | 30,803 | 31,770 | 10,040 247,324 | \% $\begin{array}{r}\text { S30,36 } \\ \mathbf{5 1 , 1 1 3 , 4 7}\end{array}$ | ( 5172 | (\$111,786) | ( 1771,068 | \$7.26 | \$81.50 | Alom | \$12.00 $\$ 12.00$ | 20.06 | \$12.01 | \$0.411 | \$12.00 | \$0.28 | \$12.00 | \$2.06 |  |
| University 8hores Venetian Vilitage | $\begin{array}{r}30,803 \\ \hline 086\end{array}$ |  | 24,348 | \%s, | *2.039 | \$12,015 | \$1,677 | \$13.10 | \$3.60 | 10 M | \$12.00 | \$5.50 | \$12.01 | ta. 41 | \$12.00 | \$5.70 | \$12.00 | 56.16 |  |
| Wooctiero | 12,476 | 17,000 | 132,908 | \$704,262 | \$138 | \$48,922 | ( 3 38,232) | \$7.48 | \$1.65 | 6M | \$12.00 | 63.78 | \$1201 | \$3.41 | \$12.00 | 4.07 | \$12.00 | \$3.76 |  |
| Zeptyy 8 hores |  | 6.232 | 16,794 | \$93, 645 | (18) | ( 3 38,460 | (40,860 | \$5.60 | - | Flat Rale | \$9.02 | \$2.23 | \$12.01 | \$3.4 | \$0.02 | \$2.62 | \$9.02 | \$2.20 |  |
| totals | 204.078 | 339.577 | 1.819 .850 | \$10.172489 | \$466.278 | (130.703) | \$1.184 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# COMPARISON OF NET USED \& USEFUL CIAC PER SYSTEM CUSTOMER <br> FOR <br> SUBSIDY COST PAYERS versus BENEFICIARIES 

WATER:

| ITEM | COST PAYERS | BENEFICIARIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Number of customers | 56,498 | 17,706 |
| 2. Net CIAC, used \& useful | \$22,531,438 | \$ 4,248,443 |
| 3. Net CIAC, used \& useful per customer, (line 2/ line 1 | 1) $\$ \underline{399}$ | \$ 240 |
| 4. Variance, ( $\$ 399-\$ 240$ ) | + \$ 159 |  |
| 5. Variance, percent, $(\$ 399 / \$ 240$ | ) + 66 |  |
| 6. Net CIAC, used \& useful per customer at SMW | \$1,055 | (A) |
| 7. Variance, (\$1,055-\$249) | + \$ 815 |  |
| 8. Variance, percent, (\$1045/\$240 | ) + 340 |  |

SEWER:


Note: (A) \& (B)--data taken from COVA's spread sheets, Comparison of CIAC versus Subsidy. All charts and data are based on schedules from staff's $100^{3} 9$ recommendations.
Prepared by: B. Hansen, COVA, 3/10/93
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SOUTHERN STATES
SOUTHERN STATES
WATER AND SEWER SYSTEMS
ACQUISTION INFORMATION

| ACOUISmiON INFORMATION |  | Order |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| System | County | Rate Base <br> No. |
| @ Transfer |  |  |

(1) includes $\$ 77,000$ for "contracts \& permits" (10836, p3,L7)
(2) per last rate or cenilicate order
(3) Circuit Court decision
(4) PSC Order No. 13519 states purchase price @ $\$ 111,654$
(5) PSC Order No. 17031 states purchase price @ $\$ 35,238$
(6) PSC Order No. 17031 states purchase price @ $\$ 15.642$
(7) Rate base est. in rate case v. date of transter
(8) SSUl recorded plant value @ 1231/85
(9) $\$$ contlict with SSUU interrogatory response, 850166-WS taff recommendation $900329-$ WS
(11) Per staff interim recommendation 870349-wU


KUNALD e. SCHULil\& PROPERTY APPRAISER

110 N. Apopka Ave., Room 200, Inverness, Florida 34450-4294 • Telephone: (904)-637-9820 Fax: (904) 637-9844

November 13, 1992

Southern States Utility
Attn: Mr. Brian Armstrong
1000 Color Place
Apopka, FL 32703
Dear Mr. Armstrong:
Attached please find a copy of a fax dated November 4, 1992 from Judith J. Kimball indicating that it is the response to my letter of September 25, 1992, addressed to you.

In my several years as a Property Appraiser I have not previously encountered such institutional disdain for a potential refund.

In the hope of establishing a useful exchange of information $I$ will remind you of the tasks facing this office in the administration of ad valorem taxation. Ad valorem taxation, at the local level in Florida, is directed at real and tangible personal property with the Property Appraiser charged with discovering and listing all such property within the jurisdiction. The listing of real property is reasonably straight forward. Tangible Personal Property is however, dependent on the property owner submitting a description of the assets, their original cost and the owners estimate of value on form DR 405, a form adopted by the Department of Revenue for this purpose. (See attached). Heretofore we have accepted the summarization of investment and depreciation by the account categories utilized in your reports to the Public Service Commission in lieu of an asset listing.

The logic at work has been that the physical assets of a regulated water and/or sewer company have as their maximum taxable (to the company) value, their contribution to the current rate base and their discounted potential contribution to future rate bases, as would be allowed by the PSC, should an acquisition have occurred on January 1 of the tax year. As you can see there are several interesting assumptions that must be accepted for this logic to yield a reasonable accurate finding of Just Value. An obvious assumption is that the rate base as imputed from your annual report to the PSC does reasonably reflect the rate base, or acquisition amount allowable to the rate base, that a purchaser would have based his offer on in each particular year.

Southern States Utility page 2
November 13, 1992

This assumption is difficult to maintain, over multiple years, when "adjustments" of millions of dollars are made to the various accounts. (See pages 361 and 370 attached indicating adjustments to CIAC, non-used and useful etc.)

Another assumption is that the physical assets being taxed to the company have not had their value added to the taxable. value of individual customers. If the cost of the asset has been included in the calculation of value of a parcel owned by others; as well as being included in the companies taxable value, it would present an example of double taxation. Physical assets which are contributions in aid of construction or physical assets which are built or purchased through funds provided as contributions in aid of construction are normally taxed by being subsumed under the value of the customers parcel. Likewise CIAC that is financial only without tangible assets that are taxable is irrelevant to the calculation of Just Value.

In sumary: for each tax year since the acquisition of the systems S.S.U. has been assessed for values that were properly CIAC and therefore apparently tax to others, the adjustments made to the P.S.C. did not provide an accurate estimate of just value in all years.

I call your attention to Section 195.022 (3) and (4) F.S. with the hope that we can work together in arriving at the appropriate Just Value for each of the past several years for each system. Anticipating your prompt response I have not forwarded your 1992 tax bills since $I$ am convinced that they are erroneous.
Sincerely,

Ronald/J. Schultz, CFF Property Appraiser

RJS/avl

[^0]
[^0]:    cc: Judith J. Kimball, SSU
    Office of the Public Counsel
    Harry C. Jones, President of COVA
    Paul Hawkes, Attorney
    Office of Records and Reports P.S.C.
    Larry Haag, County Attorney

