## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Application for Determination of Need for Intrastate Natural Gas Pipeline ) ISSUED: April 21, 1993 by SunShine Pipeline Partners.

) DOCKET NO. 920807-GP ) ORDER NO. PSC-93-0607-PCO-GP

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Florida Power Corporation (FPC), an intervenor in this case, has requested an extension of time to file rebuttal testimony. FPC requests that rebuttal testimony be due on April 26, 1993. Florida Gas Transmission has joined in the request for extension. FPC did not request an extension of time to file the rebuttal testimony of Peter Fox-Penner concerning gas load other than electric generation load.

The request for extension of time is granted. Rebuttal testimony will be due on April 26, 1993. The testimony of Mr. Fox-Penner is still due on April 22, 1993.

By ORDER of Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, this 21st day of April , 1993.

SUSAN F. CLARK, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL) MCB:bmi

## NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04300 APR 21 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0607-PCO-GP DOCKET NO. 920807-GP PAGE 2

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.