

DOCKET NO.: 950495-WS - [Southern States Utilities, Inc.]

WITNESS: Prefiled Testimony of John Starling, Appearing On Behalf of the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission

DATE FILED: February 26, 1996

2.

ACK _____ AFA _____ APP _____ CAF _____ CMU _____ CTR _____ EAG _____ LEG _____ LEG _____ LEG _____ CPO _____ SEO _____ SEO _____ WAS _____ OTH _____

د.

02355 FEB 258 FPBC-RECORDS/REFORTING

1	PREFILED TESTIMONY OF JOHN STARLING
2	Q. What is your name and business address?
3	A. My name is John Starling and my business address is 2540 Shumard Oak
4	Boulevard, Tallahassee, FL 32399.
5	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6	A. I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) as an
7	Engineer in the Division of Water and Wastewater.
8	Q. What is your educational background and work experience?
9	A. In December, 1985, I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical
10	Engineering from the University of Florida. I worked at Eglin Air Force Base
11	in Fort Walton Beach, Florida from January, 1986 until May, 1990. I was
12	responsible for ensuring that specific radar systems were operating within the
13	required parameters. Since May, 1990, I have worked as an engineer for the
14	FPSC in the Division of Water and Wastewater. I am responsible for reviewing
15	and analyzing engineering issues in utility rate applications, customer
16	complaints and service availability applications and preparing recommendations
· 17	to the Commission.
18	Q. Have you ever testified before the FPSC?
19	A. No.
20	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
21	A. I am presenting information which demonstrates the impact of different
22	water treatment processes on capital costs and operation and maintenance (O&M)
23	expenses.
24	Q. What types of water treatment did you review?
25	A. I evaluated the treatment costs for five different types of treatment:

.

-

reverse osmosis (RO), lime softening (LS), iron filtration, aeration and/or 1 2 storage, and simple chlorination. Southern States Utilities, Inc. (SSU) has proposed that a rate for RO treatment plants which differs from what it calls 3 conventional treatment be implemented. I agree that cost differences exist 4 between RO and other treatment types. I also believe, however, that cost 5 differences exist among the other types of water treatment systems. 6 For 7 example, it also costs more to treat the water using lime softening than it does to simply pump and then chlorinate water. 8

9 Q. Briefly describe reverse osmosis treatment.

÷

10 A. Reverse osmosis is a water treatment process in which raw water is 11 forced through a permeable membrane under high pressure. The membrane is a 12 physical barrier that permits the flow of water through the membrane but acts 13 as a barrier to hardness ions, dissolved organics, chlorides and other 14 contaminants.

15 Q. Briefly describe lime softening treatment.

16 A. Lime softening is a treatment process used to reduce the hardness of 17 water caused by the presence of calcium and magnesium compounds in solution. 18 The hardness is removed by adjusting the pH to precipitate hardness out of 19 solution. The water is then filtered, disinfected and released to the 20 distribution system.

21 Q. Briefly describe iron filtration treatment.

A. Iron filtration is a treatment process in which raw water passes through
a bed of manganese greensand. The greensand is used to remove iron and
manganese as well as tastes and odors from water. SSU's iron filtration
plants (except for Fox Run which has a storage tank) pump the water directly

1 from the well through the iron filters and out to the distribution system.

2 Other than hydropneumatic tanks, there is no storage at these plants.

3 Q. Briefly describe aeration treatment.

4 Α. Aeration is a treatment process typically used to remove hydrogen sulfide from water. The water may either cascade over trays or have air 5 forced through it which transfers hydrogen sulfide from the water into the 6 7 Plants which aerate water also have storage tanks and pumping air. facilities. The aerator is usually located on top of the storage tank. For 8 9 purposes of my analysis, plants which do not aerate the water but have storage are included in this category. Marion Oaks is an example of a water plant 10 which has storage but does not aerate the water. 11

12 Q. Briefly describe chlorination treatment.

A. Chlorination is a treatment process that disinfects the water and destroys bacteria and viruses which may be present in raw water. All public water systems in Florida are required to disinfect the water. Each of the previously mentioned treatment types also disinfect the water after treatment. Q. What is the major factor which dictates the type of treatment required for a raw water supply?

19 A. The raw water quality is the main factor which dictates the type of
20 treatment. Items affecting water quality include chloride levels, iron levels
21 and calcium levels.

Q. How many RO, LS, iron filtration, aeration and/or storage, and
chlorination only water treatment plants has SSU included in its application?
A. SSU's application includes 96 service areas. The water treatment plants
include two RO, four LS, seven iron filtration, thirty-five aeration and/or

A. Cost differences were analyzed using a hypothetical utility. The
 capital costs and expenses of this hypothetical system were estimated for each
 treatment type. Exhibit JMS-2 summarizes the estimated capital costs for each
 type of treatment. Exhibit JMS-3 summarizes the estimated expenses for each
 type of treatment.

ł

6 Q. Please describe the hypothetical system.

The assumptions underlying the hypothetical system include a service 7 Α. 8 area which will serve 500 residential connections. The average residential consumption is assumed to be 350 gpd per connection, resulting in an average 9 daily demand of 175,000 gpd. The maximum daily demand is assumed to be two 10 times the average daily demand or 350,000 gpd, requiring a water treatment 11 plant capacity of 350,000 gpd. The hypothetical treatment plant is not sized 12 to provide fire flow. The utility site is located on four acres of land. The 13 raw water source is provided by two wells located on the four acre site. The 14 treatment plant will also be located on this land. 15 The only contributed facilities are the transmission and distribution lines, services and meters. 16 Is the capacity consideration different for the iron filtration plant? 17 Q. A 792,000 gpd capacity for the iron filtration plant is Yes. 18 Α. appropriate. Since SSU's plants (except for Fox Run) do not have storage, the 19 20 iron filters must be sized to meet peak demands. A peak demand of 1.1 gpm/ERC results in a required plant capacity of 550 gpm or 792,000 gpd for the iron 21 filtration system. 22

Q. Please describe the information provided in Exhibit JMS-2, Hypothetical
Capital Costs.

25 A. Page one is a summary of the detailed original cost information provided

on pages two and three of the exhibit. Page one provides by NARUC account the
 plant in service for each type of treatment.

1

:

3 Q. What is the basis for the estimates of capital costs used in your4 analysis?

5 A. Estimates of the capital costs which would be common to all or most of
6 the hypothetical treatment types (wells, meters, auxiliary generator, storage
7 tanks, chlorination equipment, etc.) are based on knowledge of equipment costs
8 which I have acquired during my six years at the FPSC as well as consultations
9 with other members of staff.

10 Q. What are the unit costs you have calculated for treatment type?

11 A. I have concluded that a reasonable unit cost for a LS treatment plant 12 is \$1.07 per gallon, a reasonable unit cost for a RO plant is \$4.00 per 13 gallon, and a reasonable unit cost for an iron filtration plant is \$0.28 per 14 gallon.

15 Q. How were the unit costs for the RO, LS, and iron filtration plants 16 determined?

I reviewed the original costs of eleven RO, nine LS, and seven iron 17 Α. filtration treatment plants which are or were under the Commission's 18 jurisdiction. These plants were constructed between 1973 and 1993. The plant 19 costs were updated to January, 1995 using the Handy-Whitman index which can 20 be used to update the cost of water treatment facilities. The Handy-Whitman 21 index number is a percentage ratio between the cost of an item at any stated 22 time and its cost at a base period as follows: Index # = (cost at stated 23 time/cost at base period) * 100%. The Handy-Whitman index has been used by 24 the FPSC to estimate the original cost of water treatment facilities. 25

- 6 -

1 | Q. Did these plant costs include engineering and overhead?

A. Yes, these costs were included whenever possible. If engineering and
overhead costs were not available, I estimated they were 15% of the plant's
direct cost.

5 Q. How were the original costs of the iron filtration, lime softening, and6 reverse osmosis treatment plants calculated?

7 A. As stated earlier, the capacity of hypothetical RO and LS treatment 8 plants are 350,000 gpd. Multiplying 350,000 by \$1.07 gives the hypothetical 9 LS plant's original cost of \$375,000. Similarly, the RO plant's original cost 10 is \$1,400,000. As discussed earlier in my testimony, the iron filtration 11 plant must provide flows to meet peak demands and its capacity is 792,000 gpd. 12 Multiplying 792,000 by \$0.28 yields an original cost of \$221,760 for the iron 13 filter units.

14 Q. Is there any other factor besides the treatment unit which will affect 15 the cost of an RO plant?

16 A. Yes. RO plant concentrate (brine or reject) disposal capital costs can
17 be significant. There are several methods utilized for disposing of RO reject
18 water: deep well injection, surface water discharge, discharge to a
19 wastewater treatment plant and percolation ponds.

20 Q. Did you include the costs of concentrate disposal in your RO plant 21 costs?

22 A. Yes. If the cost information was available, I included the capital23 costs for RO disposal in my review of the original plant costs.

Q. Please describe the information provided in Exhibit JMS-3, Hypothetical
System Expenses.

1 A. Page one is a summary of the O&M expenses for the different types of 2 treatment. Page two provides more detailed information about how the expenses 3 on page one were calculated. The estimate of expenses which are common to all 4 of the treatment types (receptionist/bookkeeping, officer salaries, office 5 supplies, contract services, etc.) is based upon my knowledge of the expected 6 costs for these types of expenses and consultations with other members of 7 staff.

How were the chemical expenses for each type of treatment determined? 8 0. For each type of treatment, I gathered chemical expense and gallons 9 Α. 10 pumped data over a one year period. Most of the chemical expense and gallons pumped data comes from annual reports which have been filed with the FPSC, but 11 some data comes from recent rate cases. The chemical unit costs which I used 12 are simply an average of all the data which was collected for each type of 13 treatment. The average unit chemical costs are as follows: chlorination is 14 2.8 cents per 1000 gallons, aeration and/or storage is 2.7 cents per 1000 15 gallons, iron filtration is 8.8 cents per gallon, LS is 21.4 cents per 1000 16 gallons, and RO is 47.1 cents per 1000 gallons. For the hypothetical system, 17 I estimated the chemical expense by multiplying the chemical unit cost by the 18 total gallons of water treated in a year, 175,000 gpd for 365 days. This 19 results in an annual chemical expense of \$1,789 for simple chlorination, 20 \$1,731 for aeration/storage, \$5,655 for iron filtration, \$13,644 for lime 21 softening, and \$30,063 for reverse osmosis. 22

Q. How were the power expenses for each type of treatment determined?
A. For each type of treatment, I gathered purchased power expense and
gallons pumped data over a one year period. Most of the purchased power

- 8 -

expense and gallons pumped data comes from annual reports which have been 1 filed with the FPSC, but some data comes from recent rate cases. 2 The power 3 unit costs which I used are simply an average of all the data which was collected for each type of treatment. The average unit purchased power costs 4 5 are as follows: chlorination is 17.6 cents per 1000 gallons, aeration and/or storage is 12.7 cents per 1000 gallons, iron filtration is 23.0 cents per 1000 6 gallons, LS is 22.2 cents per 1000 gallons, and RO is 95.8 cents per 1000 7 gallons. This results in annual purchased power expense of \$11,268 for simple -8 chlorination, \$8,104 for aeration/storage, \$14,716 for iron filtration, and 9 \$14,214 for lime softening and \$61,176 for reverse osmosis. 10

:

÷

11 Q. How was the \$33,000 expense for the RO and LS plant operator estimated?
12 A. This information is based upon the Hewitt study which was an exhibit
13 (DGL-3) to SSU witness Dale Lock's prefiled direct testimony. The \$33,000
14 salary for an Operator III is provided on page 7 of this exhibit.

15 Q. How did you estimate the yearly expense for materials and supplies for 16 the treatment plant?

17 A. I assumed that the expense for materials and supplies for RO and LS
18 would be higher than it would be for other treatment types since more
19 equipment may need replacing. I believe that the amounts are reasonable
20 estimates for the hypothetical utility.

21 Q. What was the difference in cost for each of the different types of 22 treatment?

A. Using the plant and expense information from Exhibits JMS-2 and JMS-3,
Mr. Casey calculated the following revenue requirements for each type of
treatment: \$140,093 for simple chlorination, \$193,780 for iron filtration,

\$219,204 for aeration and/or storage, \$350,712 for LS, and \$687,615 for RO.
If simple chlorination is assumed to be the base (or 1), the following cost
factors for the different types of treatment result: iron filtration is 1.38
times the cost of simple chlorination, aeration and/or storage is 1.56 times
the cost of simple chlorination, LS is 2.50 times the cost of simple
chlorination and RO is 4.90 times the cost of simple chlorination.
Please describe the appropriate limitations of your analysis.

:

÷

8 A. My testimony is not meant to imply that the cost factors which I have
9 presented are the only ones which the Commission should consider. In fact,
10 treatment cost is just one of many factors which affects a utility's cost of
11 providing service.

12 Q. Would the capital costs of similarly sized plants using the same 13 treatment differ?

14 A. Yes. There are many variables involved in the design and construction 15 of a water treatment plant. Therefore, the capital costs of similarly sized 16 plants which utilize the same treatment process may differ. For the purpose 17 of comparing costs of different types of treatments, I believe that what I 18 have presented is a reasonable estimate of those differences.

19 Q. Is there anything you would like to add?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

22 A. Yes.

23

24

25

SSU WATER PLANTS AND TYPES OF TREATMENT

.

Water	Treatment	Water	Treatment
System	Туре	System	Туре
Beecher's Point	Purchased Water	Amelia Island	Aeration/Storage
Daetwyler Shores	Purchased Water	Apple Valley	Aeration/Storage
Deep Creek	Purchased Water	Beacon Hills	Aeration/Storage
Enterprise	Purchased Water	Buenaventura Lakes	Aeration/Storage
Golden Terrace	Purchased Water	Chuluota	Aeration/Storage
Holiday Haven	Purchased Water	Citrus Springs	Aeration/Storage
Jungle Den	Purchased Water	Deltona	Aeration/Storage
Kingswood	Purchased Water	Dol Ray Manor	Aeration/Storage
Lake Conway Park	Purchased Water	Druid Hills	Aeration/Storage
Oakwood	Purchased Water	Fern Park	Aeration/Storage
Palm Valley	Purchased Water	Fountains	Aeration/Storage
Westmont	Purchased Water	Hermits Cove	Aeration/Storage
Lehigh	Lime Softening	Interlachen Lake Est./Park Manor	Aeration/Storage
Marco Shores	Lime Softening	Keystone Heights	Aeration/Storage
Sugar Mill	Lime Softening	Lake Ajay Estates	Aeration/Storage
Burnt Store	Reverse Osmosis	Lake Brantley	Aeration/Storage
Marco Island	RO & LS	Lake Harriet Estates	Aeration/Storage
Apache Shores	Iron Filtration	Leisure Lakes	Aeration/Storage
Crystal River Highlands	tron Filtration	Marion Oaks	Aeration/Storage
Fox Run	Iron Filtration	Meredith Manor	Aeration/Storage
Gospel Island Estates	Iron Filtration	Paim Port	Aeration/Storage
Lakeside	Iron Filtration	Pine Ridge Estates	Aeration/Storage
Palms Mobile Home Park	Iron Filtration	Piney Woods	Aeration/Storage
Point O' Woods	Iron Filtration	Remington Forest	Aeration/Storage

SSU WATER PLANTS AND TYPES OF TREATMENT

• • •

•

Water	Treatment	Water	Treatment
System	Туре	System	Туре
River Grove	Aeration/Storage	Keystone Club Estates	Chlorination
River Park	Aeration/Storage	Lakeview Villas	Chlorination
Silver Lake Est./Western Shores	Aeration/Storage	Lellani Helghts	Chlorination
Silver Lake Oaks	Aeration/Storage	Morningview	Chlorination
St. John's Highlands	Aeration/Storage	Oak Forest	Chlorination
Sugar Mill Woods	Aeration/Storage	Palisades Country Club	Chlorination
Sunny Hills	Aeration/Storage	Palm Terrace	Chlorination
Sunshine Parkway	Aeration/Storage	Picciola Island	Chlorination
University Shores	Aeration/Storage	Pine Ridge	Chlorination
Welaka/Saratoga Harbour	Aeration/Storage	Pomona Park	Chlorination
Woodmere	Aeration/Storage	Postmaster Village	Chiorination
Bay Lake Estates	Chlorination	Quall Ridge	Chlorination
Carlton Village	Chlorination	Rolling Green/Rosemont	Chlorination
Citrus Park	Chlorination	Salt Springs	Chlorination
E. Lk. Harris Est./Friendly Ctr.	Chlorination	Samira Villas	Chlorination
Fern Terrace	Chlorination	Skycrest	Chlorination
Fisherman's Haven	Chlorination	Spring Gardens	Chlorination
Geneva Lake Estates	Chlorination	Stone Mountain	Chlorination
Grand Terrace	Chlorination	Tropical Park	Chlorination
Harmony Homes	Chlorination	Valencia Terrace	Chlorination
Hobby Hills	Chlorination	Venetian Village	Chlorination
Holiday Heights	Chlorination	Windsong	Chlorination
Imperial Terrace	Chlorination	Wootens	Chlorination
Intercession City	Chlorination	Zephyr Shores	Chlorination

HYPOTHETICAL CAPITAL COSTS - SUMMARY

i		SIMPLE	AERATION/	IRON	LIME	REVERSE
ACCT.	DESCRIPTION	CHLORINATION	STORAGE	FILTRATION	SOFTENING	OSMOSIS
301	INTANGIBLE PLANT	\$1,873	\$1,873	\$1,873	\$1,873	\$2,873
	SOURCE OF SUPPLY & PUMPING					
303.2	Land & Land Rights	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
304.2	Structures & Improvements	\$6,575	\$7,646	\$7,646	\$7,646	\$7,646
305.2	Collect. & Impound. Reservoirs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
306.2	Lake, River, & Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
307.2	Wells & Springs	\$22,426	\$22,426	\$22,426	\$22,426	\$22,426
308.2	Infiltration Galleries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
309.2	Supply Mains	\$10,765	\$10,765	\$10,765	\$10,765	\$10,765
310.2	Power Generation Equipment	\$17,460	\$17,460	\$17,460	\$17,460	\$17,460
311.2	Pumping Equipment	\$9,076	\$49,076	\$9,076	\$49,076	\$49,076
339.2	Other Plant & Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	WATER TREATMENT PLANT					
303.3	Land & Land Rights	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
304.3	Structures & Improvements	\$12,400	\$16,000	\$12,400	\$16,000	\$16,000
320.3	Treatment Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$221,760	\$375,000	\$962,500
320.3	Chlorination Equipment	\$6,860	\$6,860	\$6,860	\$6,860	\$6,860
320.3	Permeators					\$437,500
339.3	Other Plant & Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	TRANSMISSION & DISTRIBUTION					
303.4	Land & Land Rights	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	50
304.4	Structures & Improvements	\$0	\$0	\$0	so	so
330.4	Distribution Reservoirs	\$13.950	\$410.000	\$13.950	\$410 000	\$410 000
331.4	Transmission & Distribution Mains	\$225,000	\$225.000	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$225,000
333.4	Services	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000
334.4	Meters & Meter Installations	\$50,000	\$50.000	\$50,000	\$50.000	\$50,000
335.4	Hydrants	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
339.4	Other Plant & Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			• -	• -	* -	¥-
	GENERAL PLANT					
	General Plant	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL PLANT IN SERVICE	\$421,385	\$862,106	\$644,216	\$1,237,106	\$2,263,106

• .

۰.

HYPOTHETICAL CAPITAL COSTS – DETAIL

		SIMPLE	AERATION/	IRON	LIME	REVERSE
ACCT.	DESCRIPTION	CHLORINATION	STORAGE	FILTRATION	SOFTENING	OSMOSIS
301	INTANGIBLE PLANT	-				
	-WMD Well Permit (2 wells @ 150)	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300
	-Permit Appn. Fee for R.O. Discharge	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000
	-Occupational License	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50
	-DEP Permit Fee	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
	-Bd. of County Comm. (Co. permit)	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300
	-BPR (Water License)	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100
	-Incorporation Fees	\$123	\$123	\$123	\$123	\$123
_	TOTAL-INTANGIBLE PLANT	\$1,873	\$1,873	\$1,873	\$1,873	\$2,873
						,
303.2	LAND & LAND RIGHTS					
	Land – Source of Supply					
	-\$5,000/acre * 4 acres	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
	Land-Water Treatment Plant		ŕ		,	,
	-located on well setback	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL-LAND & LAND RIGHTS	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
				· ·		
304.2	STRUCT. & IMPROV. – SOURCE]
	-Fencing @ \$5.95/ft * 500' or 680'	\$2,975	\$4,046	\$4,046	\$4,046	\$4,046
	Pump House & Storage			,		,
	– Pump House, 180 sq.ft. @ \$20/sq. ft.	\$3,600	\$3,600	\$3,600	\$3,600	\$3,600
	TOTAL-SOURCE STRUCT. & IMPROV.	\$6,575	\$7,646	\$7,646	\$7,646	\$7,646
					ŕ	
307.2	WELLS & SPRINGS					
	Wells, Casings, Appurtenances					
	-400', 6" casing @\$ 12/ft, * 2 wells	\$9,600	\$9,600	\$9,600	\$9,600	\$9,600
	-6% sales tax	\$576	\$576	\$576	\$576	\$576
	-600' drilling@\$9/ft, * 2 wells	\$10,800	\$10,800	\$10,800	\$10,800	\$10,800
	-Pump Test @ \$600/well, * 2 wells	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$1,200
<u></u>	-Survey	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$250
	TOTAL-WELLS & SPRINGS	\$22,426	\$22,426	\$22,426	\$22,426	\$22,426
			-	,	ŕ	
309.2	SUPPLY MAINS					
	4" Turbine Master Meter	\$1,185	\$1,185	\$1,185	\$1,185	\$1,185
<u></u>	Valves, Vaults, and Appurtenances	\$9,580	\$9,580	\$9,580	\$9,580	\$9,580
	TOTAL-SUPPLY MAINS	\$10,765	\$10,765	\$10,765	\$10,765	\$10,765

•• -

.

•. -

HYPOTHETICAL CAPITAL COSTS – DETAIL

,

		SIMPLE	AERATION/	IRON	LIME	REVERSE
ACCT.	DESCRIPTION	CHLORINATION	STORAGE	FILTRATION	SOFTENING	OSMOSIS
310.2	POWER GENERATION EQUIPMENT					
	Auxiliary Generator (34 kW)					
	with auto transfer switch	\$17,460	\$17,460	\$17,460	\$17,460	\$17,460
311.2	PUMPING EQUIPMENT					
	Well pumps	\$9,076	\$9,076	\$9,076	\$9,076	\$9,076
	High Service pumps	\$0	\$40,000	\$0	\$40,000	\$40,000
	TOTAL-PUMPING EQUIPMENT	\$9,076	\$49,076	\$9,076	\$49,076	\$49,076
				,		
304.3	STRUCT. & IMRPOVWTP					
	-H.S. Pump House	\$0	\$3,600	\$0	\$3.600	\$3.600
	- Chlorine Room, 80 sq.ft. @ \$30/sq. ft.	\$2,400	\$2,400	\$2,400	\$2,400	\$2,400
	-Clearing@\$2,500/acre	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000
	TOTAL-WTP STRUCT. & IMPROV.	\$12,400	\$16,000	\$12,400	\$16.000	\$16.000
			. ,	, .	•,	,
320.3	WATER TREATMENT EQUIPMENT					
	- Chlorination	\$6,860	\$6,860	\$6.860	\$6.860	\$6.860
	-Iron Filtration	\$0	\$0	\$221.760	\$0	\$0
	-Lime Softening	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$375.000	so
	-Reverse Osmosis	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,400,000
	TOTAL-WATER TREATMENT PLANT	\$6,860	\$6,860	\$228,620	\$381.860	\$1,406,860
			· · · ·	·,	<i></i>	4 1,100,000
330.4	DISTRIBUTION RESERVOIRS					
	-7,500 gal hydro @1.86/gal	\$13,950	\$0	\$13,950	\$0	so
	-300,000 gallons @\$1.5/gal less \$40,000 for	\$0	\$410,000	\$0	\$410,000	\$410.000
	high service pumps		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· ·	<i>+,</i>	<i>↓,,,,,,,,,</i>
	TOTAL-DISTRIBUTION RESERVOIRS	\$13,950	\$410,000	\$13.950	\$410.000	\$410,000
			, ,	<i>,</i>	4,	<i>4110,000</i>
331.4	TRANS. AND DIST. MAINS					
	-40,000 feet of pipe @ \$5.625/ft.	\$225.000	\$225.000	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$225.000
333.4	SERVICES				+==0,000	+223,000
	-500 service connection @\$50/connection	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000
334.4	METERS AND METER INSTALLATIONS		······································			+==;000
	-500 meters @\$100/meter	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000

۰.

••

HYPOTHETICAL SYSTEM EXPENSES – SUMMARY

		SIMPLE	AERATION/	IRON	LIME	REVERSE
ACCT.	DESCRIPTION	CHLORINATION	STORAGE	FILTRATION	SOFTENING	OSMOSIS
601	SALARIES AND WAGES EMPLOYEES	\$21,154	\$21,154	\$21,154	\$54,154	\$54,154
603	SALARIES AND WAGES - OFFICERS	\$21,653	\$21,653	\$21,653	\$21,653	\$21,653
604	EMPLOYEE PENSIONS AND BENEFITS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
610	PURCHASED WATER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
615	PURCHASED POWER	\$11,268	\$8,104	\$14,716	\$14,214	\$61,176
616	FUEL FOR POWER CONSUMPTION	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
618	CHEMICALS	\$1,789	\$1,731	\$5,655	\$13,644	\$30,063
620	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$11,000	\$14,000
630	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	\$27,750	\$28,913	\$28,913	\$22,613	\$22,613
640	RENTS	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000
650	TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT	\$1,305	\$1,305	\$1,305	\$1,305	\$1,305
655	INSURANCE EXPENSE	\$2,107	\$4,311	\$3,169	\$6,186	\$11,316
665	REGULATORY COMMISSION EXPENSE	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$250
670	BAD DEBT EXPENSE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
675	MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	\$665	\$665	\$665	\$665	\$665
	TOTAL	\$101,940	\$102,085	\$111,480	\$151,683	\$223,194
408	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME	\$10,276	\$11,819	\$11,020	\$19,579	\$23,170

• . -

• • -

HYPOTHETICAL SYSTEM EXPENSES - DETAIL

		SIMPLE	AERATION/	IRON	LIME	REVERSE
ACCT.	DESCRIPTION	CHLORINATION	STORAGE	FILTRATION	SOFTENING	OSMOSIS
[
601	SALARIES AND WAGES - EMPLOYEES	\$21,154	\$21,154	\$21,154	\$21,154	\$21,154
	1 F/T Recept., Bookkeeper, Billing					
	(40 hrs/week @ \$10.17/hour = \$406.80/wk)					
1	1 F/T Operator III (LS & RO)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$33,000	\$33,000
603	SALARIES AND WAGES - OFFICERS		-			
	Owner/Manager, 20 hrs/week @ \$20.82/hr = \$416.40/wk	\$21,653	\$21,653	\$21,653	\$21,653	\$21,653
615	PURCHASED POWER (1)	\$11,268	\$8,104	\$14,716	\$14,214	\$61,176
618	CHEMICALS (1)	\$1,789	\$1,731	\$5,655	\$13,644	\$30,063
620	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES					
	-For the T&D system and the wtp	\$2,000	\$2.000	\$2.000	\$5,000	\$8,000
	- Office Supplies (\$1/customer/mo = \$500/month)	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6.000	\$6,000	\$6,000
	TOTAL - MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8.000	\$11,000	\$14,000
				*-1	••••	••••
630	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES-ACCT.					
	- Ann. Rot., Income Tax, Books, Index/PT (\$350.00/month)	\$4,200	\$4,200	\$4,200	\$4,200	\$4.200
630	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES-OTHER	. ,				• ••
	- Contract Operator (6 hrs/week, \$525.00/month)	\$6,300	\$6.300	\$6.300	so	\$0
	- Assistant @ 25 hr/wk @ \$10/hr, \$1,000/month	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12.000
	-Meter Reader, 500 mtrs/mo @\$0.35/mtr, \$175.00/month	\$2,100	\$2,100	\$2,100	\$2,100	\$2,100
	-Mowing & Grounds Keeping	\$650	\$650	\$650	\$650	\$650
	-Testing (2)	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000
	-Tank painting: Hydropneumatic tank is \$2,500 and ground	\$500	\$1,663	\$1,663	\$1,663	\$1,663
	storage tank is \$8.315 once every five years.		+ .,	+.,	<i>41,000</i>	+1,000
	TOTAL - CONTRACT SERVICES	\$27,750	\$28,913	\$28,913	\$22.613	\$22.613
				+==,•.•	+,	+22,010
641	RENTAL OF BUILDING/PROPERTY					
	- Office of 300 square feet @ \$500.00/month	\$6.000	\$6.000	\$6.000	\$6,000	\$6.000
650	TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE					
	4,500 mi/yr @ \$0.29/mi	\$1,305	\$1,305	\$1.305	\$1,305	\$1,305
657	INSURANCE-GENERAL LIABILITY (3)	\$2,107	\$4.311	\$3,169	\$6,186	\$11 316
666	REG. COMM. EXP-RATE CASE	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$250	\$250
675	MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES			·		
	Telephone @ \$45/mo	\$540	\$540	\$540	\$540	\$540
	AWWA membership dues	\$125	\$125	\$125	\$125	\$125
	TOTAL - MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	\$665	\$665	\$665	\$665	\$665
				•	•	+
408	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME					
	- Property Taxes & Personal Property (4)	\$1,475	\$3.017	\$2,219	\$4,330	\$7 921
1	- Payroll Taxes	Ţ.,	+-,511	÷2,210	÷1,000	₩7,3 2 1
1	Social Security (6.2%)	\$2.654	\$2 654	\$2 654	\$4 700	\$4 700
	Medicare (1.45%)	\$621	\$621	\$621	\$1,099	\$1 099
1	State Unemployment (2.7% of first \$7K)	\$378	\$378	\$378	\$567	\$567
	Federal Unemployment (6.2% of first \$7K)	\$868	\$868	\$868	\$1,302	\$1 302
	Workers Comp (10% of Annual salaries)	\$4,281	\$4,281	\$4 281	\$7 581	\$7 581
	TOTAL - TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME	\$10,276	\$11,201	\$11,020	\$19.579	\$23 170
L			411,013	\$11,020	ψ10,013	ψευ, 170

ASSUMPTIONS:

S00 Connections and average daily flow of 175,000 gpd.
 Number of employees increases w/ LS & RO since add a full-time operator.
 Contractual Accountant maintains books, does annual reports, taxes, etc.

NOTES

1. Costs based on Average Daily Flow of 175,000 gpd. 2. Testing costs include primary & secondary standards

monitoring.

3. General Liability insuranced based on 0.5% of PIS.

4. Property Taxes & Personal Property based on 0.35% of PIS.

~

× · · ·

•

•

WATER TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL COSTS

System	Useppa	Aquarina	Burnt	Burnt	Marco	Plantation	Sailfish	Ocean	Palm Coast	Gulf
	Island		Store	Store	Island	(Martin)	Point	City		
Treatment Type	RO	RO	RO	RO	RO	RO	RO	RO	RO	RO
Year Constructed	1978&1990	1984	1978	1994	1992&1995	11/2/77	'81, '83, '92	'73 & 85	1992	1991
WTP Equipment	\$175,111	\$221,198	\$358,865	\$3,728,326	\$16,593,348	\$201,268	\$783,995	\$161,006	\$7,182,056	
Structures & Imp.	\$66,607	\$85,432	\$33,982	\$444,445	\$2,507,491	\$45,524	\$1,074,092	\$59,697	\$3,103,901	\$1,895,225
WTP Capacity	113,400	120,000	160,000	480,000	5,000,000	200,000	350,000	89,560	3,000,000	500,000
Trended WTP Cost (\$/gal)	\$2.13	\$3.22	\$4.48	\$8.69	\$3.82	\$2.42	\$5.31	\$2.46	\$3.43	\$4.33

Type of	Average	
Treatment	Cost (\$/gal)	
RO	\$3.99	Use \$4.00/gallon
LS	\$1.07	Use \$1.07/gallon
Iron Filters	\$0.28	Use \$0.28/gallon

÷. .

** .

•

ı.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL COSTS

.

System	Vineyards	Plantation	Ferncrest	FCWC	South	Sugar	Indian	FCWC-Lee	Gulf
		(Flagler)		Bareft. Bay	Broward	Mill	River Ut.	Gr. Meadows	
Treatment Type	RO	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS
Year Constructed	1987	Nov, 1986	12/73	1980	1986-1989	4/80	1986	1980&1985	1981
WTP Equipment	\$138,757	\$700,519	\$335,005	\$873,706	\$1,421,637	\$397,349	\$155,042	\$9,009,028	\$526,809
Structures & Imp.	\$16,206	\$152,714	\$0	\$0	\$533,864	\$0	\$22,044	\$0	
WTP Capacity	50,000	750,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	2,000,000	504,000	288,000	9,000,000	1,000,000
Trended WTP Cost (\$/gal)	\$3.58	\$1.34	\$1.05	\$ 1.41	\$0.98	\$1.21	\$0.72	\$1.00	\$0.73

• . *

٠

•

WATER TREATMENT PLANT CAPITAL COSTS

System	Palm	Fox	Palms	Point	Apache	Crystal	Lakeside	Hobe
•	Coast	Run	MHP	O'Woods	Shores	River		Sound
Treatment Type	LS	Filtration						
Year Constructed	1980-1981	1993	1993	12/92	1986	1984	1991	1996
WTP Equipment	\$6,602,828	\$169,830	\$56,579	\$127,457	\$17,606	\$24,073	\$87,980	\$1,000,000
Structures & Imp.	\$500,469	\$0	\$0	\$0				\$0
WTP Capacity	6,000,000	724,248	125,000	424,008	83,126	83,126	565,344	6,912,000
Trended WTP Cost (\$/gal)	\$1.18	\$0.24	\$0.47	\$0.31	\$0.25	\$0.35	\$0.17	\$0.14

۰. .

•••

• • •