#### VOTE SHEET

DATE: \_ May 21, 1996

RE: DOCKET NO. 950984-TP - Resolution of petition(s) to establish nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions for resale involving local exchange companies and alternative local exchange companies pursuant to section 364.161, F.S.

<u>Issue 1:</u> What elements should be made available by United/Centel and GTEFL to MFS-FL on an unbundled basis (e.g. link elements, port elements, loop concentration, loop transport)?

GTEFL Recommendation: This issue was stipulated by MFS-FL and GTEFL. The stipulation was approved at the March 20, 1996 hearing. (TR 13). Therefore, this issue is resolved.

<u>UNITED/CENTEL Recommendation:</u> The Commission should require United/Centel to offer the following elements on an unbundled basis:

- 1) 2-wire and 4-wire analog voice grade loops;
- 2) 2-wire ISDN digital grade loop;
- 3) 4-wire DS-1 digital grade loop;
- 4) 2-wire and 4-wire analog line ports;
- 5) 2-wire ISDN digital line port;
- 6) 2-wire analog DID trunk port;
- 7) 4-wire DS-1 digital DID trunk port; and
- 8) 4-wire ISDN DS-1 digital trunk port.

Recommendation that United/Centel be required to allow ALECs to collocate loop concentration equipment. Procedures for collocating loop concentration devices should be the same as those ordered by the Commission in its expanded interconnection proceedings.

### **APPROVED**

05774 MAY 23 &

FPSO-HEDURGO/REPORTING

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

#### COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

<u>MAJORITY</u>	<u>DISSENTING</u>
10 mm	
Suran I Clark	
A. Ten Deaso	
Jan Tour	
REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:	
PSC/RAR33 (5/90) DOCUMENT HUMMER-DATE	
DUOCH CONTRACTOR CONTR	

Vote Sheet D\_cket No. 950984-TP May 21, 1996

<u>Issue 2:</u> What are the appropriate technical arrangements for each such unbundled element?

GTEFL Recommendation: This issue was stipulated by MFS-FL and GTEFL. The stipulation was approved at the March 20, 1996 hearing. (TR 13). Therefore, this issue is resolved.

<u>UNITED/CENTEL Recommendation:</u> The Commission should require all parties to adhere to industry standards for the provision and operation of each unbundled element.

### **APPROVED**

<u>Issue 3:</u> What are the appropriate financial arrangements for each such unbundled element?

GTEFL Recommendation: Rates for unbundled loops should approximate Total Service Long Run Incremental Cost (TSLRIC). Unbundled ports may be set at reasonable market prices. The monthly recurring rates for the unbundled elements recommended in Issue 1 should be set as follows for GTEFL:

#### Loops

1A.	2-W voice grade analog loop:	\$ 20.00
1B.	4-W voice grade analog loop:	\$ 25.00
2.	2-W ISDN digital grade loop:	\$ 20.00
3.	*4-W DS-1 digital grade loop:	\$250.00 - First System
		\$154.00 - Add'l System
Por	<u>ts</u>	<del>-</del>
4.	2-W & 4-W analog line ports:	\$ 6.00
5.	2-W ISDN digital line port:	\$ 20.00
6.	2-W analog DID trunk port:	\$ 6.00
	plus tariffed DID charges	
7.	4-W DS-1 digital DID trunk port:	\$ 60.00
	plus tariffed DID charges	
8.	4-W ISDN DS-1 digital port:	\$350.00

\* The recommended rate for the 4-W DS-1 digital grade loop should be considered an interim rate. GTEFL should be required either to refile its cost information or to explain why its proposed rate (current Special Access DS-1 rate) is below the company's own cost estimate, and why the TSLRIC is higher than currently tariffed rates for the equivalent service in GTEFL's Special Access, Private Line, and Local Transport tariffs. This information should be submitted no later than 60 days following the issuance of the final order on this phase of the proceedings.

Vote Sheet
Docket No. 950984-TP
May 21, 1996

#### Issue 3:

<u>UNITED/CENTEL Recommendation:</u> The cost data submitted by United/Centel was filed immediately prior to hearing. Staff was unable to adequately analyze the relevant cost components to determine rates for the requested rate Therefore, United/Centel should be required to refile cost studies providing estimates of TSLRIC for all elements as approved by the Commission in Issue 1. United/Centel should be required to organize it in such a fashion that staff can determine the relevant TSLRIC cost components and the associated amounts. The cost data need not reflect separate estimates for residential and business, and it should include weighted average total costs for each component. To the extent that TSLRIC is unavailable or that a proxy is used, this needs to be stated clearly and the method used explained. These cost studies should conform to the information requirements set forth in Rule 25-4.046, F.A.C. and should be submitted no later than 60 days from issuance of the final order on this phase of the proceedings. Staff should bring a recommendation to the Commission to set the rates for these elements based on the cost studies after they have been evaluated.

Meanwhile, the following rates should be approved on an interim basis only:

2-W voice grade analog loop: \$ 15.00 2-W analog line port: \$ 7.00

Joint Recommendation: For purposes of this proceeding, TSLRIC estimates should be used to determine whether an unbundled rate meets the statutory requirement. That is, no permanent unbundled loop rate should be set below our best estimate of TSLRIC, as determined by the evidence provided in this proceeding. TSLRIC estimates should be based on the provider's current or prospective network facilities, as opposed to some theoretically optimal network configuration, assuming no facilities are in place.

ALECs should be allowed to combine unbundled loops and unbundled ports. All tariffs required to be filed in this recommendation should be filed no later than 30 days following issuance of the final order in this phase of the proceedings. They should become effective fifteen days following the date that complete and correct tariffs are filed.

# **APPROVED**

Vote Sheet Docket No. 950984-TP May 21, 1996

<u>Issue 4:</u> What arrangements, if any, are necessary to address other operational issues?

GTEFL Recommendation: GTEFL and MFS-FL should continue to negotiate as outlined in their partial co-carrier agreement. If an agreement is reached on these operational issues, it should be filed with the Commission before it becomes effective. If no agreement is reached within 60 days of issuance of the final order, then GTEFL and MFS-FL should adhere to the same operational arrangements that are ordered for United/Centel.

UNITED/CENTEL Recommendation: The following operational arrangements should be ordered for United/Centel:

- 1) United/Centel should be required to apply all transport-based and switched-based features, functions, service attributes, grades-of-service, installation, maintenance, and repair intervals which apply to bundled service to unbundled loops.
- 2) The appropriate termination liability charges for early termination of contracts should apply. Termination liability charges should be pursuant to existing tariffs for the specific service. In addition, nonrecurring charges for conversion of bundled loops to unbundled loops should apply. Nonrecurring charges for the conversion of bundled loops to unbundled loops should be based on their costs. In the interim, United/Centel should use its currently tariffed nonrecurring charges associated with residence and business service for the conversion of bundled loops to unbundled loops. United/Centel should submit cost studies which reflect the nonrecurring costs of converting bundled service of the LEC to unbundled service for the ALEC. United/Centel should file these cost studies and proposed terms, conditions, and rates no later than 60 days following issuance of the final order of this proceeding.
- 3) United/Centel and MFS-FL should develop a billing arrangement for unbundled elements ordered between the companies to be filed with the Commission within 60 days from issuance of the order.
- 4) Mechanized intercompany operational procedures, similar to the ones between IXCs and LECs today, should be jointly developed by MFS-FL and United/Centel and should conform to national industry standards which are currently being developed.
- 5) Further operational disputes that may arise and that MFS-FL and United/Centel are unable to resolve through negotiations should be handled by filing a petition or motion with the Commission.

### **APPROVED**

Vote Sheet Docket No. 950984-TP May 21, 1996

Issue 5: To what extent are the non-petitioning parties that actively participate in this proceeding bound by the Commission's decision in this docket as it relates to Sprint-United/Centel?

Ruling: Any intervenor ALEC who fully participates in this proceeding is bound by the resolution of the issues. Such an ALEC is still free to negotiate its own interconnection rate. To the extent negotiations fail, the affected ALEC may petition the Commission to set interconnection rates.

## **APPROVED**

Issue 6: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. This docket should remain open to address additional information to be filed by United/Centel and GTEFL in various issues discussed above. Further, the Commission has ordered Bellsouth to file additional information in its phase of this docket. In addition, this docket should remain open to address any other requests for unbundling or resale.

# **APPROVED**