9

VOTE SHEET

DATE: ___July 16, 1996___

RE: DOCKET NO. 950985-TP - Resolution of petition(s) to establish nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions for interconnection involving local exchange companies and alternative local exchange companies pursuant to Section 364.162, F.S.

<u>Issue 1:</u> Recommendation that the Commission deny BellSouth's request for oral argument on its Motion for Reconsideration.

DEFERRED

Issue 2: Recommendation that the Commission deny BellSouth's Motion for Reconsideration regarding the Commission's decision that, for the termination of local traffic, MCImetro, MFS-FL and BellSouth shall compensate each other by mutual traffic exchange. The motion does not raise a material and relevant point of fact or law which was overlooked or which the Commission failed to consider when it rendered the order in the first instance.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

DISSENTING

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33(5/90)

Deserved to the July 30 Commission Conquence

07536 JUL 188

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Vote Sheet Docket No. 950985-TL July 16, 1996

Issue 3: Recommendation that the Commission deny BellSouth's Motion for Reconsideration regarding the Commission decision that the residual interconnection charge be billed and collected by the carrier terminating the call. The Motion does not raise a material and relevant point of fact or law which was overlooked or which the Commission failed to consider when it rendered the order in the first instance.

Issue 4: Recommendation that the Commission reconsider its decision not to set a charge for intermediary handling of local traffic for BellSouth. The rate should be set at the same level as the tandem switching element in BellSouth's Switched Access Switched Transport tariff, which is \$.00050 per access minute, and should be assessed only where ALECs involved in the call are not collocated in the same wire center.

Issue 5: Recommendation that the Commission deny FCTA's and Time Warner's request for reconsideration of Order No. PSC-96-0445-FOF-TP. The motions do not raise a material and relevant point of fact or law which was overlooked or which the Commission failed to consider when it rendered the order in the first instance.

<u>Issue 6:</u> Recommendation that the docket should remain open to address the additional cost and operational information required by Order No. PSC-96-0445-FOF-TP.