## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by AT&T )
Communications of the Southern )
States, Inc., MCI )
Telecommunications Corporation )
and MCI Metro Access )
Transmission Services, Inc. for )
arbitration of certain terms and)
conditions of a proposed )
agreement with GTE Florida )
Incorporated concerning )
interconnection and resale under)
the Telecommunications Act of )
1996

Docket No. 960847-TP Docket No. 960980-TP

PROCEEDINGS:

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

BEFORE:

COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON

Prehearing Officer

DATE:

Thursday, October 3, 1996

TIME:

Commenced at 1:35 p.m. Concluded at 2:07 p.m.

PLACE:

Betty Easley Conference Center

Room 148

4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida

REPORTED BY:

LISA GIROD JONES, RMR, RPR

W. Paul Rayborn and Associates

P.O. BOX 10195

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302-2195

(904) 224-7642

**DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE** 

10654 OCT-7%

## **APPEARANCES:**

TRACY HATCH, Attorney, and MICHAEL W. TYE, Attorney, AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700, Tallahassee, Florida 32301; appearing on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc.

RICHARD D. MELSON, Attorney at Law, Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith, 215 South Calhoun Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301; appearing on behalf of MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc.

ANTHONY P. GILLMAN, Esquire, Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007, Tampa Florida 33601; appearing on behalf of GTE Florida Incorporated.

DONNA CANZANO, MONICA M. BARONE, CHARLIE PELLEGRINI, Staff Counsel, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863; appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

## DEPOSITION

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing conference to order. Could we have the notice read, please?

MS. CANZANO: Pursuant to notice September

12th, 1996 a prehearing conference has been set for this

time and this place in Docket Nos. 960847 and 960980.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Take appearances.

MR. GILLMAN: Thank you, Commissioner Deason.

On behalf of GTE Florida Incorporated, my name is Tony

Gillman from Tampa, Florida. With me is Bev Menard.

MR. MELSON: Richard Melson of the law firm Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith P.A., Tallahassee, Florida, on behalf of MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. Appearing with me at the hearing will be Martha McMillin of MCI in Atlanta.

MR. HATCH: Tracy Hatch, 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700, Tallahassee, Florida, appearing on behalf of AT&T. Also appearing with me is Michael W. Tye.

MS. CANZANO: I'm Donna Canzano. Appearing with me is Monica Barone and also appearing is Charlie Pellegrini, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Do we have any preliminary matters? 2 3 MS. CANZANO: We only have one pending motion which we can address at any point, probably after we go 4 through the procedural order, and no other ones that I 5 am aware of. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is this GTE's motion to 7 dismiss? 8 9 MS. CANZANO: I'm sorry? COMMISSIONER DEASON: Which motion were you 10 11 referring to, the motion to dismiss? MS. CANZANO: 12 Yes. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Do parties have a 13 preference as to whether this motion is taken up at this 14 15 time or at the end of the prehearing conference? MR. HATCH: Either is fine with us. 16 17 MR. GILLMAN: I guess either is fine with us. 18 If I had a -- asked for a preference, I would say we address it the first thing before the -- before all the 19 20 commissioners prior to the hearing. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is your suggestion we 22 not even address it here today and that it just be taken up as first order of business at the convening of the hearing? 24

That would be my suggestion,

MR. GILIMAN:

yes.

objection to that. In fact, if we were going to discuss it today, my inclination would have been to defer this entire matter to the full Commission, because I think it is of a nature which should be decided by the full Commission and not by a prehearing officer acting alone. Unless I hear an objection from the parties, that's what we'll do.

MR. MELSON: No objection.

MR. HATCH: No objection.

commissioner deason: Very well. Ms. Canzano, you probably need to make a notation somewhere in the prehearing order that this needs to be addressed early on when the hearing is convened.

MS. CANZANO: I will do that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other preliminary matters?

MR. GILLMAN: I don't know if they come into the nature of preliminary matters. I have a list of things to talk about regarding witness order and order on cross and that sort of thing.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: If that has to do with the order of witnesses, we'll address that in due time. If it has to do with a concern about permissible

cross-examination by certain parties of certain witnesses, we can go ahead and address that now.

MR. GILLMAN: Okay, I would just make the motion that the order on cross-examination of the witnesses be such that any friendly cross be taken first. So the party with the adverse position to the witness would cross-examine last.

this, it is my intent there is not only going to be cross-examination of an adverse nature, and that cross-examination of a witness with an identical or similar position is not going to be allowed in this proceeding. And therefore, the order will not make that much difference. But, I am inclined to agree that parties with -- parties that are more similarly situated probably should ask their adverse questions first before parties which are even -- that are more adversely situated ask their questions, which would mean -- I mean it's fairly obvious that there are basically two sides to this, even though there are some differences of opinion, minor differences of opinion between some parties.

Is there any problem with that general procedure, Mr. Melson?

MR. MELSON: No problem.

1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Hatch? 2 MR. HATCH: No, sir. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff? 4 MS. CANZANO: No, sir. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any other preliminary matters? 6 7 MR. GILLMAN: Maybe just a matter of 8 logistics, Commissioner Deason. One of our exhibits in the case is a videotape that we would propose to run, I suspect, during the witness summation of his testimony. 10 11 We will have -- make arrangements for a TV and VCR to be here, unless such facilities are available here in the 12 Commission. 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: It would be incumbent 14 15 upon you to check with the clerk's office, and if such facilities are not available, obviously you will have to 16 17 provide your own, assuming that this is permissible 18 procedure. Are the parties aware of this? MR. HATCH: 19 Yes. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection? 20 21 MR. HATCH: No, sir. We also have a multi-media presentation to launch in this case, as well as we did in BellSouth's. 23 24 MR. GILLMAN: I think all parties would still

have the opportunity to object to introduction of the

exhibit at the time of the hearing, just like any other 1 exhibit. I raise it just more for logistics so you're 2 not surprised at the hearing when we pull in this TV 3 camera, or television. And I'm sure the same is true 4 for AT&T. 5 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 7 appreciate you putting us on notice to that effect. there's no objection to that general procedure, while I 8 agree that any type testimony is subject to an objection 9 at the time that it's given. 10 MS. CANZANO: Also, what about combining 11 direct and rebuttal in an effort to save some time? MR. GILLMAN: We have no objection to that. 13 MR. MELSON: As in the last case, MCI prefers 14 them to be separate but has no objection if that will 15 16 help finish the hearing in time. COMMISSIONER DEASON: It is my intent --17 18 Mr. Hatch, you wanted to add something? MR. HATCH: It is AT&T's desire that they not 19 be combined. However, I'm not -- not being a betting 20 man, I'm not going to bet my farm on it. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I didn't know you had a 22 farm. 23

In view of the last ruling.

24

25

MR. HATCH:

Actually I'm trying to close on one tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well congratulations.

MR. HATCH: Not a real farm, but it will be fun.

commissioner deason: It is my inclination, unless there's strenuous objection, to combine direct and rebuttal in an effort to economize on time, which is going to be at a premium during the course of this hearing.

We also discussed a number of other procedural type matters at the first prehearing. We discussed time limits on witness summaries. We discussed whether there would or would not be opening statements, and if there were opening statements, time limits on those.

I'm inclined to treat this docket as we treated the previous docket, that would be that there would be no opening statements, and that there would be a time limit on witness summaries of five minutes per witness, with the latitude given to parties to request from the chairman at the time of hearing additional time, if it can be shown that there are extraordinary circumstances associated with that witness's testimony. And unless there's an objection to that, that's what we're going to do in this docket.

Mr. Gillman?

MR. GILLMAN: No objection.

1 MR. MELSON: No objection. MR. HATCH: No objection. 2 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. MS. CANZANO: And one other matter regarding 4 post-hearing briefs, in the BellSouth arbitration case 5 6 it was decided that for each position, though some 7 issues have subparts, each party would be allowed to take 50 words to address every part of an issue. 8 believe we -- you extended the post-hearing brief itself 9 10 to be 100 -- was it 100 pages? 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: 100 pages total, post-hearing filing, and the word limit on position statement would be 50 words for a single-part issue and 13 for an issue with multiple parts, it would be a total of 15 50 words per part. Doesn't mean that it has to be limited to 50 words for each part, but for that issue, if there were three parts, it would be a total of 17 150-word limit for the position on that entire issue. And if that's agreeable with all parties, we'll go 19 forward with that same procedure here. Any objection, 20 Mr. Gillman? 21 22 MR. GILLMAN: No objection. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection by any 23 24 other party?

No, sir.

25

MR. HATCH:

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. And that is a 100-page limit on the entire post-hearing filing.

Okay, any other preliminary matters?

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Deason, on the post-hearing filing GTE filed attached to its petition in this docket a document that was called a takings paper. I'm not sure exactly what it was. It was a number of pages of legal argument. Would it be my understanding that to the extent they intend that to be considered by the Commission as part of a post-hearing filing, that that would be subject to this overall 100-page limit?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are you referring to the legal position that was attached to the motion to dismiss?

MR. MELSON: No, sir, I'm referring to a legal position which was attached to their response to MCI's petition.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Gillman?

MR. GILLMAN: I'm not sure what he's asking.

What we did file, as required under the -- under the procedural rule we were entitled to file a response to their petition. As part of that response, we filed what we refer to as a takings paper that deals with the legal issues in response to their petition. If Mr. Melson is

asking that our 100-page limit must be -- you must subtract the number of pages that are in that takings report, which is part of our response, we would strenuously object to that, just like we wouldn't expect them to subtract from their 100 pages, the number of pages that was part of their original petition.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Melson.

MR. MELSON: That was not my intent. Just to the extent that GTE intends to reiterate these arguments in its post-hearing filing, that that would be included in their page limit. They could not, for example, attach this as an exhibit to their post-hearing filing and have it not counted in the page limit is my only point.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Gillman.

MR. GILLMAN: We have no objection to that.

We would not attach it -- we would not try to extend the 100-page limit by attaching what is in that takings report to our brief.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. But you'll be free to argue whatever you wish in your brief, subject to the 100-page maximum limitation.

Any other preliminary matters? Staff?
MS. CANZANO: None.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I understand that -- and

1 we may get to this when we address the issues, but there 2 was a specific procedural issue concerning the post-hearing procedure to be followed in this docket 3 that was addressed by all the parties, and their positions are included in the prehearing order to that 5 effect. We do not have such submissions in this 6 7 docket. I think, though, it is the intent of the 8 Commission to have that listed in this docket, as it was in the previous docket, as an issue. And so I guess I need to ask GTE, are you familiar with the issue I'm 10 11 referring to, and if so, do you have a position you can provide the Staff? 12

MR. GILLMAN: I am familiar with the issue. I cannot provide you with a position today. I talked with Ms. Canzano about filing something by the end of business day tomorrow, and I think we can meet with that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. I would assume that the other parties would have identical -- similar, if not identical, positions on the same issue in this docket.

MR. MELSON: MCI's will be identical to what it filed in the Bell case.

MR. HATCH: So will AT&T's.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. And

2425

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Ms. Canzano, as long as you are provided that by the close of business on Friday that will be sufficient?

MS. CANZANO: That will be fine.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. We may then proceed to the prehearing order.

Section 1 is the case background. Any changes or corrections to that section? Section 2 addresses the procedure for handling confidential information. And as we discussed this morning, it is incumbent upon each party who wishes to utilize such information to put the Commission and other parties on notice to that effect. And I just want to reiterate that that be done and that the procedures described be followed at the hearing.

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Deason, I would ask of GTE the same clarifying question I asked to BellSouth this morning. It's my understanding that they intend to submit their cost study notebook as an exhibit in this proceeding. I would like to verify that that understanding is correct.

MR. GILLMAN: That is correct. It will be attached to Bert Steele's, BIS No. 1.

MS. CANZANO: And after the conclusion of this prehearing conference, Staff would like to meet with the parties, especially GTE, to figure out logistically -- coordinate the number of copies that will be necessary

for GTE to produce.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Section 3
addresses prefiled testimony and exhibits, and Section 4
addresses the order of witnesses. Mr. Gillman.

MR. GILLMAN: We have submitted a list of our witnesses, which number 15, in the order that we intend to all call them, which doesn't correspond exactly to the way the Staff has them listed. And we have put out a list that we have shared with the Staff, as well as the other parties, for inclusion in the report. We also have some minor changes to some of the issue designations, and other typos. These have also been submitted to the Staff.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

MS. CANZANO: Mr. Gillman, we would like to just request a little bit of clarification on that handout. For example, No. 6, what you filed as Albert Wood, I see that Larry Hartshorn will be adopting the Wood direct. You have that listed under GTE rebuttal, so does that mean that Mr. Hartshorn's filing also includes rebuttal testimony as well as direct testimony?

MR. GILLMAN: I believe this is correct. In his rebuttal he adopted Wood's direct testimony, as well as provided additional rebuttal testimony.

\_ .

то

\_ ...

MS. CANZANO: Is that -- what about other witnesses listed, like Mr. Cantrell? Perhaps it would be better if you meet with us afterwards, we could discuss that, so that way it's clear for the prehearing order.

MR. GILLMAN: There were a couple, I know, that did not have substantive rebuttal and they just adopted the testimony.

MS. CANZANO: Excuse me. We could straighten that out afterwards.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Deason, the prehearing order does not list MCI's rebuttal witnesses. Our rebuttal was due and was filed after the date for filing prehearing statements. Each of the MCI witnesses listed in the order of witnesses also has rebuttal. In addition to that, we have filed a piece of rebuttal testimony from Steve Inkellis, I-N-K-E-L-L-I-S, who did not offer direct testimony in the docket.

And with regard to order of witnesses,
Mr. Wood, Don J. Wood, has filed testimony in this
proceeding on behalf of both AT&T and MCI. I've talked
with AT&T, and it would be our proposal that he only go
on the stand once and that he do it at the time MCI's
case is being presented. What I would like to do is

read you MCI's proposed order for its six witnesses. 1 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. MR. MELSON: Don Price would remain number 3 one. Sarah Goodfriend, who appears on the top of Page 4 5 7, would be number two. Paul Powers would be number three. 6 7 MR. GILLMAN: I'm sorry, who? 8 MR. MELSON: Paul Powers. Timothy deCamp would be number four. Don Wood would be number five, 9 appearing on behalf of both AT&T and MCI. And then 10 Mr. Inkellis would be number six. COMMISSIONER DEASON: It is your intent that 12 Mr. Wood would take the stand only one time? 13 14 MR. MELSON: That's correct. COMMISSIONER DEASON: And that he would take 15 16 the stand during AT&T's presentation or MCI's presentation? 17 During MCI's presentation. MR. MELSON: 18 in that regard, Mr. Wood is also testifying that week in 19 Oregon, which is just about as far away as you can get. 20 We would like to ask permission, if need be, to take him out of order on the 16th. The 16th is the only day -- I 22 believe he's testifying in Oregon either on the 14th or

the morning of the 15th, and he needs to try to get from

there to here. So if GTE has no objection.

```
MR. GILLMAN: No, Commissioner Deason, that
 1
    will be fine. We have a similar --
 2
 3
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: Before you get to yours,
 4
    let me -- I need to clarify something. Mr. Wood is
    available during the 14th and 15th?
 5
 6
              MR. MELSON: No. Only on the 16th.
 7
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: Oh, he's available only
    on the 16th?
 8
 9
              MR. MELSON: Yes, sir.
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Gillman?
10
11
              MR. GILLMAN: As luck would have it, our
12
   Hatfield witness, Dr. Duncan, is also available only on
    the 16th. I have no problems with doing both of those
13
    witnesses on the 16th. I would request that Mr. Wood go
14
15
   before Mr. Duncan. And maybe the way you do it is just
    to take those two witnesses right first in the morning.
16
                           That's fine with me.
17
              MR. MELSON:
18
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm sorry, your witness
    is who?
19
              MR. GILLMAN:
20
                            Dr. Duncan.
21
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: Gregory B.?
              MR. GILLMAN: Gregory Duncan. And I think
22
   he's testifying -- has to go back to California.
23
    they'll maybe be on the same plane.
              COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, I'm going to
25
```

inform the parties, as I did this morning, we will make that notation in the prehearing order. I am sure that the Commission will make every endeavor to accommodate that. However, that is the chairman's call. She will be conducting this hearing. It is her responsibility to get this hearing concluded in the time period allotted. And I'm sure that she will try to accommodate you, but it's going to be her call, and so you may need to reiterate that request to her specifically at the time of the hearing.

MR. GILLMAN: Thank you.

MS. CANZANO: Also, Staff would like to have a written documentation with the changes of the witnesses. I believe Mr. Melson has one also, and I was handed one by GTE.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Canzano, you need what?

MS. CANZANO: Just -- Mr. Melson had prepared a list of witnesses and what pieces of testimony had been filed by those witnesses, and I would -- Staff would like to receive a copy of that, and we have received one from GTE.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: So you have one from MCI and GTE?

MS. CANZANO: Yes, and also from all of the

parties Staff would like to have the issues associated with each witness, and we would like that provided to 2 Staff as well. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: By close of business 4 5 Friday? MS. CANZANO: Yes. 6 7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection? MR. MELSON: No. 8 MR. GILLMAN: No objections. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well, any other 10 matters concerning the order of witnesses? MR. HATCH: Yes, sir, with respect to AT&T's 12 order, the order that's set forth in the prehearing 13 draft is not what we would prefer. Let me go ahead and 15 read off the list of how we would want it. 16 In addition, I would make one notation, as we are going to substitute testimony of Mr. Carroll, and Mr. Shurter, who is already a witness in this 18 proceeding, will adopt the testimony of Mr. Carroll. So 19 you're going to get one less witness from us. The order of witnesses that we would propose 21 for AT&T is Mr. Cresse first, Mr. Gillan, Mr. Shurter, Mr. Crafton, Dr. Kaserman, Mr. Wood is already taken 23

care of, Mr. Guedel, Mr. Sather and Mr. Lerma.

25

MS. CANZANO: And Staff just wants to clarify

that Mr. Shurter will also be adopting Mr. Carroll's 1 testimony? 2 MR. HATCH: That's correct. 3 4 MS. CANZANO: Thank you. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's not in lieu of his prefiled; that's in addition to? 6 That's correct. Mr. Shurter will 7 MR. HATCH: 8 be doing both his own as prefiled, plus he's going to take on the task of Mr. Carroll's testimony as well. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay, any other matters 10 11 concerning order of witnesses? Section 5 addresses basic positions. Changes 12 and corrections to those positions? 13 14 MR. GILLMAN: Commissioner Deason, I really haven't had the chance -- we're not changing our 15 I haven't had a chance to compare it to what 16 positions. 17 was actually filed. If it's all right, if we find any sort of typos and that sort of thing, we will submit 18 those by the end of the day tomorrow, if that's okay. 19 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. And I would encourage all parties to make a similar review, and if 21 there are typographical or minor clerical type errors 22 that need to be corrected, please notify Staff and they

25 Mr. Melson?

24

will incorporate those changes.

MR. MELSON: The only change MCI has is on 1 Issue 5 on Page 19. The witness identified for that 2 3 issue should be Mr. Inkellis rather than Mr. Price. I'm sorry, I didn't hear that. 4 MS. CANZANO: MR. MELSON: Issue 5, top of page 19, the 5 witness for that issue will be Mr. Inkellis rather than 6 Mr. Price. 7 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are you indicating then you have no changes to any of the other issues? 9 MR. MELSON: That's correct. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section 6 addresses 11 specific issues. I'll simply ask the parties the same thing I just asked Mr. Melson. If there are changes or 13 corrections of a substantive nature to any of the issues, please put me on notice now and we'll discuss 15 16 those. 17 MR. GILLMAN: None from GTE at this time. MR. HATCH: I don't believe there are, 18 19 Commissioner Deason. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff have any questions of the parties concerning their positions on any of the issues? 22 MS. CANZANO: Not on any of the positions of 23 the parties, but, again, Staff would like to add the

issue, to be consistent with all the other arbitration

dockets: Should the agreement be approved pursuant to 1 Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996? 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: As I understand it, this 3 is basically a legal issue? 4 5 MS. CANZANO: Yes, it is. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are there any objections 6 7 to the addition of this legal issue as just described by Staff? 8 9 MR. GILLMAN: No objection. MR. MELSON: No objection. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, that will simply 11 be added as the last issue? 13 MS. CANZANO: Excuse me, the second to the last issue, because the last issue will be: What are the appropriate post-hearing procedures? 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Section 7 16 addresses the exhibit list. Changes or corrections to 17 that list? 18 19 MR. MELSON: Yes. Again, MCI's rebuttal 20 testimony was due after the date of the prehearing statement. For Mr. Price on Page 51, there is an 21 Exhibit DGP-5, and I don't have Mr. Price's testimony with me to give you a title on it right now. I can give 23 that to Staff. 24

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

Similarly, on Mr. Wood, on the MR. MELSON: 1 top of Page 52, there is now an Exhibit DJW-4, which is 2 model documentation for Hatfield 2.2.2. 3 4 MR. HATCH: In addition to that, I would only note that since Mr. Wood is going to be on the stand 5 only once, it will be kind of awkward with two sets of 6 direct and two sets of rebuttal, but all of those will 7 have to be consolidated at some point just as a There will be separate pieces of testimony, 9 notation. but it's pretty much the same, just there is some duplication in some of his exhibits, I believe. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I assume you'll address 12 that when he takes the stand? 13 14 MR. MELSON: We'll work that out with AT&T. MR. GILLMAN: GTE has some minor changes that 15 have been provided to the Staff. 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Section 8 17 addresses proposed stipulations. Do the parties have a 18 stipulation they wish to propose in this docket? 19 Sadly, no, at this point. 20 MR. HATCH: 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: You can always do that at anytime before the hearing. 22 It worked this morning. 23 MR. MELSON: Section 9, pending 24 COMMISSIONER DEASON: The only motion, I take it, is the one that's motions. 25

1	going to be deferred for consideration at the beginning
2	of the hearing?
3	MS. CANZANO: That's correct.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: No discovery disputes?
5	MS. CANZANO: We're happy to report, none that
6	I'm aware of.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Parties are to be
8	congratulated.
9	MR. GILLMAN: There are some objections that
10	are outstanding on both sides, and we will work to
11	resolve those.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. That's good
13	news.
14	MR. HATCH: I think the important part is
15	nobody has tried to compel anybody yet.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Anything else to be
17	brought before the prehearing officer?
18	All I can say is, if the hearing goes as
19	smoothly as the prehearing conference, we'll get it done
20	in three days.
21	Hearing nothing else, the prehearing
22	conference is adjourned. Thank you all.
23	MS. CANZANO: Thank you.
24	MR. GILLMAN: Thank you.
25	(Hearing concluded at 2:07 p.m.)

\*\*\*\*\*\* I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Date /0/4/96