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PROCEEDINGB
(Meeting convened at 6:00 p.in.)
3R. BETHEA: Okay. If I can have your attention, I think we'll get started. Good evening and welcome tonight. My name is Neil Betina. I'm with the Public Service Commission. To my left if Bob Casey, he's also with the Commission. And to his left, Tim Vaccaro. And down in front is the court reporter, Rowena Hackney.

Now, we've hopefully got everyone signed in. Those of you who maybe haven't, we'll get you later. Also, hopefully, you've got a copy of the special report. There's probably going to be extra, so if you want to take some and hand out to your neighbors, you're welcome to do that.

We are going to be asking you to speak later tonight. If you don't want to speak, there's a place on the back of these forms where you can write comments, fold it up. It's already addressed. And you can mail it to us. So if you don't want to speak tonight, you don't have to, but we can get your comments in the record.

We have just a few minutes of preliminary matters, and then we are going to hear from you. We're here, as you know, because the Utility has filed
for a rate increase. Now, we have a program in Florida called the Staff-Assisted Rate Case Program. All that means is that smaller utilities can apply to the Commission, and the commission Staff will actually prepare the case for the utility. This was a daw enacted by the legislature because small utilities usually can't afford to hire the consultants and the attorneys and the accountants necessary to file the voluminous data necessary to obtain a rate increase. Those rate cases are very expensive, and the cost would be passed on to the ratepayers. So Florida enacted the law. It costs the utility a very small filing fee, and our Staif does the case for the utility.

Now, we are impartial. We are trying to weigh the balance of the interests of the Utility with the ratepayers. And as most utilities will tell you, we are pretty severe on the costs, so we look at the costs very closely.

Now, what we've done so far is we've sent down an auditor, and the auditor has done a complete financial audit of the Utility. We've also sent down an engineer. And the engineer does an engineering inspection, cheriks to see whether the Utility is up to compliance with all the environmental standards and
health standards. And the engineer will then, once he completes his investigation, he files a report called the engineering report and gives that to the analyst back in Tallahassee.

Now, Mr. Casey happens to be the analyst on this case. The engineering report is this document, and this should be available at the Utility office; is that correct?
okay. So if you would like to take a look at what the engineer has done and what his conclusions are, you are welcome to obtain this report from the Utility and take a look at it.

Now, as I said, there's also a financial audit going on -- or that went on. And once the audit is complete, the auditor prepares an audit report and sends the audit report along with the audit workpapers back to Tallahassee to Mr. Casey. And Kis. Casey obtains that report along with the engineering report and prepares what's known as a preliminary accounting report. And that is a document that looks like this and is also on file at the Utility. And this document, thus far, has all the issues we've identified in this case. So it has a lot of good information. If you care to see what the staff did and what the issues we are going to be dealing with in
the case, I advise you to take a look at it. It also has a breakdown of the costs as we see them, as we determine through the audit and through our own evaluation of the Utility's needs. It has a breakdown of the costs. You are able to obtain a lot of good information from this report, so I'd recommend that you take a look ot that, too, if you can.

Now, ass $I$ said, this is preliminary. This report is preliminary up until now. The Commissioners, who are the decision makers, have not seen it yet. And the Commissioners will not see it until the final recommendation is filed on October the 31st.

Now, that's the plan so far. If we get hung up in this case, we may have to push it off a little bit. But that will be the first the Commissioners, who are the decision makers, will have to take a look at the issues in the case.

Now, the Commissioners will vote on the issues in this case on November the 12 th. And you are welcome to attend that meeting in Tallahassee if you'd like, and you can speak at that the meeting. I just ask that if you would like to come up and speak. that you give Mr. Casey a call. His name is on this green sheet as you can see in front of you. Give him a
call, and he'll kind of brief you on what you need to do. In fact, Mr. Vaccaro will go over a little bit of the procedures -- won't you -- a little bit later.

But anyway, that's what we've done so far. Now, what is left to do? We are required by law to consider quality of service, or to determine the quality of service of the Utility. And we do that primarily by hearing from the customers. That's why we have these customer meetings. So your input is very, very valuable in this case because you could be telling us something, some things that we did not pick up in the engineering investigation.

So what we are interested from you primarily is quality of service testimony. And those are things like taste and smell of the water, how the Utility is treating you, those types of things. of course, we'll consider any of your other comments you'd like to give us after the quality of service testimony. Okay?

Now, what I think I'll do at this point is turn it over to Tim Vaccaro, and he'll go over some of your legal rights in this case. And then I'll take it back and hopefully we can hear from you in about five minutes. Thank you.

UR. VAccaro: Hi, my name is Tim Vaccaro.
And as Mr. Bethea said, the reason we are here tonight
is to take your testimony regarding the quality of service for this Utility in coming to a final recommendation on the Staff assisted rate case. You will be testifying tonight, we have a court reporter, so I would ask you to please spell your name and speak slowly and clearly for the court reporter.

As Mr. Bethea was saying, we wil! go ahead and take all of your comments back to the Commission and consider thcse in formulating the recommendation to be presented to the Commission. The Commission will vote on the Staff recommendation, and the Commission can either grant or deny the recommendation or make any modifications that it deems appropriate.

The vote is scheduled for November 12 th, and please show up if you would like to. And as Mr. Bethea said, contact Mr. Casey. We ask that you try and get there early because we never know what order the Commissioners are going to take the agenda items, and we normally start at $9: 30$ in the morning.

The Commission will go ahead and vote, and 20 days after their vote, legal, myself, will go ahead and prepare a proposed agency action order. It's called proposed agency action because it's not the final action. Once the order is filed, any substantially interested person has 21 days to file a
rotest of the order and request a hearing.
What happens then is that everything starts again from the beginning. We will go ahead and conduct a hearing in this area, which the Commissioners will attend. The challenging party has an opportunity to prove its case through the ise of bringing in $\mathrm{an}_{2}$ kind of relevant testimony, evidence, and so forth, prasenting witnesses, and the Utility will do the same thing. And at the conclusion of the hearing, all the information will be taken back to Tallahassee. And then the Staff will go ahead and prepare a final recommendation. We will have another Commission vote at an agenda conference on the final recommendation, and at that point customers are precluded from speaking, or any other parties, because at that point the record is closed; it is limited to Staff and the Commissioners.

After that, a final order is issued. If any substantially interested persons are not happy about the outcome of that order, then the next step would be to file an appeal with the First District Court of Appeal in Tallahassee. And if it went further, it would eventually go to the Supreme Court.

I'm going to go ahead and give you a phone number which you may find of interest down the line,
and this is for the office of Public Counsel, which is located in Tallahassee. And the office of Public Counsel is a separate state agency which represents the interests of the citizens of Florida and may very well represent the interest of a customer who nay wish to file a protest in this docket. And their phone number is 1-800-342-0222. 800-342-0222.

And I also have a separate Tallahassee number if you can't get through on the 800 Line, and that's Area Code 904-488-9330. 904-488-9330.

And at this point, I'm going to go ahead and hand things back over to Mr. Bethea.
ur. bethea: Thank you. I neglected to finish what I was teliing you earlier. As I said, we would be taking quality of service testimony tonight, and we will be incorporating that, your comments and concerns, into the final recommendation that, as I said, will be filed on October the 31st.

I also neglected to tell you, if any of you would like to receive a copy of the final recommendation and then the order that's issued in the case, I'll ask you at the end of the meeting to come up and circle your name. And we just like to limit it to about ten of you at the most, and then share it with your neighbors. okay? So if would you like to
receive a copy, come up after the meeting and let us know, and we will circle your name.

Now, we havc just a few ground rules before we get started in hearing from you. What we're going to do, I'll call -- there's several people, I think, that we'll take first to speak tonight. And then there are several others who have indicated they vish to speak. Once we hear from Ms. Gaffney and che Joneses, then what I'll do is start reading the list of those who wanted to speak. And once we get through that list, if anybody else wants to speak, we'll just ask you to raise your hands. Okay?

And what I'm going to ask you to do is come forward and speak into that microphone. And what I want you to do is speak olearly and slowly so that the court reporter can pick up everything that is being said tonight, and also so that we can pick up -- we are recording this meeting as well for our staff back in Tallahassee. So we want to make sure we get your comments. I'd like to ask you to spell your name as well, if you don't mind, so that the court reporter can properly identify you for the record.

Now, this is going to be sworn testimony, and we're going to ask her to swear you in, in a little bit. And those of you who think you might want
to speak, we are going to swear you in all at once. So I'm going to ask you at that time to stand up, but not yet.

I'm also going to ask that you not speak out from the crowd. I know these meetings can tend to get excited, but if we have a lot of background noise and everything, we are going to have trouble picking you up on the mike and the court reporter is not going to know who's talking. So everybody who wants to speak will get a chance. We'll get you in tonight. We'll stay as late as you want to stay. But just be courteous and consider that she is typing away like crazy over there. So we're going to try to get everything you said.

Finally, I'm going to ask that you try to limit your comments. And what I mean by that, I know there is a lot going on. We've got two cases going on. We have a certificate transfer, which means the Utility is changing hands, and that's being considered in one docket; and then we have this rate case. Now, this rate case is separate and distinct from that docket, although there are some issues that are going to flow over. Okay. But there's going to be some things, perhaps, on your mind that more relates to the certification, or the transfer docket, and it doesn't
really relate to this rate case.
What we are concerned about tonight and in this rate case is to get this Utility on a proper footing financially no matter who is operating it. So what we are trying to do is determine what costs are necessary for this Utility to operate and provide you a good quality service.

So I guess probably what I'm talking about, the items that I've read in letters that have come to Staff, there is an issue as to whether the Utility actually owns the land where the plant sits. That's all going to be, hopefully, hashed out in the days to come. We know your concerns.

We have Staff who came down earlier today and searched the title office and the title records, and we also have some folks who are going to stay over tomorrow and meet with the two attorneys on the opposing sides, so we are pretty much on top of that issue. And I can't tell you that we have concluded anything yet, but you can be sure that we are on the trail.

So if any comments are related to that, we are glad to hear them, but not really related to what we are doing except to the extent that we may either include or exclude some costs, okay? And we'1l get
that straight at the end of this case. All right? That's about it. I'm not sure, did I forget anything that you need?

What I'll ask you to do at this time is those of you who would like to speak or think you might, is go ahead and stand up and Ms. Hackney will swear you in. You don't have to speak if you stand. Just let's try to do it all at once.

And I'll ask you also, if I end up calling you up later and you haven't been sworn in, just let me know so that we can swear you in at that time. Is that it?

UAIDENTIFIED BPEAKER: You have the gal in the back who's hard of hearing, and she's not hearing -- says she not hearing. She's hearing static.

MR. BETHEA: Want to take a break, just a quick break?
(Brief recess.)

MR. BETHEA: Bear with us. This is our first time using this equipment, and we may have some rough edges here.

Did you hear any of what I said before?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: NO.

MR. BETHEA: We are going to take sworn testimony from you folks. Raise your hand to be sworn in, and then we'll call you up one at a time to speak. And if you would like to speak tonight, to swear you in, you remain standing and the court reporter will swear you in.

USIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I can't hear.
MR. BETHEA: You can't hear still.
UNIDENTIPIED SPEAKER: Part of the way --
but I have a hearing appointment tomorrow morning, so I'd rather not --

MR. BETHEA: Is it coming through, but you just can't pick up well?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right.
MR. BETHEA: Okay.
MR. CASEY: There is a volume switch on that, too.

UNIDENTIFIED BPEAKER: Yes.
(Customers sworn collectively.)
MR. BETHEA: Ms. Gaffney, would you like to go first?

## taren o. gapphey

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## direct btatement

WITNESS GAPFAEY: Just by way of
preliminary, you asked all speaking to stand, so I did so. But my information is not testimony and not based on my personal knowledge or information, but rather on information provided to me.
ur. bethea: okay. If you'll just state your name for the record.

WITNESS GAFPNEY: For the record, my name is Karen Gaffney. I'm an attorney here in Citrus County with Haag, Gaffney \& Wilcox, located in Inverness. I represent Dennis Jones and his wife Brandi Jones who are customers of this particular Utility. I have forwarded a very lengthy letter with exhibits to both the rate case Staff and the transfer case Staff under September 19, '96 dates, and it details a significant number of issues, that after speaking with

Mr. Vaccaro, I think I don't need to belabor all of those issues now because we are meeting tomorrow, and you really want to hear from the customers today. So by way of referencing that letter, I would just
reiterate for the record all of those issues to you now so that those objections are preserved and the comments made.

I would like to highlight a couple of things, though, if you'd just give me a couple of minutes, and then you can get to the meat of your meeting.

The first is throughout the correspondence of the rate case and, in fact, all the way through to the special report, we're reflecting the applicant as J\&J Water and Sewer Corporation doing business as Meadows Utilities Company, Inc. That is legally incorrect. The application was submitted under name of J\&J Water and Sewer Corporation, but noted on its face that the corporation was administratively desolved in August of 1995.

Meadows Utilities Company, Inc. was not created, per the applicant's own testimony in the application, until November 13, 1995. So this is not J\&J doing business as Meadows Utilities Company, Inc., rather these are two completely separate entities. And $J \& J$, in fact, no longer exists. And I want to call that to your attention because there's some implication that this is just a name change or an outgrowth of the previous operator, and that is not
correct.

Secondly, not to belabor the transfer case issues, there are a couple of things that you should be aware of and perhaps focus on; and that is, of course, the Utility's authority to operate, the applicant's authority under the deed restrictions end management agreement, whether they own the land; those are all transfer case issues. However, there are some other issues that are pretty significant if not interesting -- or perhaps interesting, I am not aure.

And one of those are statements that are made to these customers, such as the applicant purchased all of the past due water bills and all of those past due water bills must be paid by these customers when, in fact, so far I've been unable to obtain any verification of that and have seen only a document that indicates that the seller, not the applicant, paid those past due water bills. And the applicant now is stating that reimbursement for that should be made by the customers to the applicant. I find that inconsistent if not blatantly untruthful if, in fact, it's correct.

Secondly, you have representations that the water system is in good condition in your application, yet representations and statements made to your
customers that it is in need of thousands of dollars of improvaments for which they will have to share the cost.

Finally, you have several inconsistent statements made throughout the application process. Counsel to the applicant in the cover letter suggests that the Utility has been historically charging a flat free of $\$ 31$. The applicant apparently told your Staff, as reflected in your report, that historically a flat rate of $\$ 34$ was being charged. I believe these customers here will tell you that after June of '95 they were told not to pay anything. But prior to that, the flat rate was $\$ 42$.

MR. BETHEA: And who told them that?
WITNESS GAFFNEY: I believe they were told that by the prior operator, J\&J Water and Sewer.

MR. BETHEA: Not to pay?
WITNESS GAPFNEY: Not to pay after June
because the owner wanted to abandon the system. But prior to that, the flat rate was $\$ 42$, not 31 and not 34. Not to mention the fact that the tariff doesn't permit that flat rate charging so, in fact, those charges have resulted in an overcharge to these customers, particularly since November when the $\$ 34$ flat rate went back into effect with Meadows Utilities
charging that.
There's been notice to the Utility that it is an overcharge and not permitted by the tariff, yet no reimbursement, no restitution, no notice that, in fact, it was an overcharge to these customers.

Finally, and just very briefly, at least several of these customers have had the: water either turned off for nonpayment, and I would say, in my opinion, wrongfully turned off, or notices sent to them that the water would be turned off. And, in fact, some have even received notice that the water would be turned off if they didn't stop objecting to other issues; such as the clubhouse that have nothing to do with the water and sewer company.

So having said that, since I have no personal knowledge of statements made to these people and, therefore, can't testify to that, I'd like to introduce Mrs. Jones who can give you some of the information that she has compiled from a personal or first hand knowledge standpoint, unless you have any questions of me.
hr. bethen: Thank you.
withess gappaiy: i'll look forward to
meeting with you tomorrow.
ur. bethen: Mrs. Jones.

## BRANDI AUSTIN-JONES

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT BTATEMENT

WITNESS BRNNDI JONES: My name is Brandi Austin-Jones. I live at 3830 South Pigeon Terrace. Just to reiterate a little bit of what Karen said, I have prepared some paperwork for you all in lieu of time so I don't have to go over overy one of these documents, which my husband Dennis will provide to you now. I'll just briefly go through them with some of my concerns.

I've had the opportunity to speak with several homeowners; some of which are here tonight, some of which are not. And they have told me what I considcr horror stories regarding the turning on and off of their water and the way in which their -- I guess you might call it bills -- were handled when Mr. LaFond would come to their door or different instances as this. I can only go on what they've told me. I don't actually know for a fact. Some of them are here, and some of them are not. And I do have certain people I would like to have speak tonight. And I think all of them stood up, and if we could approach that a little bit later.

In regard to the papers that you all were just handed, Page 1 states that the water and sewer plant is owned by Meadows Utilities, and everything else is owned by the Meadows Incorporated. In the Meadows Incorporated, Egret Park is. And inside Egret Park is the water system that has never been broken out. Therefore, my first thing is Maadows Utilities does not, in fact, own any water; and he is operating our water. That's my first issue that I would like to bring forth, and that can all be seen by the legal documents that are at the courthouse. He also states that there appears to be problems with the water and sewer, but again in documents provided to the Public Service Commission, he states that the water and sewer is okay.

If you'll just continue on in the letter, I've highlighted several things for you that you will be able to see. I have a highlighted copy of the exact same thing. In the first paragraph he states they are not the developers, but yet in paperwork provided to the Public Service Comaission, the impression is led that he is the developer and he will fulfill the rights of the developer, is my understanding of it.

And the last column shows that there is a
\$34 per month for water and sewer. This, as Karen had made reference to, we can find no claims to this. We, the residents, were paying 42 and that included our water, our clubhouse, our trash, street lights, several other things referred to as common elements, and now we are paying $\$ 34$ just for water. And the tariff totally destroys that. I mean, cnere's nothing to substantiate that we should be charged $\$ 34$ a month; the tariff states otherwise.

MR. BETHEA: Could I interrupt you just for a second? Would you restate all of those things that the $\$ 42$ included for me?

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: The $\$ 42$ a month included water, sewer, street lights, clubhouse, garbage, road maintenance, our pool that was attached with our clubhouse. That's what we paid $\$ 42$ a month for. And we had that up through June of '95. Then we were instructed -- yes, that is correct, common area maintenance, also. Grass cutting of all the common areas; that was included in our $\$ 42$.

MR. BETHEA: May I ask another question?
WITAEBS BRANDI JONES: Yes.
MR. BETHEA: And the Utility or someone changed the rate to 34 , actually decreased the rate? WITNEBS BRANDI JONES: Yes.

MR. BETHEA: Did that also include all those elements? Were you paying an additional charge on top of that for maintenance of the clubhouse, street lights and things like that?

WITAESS BRANDI JONES: In the $\$ 42$ a month, that included everything. Now that it's been changed to $\$ 34$, we are simply receiving water and sewer. Our street lights are off, our clubhouse has benn sold apparently. We can't even verify that, but we were told that it's sold. We no longer have any rights to it. We each pay for our own garbage. Our roads are atrocious. If you drive down our roads, it's like driving through a land mine. They're really bad; they are horrible. And we pay $\$ 34$ a month for just water and sewer.

MR. BETHEA: I'm going to ask you a few questions. Who instituted the $\$ 34$ rate? Was it the prior owner?

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: No. The first we ever new of the $\$ 34$ rate is in November of 1995 , we received a bill. It is enclosed in your packet if you go a little bit to the back, stating that our water rate is now $\$ 34$ a month and this is what we'll have to pay.

MR. BETHEA: But up until then -- well,
actually in June, you all were directed not to pay anything?

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: That's correct.
MR. BETHEA: But at that time, you were paying 42.

WITNESS BRNNDI JONES: That is correct. MR. BETHEA: And the next thing you knew, you were instructed to pay 34.

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: That is correct. MR. BETHEA: Okay, thank you.

WITAESS BRANDI JONES: In the middle of November. The first we knew of the $\$ 34$, we received a bill in November that said we are now paying in arrears, and your November 1995 bill is due, and it's \$34.

That leads me into another issue of the turning off of our water and the way that our bills are written up, and we have to pay connection fees and everything like this. And everything that I've been able to dig out of the tariffs states that we don't have to pay a reconnection fee, and we have 20 days from the day that it's due, plus five days written notice. Several people here have had their water shut off; there was no 20 days and there was no five days written notice. I am one of those people.

I also would like to bring to the attention that in the very first bill, our water is due on December the 15th. We received the bill in November, it's stating that it's for November. But Mr. LaFond did not take possession of the company or anything until the middle of November. Therefore, we are paying for an entire month of water usage for the month of November, but he only acquired it for half a month.

Then he goes into our December bill, and he says that our total due is 34 , must be received before January the 15th. Well, that's fine. We're obviously paying from the 15 th to the 15 th of the month which, by my calculations, would be from November the 15 th When he took possession to December 15th, from December 15 th to January 15th. And we progressively go on until we get later on in the year.

In July -- and he states that the total $\$ 34$ is now due by August the 1st. Well, what happened to it being due on the 15 th? Now he has basically stolen 15 days from us. If we've been paying from 15 th to 15 th to 15 th, now it's due on the 1st. What happened to that other 15 days? He says it's due then and if it's not in by the 15 th, our water will be shut off.

He also states that a prior month there were
several water shut offs because they were not due by the 15 th, and he attempted to call everyone, which is not in the tariff. You're supposed to contact everyone by writing, not calling. He also states to us if our water bill is not paid by the 15 th, it will be turned off on the 16 th and no attempts will be made to contact anybody. That's strictly against the tariff. You need to contact somebody and let them know that you are going to shut their water off. In a letter written to me, which I've enclosed also, I own two houses in the Meadows subdivision, and I had sent a check from out of state. He acknowledges the receipt of my check, the date of my check and the fact that it was mailed from Virginia. And I paid for two houses. He took my two payments --

MR. VAccaro: Excuse me. WITNESB BRANDI JONES: Yes. MR. VACCARO: I'm going to ask you to stop for just one second while I turn this. MR. CASEY: He's going to turn the tape over.

WITAESS BRANDI JONES: As I stated, he did acknowledge receiving my check dated -- or sent from Virginia. And in the letter is all of the postmark
dates. He took these $\$ 68--$ I was paying 34 for each house -- shut my water off and took the additional \$34 as a turn-on fee, and charged the people that were living in my house that were just taking possession of it, $\$ 69$ to turn their water back on. I feel that that was not right since my check was allotted to the payments, and in the tariff there is no recionnection fees, and I didn't get my rightful time of 20 days plus five.

Also, in his billing since Day one, he had first stated that if our water was shut off we would have to pay $\$ 103$ to have it turned back on. Then in a later billing, it went to $\$ 69$. And in his most recent billing, he says that we'll have to pay a total of \$64. I am not really sure what we are supposed to be paying when our water is turned off. According to the tariff we're not supposed to pay anything. But according to Mr . LaFond's figures, there are three different amounts; and how do we know which one we are actually supposed to be paying?

MR. BETHEA: Ms. Jones, do you have that documented in here as to --

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: Yes. It is all
highlighted in the papers that you all have.
MR. BETHEA: Now, is that a bill from the

Utility of these different amounts, or is that your representation of what he said?

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: These are actual copies of his correspondence with the homeowners.

MR. BETHEA: Thank you.
WITNESS BRANDI JONES: I have several other points that I'd like to touch on briefly. When you guys get tired of hearing me, just let me know, because I have a lot. I could probably go on fof an hour or so. I could run all your tapes out.

In lieu of everything that was happening, my husband and I contacted an attorney prior to obtaining Karen Gaffney, and he had some correspondence with Mr. LaFond. And he had stated that he had offered, like $I$ said in the beginning, the water bills for exchange of our clubhouse. And I don't quite understand how that can happen considering a water company is one entity and the Meadows of Citrus County is another entity. How can one waive bills for another company? That still puzzles me.

But he stated to my attorney that if I did not drop the -- that if I continued to persist with this and basically not drop the suit of the clubhouse and what our legal rights were, that he was going to shut my water off until I paid him the $\$ 204$. And then
he was going to take me to small claims court.
I feel that he's threatening to shut my water off by me using my right to exercise my legal rights in obtaining legal counsel to make sure that everything that happened was legal. And by that threat -- needless to say I didn't stop, that just ruffled my feathers a little, and I proceeded on because I didn't feel that he could do thui. But that is a threat that should not be taken lightly from a public water company who is providing your service.

He also had sent me a document stating that I had property on -- not property, but equipment on the water company property. Again, you don't want to get into the ownership of it, but our deeds do state that we have a common interest in that. The water company property is located right next to mine.

I was using a small portion of that
property. I groom what I care for and I mow it. And I had a shed sitting on the far corner right next to my property line, not encroaching any way, shape or form the well or anything of that matter. And he sent me a letter stating that it needed to be removed from there, that he was concerned with contamination of our wells by me having our stuff there.

I was out of town when I received this
letter. When I returned to Florida, I contacted the Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services, known as HRS, to obtain a copy of the letter that he had sent to me of the inspection report because something didn't sit well with me. There was a line that was on the bottom that just didn't quite match the writing of the rest. The HRS faxed me a copy of it and, in fact, the documents. On the original document that line is not there, but on $m_{y}$ document that was provided in the mail with a letter attached from Mr. LaFond, it is there.

In further review of the documents, the document headings do not match. They are enclosed in your packet toward the back. The document headings do not match. And I wonder what kind of person would send out a document that is not the actual document in which the report was written up on with a letter attached asking me to move stuff from property that I have an interest in.

Also, to -- again in reference to that, he was concerned about my possible contamination of the well by my equipment that sits there. But as we speak here right now, Mr. LaFond has a tractor parked over near that area that is leaking diesel fuel. The oil is on the ground, and I have pictures to show that if
the Commission would like to see them. But it is currently there. We could take a walk over right now and see it, and it is leaking. It is dripping fuel onto the ground. Mine were just a possible contamination; this is an actual contamination. It is very close to the well, and it still sits there today. But yet, I was instructed to move my possible stuff that would cause a problem.

In the letter that -- and I'm skipping around a lot because there's a lot of information I'd like to provide to you. In a letter that Mr. LaFond sent to the homeowners after he received a letter from my attorney, or somewhere there about in conjunction with, he states to the homeowners that they were forgiven the water bills in lieu of the clubhouse. And he goes on to explain a lot of different figures. And then he gets on into the letter. Now, I must state that every homeowner, or most of the homeowners, received this letter. I did not receive this letter in the mail. I received it from a neighbor, and I also received phone calls regarding it. In a moment you'll understand why.

He states that: Now enter Mr. and
Mrs. Jones. They've contacted an attorney about our rights. He refers to us as power hungry individuals,
and he would like every homeowners to respond to him via their water and sewer payments how they feel about the fact that my husband and I have consulted an attorney regarding our rights.

Number one, I feel that it's wrong to use a water company, which is a public water company, to solicit people's reactions to something that really doesn't have any relevance. He is stating about the clubhouse and roads and things of this nature, which really doesn't have anything to do with the water company. But the water company is the one that's supposed to be used to have this correspondence go back and forth, which I feel is not properly.

The law says I have a right to be heard and what I feel and follow my rights. But yet, he's harassing -- or having my neighbors basically harass me for exercising $m y$ rights. And I don't feel that was a very kind thing to do.

I must let you know that I did not receive this letter, and I heard about it, phone calls on my answering machine. He listed my name, our phone number, and our address in this letter for neighbors to contact us regarding this.
ur. bethen: Is that in this package as
well?

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: Yes, it is. The letter is dated August 25th, and it's "Homeowners of the Meadows." And I am a homeowner. I currently own two homes, and I did not receive any of that.

The last page is a copy of a letter where another resident has obtained legal counsel regarding their child who was injured. Again, this is what I understand of it, was injured on water company pzoperty. I'm sure that homeowner could better irform you at a later date. But I would just like to enclose it in here, and I have some other pictures of some unsafe conditions that I have found even though Mr. LaFond states that everything is satisfactory; meters are covered, and there are no potential hazards out there. And he has also stated that he has put monies into this and made everything up to standards. But as you'll see in these pictures, that I don't feel they are very safe conditions.

MR. BETHEA: May we keep the pictures?
WITNESS BRANDI JONES: Certainly.
MR. BETHEA: Thanks.
WITNESS BRANDI JONES: I also have one other picture here that's kind of an unrelated matter -well, it is related, but not necessarily of what I just spoke about. It shows a ifft station in
someone's yard, which is where the lift station is supposed to be. But how can he -- clearly in this picture when you receive it -- how can he claim ownership to half of that person's yard? It is part of a common element. We all have easements, we all have a right to this. And how can he cut a big chunk out of that person's yard? I mean that basically takes up their entire yard. That in itself might help determine that we do have common elements in here and that we all own the easements and restrictions as set forth in the documents that you've already been provided with.

MR. VACCARO: Could I interrupt you for one

## second?

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: Certainly, go ahead. MR. VACCARO: Just so we have it clear on the record, your husband brought these pictures up to me and briefly explained what they were. But if you would just go ahead and summarize what these are for the record. Mr. Casey will bring them down to you.

WITAESS BRANDI JONES: I might want the record to show that I took these pictures today prior to the meeting. I had them developed at a one hour photo so these are very current pictures.

Picture No. 1 shows the lift station in
someone's yard, and I have been unable to find that -you know, where it is, basically, pulled out. It is a common element, as I stated before, and that's Picture No. 1.

Picture No. 2 shows the meter here at the church, where we are holding this meeting, covered by a piece of plywood. In the background you can see a child playing, and I think that's a potential hazard just to have this big gapping hole covered by a piece of plywood.

Picture No. 3 shows the hole once you get up closer to it. After you've lifted the plywood, exactly how deep it is and the condition of the meter, all of the dirt and everything that is surrounding the meter.

Picture No. 4 is in reference to a water meter that had blown up a couple of Fridays ago, is my understanding. The water for the park was off for a while while they repaired this, but this has been approximately two weeks, and the meter itself is still open with a gapping hole, potential hazard for anyone to fall in.

MR. CASEY: For the record, Staff has numbered each of the pictures.

WITAESS BRNADI JONES: In the docket sent to YLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIOX
me by the Staff, there was a comment made that has kind of bothered me. Well, actually, there are several, but this is the main one here. The Staff believes the Utility has the expertise necessary to convert and maintain the Utility records in conformity with Rule 25-30. 115 of the Florida Administrative Code. And I'm in question as to what documentation was provided to substantiate this recommendation. What did he show the Staff as far as his orneztise? Also, in operating a water and sewer company, what is his prior experience. Where did he obtain his knowledge, and where did he obtain his knowledge to be able to handle the books and operate our Company for us?

It has also been reported that all of our water meters have been calibrated and that they are all working properly now, or they've been replaced. I would like verification of this. It is my understanding that in order to calibrate a meter, that the meter actually has to be removed, or it has to be where equipment can get around it to be able to calibrate it. Any of our water meters for the last 10 months that Mr. LaFond has been operating our plant, I have never seen anyone out there doing anything with our meters, let alone literally putting a machine on
them to calibrate them. None of the dirt is disturbed around our meters, except in a few instances he did put a cover over a few vacant meters, but not all of them.

But as far as any other dirt being disturbed or anything, I don't see any of that which makes me wonder how a meter can be calibrated when none of the earth around it is disturbed. And if you see how much dirt is on these meters, you definitely have to move some dirt. As a prior operator, J\&J did not read the meters. They have not been read in some time.

MR. BETHEA: Let me ask you a question about that. I believe we were informed that the Utility went to a flat rate because the tariff rates are a metered rate. So we were told that the Utility went to a flat rate because the meters were not working properly. Were you aware of that? or have they always been charging a flat rate which encompassed all of those other services that you mentioned?

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: I have only been in the subdivision seven to eight years. And since I have been there paying the bills, it has always been a flat rate. The water meters were reid when I first moved into the subdivision, but not to charge each customer with water. It was simply to establish how
much water was going out and how much wastewater was going in, that type of reading. But not to charge each customer with the volume of water.
ur. bethea: Has it always been $\$ 42$ since you've been there?

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: No, it hasn't. No. Other residents are here that can attest to the different figures. Prior to being 34, I might ald -excuse me -- prior to being 42, it was $\$ 34$; but that 34 included all of our amenities. Then they bumped it up to 42 and just a verbal statement to everyone that it was to cover cost increases of insurance to our pool and clubhouse, cost of garbage, cost of electricity to the street lights. It was not said that we move the rates up because the water and sewer rates went up. It was just general cost of living increase, the cost of maintenance had went up so, therefore, they raised our figures.

I have another bunch of papers here that I have prepared for the Staff. I would not like to take your time up in presenting them now, but I have other items here that are of question, and I've attached rules that I have obtained from the public Service commission in regards to this. And I would just ask that you all take time to look at this at a later
date, I don't want to take up everyone's time. In closing, I would like to just reiterate the fact that he has overcharged us, based on what I have been able to obtain in the tariffs. He's charged a reconnection fee for things. And our tariff strictly states that there can be no reconnection fee. He's trying to waive water bills in exchange for a clubhouse with it being two different entities. I'm just not sure how that can be done. And as for the records in using a test year, you are using the first -- I believe it's five months, that Paul LaFond has been operating our Company. And in his records he states, I do believe -- I don't happen to have that paperwork in front of me. I can verify it though. It's January, February, March and April of 1996 when, in fack, we've been paying him since November of '95.

I have a copy of the 1993 year end closing for $J \& J$ Water and Sewer as prepared by the accountant, Dale Stover, and submitted to the Public Service Commission. I have figures handwritten on a piece of paper by Ms. Jeannie Woral who handled the books for J\&J Water and Sewer that show the total for 1994. They are not broken down is as such. It's just little scratch figures on a piece of paper giving generalized Where most of the money went.

And if you take the 193 figures that are in the accounting report and the '94 figures that I was provided just on a small piece of paper, they are within $\$ 37$ of one another. And that being approximately $\$ 19,500$, operating expenses for the water and sewer plants consecutively for '93 and '94.

Paul LaFond has stated it took $\$ 26,000$ during his test year which only accounts for five months. I am in awe that it take $\$ 26,000$ for five months, but yet the plant historically has been operated for $\$ 20,000$ a year. I just have questions as to how that can happen. And I guess that's all that I have to say. If you have any questions, go ahead and ask.

MR. BETHEA: I'm just going to state that the Utility's billing practices greatly concern us, and we are going to address it for you.

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: Thank you.
MR. BETHEA: And the Utility has been
informed of what he is required to do as far as cut offs and what he is to bill. And if he doesn't do that, if the Utility doesn't do that, then we can take action against him.

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: Just as a question to that, what do we, the customers, do -- and you don't
have to answer it now, but me and the rest of them here would, I'm sure, like an answer about the monies that we have been paying for the last 10 months above and beyond what our tariff rates should have been. And like I said, I'm sure you can't answer that now, but --
ur. BETHEA: That's to be dealt with. You know, we have a lot of stuff to sort out. There's a lot of information coming to us, all kinds of issues that we're going to have to sort out. And that's going to be determined in the case.

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: Do we continue to pay him?

MR. BETHEA: I would suggest you continue to pay the bills until we sort it out.

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: The bills as stated as $\$ 34$, or should he revise our billing?

MR. BETHEA: Well, I believe we have stated by letter to him that he is to bill the tariffed rates on a going-forward basis. Is that not correct?

Mr. VACCARO: That's correct. I belleve a copy of that letter was faxed to you last week. And if not, we can see to it that you get it. But I sent Mr. LaFond -- the Utility a letter last week stating that the Utility was to bill the tariffed rates.

WITNESS BRNNDI JONES: I do have a copy of that letter. I'm mainly speaking for the benefit of the other residents who do not and are really not aware of the issues that $I$ have been fighting for approximately two months.

MR. VACCARO: Okay.
WITNESS BRANDI JONES: They are really not aware of a lot of the issues that $I$ have brought forth here. And for their clarification, I would like it for them to know what they should be paying, the tariff rates from here on out. And that's what they should be billed, and there are no reconnection fees and other issues that we have brought forth. I think that needs to be clarified for them.

MR. VACCARO: Right. That is correct.
WITNESS BRNNDI JONES: Thank you.
MR. BETHEA: Thank you. Mr. Jones, would you lile to go next?

## DENNIS JONES

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT BTATEMENT
WITNESS DENNIB JONES: Yeah. Dennis Jones. Any other information? 3830 South Pigeon Terrace,

Homassasa.
I have had a question in regards to the amount of water being used in here -- reportedly being used. I have been here since the creation of this subdivision. I was the backhoe operator who dug all the grounds for the utilities: I know how they went in. I have made mention several times over the last 15 years about the way that these utilities wrie placed in the ground. Of course, being fust a backhoe operator, I saw everything that they did in the ditch. It's a slip joint system.

Being concerned about the water leakage which we have had over on the far corner of Sandpiper Terrace several years ago, we had a main blow out, and I helped to repair that. There's just an exorbitant amount of water being metered from the water plant, as to actual read from the residents' meters.

I took one evening on Labor Day, Labor Day evening, from 11:00 at night to 5:00 in the morning, every hour on the hour I went out, and I read the meter, the new meter that Mr. LaFond has put on the plant. And I observed an average of 750 gallons an hour coming out of the ground somewhere, going back into -- and not into the residents' houses, going into the ground.

There is a concern that the water plant is pushing more water than the people are buying. And I've tried to make the people aware in here that when they've got very high water bills, somewhere we're having to pay for that water that's not accounted for. And this was my concern.
on an average for six hours, I took an actual reading of the meter, and it averaged 750 gallons an hour at night when nobody in this community was really using any water. We don't water our yards out here. Very seldom do you see people out there washing their cars. It rains too much in Florida anyway. But that's all I have to say, we have enough other people here.
ur. CASEY: We've been informed that the Florida Rural Water Association, which is an association out of Tallahassee, has provided an inspector to come down to the Utility, and they have discovered a number of leaks. And it's been represented to us that they have been fixed.

MR. LaFOND: Two leaks.
MR. CABEY: Two leaks, okay. They have
found two leaks, and the problem has been corrected.
And one more thing I'd like to add, the customers don't pay for the unaccounted for water, the
water that's coming out of the water plant. What they pay for is from their water meter. Now, if there's unaccounted for water or loss of water, the Utility would end up paying for that.
withess demais jones: okay. But is it not true that the accounting of all of the repairs, all of the electrical bills, the chlorine, the maintenance of the plant, is tabulated in a Staff-assisted rate case?

MR. CAsBy: That is correct.
withess dennis jones: so, then, indirectly all of the people are paying for the water even though it doesn't come through -- they are paying for the cost of that water to flow?

Mr. CASEY: Right. If you'll note in the county report, I put a paragraph in there stating that costs associated with that unaccounted for water would not be included in the final recommendation. Now, that may include chlorine for all that water that's coming out the well. If it's not going to the residents, the residents aren't going to pay for that. We are going to make an adjustment for that.

Also, purchased power. If you are paying an electric bill to pump all that water out and it's not going to the residence, we are going to make an adjustment for that in the final recommendation.

MR. BETHEA: Thank you, Mr. Jones. Okay, I'11 just start calling names, and if you would like to speak, fust come forward. Actually, I can't read the first name. I'm going to give it a try, Roger Taylor. Is that even close, the last name?

ROGER TAYLOR
appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT BTATEMENT
WITMESS TAYLOR: Yes, sir. My name is Roger Taylor. I live at 3818 Sandpiper. I'd just like to say a few words.

I don't think this man should run the water treatment plant. He has shut off my water. I had paid for my water. He illegally came out there. He shut my water off when I had paid for it. Did not write me no --

MR. BETHEA: Pardon. He shut off your water when? I didn't understand what you said, I'm sorry.

WITHESS TAYLOR: He shut off my water -- I paid the water bill $5 / 19 / 96$ and confronted him while he was out there, and he still shut it off. And he sent me a letter here, I got, that he came out there with. He came out there with, January 19, '96. And
deliberately shut my water off when it was paid for. MR. BETHEA: May we have copy of that?

WITNESS TAYLOR: You sure can.
3R. BETHEA: Do you have an extra copy? If not, we can take it and send you back one.

WITAESS TAYLOR: The roads out there, they should be fixed. He will not fix the roads. This man refuses to fix anything.

MR. BETHEA: Okay, let's try to limit this to utility business. We are not interested in that.

WITNESS TAYLOR: The water covers, he has finally came out there and fixed the water covers after a child did get hurt out there. He did finally do that.

Another thing I would like to ask, that you ask Mr. LaFond if he has any liability insurance on his water company.

MR. BETHEA: We are looking into that.
WITNESS TAYLOR: Okay. Basically, that's about all. I don't think we should have to pay for the water since he has no deed on the water rights at all to the place. Why should we pay him our water bill when he has no legal rights that you all can even find? Why should we keep paying $h=m$ when we have rights here? These people here have rights to this
water.
MR. BETHEA: Do you object paying to him or to the Utility?

WITMESS TAYLOR: TO him.

MR. BETHEA: You would agree that the Utility needs money to operate, and that's what we're interested in.

WITHESS maYLOR: Yes, I agree to that. Yes, yes.

MR. BETHEA: Okay. I understand.
WITNESS TAYLOR: But I disagree he should
make a profit. I agree that he shouldn't make no profit on this but to run the water company. It doesn't take that much to run a place like that because it's small. And I don't think the water bill should be raised because these people in here can't afford it now. And we don't get nothing for it except a bunch of hash and trash.

MR. BETHEA: Could you explain that, sir?

WITAESS TAYLOR: Explain that? Every time,
if you don't pay your water bill, he will come out there on that day and shut your water off. That's how he is. He thinks he owns it.

MR. BETHEA: So you weren't speaking about quality of the water, you were speaking of his --

WITNESS TAYLOR: Well, I was speaking about the quality of the service from the water company. I don't think I used as much as he says I used in a month's time. I think that wrong. I think the meter should be calibrated. Like I say, I just don't think we should have any more increases in the water at all. I think it's totally ridiculous because we get no service whatsoever from him.

Like two months ago, we had a water main bust out there, a water thing. And I guess he didn't know about it or somebody caught him or something and it ran all night long until sometime tomorrow afternoon somebody came out there and fixed it. It was just gushing. And this lady is pregnant; she has a baby. And she lives next door to me, and they had no water. It was out water all night long, a pregnant woman. So what kind of service is that?

MR. BETHEA: Could you tell me if they tried to get in touch with the Utility at that time?

WITNESS TAYLOR: I think they did. I don't know, but I think they did. And they really don't have a phone number to get ahold of them. They have an office that's got a secretary there. No emergency number or nothing, just got a secretary that answers the phone and says, "Well, if you have a message."

And when they get out there, they get out there. That's all I've got to say. I'd like to bring you all something to show you all, if I may? MR. BETHEA: Yeah.

MR. CASEY: If would you state what it is. UITNESS TAYLOR: This is a receipt that I paid the water bill $5 / 19 / 96$. And this is when Mr. LaFond came out there.

MR. VACcARO: Could you stop Juol one second?

MR. CASEY: We have to flip the tape again. WITNESS TAYLOR: June 1996, and turned my water off.

MR. CAsEY: Hang on just a second.
MR. VACcARO: Okay. Could you give the date again for the court reporter, please?

MR. CAsEY: You're right. Start over.
WITNESS TAYLOR: I paid the water bill $5 / 19 / 96$, that would be May the 19 th of ' 96 . He came out there on June 19, '96 with this letter attached to my house, this letter he wrote which ain't even notarized or nothing or certified and stuck it on my door. And he came back out there -- later on that afternoon he shut my water off, and I confronted him. And I also confronted his son a couple days later that

I paid the bill, and he was supposed to check into it, and he never did. So I think I should be compensated for that. For having my water, have to be turned back on, I had to pay $\$ 69$ just to get my water turned back on which --

MR. BETHEA: Did you pay $\$ 69$ to him?
witeness taylor: I paid $\$ 69$.
gr. bethea: In addition to this?
witness taylor: yes, to get my water curned back on.

MR. bethea: For the record, Mr. Taylor, this is actually a receipt for a money order; is that correct?

WITNESS TAYLOR: Right.
MR. bETHEA: This represents that you bought a money order, but it doesn't represent that the Utility received the payment; is that correct?
witanss taylor: yes, they received it because he had to show me proof.
hr. bethen: okay.
WITMEss tayLor: he was supposed to. That's been like four or five months, and he has yet to come up with anything.
ur. bethen: Do you have any certification that he did receive it or a cancelled money order or
anything?
WITAESS TAYLOR: That's it.
MR. BETHEA: This is cancelled -- this is just a receipt.

WITHEss TAYLOR: Right, received the money order that I sent them.

MR. BETHEA: Okay. This is a receipt of your purchase of a money order made payable to Meadows.

WITAESS TAYLOR: Right.
MR. BETHEA: I happened to see your wife come up with an envelope.

WITIIESS TAYLOR: Uh-huh.
MR. BETHEA: Was sent to the Utility and a return address --

WITNESS TAYLOR: Right.
MR. BETHEA: It was returned to you.
WITNESS TAYLOR: Right.
MR. BETHEA: Did that have the payment in there?

WITNESS TAYLOR: No, that's another issue that I don't want to get into right now. I also have where he stuck the letter that's stating that I paid him $\$ 69$ to get my water turned back on with his own handwriting, signed.

MR. BETHEA: Thank you. May we have these?
WITNESS TAYLOR: Yes, you may. I'd also
like to say off the record --
MR. BETHEA: Off the record.
(Discussion off the record.)
MR. BETHEA: Thank you, Mr. Taylor.
WITRESS TAYLOR: You are welcome.
2R2. CAsEY: Would you like those originals
returned to you after we make copies?
WITAESS TAYLOR: Yes.
MR. CASEY: All right.
MR. BETHEA: Doris Beaton, did you want to speak tonight? You said it depends. I guess you do.

DORIS BEATOM
appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT
withess beaton: My name is Doris Beaton, and I live at 3807 South Pigeon Terrace.

I responded to Mr. LaFond's letter of August 25th. And $m y$ last line $I$ asked for a personal reply because sometimes you do write a note and you get it in one of his newsletters at the bottom of a bill. And he did respond with a phone call. And so, it's his word against my word.

I did contact some of $m y$ neighbors that are snow birds, and one in Canada did receive that, which I thought with was an inflammatory letter of raking the Joneses. That letter they received. However, they have not received any notice of this Public Service Commission. The gentleman called me from Canada the other night, and he was not notified.

I wrote to another one, and they also had not received this letter, that letter of August 25th, so I just wanted that to be brought out.

YR. caszy: could you do me one favor, could you spell your last name for us?
withess beaton: Surely. b-e-A-T as in

Tom-O-N.
MR. CASEY: Thank you.
10R. VACCARO: May I ask you one question, please? You said the out-of-town residents did not receive notice of --

WITAESS BEATON: The Public Service
Commission meeting for tonight.
Mr. VACCARO: Okay.
WITAESS BEATON: I photocopied it and sent it to two of them. The one man called me from Canada stating he had not receive it. It's my undczatanding he did give the Utility company his Canadian address. But when they sent him any -- like that letter of the 25 th of August, they sent it to his Robin address and then let the post office forward it.

MR. VACcARO: Okay, thank you.
MR. BETHEA: Thank you, Ms. Beaton.
Thomas Kennedy. I'm sorry, Mrs. Thomas
Kennedy.

MRE. THOMAS KENNEDY
appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT
WITNESS KEANEDY: You need the spelling? I
just wanted to state that I have not received any of these notices. I did call him and ask him to send the bills to my tenants, but any other notices I think I should be receiving. And my father-in-law also owns a home there, and he hasn't received anything.
ur. BETHEA: For the record, you are
Mrs. Thomas Kennedy?
WITNESS KENAEDY: Yes.
MR. BETHEA: Do you know of any other residents? Obviously, some of you got the bills -- or the notice.
(Audience response.)
MR. BETHEA: Hold on one second.
Mrs. Kennedy, do you obtain service, water service or sewer service from the Utility?

WITNESS KENAEDY: My tenants do.
MR. BETHEA: Your tenants do?
WITNESS KENNEDY: Yes.
MR. BETHEA: Are they still tenants?
WITNESS KENAEDY: Yes.

MR. BETHEA: Did they receive the notice? mITNESS KENREDY: That, I don't know. I haven't been in contact with them.

MR. BETHEA: Do they actually pay the bills? WITNESS KENNEDY: Yes.

MR. BETHEA: They are the ones to which the bills are sent?

WITNESS KENAEDY: Yes.
MR. BETHEA: Okay. Thank you.
Calvin Doud.

## CALVIM DOUD

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT
WITNESS DOUD: Calvin Doud, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}$, D-O-U-D, Meadow Crest, here. I co-own a house with my daughter here in Meadow Crest.

One thing that's confusing me here tonight
is this $\$ 42$ that we used to pay.
UR. BETHEA: Speak in the mike.
WITAESS DOUD: The $\$ 42$ they used to pay and the $\$ 34$ they pay now. And everybody keeps referring to it as a $\$ 42$, as a water bill, and it never was a water bill. It was a bill complete. And everybody
keeps referring it back as a water bill. Garbage pick up comes to about $\$ 11$ a month. Then you had utilities -- I mean, your lights out there. I'd say on the average you'd go about $\$ 5$ a month per person. Then you had the maintenance of a pool and a clubhouse which would probably be another $\$ 5$ a month or more to maintain that for each person. That should be deducted from the $\$ 42$ to say what they paid for the old water bill -- was paying or a little more, plus the common taking care of that.

So I don't see where you say that the old bill was $\$ 42$ and now it's $\$ 34$.

MR. bethea: Well, we did not say that.
wITNESS DOUD: Yeah, but I'm saying this in the going here, everybody keeps asking, well, you used to pay 42 , but now it's this. But like I say, it was never clarified that you was paying so much to this. I understand that Mr. Jones, tomorrow, has paperwork figuring how much it was, paying for each one of these utilities. Is that right?

Something, and they'll bring that out to you there. But that's what I want to clarify that these people was not paying $\$ 42$ just for water.

MR. BETHEA: Thank you, Mr. Doud.
ur. casey: Could I ask one question,
please? Do you have a bill that spells out what exactly was included in the $\$ 42$ ? Did they spell that out on the bill?

WITNESS DOUD: In the previous bill was it --
(Audience response.)
MR. betheat one at a time, please. One at a time.

WITNESS DOUD: There was never an actual bill sent out to you, yeah. I never got ons of the bills. I'm a co-owner on the house, but there was never an actual bill. This was just a -- I know when we bought the house that this was part of it, putting it onto our -- when we bought the house, our payments, that $\$ 42$, was to be paid with the house. We bought the house and the payments, and then we had to pay another $\$ 42$ additional for the services of the property.

MR. BETHEA: Okay. We'll get that information from Ms. Jones, right? Thank you.

MR. CASEY: Mr. Jones would like to say something again.

MR. BETHEA: Let's move through some of these people who haven't spoke yet. Christine Green, would you like to speak tonight?

## CHRISTINE GREEN

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS GREEN: Yes, sir. I'm going to make it quick, I have another engagement. It's Christine Green, 3877 South Sandpiper Terrace.

I don't know, but we have a letter that Mr. LaFond had typed to us, had sent to us, that he is in it for a profit. And he -- if I can quote how he does it. It says, We are not developers and are under no obligations to the existing property owners of the Meadow. We have bought the assets of the corporation and intend to build up, but -- of this subdivision and make a profit on it and make a profit on sewer and water company. And he says he will, more or less, work with us to make it a great place.

But what I have to say is -- I'm nervous up here. Last month my water bill did not get sent out on time, and I had taken it to the post office. Well, his son -- I'm not sure if his name is Paul LaFond, Jr., or -- he had come to my house and asked me about my water bill. And I had told him that it was sent out in the mail. And he said, "Well, I have come and I've already checked one mailbox. Yours was not in
your mailbox. I already took a letter out of one mailbox that there was" -- excuse me, he told me this. And also, I asked him at the time if he -- about the water, because there's two months that they have metered our water. And the first month was like $\$ 13,000-$ or 13,000 gallons. And I said, "I don't see how one household could use 13,000 gallons." And he told me not to go by my paper.

Well, the next month my gallons went down to 5,000. I don't know if it was because $\tau$ had mentioned it. I had him go out there and show me where the meter was. He shook the dirt off it and read it to me. And it said - he showed me where I used 8,000 gallons. And I said, "How come my bill says 13,000 ?" That's when he told me never mind my bill.

MR. BETHEA: Were you being billed a flat rate, though?
wITHESS GREEN: Yes, I am. And then his father, this month my bill has -- my check has bean sent out. And Mr. LaFond has called. He came to my house, and he has called me twice. And he's now being nice, but I told him about his son -- you know, it's like good cop, bad cop. His son does things, and then he comes around and fixes what his son has done, is what I've seen.
ur. bethea: Hopefully, that's not going to happen anymore. Because as we stated earlier, he's received a letter from us as to how he should bill and what he should bill. And if you are approached by the Utility -- what should happen, if you are late on your bill, the Utility is required to send notice that your bill is due and payable and give you a reasonable time period, I think is five days.
withess green: Yeah, I did get a notice, and I got a notice that I had to pay $\$ 30$ to turn it back on.

Mr. bethea: Well, even that should not happen in the future. There is no reconnect charge, is my understanding in the current tariff. Now, there may be in the final tariff that we approve in this case, a reconnect fee. I don't believe it will be that high, but --
witness areent When his son was out to my house, he told me that he would not shut it off that day, but if it was not in there by Monday, that he would come out there and shut my water off.
ur. bethen: That should not happen again. You should receive notice, proper notice, from the Utility. If you don't, then you can give us a call. Actually, there's a complaint number. Is that
included in the -- I believe that's on your notice. There is an 800 number that you can call the Commission and complain about those billing practices. But, hopefully, that won't occur in the future.

WITNESS GREEN: And I have called the postmaster on the other part. Because my bill this month is missing.

MR. BETHEA: By the way, just one mora question. Did you pay a reconnect fee? Did he actually disconnect you?

WITAESS GREEN: No, he did not disconnect because my bill was in the mail.

MR. BETHEA: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Green. Spokesman for Trumpet of Truth tonight.

## CANDICE MAYS

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

WITAESS MAYs: My name is Candice Mays, and I'm representing Trumpets of Truth International, 67 West Grover Cleveland Boulevard.

MR. BETHEA: Spell your last name, please?
EITNESS MAYS: M-A-Y-S. What we are finding here is inconsistency. Mr. LaFond called one of our
leadership members, Jackie Fadore, and told her that we were using 51,000 gallons of water weekly here at our church. And there was talk of our units, our air units being water cooled. Well, I had an air condition technician come out and verify this, look into this. And he was with Gorham Incorporated, and his name was Jerry, that came out and checked this. and our air conditioners are not water cooled, they are freon. There's no way that we could be using 51,000 gallons of water a week.

And then here in our billing from August, says, "We are being audited by the Public Service commission, and we have been instructed to read all meters as the Commission will set a charge based on water usage. We know that your water bill will go up considerably so we want you to know that you have used" -- and there's no gallons of water here. It's just a slash.

I mean, he calls last week and says we are using 51,000 gallons of water, and then in our letter there's not even a meter reading. And so, that's all I have to say. Thank you.
ur. bethea: can you be reached at the church here?

WITAESS MAYB: Yes.

16R. BETHEA: I may have my engineer call you to get that information, because we were under the assumption that it was water cooled, which I've never heard of, but I might --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's --
MR. BETHEA: Mr. LaFond, please don't speak out. Okay. But we'll get him to verify that through your contact at the air conditioning place.

WITNESS MAYs: okay.
MR. BETHEA: Thank you.
I believe that's it, all that has signed up to talk. If anyone would like to speak tonight -- go ahead.

WITNESS HAYs: Could I add something else? That's in this building only that it's freon. The building over there, the units are water cooled, but we are not using that building. We are in this building.

As you can see, we are under construction. There's a lot of things going on. And that building over there we've used it probably four times in the past three years. And the announcement was that we were to meet over in the Fellowship Hall. The reason we are not in the Fellowship Hall is because we ${ }^{\text {re }}$ re under construction; it's a mess over there. It's like
a ghost town. It's very filthy, so we have not used that building.

This is the building that we use, and we have a membership of probably 35 that come here weekly. And flushing toilets -- we don't get drink water from the well; we buy water from Culligan. So there's no way we can be using $51,000$.

3R. BETHEA: Do you all irrigate the property, sprinkle the lawn?

WITNESS Mays: No, sir. We did this past summer in the front there because we had planted some greeny in the front. This last summer we did, but that's the only time that we have used water. But there's people that come over here all the time from the neighborhood and uses the water spigots. And we've come and we've found them turned -- you know, left on and what have you. But, no, we don't irritate. Thank you.

MR. BETHEA: If anyone else would like to speak tonight, you are welcome to do so. Please come forward. Have you been sworn in?

WITHESS VARILEK: Yes.
MR. BETHEA: Okay. Thanks.

## haUrel varilex

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT BTATEMENT

wITNESS VARILEK: My name is Laurel Varilek, and I live at 6629 West Seagull.

MR. BETHEA: You're going to have to spell that for both of us, I think.

WITERES VARILEK: $O$. $V$, as in
Victor-A-R-I-L-E-K. And I have a letter hers dated June 12th, 1989, and that was from John "ilison. He was not a resident -- well, yes -- no, not at the time he wasn't. This says, "Due to the rising costs over the years related to maintenance, both of central water and sewer systems, the garbage collection, the mowing of common areas and the maintenance of the clubhouse and pool, it is necessary, if these charges are to continue, to raise the monthly maintenance fee from the current rate of $\$ 30$ to $\$ 42 . "$ And that was to become effective August 1st, 1989. And I moved into the subdivision in May of 1990. We didn't know how much we were supposed to pay or how much we were supposed to pay, and we were told then that it would be $\$ 42$. So at some point in time it was $\$ 30$.

MR. BETHEA: Okay.

WITAESS VARILEK: Also, everywhere I've ever lived I always received, like a postcard, that would say what my usage was the previous months. And then it would state what my current usage was. Also, what my rata was in my bill. I've never received letters like I've been receiving since the new water company has started. I don't enjoy getting these letters; I find them offensive. I don't mind paying my bill; I don't mind paying what I use, but I don't really enjoy getting these letters. So, you know, I hope it will be set up in a more professional manner.

Also, I think the water pressure needs to be looked into because it definitely isn't very forceful. It just isn't. I don't know why that is, but I've never had that problem anywhere I've ever lived again, so I'm not sure what it is.

That's really all I have to say. Thank you.
MR. BETHEA: Ms. Varilek, do we have that
letter in your package, Ms. Jones?
WITAESS BRANDI JONES: No.
MR. BETHEA: May we get a copy of that?
WITARES VARILEK: SURE.
MR. BETHEA: We're trying to piece together
all of these different rates, so --
WITNESS VARILER: I just found it. I didn't
even know I had it. I was looking through, and, oh, I have --

MR. BETHEA: If you like, if you don't have a copy, we'll send you back one.

WITNESS VARILEK: Sure.
MR. BETHEA: Anyone else like to speak? Yes, ma'am.

WITNESS ATWELL: My name is Jeanette Samler Atwell.

MR. VACCARO: Would you sworn in?
WITNESS ATWELL: NO, I wasn't.

JEANETTE SAMLER ATWELL
appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. BETHEA: Could you spell your name for us?

WITNESS ATWELLi Sure. The last name is A-T-W-E-L-L. My former name was Jeanette Samler, $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}$. And I moved to this subdivision March 1 of 1984. The mailing address or the resident address at this time is 3851 South Sandpiper Terrace. I no longer reside there, but I'm still the property owner.

I have informed Mr. LaFond, and whoever else
that would be billing me or notifying me, including Stillwell and Herron, the attorneys that, I think, did whatever legal transfer of ownership to Mr. LaFond from the ladies who inherited the John Wilson Trustee, of my physical mailing address at this time. I said I wanted to be notified of everything that is happening as a property owner concerning the utilities.

I was called yesterday at noon by Mr. Dennis
Jones at my work place and told me that all of this has been going on for months. My tenants, they paid the water and that's about all I knew. Once ir, a while they'd say, "There is a letter," and I'd have to drive through and say, "What's new?" And I question the validity of a lot of the things that are going on here.

And, also, I wanted to tell you that when the Meadows of Homassasa, Inc., the old property managers under Jeannie Verell and Bud Morton, when they were the property managers, they used to employ me for $\$ 20$ a month to read the water meters. Approximately, I'd say that went on for about ten years. And $I^{\prime} d$ submit the handwritten sheets and my son would assist me. And we did it basically - I thought Jeannie Verell had to submit the actual readings of each meter to some sort of 3tate
regulatory commission, even though we had a flat rate contained in that 42 , per se, a month. That was for all the common areas.

Again, Mr. LaFond now says we no longer own; he owns our roads, he owns our clubhouse, which he has sold as a home, I think under an unrecorded agreement for deed so we can't find it. And I know that's a separate issue.

But we have intelligence, too, and we all worked hard to start this subdivision and hate co see it go down the drain. And I just want to hel.p save it, too, if I live here or not. And if I have any of the old records of the water meters -- I used to make copies, I don't know if I do, I'll send them to this address, okay?

MR. BETHEA: Fine. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Atwell. Anyone else tonight? Yes, ma'am. Ms. Jones. Restate your name just for the record, please.

WITNESS BRANDI JONES: Brandi Austin Jones.
I forgot to mention earlier that as I was going around meeting with different homeowners, I would ask them if they had received a legal notice that was sent out back in January, that is the notice of application for a transfer of water and wastewater certificates. I
would like the Commission, either by a show of hands or some way for the homeowners to let the Commission know exactly how many people did not receive this letter.

Also, homeowners, as well as tenants, should be notified of this. The way I understand it, is anyone that has anything to do with this water company. And as several of the homeowners have stated, they do rent their homes out, but they have requested that any other correspondence be mailed to their address and not to their tenant whicb, fiom what I could hear, that wasn't happening. But in any case, I would like by a show of hands or some other way for people to let it be known if, in fact, they did receive this legal notice that $I$ have obtained a copy from.

I, personally, did not receive this notice in the mail; I obtained it from a neighbor. I have only personally spoke with -- it's either two or three people who have received this notice. And I think that's something that needs to be brought forth before the Commission, especially since Mr. LaFond did sign an affidavit stating he provided everyone a copy of this notice that had anything to do with the transfer from $J \& J$ over into the Meadows.

Along with that, I think a show of hands needs to be brought forth about the notice of the meeting that we are attending here tonight. I do know of a few people that did not receive that notice. I am not one of those. I did get that one.
ur. bethen: That are local residents right now, or not in Canada or somewhere up north?

WITNRESS BRANDI JONES: Yes, that either own a home in the Meadows Subdivision or are a resident of there.

And, yes, for the record, my husband spoke with a woman in orlando who has not received any of this correspondence. I'd be happy to provide you her name and stuff at a later date so that you can correspond with her. She was hoping to get me a letter stating that prior to this meeting, but I did not receive it. But she did not receive that stuff, either.

And along with the ones that are in Canada and there's another resident that I personally spoke with who was here two weeks ago, that have since left to go back up north, and they were unaware of anything that was going on. As far as that goes, they receive a bill, and that's it. They were unaware of any of the other underlying issues that we've brought forth
here tonight.
MR. BETHEAZ All right.
WITNESS BRANDI JONES: I don't know if you want to show this for them to see to know what they are attesting they did or did not receive or how you vant to go about that, but something needs to be brought forth. Thank you.

MR. BETHEA: Thank you. Anyone else tonight? okay. If that's it, I'd just remind you that if you'd like to come forward and tell us chat you would like to receive a final recommendation, we'll get your name down and send you one. And, again, the agenda is currently scheduled for November the 12th. And the recommendation will be filed October 31st, and you should receive your copy of it a few days after that. So if you haven't received it in about a week after that, then give us a call and maybe we've change the dates on you. But we'll be glad to send you a copy.

MR. CAsEY: If anybody has come in late, we would ask you to sign in on the sheets, so we have the information that you were here.

And also, as Mr. Bethea stated, if you have any questions about the rate case, my name and phone number are on those green sheets. If you have a
complaint or any other problem, you can also call our Consumer Affairs office. The phone number for our Consumer Affairs office is inside the telephone book of every telephone book in the State of Florida. It's an 800 number. And I would personally like to thank the church. It's a beautiful church and we thank them for letting us have the meeting here.

MR. BETHEA: Thank you all for coming. Good night.
(Thereupon, the customer meeting concluded at 7:45 p.m.)
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