BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

			e: Unbundling of Natural Services	_/	DOCKET NO. 960725-GU Filed: February 25, 1997
	THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMEN WRITTEN COMMENTS CONCERNING DOCKET NAND THE ISSUES DISCUSSED DURING STAFF NO. 3 CONDUCTED ON DECEMBER 12,				KET NO. 960725-GU FAFF WORKSHOP
		The Florida Department of Management Services (DMS) provides the following comments concerning the Issues discussed during the Natural Gas Unbundling No. 3 held on December 12, 1996. Comments are numbered to correlate with Numbers discussed during the workshop.			
		Com	ments are as follows:		
	43. DMS agrees with the consensus expressed during the w services associated with acquisition and delivery of gas to sales assigned to PGA customers.				
		acqui	Method of billing should be omer. DMS prefers a single bill isition and delivery services.		
ACK .		45.	No comment.		
APP.		_LDC	Some transportation custom for meter reading and other se omically. Recommend the LDO	rvices that the LDC can	accomplish more
CTA EAG		47. -time s	Assuming a Commission Oreshould be defined for implement	_	sued, a reasonable amount of d tariffs.
LENA LIN CFT			Collecting and remitting taxonsible. Similarly, the LDCs an they cause to be incurred.		•
SEC	1	American			
W/S		ar-mit			

OTH ____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

0209 | FEB 25 %

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

- 49. Generally, you would expect the LDC to gain the most benefit from educating its existing or potential customers through increased sales. It seems reasonable that some types of education may warrant recovery of a portion of that cost.
- 50. See comment for issue No. 49.
- 51. No comment.
- 52. LDC start-up issues should not be used as a barrier to service.
- 53. Suppliers will have to decide to what degree they are willing to release competitively sensitive information to satisfy business requirements of other entities needed to complete a transaction. There is no need for Commission involvement.
- If some portion of an LDC's unbundled tariff is unregulated, and the LDC has the latitude to negotiate rates that are competitive, it is reasonsable to allow confidentiality.
- 55. It appears that only a minimal amount of regulation should be needed for oversight of unbundled services other than a periodic review of costs allocated to various unbundled services.
- 56. If unbundled tariffs are to be regulated, they could be presented by the LDC as a part of a rate case. Any review by interested parties and fine-tuning could be handled as a part of that process.
- 57. See comment for Issue No. 56.
- The issue is not one of deregulating large customers but is one of making unbundled services available to any customer that needs the flexibility of transportation and other services to maintain its economic variability in the marketplace and prevent jobs from migrating to other states.
- 59. DMS expects customers that do not choose to take advantage of unbundled services will still need the protection of Commission oversight for standard services currently provided by the LDCs. It is likely, most residential, commercial and small industrial customers will continue to take service under standard tariff rates.
- 60. DMS concurs with the idea of LDCs providing an option for unbundled services. Once established, the unbundled services for the smaller LDCs may require less regulatory oversight.
- 61. DMS concurs that the LDCs should be given more latitude in establishing rates for commercial and industrial customers when the potential exists for fuel switching or loss of load due to other factors.

- 62. See comment for Issue No. 61.
- No comment.
- 64. DMS agrees that some oversight is warranted.
- 65. No comment.
- 66. No comment.

DATED this 25th day of February, 1997.

O. Earl Black, Jr, Esquire Stephen S. Mathues, Esquire Office of General Counsel Department of Management Services 4050 Esplanade Way, Suite 260 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0950 (904) 487-1082

Attorneys for Department of Management Services

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

DOCKET NO. 960725-GU

I HERBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been sent by prepaid U. S. Mail to:

Beth Culpepper Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Marsha E. Rule Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A. Post Office Drawer 1657 Tallahassee. Florida 32302-1657

Wayne Schiefelbein Gatlin, Woods, Carlson & Cowdery 1709-D Mahan Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32308-5279

Michael Palecki Vice President of Regulatory Affairs NUI Southern Division City Gas Company of Florida 955 East 25th Street Hialeah, Florida 33013-3498

CNB Olympic Gas Service c/o Barrett Johnson & Associates Post Office Box 1308 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1308

Jack E. Uhl Peoples Gas System, Inc. Post Office Box 2562 Tampa, Florida 33601-2562 David Rogers Associated Gas Distributors of Florida Post Office Box 11026 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-3026

Stephen C. Thompson
Florida Division of
Chesapeake Utilities Corporation
Post Office Box 960
Winter Haven, Florida 33883-0960

Lyle C. Motley, Jr.
President/CEO
NUI Southern Division
City Gas Company of Florida
955 East 25th Street
Hialeah, Florida 33013-3498

Joseph A. McGlothlin
Vicki Gordon Kaufman
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson,
Rief & Bakas, P.A.
117 S. Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1525

Frank C. Cressman
Marc L. Schneidermann
Manager/Engineering & Gas Supply
Florida Public Utilities Company
Post Office Box 3395
West Palm Beach, Florida 33402-3395

Robert Scheffel Wright Landers & Parson, P.A. Post Office Box 271 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0271 Ansley Watson, Jr.
MacFarlane, Ferguson & McMullen
Post Office Box 1531
Tampa, Florida 33601-1531

J. Peter Martin South Florida Natural Gas Company Post Office Box 69000-J Miami, Florida 33269-0078

Stuart L. Shoaf St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc. Post Office Box 549 Port Saint Joe. Florida 32457-0549

Colette M. Powers Indiantown Gas Company Post Office Box 8 Indiantown, Florida 34956-0008

John W. McWhirter, Jr.
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson,
Rief & Bakas, P.A.
Suite 2800
100 North Tampa Street
Tampa, Florida 33602-5126

Peter G. Esposito Gregory K. Lawrence John, Hengerer & Esposito Suite 600 1200 17th Street, Northwest Washington, D.C. 20036-3006

Callender/Cullum 13430 Northwest Freeway, #120 Houston, TX 77040

Florida Industrial Gas Users c/o McWhirter Law Firm P.O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350 Sebring Gas System, Inc. 3515 Highway 27 South Sebring, Florida 33870-5452

Norman Horton, Jr. Messer, Caparello, Madsen, Goldman & Metz Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876

J.E. McIntyre West Florida Natural Gas Company Post Office Box 1460 Panama City, Florida 32402-1460

Robert Cooper, FIGU Chairman U.S. Gypsum Company 125 South Franklin Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60606-4678

Glenn Etienne, Manager Regulatory Affairs Natural Gas Company Suite 1200 13430 Northwest Freeway Houston, Texas 77040

Barrett G. Johnson Johnson and Associates, P.A. Post Office Box 1308 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1308

CH2Hill c/o Langer Energy Consulting Jack Langer 4995 Ponce de Leon Blvd. Coral Gables, FL 33146

Charles Costin P.O. Box 98 Port St. Joe, FL 32457-0098 Roger Howe Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 Peter J. Thompson Andrews & Kurth L.L.P. 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006-5805

G:\NATGAS\UNBUNDLE\WK#3COM.DOC

DATE:

February 24, 1997

TO:

WAYNE R. MAKIN, BUREAU OF GAS REGULATION

FROM:

DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES

RE:

DOCKET NO. 960725-FU

The question presented in the referenced Memorandum calls for a decision for total unbundling of LDC gas services. DMS proposed the LDCs be required to make unbundled services optionally available to those customers that want to pursue an alternative gas supplier. There are many smaller customers that will not want to make a change simply to avoid the administration, even if there is some small amount of savings. On the other hand, there are many larger customers that are in need of cutting expenses just to stay in business.

The Commission must look at the broader view of improving the economic conditions that will benefit the entire population. That includes implementing policies that will encourage economic growth across the State though low gas costs and allowing existing businesses to stay competitive by arranging for the lowest cost gas service. Making unbundled services available will foster growth in business development and will help some businesses form crossing the line where high fuel costs may result in lost jobs and possibly going out of business. If some form of unbundling is not implemented, economic and business development within the State is likely to be slowed and some businesses may actually lose ground to their competition in other states.

Finally, DMS suggests that the general population of residential users is not aware of the steps being taken toward de-regulation. In particular, we are aware of no public or private program to educate such users on the potential changes which may result form deregulation. Thus, conclusions as to the "sentiment" of the residential users should be viewed carefully.

EB/cs

G:\NATGAS\UNBUNDLE\WK#3COM.DOC