DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

- 1	1				
1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
2	FLORIT	DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
3					
4		s			
5					
6	In the Matter	of : DOCKET NO. 970115-GU			
۰	Petition for lin	ited :			
7	proceeding to re				
	rates by St. Joe	Natural :			
8	Gas Company, Inc				
9		<b>?</b>			
10		The same of the sa			
11					
12	PROCEEDINGS:	INFORMAL CUSTOMER MEETING			
13	DATE:	Thursday, March 14, 1997			
14					
15	TIME:	Commenced at 6:30 p.m. Concluded at 7:50 p.m.			
16					
17	PLACE:	Port St. Joe High School 100 Shark Drive			
18		Port St. Joe, Florida			
19					
20	REPORTED BY:	H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR			
170000		Official Commission Reporter			
21					
22					
23					
24					

1	
1	IN ATTENDANCE:
2	WAYNE MAKIN, FPSC Division of Electric &
3	Gas.
4	CHERYL BULECER-BANKS, FPSC, Division of
5	Electric & Gas.
6	COCKRAN KEATING, FPSC, Division of Electric
7	& Gas.
8	JOE DILLMORE, FPSC, Division of Electric &
9	Gas.
10	DAVID GING, FPSC, Division of Electric &
11	Gas.
12	BOB TROTTER, FPSC, Division of Electric &
13	Gas.
14	STUART SCHOAF, St. Joe Natural Gas Company,
15	Inc.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	WITNESSES	
2	NAME	PAGE NO.
3	BARBARA LAWDER	2
4	Direct Statement	12
5	ANNE WHITE	
6	Direct Statement	16
7	LARRY BROLLARD	
8	Direct Statement	23
9	TIM STEIN	
10	Direct Statement	24
12	RICHARD ROBINSON	
13	Direct Statement	26
14	CECIL PETTIS	
15	Direct Statement	35
16	BO WILLIAMS	
17	J. C. STOUTAMIRE	45
18	Direct Statement	53
19	ED MCFARLAND	
20	Direct Statement	55
21	BOB PHILLIPS	
22	Direct Statement	56
23	TERRY STAAB	
24	Direct Statement	63

1	INDEX					
2	MISCELLANEOUS					
3	ITEM		PAGE NO.			
4			2301			
5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		70			
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

#### PROCEDINGS

(Meeting convened at 6:30 p m.)

MR. MAKIN: If we can get started, please.

Good evening. I'm glad you all turned out for this

customer meeting. Can you hear me?

My name is Wayne Makin. I'm an economic analyst with the Florida Public Service Commission in Tallahassee. With me tonight is David Ging, Joe Dillmore, Cochran Keating, and Cheryl Bulecza-Banks.

The Florida Public Service Commission is a governmental agency which has jurisdiction over the economic aspects of investor-owned electric, gas, telephone and water and sewer utilities in the state of Florida.

For the record in this case, it's been docketed, and it's also in your blue handout, Docket No. 970115-GU. January 27th of this year St. Joe Natural Gas filed with the Commission a petition for a limited proceeding to adjust their rates pursuant to Statute 366, and under a proposed limited scope proceeding, we look at what the company has filed for. It's much different than a full-scale rate increase.

This proceeding, this limited proceeding, has no effect, no effect on the Company's total revenues. What the Company is proposing to do and

it's also indicated in your blue handout, they propose to restructure the way they receive their revenues.

In other words, they're going to get more revenue from the residential customers, a little more from commercial customers, a little less from the large customers.

Now that doesn't make a whole lot of sense, why they would want to increase your rates as residential and commercial consumers. Well, the reason they're doing this is because some customers are paying too much and some customers are paying too little. And if you looked at one of the handouts when you first came in, it's a rate comparison.

This rate comparison shows the rates for residential, commercial and various other rate classes, and it compares St. Joe Natural Gas Company to the other natural gas companies in Florida.

The purpose of the meeting tonight is to solicit your comments. We're here from the Commission to listen to you. When you came in you were asked to sign a yellow piece of paper if you would like to speak. Since this is a legal proceeding and you have requested to speak, our court reporter will swear you in all at one time and your comments will be recorded and will be made part of this record. In addition,

I'd like to inform you about the Office of Public Counsel.

This office was created by the Legislature to provide assistance and represent you before the Commission. If you would like to discuss your concerns in this case with the Office of Public Counsel, they have an 800 number, and I believe it's listed in the special report. It's 1-800-342-0222.

To describe a limited proceeding and all the ramifications of a limited proceeding, Cochran Keating, Mr. Keating, will explain this to you.

MR. KEATING: Good evening. My name is

Cochran Keating, and I'm a Staff attorney for the

Public Service Commission. I'm going to explain and

try to break this process down and to make it a little

more easy to understand for you.

St. Joe Natural Gas Company initiated this proceeding by filing a petition with the Public Service Commission for a limited proceeding for the purpose of restructuring its rate schedule, as Mr. Makin discussed with you.

The Commission Staff will analyze St. Joe's proposal and take customer testimony, as we are doing tonight, then prepare a recommendation for the Commissioners at the Public Service Commission to

consider.

As Mr. Makin told you, this is a legal proceeding, and those of you who wish to speak will actually be testifying and you will be sworn in.

As I told you, the Commission Staff will be preparing a recommendation for the Commissioners to consider. The Staff's recommendation is a document that is designed to address the issues involved in this request for rate restructuring.

If you would like a copy of Staff's recommendation when it is filed, we can provide you with one. Please let us know if you would like one by -- is there a sign-in sheet? No. If you want to come up here after the meeting and let us know, we can take care of that.

After the Staff recommendation is filed, the Commissioners will consider the recommendation at an agenda conference held in Tallahassee. Right now this recommendation is scheduled to be considered at an agenda conference on April 14th.

At the agenda conference the Commissioners
have the opportunity to ask questions of the
Commission Staff, to ask questions of the gas company
and to ask questions of the customers. The agenda
conference is open to the public and you may feel free

to appear and make comments directly to the Commissioners at that time.

At the agenda conference the Commissioners will vote on the recommendation. They can approve the recommendation, deny it, or approve the recommendation with some modifications that they feel necessary.

After the Commission votes, they will issue what's called a proposed agency action order. This, also, you may receive a copy of, if you'd like, and if you want to come up after the meeting, let us know.

This order is a proposed order. It will not be a final order. However, unless an interested party, such as a customer or a group of customers, files a protest to the proposed order within 21 days, the order will become final. If an interested person protests the order, then we have a formal hearing process. We pretty much start over again.

The formal hearing will be held in which the protesters and the Utility actually litigate the issues set forth in the proposed order as well as any other issues that are deemed appropriate. The hearing process is typically very time-consuming, expensive and complicated. It is very similar to a full court trial.

At a formal hearing the Utility will attempt

to prove its case by using experts, attorneys, accountants, consultants, and the protesting party will attempt to do so using the same. The hearing process could involve taking depositions and filing motions, if necessary, and presenting testimony to support's each party's case.

At the hearing customers would again have an opportunity to testify, only this time would testify in front of the Commissioners directly and would be subject to cross-examination. After the hearing, the Commission Staff would again prepare a recommendation for the Commission's consideration. All the evidence presented at the hearing would be considered and weighed by the Commission. Again, the Commission will vote on Staff's recommendation at an agenda conference. However, at this conference neither the Utility nor the customers may participate.

The Commission's vote at this agenda conference will result in a final order. It's a final vote. If an interested person is dissatisfied with the Commission's decision, at that point the next avenue that they could take is to file an appeal; and I believe that's with the Florida Supreme Court.

Mr. Makin has already informed you about the Office of Public Counsel, so at this point I'll turn

the meeting back over to Mr. Makin.

MR. MAKIN: Thank you. One thing I would like to pass on to you before we hear from you -- if you want to write these down. The Staff of the Commission, their recommendation to the Commission is due on April the 2nd. That's when we write our recommendation and give it to the Commission. The Commission then will hear this recommendation on April the 14th.

action agenda, and that means that you can participate at this agenda and share your comments with the Commission. The Commission then will issue its order, like Mr. Keating said, on May the 5th, and then you have, or any party has, 20 days in which to protest that order, and that takes you through May the 27th. Okay. I wanted to pass those dates on, because we've said a lot so far, and a lot of it is confusing, I understand. Okay.

Then at this time all of you who wish to speak to the Staff and put it on the record, if you would please stand now so that the court reporter may swear you in.

(Witnesses collectively sworn.)

MR. MAKIN: As I call each one of you, come

up to the microphone, if you would, please. Would you spell your name and give the address, your home address, to the court reporter? That makes things a lots easier. And forgive me if I mispronounce your name. I truly apologize. First witness is Barbara Lawder.

# 

## BARBARA LAWDER

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS LAWDER: L-A-W-D-E-R. My address,
512 8th Street Port St. Joe. Most of the questions I
had was basically I wanted to direct to the gas
company. I thought they would participate in this
meeting. However, I do have one question for you.

What factors do you consider in evaluating what your, you know, decision will be to the Commission? I mean, do you take into consideration whether it's a public corporation, or closely held corporation? Do you take into consideration whether the area is a depressed area? What factors do you ---

MR. MAKIN: There are many factors we consider. One of the primary factors we consider is the effect, in other words the effect to you on your bill, and how critical that is. By that I mean a 5%

to you is very critical; a 5% to someone else is not material. We look at the service being provided by the Utility.

In other words, if you have a problem at your house, you call the Utility and it takes them two to three days to show up, that's a problem. If your service to your house or the meter reading and billing constantly has mistakes in it, that's a factor.

Depressed areas to my knowledge, have not been a contributing factor. What is a big contributing factor, I mean, you're the customers of St. Joe Natural Gas. The customers of St. Joe Natural Gas are totally against a rate increase. That weighs heavily on the Commission.

witness Lawder: Well, you know, looking at these, you know, these rate comparisons, it seems like there's only two that's really in the low area.

However, this was such a drastic -- this is going to be such a drastic jump that I am basically -- you know there's a lot of widow ladies that are by themselves that are on a fixed income here. This is a depressed area. The paper company is closed down for, as they put it, they don't know when they would reopen.

This is going to have a dramatic effect right now, and I wanted to know if you took that into

consideration.

MR. MAKIN: We're right now addressing that back in Tallahassee among the Staff. Unfortunately, this meeting just took place at the wrong time.

witness LAWDER: It was very quick. When we got notice of it, you really didn't have much time to prepare. And I do know the gas prices nationwide are regulated by a lot of things. They're regulated by the temperature, whether we're going to have a cold winter or not, all these effects. I'm just wondering why they applied at this particular time, and I didn't get a copy of their petition so I don't --.

MR. MAKIN: I think the Company can make the petition available to you. In fact, we were --

witness LAWDER: I had asked them for it and, in fact, when the prices originally went up. They said it was a temporary thing and it would go back down; and then, you know, we got the little postcard in the mail.

MR. MAKIN: Okay. I wasn't going to do
this, because I really wanted to hear from everybody
else, but just to help you along and help everybody
else along, the increase that you had in your bill -two months ago?

WITNESS LAWDER: Yes, sir, I did.

MR. MAKIN: Okay; two months ago. That was
a substantial increase, and that was an increase that
was not -- that was uncontrollable by the gas utility.
Not only did it affect St. Joe Natural Gas, it
affected everybody on this list, and that's the cost
of gas. When they buy gas, I mean, all utilities try
to do the best job they can in buying gas. However,
it's a free market. It's unregulated, the actual gas
cost itself.

At one time many years ago it was regulated, but it's not. Whatever the price is is supply and demand. When you've got cold weather in the northeast like we've all seen on the news, gas is very expensive, very expensive. One saving grace we have in Florida is that, you know, we don't have that kind of cold weather. Gas prices are somewhat suppressed in the south.

witness Lawder: But don't they rate gas
prices based on a nationwide average? Like I was
reading the stats on this, because I do not understand
the per therm and the Btus and all that. So in order
to get up here and speak, I wanted kind of a basic
understanding of what I was dealing with.

According to a nationwide overall average, the weather was 15% colder, even though the eastern part of the nation -- and we had warm winter, they had a warm winter; but basically overall, and that is the determining factor in these rates, also.

The amount of gas that was used during the wintertime has taken away from surplus supplies of gas, but during the summertime won't these gas surpluses build back up and couldn't the rate be reduced?

My problem is this: I do not want a fixed rate for two years when they can go down if they get good gas prices. I'm also concerned with the economy of this -- Port St. Joe. I think -- I'm under the understanding it's a family held corporation.

Everybody lives here locally. I think they should contribute and say, hey, yes, prices are high, but this town is in a dilemma right now, let's just step back for a while; or let's reduce the rates and when we get a better -- better price on the fuel itself. That's all I wanted to say. I don't want to take up the time. All right. Thank you.

MR. MAKIN: Thank you ma'am. Ms. Anne White?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 ANNE WHITE

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

### DIRECT STATEMENT

WITHESS WHITE: I've just got one question. You were saying that --

MR. MAKIN: Ma'am, would you spell your name and give your address?

WITNESS WHITE: A-N-N-E, W-H-I-T-E, 302 DuPont Drive, Port St. Joe. You were saying a few minutes ago that some people were paying more than other people, and this I don't understand, because everybody's rates are going up.

Could this be -- rumor here in town is that -- of course, we've got a lot of the rumors running around right now -- but one of them that a lot of us feel here in town is that the paper mill didn't renew their contract with the gas company, so they've got to go elsewhere for -- to make up the amount, which is going to be impossible in this area. But does the paper mill going down have anything to do with the rates going up?

MR. MAKIN: There's a potential effect now that the paper mill is going to close. I know -- the only thing I can tell you is what I've read in the paper about the paper mill. But we all know,

obviously, that the paper mill contributes a lot to the economy of Port St. Joe and Wewahitchka, surrounding areas.

If the paper mill went out of business -St. Joe Natural Gas is a company and it is regulated
by the utility Commission. You know we're in the
terrible position of protecting --

WITNESS WHITE: Not as bad as we are.

MR. MAKIN: -- of protecting the consumer and the Utility. We don't want the Utility, obviously, to go out of business and you don't want the Utility to go out of business.

WITHESS WHITE: Right.

MR. MAKIN: I mean, otherwise you wouldn't have any gas. Somebody could buy them out, and then your rates would really go up if you pick any one of these companies to come here and serve you; and then your rates will really go up.

So what I'm saying is at some point in time, yes, you are going to have -- your rates will go up because of the lost revenue from the paper mill.

WITHESS WHITE: So is this true that the paper mill wouldn't renew with the gas company here and, therefore, they've got to go elsewhere and up our rates?

MR. MAKIN: At this point in time I don't know. I don't know if they have renewed the contract 2 3 or not.

WITHESS WHITE: Well, the word is -- if the mill continues -- which we hope it will be just down a few weeks -- if it continues to go, does that mean our rates are still going to stay high? If -- well, Stuart, can't you answer the question? Did we lose the contract? Did you lose?

MR. SCHOAF: We haven't lost anything. WITNESS WHITE: So you're still furnishing gas to the mill.

MR. SCHOAF: We have our existing contracts in place, but no change. This meeting tonight, going back to the other lady's comment, has nothing to do with the cost of gas. The rates that are being readjusted are the regulated rates by the Public Service Commission. That has no connection to the cost of gas.

As far as the paper company's influence on this meeting, we filed this several months ago, whatever the date was, and the paper company's operating condition did not cause this to happen.

In fact, the truth is, as Mr. Makin just said, if the paper company didn't exist and we were to

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

postpone this restructuring and we plug in our operating financial information into the formulas that have to be used to used to produce rates, instead of the residential being 24 cents, it would probably be 64 or 84 cents. It would be much, much higher.

so with -- under the circumstances, regardless of what the paper company is doing, the test year that we used was a year when the paper company was going; so that if we were now to postpone and come back later, and come out to these cost of service rates, they would be much higher than what we're proposing here.

So it's sort of a case of it's either now or later, and later would be much worse than now.

WITNESS WHITE: That brings up this question, then --

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: Could I interject for
just a minute. Mr. Schoaf, it's very hard for the
court reporter to hear your comments. If you wouldn't
mind stepping up to this mike. I know, you know,
basically tonight was supposed to be just the
Commission. but obviously there's some questions we're
unable to answer, and if you could come up here,
because she is having some difficulty; and when you're
done you can go back to your seat.

WITNESS WHITE: Okay Stuart. I understand that a utility cannot make over a certain percentage on their rates. Am I correct?

MR. SCHOAF: That's correct.

withess white: So if you up our bills 75 -or the Commission ups them 75%, 90%, aren't you going
to be making more interest than you're supposed to?

MR. SCHOAF: No.

WITNESS WHITE: If the mill -- now this is the question -- if the mill continues.

MR. SCHOAF: No; because we're reducing the rate to the mill. It's a revenue neutral proceeding where we have identified the revenue for the test year. We're -- the outcome of this, there's no change in revenue to the Utility.

The dollars we collect from the mill will be less, the dollars we collect from all other customers will be greater, but the total will remain the same.

And the fact that the mill drops off in its operations will certainly reduce the revenue in the Utility, but the purpose of this thing is to shift some of the operating costs on the class of customers that are responsible for the cost.

The residential and commercial customers have for 30 years had the -- some of the lowest

u.s., and the company has never sought a rate increase, never had a rate increase. And the time has come because of the force of the deregulation of natural gas where industries now have much more options, and they can take us or leave us. And we've been brought to a point in operating where customer classes are -- must pay their cost of service, and in Port St. Joe those of you who have been customers know that we generally go in and spend seven, \$800 putting a gas customer on and charge you \$10.00.

Well, that money has to come back from you as a customer, and with our rates being so low, we have 50, 60, 80 year paybacks on providing service to residential. The other choice for us would be -- would not be beneficial for our customers or the Company.

So we've looked at it and certainly now that the mill has come out and temporarily stopped their operations, what I was trying to say is that this rate restructuring, if we were to postpone it -- which we did consider that -- but we clearly can see that by postponing it, these proposed rates that have come out of 24 cents for residential could very easily be twice that amount.

And as far as justification, this isn't a 1 new idea or new thing that we're going about here. 2 The Commission has been in business for 30 years, and 3 the methodologies they use in establishing and justifying and qualifying rates is all fixed, and so the numbers will speak for themselves. 6 And if we -- you know, the rates could 7 easily be justified actually higher than this. These 8 are not parity rates where residentials pay their fair 9 share. And in our case, the industries have for many, 10 many years subsidized all the other rate classes, and 11 they're just no longer willing to do it. 12 WITNESS WHITE: Good. Thank you. 13 MR. MAKIN: Thank you, ma'am. C.F Hardy? 14 Handy? You don't want to talk? 15 HR. HARDY: My question was answered, sir. 16 MR. MAKIN: Thank you, sir. Larry Brollard. 17 If I mispronounced, I apologize. 18 19 LARRY BROLLARD 20 appeared as a witness and testified as follows: DIRECT STATEMENT 22 WITNESS BROLLARD: L-A-R-R-Y, 23 B-R-O-L-L-A-R-D. I don't know who put out this blue 24 paper, but out in front it says they're going to raise

the rates 11.92%. On this little white one I got here in the mail, they want to increase the residential current rates from 3 to \$6.00. That's 100% increase, as far as I'm concerned.

They want to raise the residential rates on gas 1.97 to 24.146. That's a 1,200% increase. Now, there's hundreds of retirees living here on cost of living allowance, which is 2.3%, and the government says that's 8% too high. We're going to end up with about 1.5. That's what the gas company ought to get.

If we can live with it, they can it too. Thank you.

MR. MAKIN: Thank you, sir. Mr. Tim Stein?

TIM STEIN

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

#### DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS STEIN: Tim Stein, S-T-E-I-N. The address is HC 77, Box 333A Wewahitchka. A little bit about my background. This past election my wife ran for U.S. Congress for District 2 and I was her campaign manager, so I pretty well have my thumb on the pulse of the economy in this area as well as throughout the rest of her district.

Looking at this white sheet, I see many rates from southern Florida. Well, the income in

these communities in southern Florida is vastly different than what it is here in St. Joe and our surrounding communities.

In the past year we've taken a couple major shots. We've lost our shrimping and our mullet industry. The mill is giving problems now, and like the other gentleman said, we have a vast number of people on a fixed income. The increases on their fixed income is very, very small.

that the gas company should make a profit. If you can't make a profit, you can't be in business. When you increase the customer charge 100%, that's vastly unfair. When you increase the other charges over 1,200%, that's ludicrous. That should not happen. And you need to look at what's going on in this area. We are depressed. We need a gas company, we need the gas company to make money, but we definitely feel that these increases are way too high.

The rest of this sheet is based on southern Florida, Gainesville, Jacksonville, places where there's a lot of industry. This area that's served by this gas company does not have that, and we are desperate; and we wish you would take that into consideration when you make your decision. Thank you.

MR. MAKIN: Thank you, sir. Mr. Richard Robinson?

#### RICHARD ROBINSON

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS ROBINSON: R-I-C-H-A-R-D,

R-O-B-I-N-S-O-N, 302 16th Street. You said this is a free market here for gas. Well, all I see is one gas company. I don't see any others anywhere around here. That means it's not a free market, because we're not letting any other gas companies come in here and compete.

You say we're making this adjustment here so the larger users will pay less and the smaller user will pay a little more, even it out a little bit.

Well, I don't see that, because I own a restaurant and I'm one of the largest gas users in this area; and this is going up 75%, from 20 to 35, and it's double down here on my large volume, so where am I getting a decrease at? I'm trying to make a living in a restaurant. I'm working at the mill that's going to shut down.

You have to show me where I'm getting a decrease, if it's for adjusting. It's not adjusting

mine. All it's adjusting is the paper mill, and
Arizona Chemical and Basic. That's basically it.

You're raising the rates on us so you can lower it on
them. That's not an adjustment for the people here in
this room, that's an increase; drastic, just like the
man said.

Deregulation of gas? If it's deregulated, how come we don't have any other gas companies here?

I'd like to know them questions, and I'd like to hear the answers to them.

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: When we had mentioned about the market and there's a market for gas, what's important to remember is when you're talking about a gas utility, you're talking about molecules of gas that they buy from suppliers, be it Houston, out in the gulf, Canada, wherever they buy the gas supply, and the little molecules of gas is what is a free market.

When we talk about getting the gas to you through the pipes, that is what St. Joe and each of the gas utilities do. They take those molecules and they transport them to you. That is regulated, and that — there aren't any other gas utilities in your area, and the reason why that is, is that historically gas utilities like electric utilities were monopolies;

and the reason why is because the pipes, your wires for your electricity, were so expensive and so much of an investment that it would have cost you a lot more money if they had two sets of pipes tearing up your street so you would have two companies; or if you had two water and sewer departments, it wouldn't be environmentally -- it wouldn't be good, because you would be tearing up the roads and putting up more pipes or making more sewers.

I'm just trying to clarify for you. That is still regulated and that's why we are here, because that's what we're talking about. But the molecules of gas is not regulated -- it used to be -- by the federal government, but now it is not; and there are market prices that are traded on the stock market each and every day, and those are what we're saying was market. But you're right; this utility is not deregulated, not as far as getting the gas to you.

WITNESS ROBINSON: Okay. Question two: The large companies you're adjusting it just for the mill, basically, and Arizona Chemical. You're not evening out the rates, because I'm a large gas company user. I have a restaurant. It has gas. Now, I'd like to -- you know, my rates are not going to decrease. I mean, how much longer? There's other restaurant owners in

this town. They're large users too, but their rates are not going to decrease, they're going to increase. So where is the adjustment at?

2 |

it's true your rates, as proposed by the Company, they are not going down. The only rates that are going down are for the paper mill and for Arizona Chemical.

And the reason why -- and Mr. Schoaf spoke to it earlier -- the Commission conducts a study, and it's called cost of service.

Cost of service means, what does it cost the Company to provide you as a residential customer service; what does it cost. I'm talking as a group, as a homogeneous group of all residential customers what does it cost; what does it cost for the group of commercial customers, and what does it cost for your large industrial customer. That's piping, meters, operating and maintenance expenses on those lines, salaries, a return, taxes, all kinds of taxes, depreciation on all that plant. And when you start adding that up and you say, it costs me so much money to serve residential -- as an example, if it costs \$10,000 -- it's more than that, but I'm just giving you an example -- if it costs \$10,000 to serve residential customers and I get \$2,000, there's

something wrong with that picture. It says that the residential customers are not paying enough.

Same thing with the commercial and the large industries. If it costs \$10,000 to serve Arizona Chemical and Stone Container as a group of industrial customers, and I'm getting \$20,000 in revenue from the rates, they're paying too much.

WITNESS ROBINSON: I understand, but -
MR. MAKIN: Now, what I have to do is I have
to get things in balance. It's not only St. Joe
Natural Gas. It's any one of the companies on that
list that you have. Residentials are not paying
enough. I mean, it's always been that

cross-subsidization; always.

WITHESS ROBINSON: That list was made up,
like that man said, from people from south Florida. I
can go to New York and get you a higher price list if
you want me to, but the truth is still the truth. The
big money, that's who is going to benefit. The little
man, we're going to pay. Why don't you just tell the
truth?

MR. WAKIN: Well, that's not the truth. One of the problems with St. Joe Natural Gas in this area -- and I'm familiar with St. Joe, Wewahitchka, Blountstown, Bristol, there is -- really, there's no

industry here. There is no industry. I mean, this county in the fact that you have Stone Container, old St. Joe Paper Company, but if it wasn't for the paper company, I don't think there would be anything here.

I mean, there's nothing -- we know this.

You know, I've lived here almost 50 years. I'm

familiar with it. I've seen the paper company go down
and come back and go down, and it was depressed back
in the '70s when they shut down for a while. I know
that. I've had friends of mine that worked for the
paper company. But the fact remains that they're
paying much greater in total revenues to St. Joe
Natural Gas Company than what it costs St. Joe Natural
Gas Company to serve Stone Container.

Now, if we had -- if St. Joe had -- and we know this -- if you had 10 or 15 Holiday Inns or Marriotts and you had Pizza Huts, if you had Outback Steak Houses, if you had other competition for your restaurants, if you had subdivisions of tens and thousands of residential customers, yeah, rates would be much different.

They would be probably higher than what you're currently paying, but probably lower than maybe the proposed, because you have all the expenses that the company incurs -- just like your business --

spread over a greater number of customers, a greater volume of usage.

I mean, whether you leave today as a commercial customer or as a residential customer, the gas company still incurs expenses. They still have to pay their employees, they still have to pay insurance, they still have to pay taxes. That doesn't go away. What's going down is his revenue and his ability to earn a return, a fair rate of return.

And I'll tell you what, and I will give

St. Joe Natural Gas Company an A+ on their expenses.

They don't spend hardly any money. They're very, very conservative as opposed to the utilities down south that are big; and sure, they're big, but they have billing expenses. They have a lot of customers, and they take -- it's all relative. I mean, it's all relative. They've got a lot of expenses. They've got a local of customers.

WITNESS ROBINSON: What you say is true.

Now, do I get to raise my rates? If I do, my

customers leave, because they can go to seven other

places here in town, but we don't have seven other

places for a gas company. Are you going to let other

gas companies come in and bid on another franchise?

MR. MAKIN: At the expiration of their

franchise with the City of St. Joe --

witness ROBINSON: Their franchise was at this certain price. Now, you're going to let them go up. Why don't you go ahead and let another company come in and bid. What's the difference, I mean, if you're going to let them go up on their price? They bid this franchise at a certain price. That's what their price was when they bid in this franchise.

MR. MAKIN: No; it's a percentage of their revenues that they give to the City --

WITNESS ROBINSON: Right; and they bid that franchise at that revenue, didn't they?

MR. MAKIN: But it has nothing to do with these rates.

WITHESS ROBINSON: Well, if they're going to --

MR. MAKIN: It's a percentage of their revenues. If their revenues this year equal a million dollars, they pay 6%, if next year it's 500,000, they pay; if the next year it's \$10,000 total revenue, they pay 6%.

witness ROBINSON: Well, I can see there ain't much I can do here with you giving them A+s, probably already figured out what's going to happen.

MR. MAKIN: No, No.

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: There is one thing that

I'd like to comment that might help some of you out.

Obviously, the names are very small at the bottom of
the rate comparison sheet, but probably the ones that
would probably be easier for you to do a comparison of
is the end column, which is WFG. That is West Florida
Gas and that is located in Panama City. A very small
portion of their service is also in Ocala, but the
biggest portion of their service comes from Panama
City, and that would be the closest comparison on this
sheet.

People's Gas, which is a huge company, has

10 different divisions, and only part of that would be
in Jacksonville, and that would be the closest one
there. Other than that, the utilities that you see,
Chesapeake is in Plant City and Winter Haven, the next
one in Miami was CGC, Florida Public in West Palm, IGC
in Indiantown, and the other ones, also southern,
Sebring and south Florida, also in the south, but the
closest one for you would be West Florida in Panama
City.

Yes, ma'am.

just want to ask one question. Are these all the natural gas companies in the state of Florida?

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: Those are all the 1 regulated natural gas companies. We also have 2 municipals and some special gas districts, but those 3 are the ones that the Public Service Commission regulates. We do not regulate municipals. 5 WITNESS LAWDER: The ones that are the 6 municipals that aren't regulated, you have no information on that from them or --8 MS. BULECZA-BANKS: No, we are not -- we do 9 not have any access to their rates or any of their 10 11 charges. WITNESS LAWDER: Where are they usually 12 located? 13 MS. BULECZA-BANKS: They're all individual 14 governmental entities. The only place that you might 15 be able to get some information is the Florida Natural Gas Association, because they're comprised of both 17 municipals and -- but I don't know if they actually have rate comparisons available from that organization or not. 20 WITNESS LAWDER: Thank you. 21

MR. MAKIN: Cecil Pettis?

23 24

22

#### CECIL PETTIS

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

13 ||

#### DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS PETTIS: Cecil Pettis, C-E-C-I-L,
P-E-T-T-I-S, 1015 Marvin Avenue, St. Joe. I just have
one question. As to the -- as to the percentage of
the increase on the therm, how -- what formula would
be used in deriving the amount charged for therm and,
you know, why is it such a drastic increase over what
we had been using for a therm?

WR. MAKIN: It was mentioned earlier that it was like a 1,200% increase in the therm charge, and it was 11% increase, I think, is what they were asking for that was indicated in the sheet.

If you take a look at what you would pay on average, in the average use for St. Joe for residential is about 30 therms. That will go up about \$4.00 when this is all said and done, and if you're paying \$3.00 -- or a dollar and a half now, that's obviously not a 1,200% increase. The rate itself -- I wish I had some of my information here. Give me a second to see what I've got. (Pause)

All right. As an example, under the current rates that St. Joe charges you for 30 therms -- now, this is without any fuel, any gas. This is the money

that goes to the gas company, the money they collect from the PGA. They don't earn a return on it. They don't make any money on it. Whatever it costs them, costs you; they pass it through. We don't allow any profit.

5

6

7 |

10

11

12 |

13 |

14 |

15

19

20

21

22

23

But currently for 30 therms it would cost you \$3.59 today. Because this restructuring is going to be phased in as proposed by the company, in other words, whatever -- let's just make the assumption for the moment that their petition is approved as they filed it. Then the rate -- the first increment would be in six months, the second increment would be 12 months later, and the third increment would be another 12 months.

So you will go from the current 1.97 cents 16 to the proposed 24 cents a therm. But currently you're paying \$3.59. The very first increment will 18 | take you to \$6.42. That's \$2.83 increase.

WITNESS PETTIS: Okay. I can understand. I can understand what you're telling me, but what I can't understand is what -- how do you derive what a therm is for each customer, the residential, the commercial? And the reason that I'm asking is because everybody got these little cards, and the residential customers were not all the same. The increase was

astronomical per therm, but the price per therm initially was not the same, and if you're all going to be residential, where is the formula?

was -- that people received different amounts. For residential class everybody should be paying the same per therm charge. When you multiply it by different consumption levels, your average bill may be different, because if you just have a water heater, your neighbor has a water heater and a range, maybe that's -- I don't know if that's what you're talking about or not. You're saying other than the per therm charge, some people received cards with different per therm charges on --

witness PETTIS: The per therm charge initially was different on several of our cards out at work. Some of them were charged like .84 per therm and then went to this increase. Some of them were charged .9 something. Some of them -- I was charged 1.24 and go to almost 25 cents. So what is this formula? Where is it derived from?

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: I'm interested in finding out the difference. Are you talking about the actual bills you're receiving now?

WITNESS PETTIS: No. The little card that

1	we got saying that said that we were going to have a
2	rate increase.
3	MR. MAKIN: Can we take a look at that? Do
4	you have one that we could take a look at?
5	WITNESS PETTIS: I didn't bring mine. These
6	have 1.97 for current rate. Some of us and my home
7	is not 1.97, you know. So I would like to know why we
8	are starting out with a different base here.
9	MR. MAKIN: Does someone have a different
10	one than this?
11	(Miscellaneous audience comments.)
12	(Card handed to Mr. Makin.)
13	MR. MAKIN: Now that I have a program I can
14	see who the players are. All right. I have I see
15	exactly what you're saying. Everyone has on their
16	bill a customer charge for residential that says
17	\$3.00; right? I'm looking at this date here. Let me
18	take a look. February bill I'm looking at. Very next
19	line says Fuel Charge, .558. It's 55.8 cents.
20	Now, that fuel charge remember, I said
21	fuel charge is gas, it's the molecules, it's the gas
22	that the company buys. No money, no profit
23	passed
24	(Miscellaneous audience comments.)
25	MR. MAKIN: The next line says Nonfuel

Charge, and on this bill it's .02464. Well, that's not 1.97. Of course it's not. What's included in that 1.97 -- all right. Let me back up just a moment.

The nonfuel charge, that's what the

Commission approves the Company to charge to make

their money. Part of that .02464 is the 1.97 and

conservation cost recovery. What that means is the

Company spends money on conservation efforts for their

customers.

If you put in a water heater, if you put in a range, if you put in a heating system, gas, of course, and you had electric before or you upgrade it to a more efficient gas appliance, they give you some money for that; and that's an expense, and that's an expense that they recover from all their customers as they do any other expense.

So if you take -- what I'm telling you, if you take that nonfuel charge, the .02464 minus the .0197, the difference between the two of those is conservation; but, yes, you are all billed and being billed the 1.97. Are we confusing you?

WITHESS PETTIS: You pretty much got me lost.

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: Okay. The reason why you see different amounts, and that amount does

change, it generally changes once a year, conservation rates are set once a year. The hearing is in February at the Commission, and the rates begin in April and they run for an entire year.

so what you have is everybody pays that 1.97 cents per therm. That's straight across the board for residential use. Then there's an increment for conservation, that a factor is set by the Commission, and that is added to the 1.97. So for a 12-month period that number should be the same until a new conservation factor is calculated the next year. So you have two elements that make up the nonfuel energy charge.

MR. MAKIN: If we don't accomplish another thing tonight, this is something we truly want to help understand, because if you're confused at this point, going forward is going to be a real accident, trust me.

witness PETTIS: Okay. I understand that part, but what I'm saying is the discussion among, you know, just say the men that I work with and all, and, well, what was -- what did your card have on it, you know, and what percentage were you going to go up, you know, according to this proposal; and each one -- not each one, but a lot of them were a little different;

and nobody could really understand that, and it was still a great increase, but nobody could really understand why they were different.

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: The fuel charge would be one that may change because they had the new course correction and they can change that, but it should be the same for everybody for the same billing month, but it can change from month to month --

witness PETTIS: Amount per therm, what was the formula? How did you derive the formula per therm, and that was coming out on this --

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: Okay.

witness PETTIS: -- card that we got in the mail?

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: Okay. We're going to do
this real slow here. The nonfuel energy charge that I
talked to you about, which I have a February 18th
meter read date on this card, is .02464, which
Mr. Makin was just talking about, which was made up of
the regular per therm nonfuel energy charge of 1.97
plus conservation.

The other factor is the fuel charge, and

I'll let Mr. Makin explain that one, because there's

two elements on this bill. The fuel charge is the one
that you'll probably see more volatility in and the

PLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

other one should be stable for a 12-month period.

MR. MAKIN: Can I get an answer or nods or something that tells me that the first charge on here, the customer charge, and the nonfuel charge is the same for everybody every month, if you remember?

(Heads nodding.)

MR. MAKIN: Okay. The fuel charge here again is the gas. That changes every month, and the reason it changes every month is that Stuart when he buys gas, he buys so much gas; so many therms, if you will. That's how it's measured. And it costs so much money. And I simply take the total dollars by the total therms and I then I have a rate. And this particular month in February was very darn expensive.

55 cents was very expense, and it was expensive throughout the state, like we've talked about earlier. Next month you should see a decrease in that portion of your bill, the fuel charge.

The customer charge, the nonfuel charge will remain the same until April. Well, next month, yeah. The fuel charge will change and the nonfuel will change, should the Commission approve the Company's petition.

WITNESS PETTIS: So what you're telling me is that every time that they get a fuel charge, then

that goes into the formula for determining the therms --

take whatever it costs Stuart to buy the gas, and we divide out what we think all of you are going to use for the month. If we think you're going to use collectively 10,000 therms or whatever the number may be, we divide that out by the cost. It's not just the cost for residential, it's commercial, it's everybody who are actually taking molecules of gas from Stuart and not transporting. We're not going to get into that. It's a whole other ball game.

But anybody who is actually taking gas molecules and buying it from St. Joe Natural Gas, we estimate -- well, Stuart estimates. We verify if we think that's a correct estimation, and he comes up with a number; and that's all there is. Like we said, there is no profit in the gas molecules. Whatever he pays is what you all pay. He makes no profit on that.

WITHESS PETTIS: Okay.

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: And that's the same for any natural gas utility regulated by us. They make no profit on the molecules of gas.

MR. MAKIN: Does that help?

WITNESS PETTIS: It helped some.

MR. MAKIN: Thank you, sir. Norman Bixler? 1 MR. BIXLER: No questions at this time. 2 MR. MAKIN: Thank you, sir. Bo Williams. 3 BO WILLIAMS 5 appeared as a witness and testified as follows: 6 DIRECT STATEMENT 7 WITNESS WILLIAMS: Bo Williams. B-O, 8 W-I-L-L-I-A-M-S, P.O. Box 577, Port St. Joe, 32457-0577. I speak in opposition of the raise in the rates. If we go back and digress, a group of 11 visionaries came with this utility to this county back 12 when, and they're to be commended, those that still 13 remain above ground and those that's in the ground. They gave us a utility that was very much needed. 15 What they gave us was competition with the 16 electric company, and from that we're very grateful. 17 I for one am a proponent of gas, and I've gone out throughout this county and spoke to old folks, young 19 folks, in between folks, and encouraged them to come 20 on gas. I've done that because the gas company has 21 taken the initiative to go to the north end of the 22 23 county. Those of you that don't know anything about 24

St. Joe or Gulf County, we're the only county in the

state with two time zones. We're the only state in the county (sic) with two courthouses. We're the only state in the county (sic) with a bunch of two things.

And the two things that we don't have is the quality to the gas recipient. I went out and as a proponent of gas encouraged people to come on line. They went to the prison. Make no bones about it. They were looking for -- and I'm not getting into personalities, I'm speaking of the Utility. The Utility was looking for another customer long before Stone came on board.

That paper company out there, the visionaries that are below ground, above ground, why did it take 35 years to decide that it was not a good idea to put all the eggs in one basket? It's because there was a link, and it's been a link. Alfred Du Pont loved this county and loved this city and did what it could to subsidize the citizens; but the Company did a disfavor to the citizens by putting all the eggs in one basket using that entity, that paper company, as its sole source of income.

Now, the stock -- the visionaries and employees, the stock that they enjoy is not open on the market for me to buy; but they're not losing any money. There's nobody quit that gas company since

I've been back from my military service and that's been seven years.

I would submit to you, though, that there hasn't anybody quit. Unfortunately, the good lord has taken a few, and may take more. But the point is it's a very solvent company. But the citizens of this county are somewhat misled when they see ground being broke laying new pipe to entice new customers at, truly, \$10.00.

You couldn't -- I mean, you can't buy bread at a value of what that gas line was to come to a person's house. I've got lots in both ends of the county. They came to my trailer up there at the river and broke ground with a contractor, laid the pipe, connected it and crawled under the trailer, changed it out, did it all for 10 bucks, and thank you. Can't be done.

You couldn't buy that with nothing. But guess what? Here comes 100% increase that I got here as a citizen as a proponent of gas, and I've got to go back and say, gee, if I had known that, knowing that you're on a fixed income, as some people have said, I may not have done that.

Any way we look at it, gas is cheaper than electric, given today's market. However, I don't

think, gentlemen and ladies, that we're in the business here in Gulf County of seeing 100% increase merely because the visionaries put all their eggs in one basket. Thank you.

MR. MAKIN: Thank you, sir.

(Applause.)

MR. MAKIN: Susan Stein?

MRS. STEIN: I'd like to defer to my husband. He has something else to say.

WITNESS STEIN: Tim Stein again. I see here that you're proposing revenue neutral. You said earlier that you projected the amount of gas that the interruptibles would use to base on these new structures.

Does it mean that if Arizona Chemical goes away and the paper company goes away, that the gas company gets the same revenue by selling less gas but charging us more? From listening to you guys tonight, that's what I understand. He's going to sell less gas because these two outfits may be going out, but yet he's going to make the same profit. Am I right or wrong?

MS. BULECEA-BANKS: There are several parts to this. I want to clarify one thing. When we project -- when you talk about projecting the therm

sales, that's when we're talking about the gas molecules. It's not about the rates.

WITHESS STEIN: I understand that.

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: That's a little different.

witness stein: I understand that, but if
the two companies buy less and you have revenue
neutral, then somebody has got to pay more for these
people buying less; and that's what I'm asking. Is
that what we're doing?

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: Not exactly. It's like Hertz, not exactly. What this boils -- I'm going to make a very bold statement here, and I don't mean to be disrespectful or anything; but if Stone Container and Arizona Chemical go off line, I'd be real surprised if you have a gas company, because there just isn't enough consumption to support it.

West Florida probably will not buy the company. It wouldn't have any customers. If you've got 3,200 residential customers, you're going to take a loss. The Company is going to be at a loss. It would have to charge you 50 bucks a month customer charge you something 50 bucks a month customer charge, something ridiculous no one would ever pay.

I mean, that's -- I know it's hard, but --

especially for us sometimes. I know it's hard for us to make sense of this. We've been doing this, though, for so many years, it's hard to explain when we're so close to it. But when you have large customers like that that bring in a lot of revenue and you take that bunch of revenue away, because let me -- they're going to contribute more revenue in a month than you residential customers would probably contribute in a year, and if you take that off the system and he's left with a very little amount of revenue, he wouldn't even be able to make payroll.

3

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITHESS STEIM: Well, they certainly must, 13 | because I see increases 1,200%, even greater than that for the commercial and on down, and then I see a very tiny percent for the interruptible, who is supposed to be the big guys; and the math doesn't add up.

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: Because it's all based on consumption.

WITHESS STEIM: I'd like to see consumption figures.

MS. BULECEA-BANKS: And what we're looking at -- and Mr. Makin can go over that with you -- but if you're looking at 32,000 customers at an average consumption of 30 therms a month and you take some of the -- let's get some of the figures for you for

Arizona.

earlier questions, Stuart -- St. Joe Natural Gas
Company will lose with Arizona -- I mean, with the
paper company closing -- will lose \$50,000 a month, 50
to \$60,000 a month, and that's not going to hurt right
now, but who is to say how long? What if they close
indefinitely? Yeah, that's lost revenues, but you
also have expenses that you don't incur anymore in
serving that company, so we're going to back all those
expenses out.

I could almost guarantee that if the Company were to lose the paper company and Arizona Company where it caused rate shock hardship to you as a residential consumer, to you as a commercial consumer, the Commission would never allow something like that.

We just would never allow it.

WITHESS STEIN: I would certainly hope not.

MR. MAKIN: I certainly would hope so, also.

Just a couple numbers to give to you. Okay. For

1995 -- and I'll share this with you afterwards, I'll

share it with you now. We've got a few more speakers.

We want to give them an opportunity -- but for 1995

the most actual, current audited numbers the

Commission has, total revenues from the rates -- and

I'm talking to, you know, Line 1 and Line 3, okay -total revenues for 1995, 1,842,666. That was their total revenues. Of that, \$463,063 -- \$463,000 came from

residential; \$52,046 came from commercial, \$51,368 came from large commercial. Now, remember when I use these terms, they're groups of customers. interruptible or industrial, i.e., Stone Container, Arizona Chemical, 1,276,189. 1,276,189; a lot of money.

WITNESS STEIN: I can get the figures I need from that.

MR. MAKIN: Now, to correspond to that, the total number of therms for 1995 that was sold by 15 | St. Joe, 31,605,108, almost 32 million therms, and rather than reading all the numbers to you --

WITNESS STEIN: Right. All I need is the interruptible therms, and that will be sufficient.

MR. MAKIN: The Stone Container, Arizona Chemical, of the 31.6 million, 30.2 million was sold to Stone Container and Arizona Chemical.

WITHLIS STEIN: That gives me all the figures I need. Thank you.

> MR. MAKIN: Okay. Skip Bulkley? (No response.)

24 25

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. MAKIN: All right. J.C. Stoutamire?

## J. C. STOUTAMIRE

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS STOUTAMIRE: J.C. Stoutamire, S-T-O-U-T-A-M-I-R-E. I reside at 1007 Marvin Avenue. I'm quite confused, even more so now than I was before I came to the meeting.

Price increases are detrimental to people on 11 a fixed income or low poverty areas. We may not be a 12 low poverty area now, but we will be before too much 13 | longer from what I -- but if these rate increases will 14 not change the revenue that these people are dealing 15 with, why are we here? Something is going to change. Their money is going to -- if I read this correctly, 17 | they are allowed to make 11.92% on their investment; is that correct?

MR. MAKIN: That's correct.

WITNESS STOUTAMIRE: I wish I could make that on mine.

MR. MAKIN: I wish I could, too.

WITNESS STOUTAMIRE: But Stone Container may be a big user of natural gas now, but it's not been but just a very few years that gas was put to that

PLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

paper mill. How did they -- how did we survive before it came on board?

MR. MAKIN: That's a good question.

withess stoutamire: I seen Mr. Know when he was putting the lines out there. I don't know just what year it was, but they've been here since 1962. The paper mill has been here since 1938, but they've not been on natural gas but just a short while.

I'm a resident of this county and have been for several years, and when I first built my home here I was totally electric. I went through the gas company and had to replace some of my units, and everything looked feasible to change to gas, and I did so. But now it's beginning to look the other way, that we may have to go back to electricity.

They are the sole provider of natural gas
here. I don't know if you can even get West Florida
to service and them come out. So that's the monopoly.
But one thing is for certain; if you turn on your
heater, you're going to pay.

You people are our bargaining agents. We have no recourse but to go to the Public Service Commission and ask for their help, that they look at the overall community. And the -- if they have survived on the rates in the past, why can't they

survive on them now? I can understand a moderate increase, but not of this magnitude. Thank you. 2 MR. MAKIN: Thank you, sir. Mr. Ed 3 McFarland. 4 5 ED MCFARLAND 6 appeared as a witness and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT STATEMENT 8 WITHESS McFARLAND: Good evening. First I 9 would like to ask -- I was a little late getting 10 here -- am I addressing the Public Service Regulation, 11 or who am I addressing? 12 MR. MAKIN: We are the Staff of the Public 13 Service Commission. 14 WITNESS McFARLAND: Thank you, sir. My 15 question is this. 16 MR. MAKIN: We're some of the Staff. 17 WITHESS MCFARLAND: My question is this, 18 sir: How can we project at this time for the next 24 19 months, or however this is set up to be increased, how 20 can we project that this is going to be the correct 21 increase or more than correct? 22 MR. MAKIN: At this point in time, you 23 cannot. I cannot. 24

WITNESS MCFARLAND: That's exactly my

question, sir. We don't know the economy where we
are. We're at a standstill right now, I think
everybody in this county will agree; especially at a
standstill, and it looks so all over the United States
right now.

We're in a predicament and we're in a
position where I don't see how we could possibly
regulate a gas or electricity or anything else with
regulations that would be justifiable to the public.

MR. MAKIN: It's very difficult.

WITHESS MCFARLAND: Or to the industry.

MR. MAKIN: Yes, sir; very difficult.

withess McFARLAND: I would appreciate very much that you would take that into consideration in these adjustments. Thank you, sir.

MR. MAKIN: Thank you, sir. Is there anyone else that would like to speak even if you did not sign the yellow sheet but you feel now that you would like to speak? If you would --

WITHESS PHILLIPS: I would like to ask one question.

MR. MAKIN: Give us your name and spell it.

#### BOB PHILLIPS

3

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

WITNESS PHILLIPS: Bob Phillips, B-O-B, P-H-I-L-L-I-P-S, 121 Wescott Circle, Port St. Joe. You all were saying that the gas company, when the paper mill went out, were going lose their revenue. Don't they have a contract with the paper mill where they pay a certain amount to the gas company whether they use one therm or none?

MR. MAKIN: Yes, they do.

WITNESS PHILLIPS: That's what I thought.

MR. SCHOAF: I want to be clear on that. The current contract we have with the paper mill that obligates them to pay money to St. Joe Gas, again, it's a direct wash that's paid straight to the Florida Gas Transmission. If they don't burn any gas, we don't make a penny. I want to be clear on that.

WITHESS MCFARLAND: If they don't --

MR. SCHOAF: If they don't burn gas, we don't make one a penny on it. The contracts provide pipeline capacity that give them the opportunity, if they want it, to use gas in Port St. Joe. That's what they're paying on the contract, but to St. Joe Gas Company, there's no obligation to pay us. If they

burn no gas, we get nothing.

WITNESS PHILLIPS: I don't understand that.

If they don't burn any gas, you don't make any; right,
but they have a contract --

MR. SCHOAF: What they pay us is passed directly back to Florida Gas Transmission. It's for pipeline capacity, where they have the option to use gas. That's what they pay for on a regular basis that's under contract.

As far as -- and, again, I don't know how else to say it. If they burn no gas, we get nothing. It's real simple. That's the way it is.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: St. Joe's Natural Gas didn't move this pipeline in for St. Joe. I worked for the company that brought that pipeline into Port St. Joe. Even back then we had a contract that where we had to pay the gas company --

MR. SCHOAF: That -- that was back when the molecules were regulated, and in order to entice interstate pipeline companies to ever get -- make gas available in Gulf County, they had to get long-term commitments from customers like Basic. Basic is --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible overlap.)

MR. SCHOAF: -- is in Port St. Joe -
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible overlap.)

(Court reporter asked for clarification.) 1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I said we're the one 2 that brought the gas line into Port St. Joe. We were 3 the only customer there was in Port St. Joe when they run that pipeline in. We paid for that thing to come 5 from Chipley to Port St. Joe. Even back then we had a 6 contract with that company that we pay them a certain 7 amount of money. We wrote them a check and -- in that 8 plant now. We wrote them a check --MR. SCHOAF: What plant are you talking 10 11 about? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Basic --12 13 MR. SCHOAF: Basic --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- that original 14 15 plant --MR. SCHOAF: -- all right. That original 16 contract was 20 years. It expired in the late '70s, and they're under no obligation since then. 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's what I was 19 trying to bring out about Arizona Chemical and --20 21 MR. SCHOAF: Right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I know they got a 22 contract with you. And they don't pay you anything if 23 | 24 they don't burn any gas? MR. SCHOAF: That's correct. Well, they 25

get -- we have a customer charge, and that's all.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't understand
that.

MR. SCHOAF: The financial obligations are passed straight to Florida Gas Transmission to reserve the right to transport gas into their plants if they choose to, and they pay this whether they use any gas or not. But it's no money to St. Joe Gas Company whatsoever.

We've never -- we have never had a -
St. Joe Gas Company has never had a contract like

you're referring to that Basic had that, in fact,

required Basic to burn gas. We've never had any

customer obligated in that same respect to burn gas on

a regular basis. So our contracts are reserving

capacity, and they have to pay for that whether they

use it or not. So, again, if they don't burn any gas,

we don't make anything.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't understand. Thank you, sir.

MR. SCHOAF: I don't either, but that's the way it is.

MR. MAKIN: Can I clarify that for you, sir?

Can I put this in perspective, if you'd just give me

one second? Stone Container, Arizona Chemical, if

they don't use any gas at all, under the rate

tructure that's approved by the Commission they will

each pay \$1,000. That's like your \$3.00 customer

charge. They have \$1,000 customer charge; okay? What

that covers is the meter on your house, the meter

reading, which they've got to do to regulate them.

They've got to do any month --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't --

MR. MAKIN: -- whether you use gas or not, they've got to come out there and --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't understand.

MR. MAKIN: -- see if you did use gas.

Okay. But if they don't burn any gas, there is no

Line 3, Nonfuel Energy Charge; if they don't use any.

Just like at your house, if you didn't use any gas,

you'd pay three bucks, and that's it.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right. I understand that.

MR. MAKIN: Well, that's just part of the cost of doing business that's been separated out of the total. We could -- what we could do is not have a customer charge and then make your nonfuel -- your Line 3 a little higher to cover for that \$3.00. It's just an expense that the Commission years ago separated out to show you what the cost of providing

PLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

service -- remember I talked about providing service -- the cost of the service, providing you service irrespective of your usage.

3

5

11

12

14

16

17 |

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So if you use nothing, there's a cost there. If you used 100 therms, that cost is still the same and then you pay for what you use; but that meter is there, that line to your house is there, the regulator is there, and somebody has to go out there and read the meter; and that's -- everybody pays that regardless of your usage, because we've got to do it every month.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But, you know, this 13 gas company or no other gas company, they don't just depend on their gas for their income to the gas 15 company. Every time they come onto your property to read your meters, it's \$3.00. If you got trouble around there and they come around -- well, not trouble. Maybe if it's something on their line they will come out and fix it. But if you've got to have a gas meter moved or a gas line moved on your property, it's a terrible charge. Take my word for it.

I had mine moved three years ago. I got the bill from moving it around the corner of my house. It cost me \$161.00 to pick it up here and set it around there. So gas is -- you know, not the onliest income

is gas. Like I say, maintenance rates is terrible that they got. I don't know who the maintenance people that makes that kind of money except the gas company. They got other income other than gas.

MR. MAKIN: Thank you, sir.

6

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 |

15

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

# TERRY STAAB

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS STAAB: My name is Terry S-T-A-A-B. I live 9117 Tulip Avenue, Port St. Joe. What this all boils down to, if I'm understanding you all right, probably the paper mill, maybe Arizona Chemical, some 14 of these were unhappy with their gas rates and wanted a reduction. So in order for them to get less than a cent per therm reduction, we're going to pay through 17 | the nose for it in order to -- I'm not saying anything as far as the gas company's percentage of return. I think anybody ought to be able to get a return.

But in order for them to get their return and probably to keep the other ones as customers, we're going to end up paying it. That's about what it boils down to; right, because they're the only ones on here that are getting a reduction.

MR. MAKIN: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. You're

absolutely correct. I'd like to say it in a kinder, gentler way than we've heard before, but, yes, sir, that is exactly -- what you see on this blue page is what they're asking for, and what you see is what you get type thing. You're absolutely correct.

Is anyone -- yes, ma'am. Give us your name again, please.

witness white: Anne White. I'm in the air conditioning business, and through the years we have pushed gas, natural gas. Of course it takes a lot more time to install it because of the venting and everything, but in the long run, we've seen that the customer comes out better, or did in the past.

It's looks like they're going to pay more in the future. So my husband and I have already started talking. We're not paying our customers' bills.

They're going to have to pay them further down the road, and instead of saying, hey, you can get a better deal with gas than you can with electric, we're going to be forced into pushing heat pumps to make our customers happy, because we want customers and we want to keep them. We don't want to make them mad. And we push them — all these high gas bills, we're going to have some enemies without a doubt, because they're not going to be able to afford it.

Y'all don't seem to understand. We're sitting here listening to you all, and it's sounding to us like this is a fixed deal. We're wasting our 3 night. We need to be home watching TV. But, I mean, y'all don't understand the situation that we're in, but we know we're going to get what we get and we're 7 going to have to do what we've got to do. So in my business, rates go up, I'll push heat pumps. That 8 will do away with the people with gas. MR. MAKIN: I truly hope we're not giving 10 you that impression. 11 WITNESS WHITE: Yes, sir, you are. 12 MR. MAKIN: Well, if we're giving you that 13 impression, shame on us, shame on me. 14 WITHESS WHITE: Shame on you all --15 MR. MAKIN: -- because I've been --16 WITNESS WHITE: -- because you don't --17 MR. MAKIN: -- doing all the talking. 18 WITNESS WHITE: What we're going through 19 20 right now and y'all put more bills on us, y'all are killing us. Not just y'all; the mill is going to hurt 21 us. The division -- the telephone company is laid 22 off -- I don't know where you all are from, 23

Jacksonville, Tallahassee or where, but you're not in

the situation that we are here. Your money goes

better than it does in this town, and we're well-known to be a depressed area.

If Stuart is the one that put in to up the rates, I think he, himself, needs to rethink this situation because of the area and the situation that we're in right now. I don't know. Maybe y'all are pushing him to do it. I don't know.

thank you very much -- what we said earlier? This was a filing by St. Joe. Nothing has been done; no recommendation. Even if, as an example, four of us, five of us decide, yeah, this is a good idea to make a recommendation, to approve this to the Commission, they're not bound by our recommendation. They can deny and just throw the whole thing away. We're not bound to do anything except make the recommendation. That's the reason we're here is to get your input.

If this is going to kill everybody, this is going to -- devastation, that's a lot of weight on this filing, like I said earlier. That's one of the things we look --

paying attention to what you're hearing in this town tonight. That's all I ask. Just listen to what we're asking. If you have to raise them a little bit, so be

it. We all in our businesses have to raise it a little bit. I wish I could raise my rates 100%. I'd be put out of business.

MR. MAKIN: Of course everybody knows that lives in St. Joe and the surrounding areas served by St. Joe Natural Gas, they've never had an increase. These are the same rates they had when they first opened the doors for business; never had a rate increase.

In fact, we've had them before the

Commission for making too much money; making too much
money, and the reason they were making too much money
is because they didn't spend any money. I mean, I

wouldn't call the gas company location the Taj Mahal
or someplace you would want to go and stay for a

couple hours. Bare bones. Yet I see some of the -- I

mean, Florida Power Corporation serves St. Joe. Big
outfit, lot of money. It's all relative. But just as
a point, they've never, ever had a change in their
rates since day they opened the door.

witness williams: I hate to stand up again, sir. What the lady said is absolutely true. I was going to mention it earlier. I said I wasn't going to get into personalities, but you're --

MR. MAKIN: Your name, sir?

16 |

17 II

WITNESS WILLIAMS: Bo Williams, B-O, W-I-L-L-I-A-M-S, and I don't live in a post office box. I do live at 86088 West Highway 98, Beacon Hill,

Port St. Joe.

5

7

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

What you're doing is you're apologizing for that utility. Don't do that. It's not your fault they haven't raised the rates. I tried to be very gentlemanly about it and say the visionaries, management, didn't raise those rates because they didn't have the vision. They didn't have the vision. They put all their eggs in one basket. Now, the hen has died and now they're sucking it up. Now they want 13 to pass it on to us.

But don't sit there and apologize for them. You're going to get paid whether they get a raise or not, so please don't do that. Thank you.

MR. MAKIN: Thank you, sir.

MS. BULECZA-BANKS: Does anyone have any -any other comments they would like to make? We're going to be around here for at least the next 45 minutes. If anyone would like to come up to us, if there's any questions any other additional comments you would like to make, we will stay here and be available. If there's any other clarifications we can make to you explaining the process or just any

questions about your bill, we'll be happy to try to do our best to answer your questions. We thank you for attending tonight. This record will be taken up, it will be compiled, a transcript made, and provided to the Commissioners. Thank you again. (Thereupon, the meeting concluded at 7:50 p.m.) 

STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON I, RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official 3 Commission Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Customer Meeting in Docket No. 970115-GU was heard by the Staff of the 5 Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported 7 the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this 8 transcript, consisting of 70 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. 9 10 DATED this 18th day of March, 1997. 11 12 13 M. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporter 14 (904) 413-6732 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24