FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Capital Circle Office Center @ 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

MERCSPRDUS

April 24, 1997

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYO) 10:

(MARIN, DIVISION OF ELECTRIC & GAS BULECEA-BANKS, PROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (KEATING) HOW RVE

DOCKET NO. 970361-GP - FIVE FLAGS PIPELINE COMPANY -RE: PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF EXISTING FIRM AND INTERRUPTIBLE

SYSTEM TRANSPORTATION RATES

05/6/97 - REGULAR AGENDA - TARIFF FILING - INTERESTED AGENDA:

PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

60-DAY SUSPENSION DATE: 05/24/97 CRITICAL DATES:

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: S:\PSC\EAG\WP\9703616P.BCM

CASE BACKGROUND

Five Flags Pipeline Company (Five Flags) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Koch Industries, Inc. Five Flags was originally constructed in 1972 and expanded in 1974. The pipeline operates solely within the State of Florida and is a natural gas transmission company within the meaning of Chapter 368.105, Florida Statutes.

Currently, Five Flags provides natural gas transportation service to one customer, Okaloosa County Gas District (Okaloosa). Okaloosa serves customers in Santa Rosa and Escambia Counties, and is regulated by this Commission for safety only.

Prior to the implementation of the Natural Gas Transmission Pipeline Intrastate Regulatory Act, Chapter 368, Florida Statutes, Five Flags came under the regulation of the FERC as an intrastate natural gas transmission pipeline, and as such, all rates and charges were approved by the PERC. With the implementation of the Natural Gas Transmission Pipeline Intrastate Regulatory Act, Five Plags is now regulated under the jurisdiction of the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC).

DOCUMENT AS MOTO - DATE

JOI

DOCKET NO. 970361-GP DATE: April 24, 1997

On September 20, 1993, Five Flags filed with the FPSC its petition for approval of initial rates, which were previously approved by the FERC. On November 18, 1993, the FPSC issued Order No PSC-93-1677-FOF-GP, approving Five Flags initial regulated rates. On January 5, 1994, Five Flags filed with the FERC a petition requesting that it be allowed to employ rates on file with the FPSC for transportation on behalf of interstate pipeline companies and/or local distribution companies served by interstate pipeline companies.

On May 31, 1994, the FERC approved the petition and granted an adjustment which permits Five Flags to use rates that are not greater that those approved by the FPSC. As a condition of the May 31, 1994 FERC order, Five Flags must file to obtain a new cost based determination from the FPSC at least once every three years and file with the FERC a copy of any applicable order of the FPSC no later than 30 days after issuance.

Since the 1993 filing with the FPSC, there has been no change to the contract between Five Flags and its customer.

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission approve Five Flags Pipeline's petition for approval of existing firm and interruptible system transportation rates?

PECCEMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should approve Five Flags Pipeline's existing firm and interruptible system transportation rates.

STAFF AMALYSIS: Five Flags is requesting that the FPSC approve the existing negotiated rates reflected in its contract. As part of its 1993 filing in Docket No. 930721-GP, Five Flags and its customer filed joint affidavits affirming that neither the company nor the customer had an unfair advantage during the negotiations, and that competition did exist in the markets in which Five Flags operates as required by Chapter 368.105, Florida Statutes. Section 368.105(3), Florida Statutes, provides that:

Rates charged or offered to be charged by any natural gas transmission company for transactions with other natural gas transmission companies, transportation customers, and industrial, power plant, and other similar large-volume contract DOCKET NO. 970361-GP DATE: April 24, 1997 customers, but excluding direct salesfor-resale to gas distribution utilities at city gates, unless suspended and modified pursuant to this subsection, are deemed to be just and reasonable and approved by the commission, if both the natural gas transmission company and the customer files an affidavit with the commission that: (a) Neither the natural qas transmission company nor the customer had an unfair advantage during the negotiations; (b) The rates are substantially the same as rates between the natural gas transmission company and two or more of those customers under the same or similar conditions of service; or (c) Competition does or did exist another natural with transmission company, another supplier of natural gas, or with a supplier of an alternative form of energy. Five Flags notes that there has been no change to the contract for which it seeks rate approval. Five Flags also notes that the affidavits provided with its 1993 filing still adequately represent that neither the company nor the customer had an unfair advantage during the negotiations and that competition did exist in the markets in which Five Flags operates. Because a joint affidavit has been filed on the individually negotiated rates, Staff recommends that the Commission approve Five Flags existing firm and interruptible system transportation rates. ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed? RECCEMENDATION: Yes. If no substantially affected person files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, the docket should be closed. If a protest is filed within 21 days from the . 3 -

DOCKET NO. 970361-GP DATE: April 24, 1997

issuance of the order, the rates should remain in effect with any increase held subject to refund, pending resolution of the protest.

STAFF AMALYSIS: If no substantially affected person files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, the docket should be closed. If a protest is filed within 21 days from the issuance of the order, the rates should remain in effect with any increase held subject to refund, pending resolution of the protest.

Florida Public Service Commission M. Brad Martin Cammiolon Deputy Clerk Division of Records and Reporting Fax (984) 413-7118 Phone (984) 413-6778 3/25/97 I spoke with Laura Gassam with Kock Popeline re 970341 MCD info d. informed that MCD official adolers reflects Pass Il adoless whereas new dorket has Houston, Howston people are to call 3/24/15 is correct for the docket Both addresses are correct for company (904) 954-55860 Leaving Pace Il address until