BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. 960786-TL 4 Consideration of BellSouth 5 Telecommunications, Inc.'s : Entry into interLATA services : pursuant to Section 271 of the : 6 Federal Telecommunications 7 Act of 1996. 8 FOURTH DAY - MORNING SESSION 9 **VOLUME 15** 10 Pages 1597 through 1698 11 PROCEEDINGS: 12 HEARING 13 **BEFORE:** CHAIRMAN JULIA L. JOHNSON COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON 14 COMMISSIONER SUSAN F. CLARK COMMISSIONER DIANE K. KIESLING 15 COMMISSIONER JOE GARCIA 16 DATE: Friday, September 5, 1997 17 TIME: Commenced at 9:05 a.m. 18 PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148 19 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 20 **REPORTED BY:** JOY KELLY CSR, RPR DOCUMENT NUMBER-DAT 21 Chief, Bureau of Reporting H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR 22 Official Commission Reporters 23 **APPEARANCES:** 24 (As heretofore mentioned.) 25

1		WITNESSES - VOLUME 15		
2	NAME		PA	GE NO.
3		AM N. STACY		
4		Cross Examination By Ms. Rule Cross Examination By Ms. Canzano		1600 1624
		Cross Examination By Mr. Boyd		1641
5		Cross Examination By Mr. Horton		1654
6		Cross Examination by Ms. Barone		1655
7		EXHIBITS - VOLUME 15		
8	NUMBE	Р	ID.	ADMTD.
9	NOMDE		10.	ADALD.
10	53	List of Proposed Changes to LENS	1599	
11	54	Letter dated 8-26-97 from Pam Nelson to Jan Burriss	1607	
12				
13	55	Interrogatories - AT&T's lst Request for Production of Documents	1621	
14	56	BellSouth Web Site Info 10	1621	
15				
16	57	Communication between TCG and BellSouth	1684	1697
17	58	(Late-filed) Performance Standards Agreement	1686	
18	59	(Late-filed) Blocking Portion	1696	
19		of ARMIS Report	1090	
20	51			1696
21	52			1697
22	53			1697
23	54			1698
24	55			1698
25	56			1698
1				

ĺ	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Hearing reconvened at 9:05 a.m.)
3	(Transcript follows in sequence from
4	Volume 14.)
5	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We're going to go back on
6	the record. Mr. Melson.
7	MR. MELSON: Just one preliminary matter.
8	Yesterday I cross examined Mr. Stacy about a document
9	that had been confidential that Bell had waived
10	confidentiality on. I excerpted that out and handed
11	it out this morning. It's a four-page document labled
12	"Stacy Deposition Late-filed Exhibit 10." I wonder if
13	I might have that separately identified. I believe it
14	would be No. 53.
15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll mark it Exhibit 53.
16	I'm sorry. What did you say this was?
17	MR. MELSON: It's the list, Proposed Changes
18	to LENS.
19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll just call it "List
20	of Proposed Changes to LENS. Is that it?
21	MR. MELSON: Yes, ma'am.
22	(Exhibit 53 marked for identification.)
23	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Ms. Rule.
24	MS. RULE: Thank you.
25	MS. KAUFMAN: Excuse me, I have one

1	
1	preliminary matter relating to the order of witnesses.
2	And that is Mr. Kinkoph, who is one of the
3	Association's witnesses, just found out this morning
4	is not available on Monday. I don't think that's
5	going to be a problem considering that there seem to
6	be a lot of witnesses that want to testify on Monday.
7	But it would be our preference, if it's possible that
8	he testify on Wednesday, or after that time if we're
9	still in session. Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Any other
11	preliminary matters?
12	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Ms. Rule.
13	MS. RULE: Thank you.
14	
15	WILLIAM N. STACY
16	resumed the stand as a witness on behalf of BellSouth
17	Telecommunications, Inc. and, having been previously
18	sworn, testified as follows:
19	CROSS EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. RULE:
21	Q Good morning, Mr. Stacy.
22	A Good morning.
23	Q I'd like to ask you a question about your
24	exhibits attached to your direct testimony WNS-E and
25	F. Those were the exhibits that you revised yesterday
	l l

	I
1	correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And the title of WNS-E is local
4	Interconnection Interim Report; the title of F is
5	Resale Parity Report.
6	Now, in your deposition Staff asked you to
7	prepare a late-filed exhibit and add some
8	Florida-specific information to those exhibits,
9	correct?
10	A That's correct.
11	Q And that's the revised information you
12	supplied yesterday?
13	A Yes.
14	Q The revised information that you filed
15	yesterday is not the first version of the late-filed
16	exhibit, is it?
17	A No. There was an earlier produced a few
18	weeks ago.
19	Q Do you have that with you? (Pause)
20	A No, I do not.
21	Q Do you have your deposition with you?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Staff handed that out yesterday as an
24	exhibit.
25	A Somewhere. (Pause.)

1 Q Well, I'd give you my copy but I gave my other copy to Ms. Calhoun the other day and forgot to 2 ask her for it back. 3 I have it here. I apologize. I just put it 4 A 5 in the wrong stack. 6 I think we can all be forgiven for losing Q 7 track of a couple of papers here. All right. 8 А I'd LEC you to turn to Page 56 of your 9 Q deposition. 10 11 All right, sir. A And looking at -- could you quickly just 12 Q read over Lines 6 through 18. (Witness complies.) 13 Yes. 14 A Now, that's the portion of the deposition 15 Q where Staff asked you to prepare some Florida-specific 16 information for BellSouth's operations; is that 17 18 correct? 19 A Yes. And at Lines 16 through 18, Staff asked you 20 Q if the information -- if BellSouth had the 21 information. You said it was available and would have 22 to be reassembled into that format, correct? 23 That's correct. 24 Ά Now, you indicated you don't have the first 25 Q

version of Late-filed Exhibit No. 2 so I'd like to 1 read that to you and ask you a guestion about it. And 2 3 I'm sorry, I don't have another copy to give you right 4 now. Late-filed Exhibit No. 2 was the 5 Okay. request -- here comes another copy for you -- (Hands 6 7 document to witness.) Okay. This was a request we just discussed. 8 9 Could you read your response, please? "BST Florida information, except as part of 10 A the BST total, was not being accumulated under the 11 interim format of the reports upon which exhibits 12 WNS-E and F were based. Such information is part of 13 the design for the AT&T-type formats which become 14 available beginning in September to reflect August 15 16 1997 data." Now, in your revised exhibits WNS-E and F 17 0 you've added Florida-specific information for 18 BellSouth, some of it for June, July and August. 19 Isn't this the same information you said was not being 20 accumulated in your first response to the 21 interrogatory? 22 Yes, that's true. Let met finish explaining 23 A that. I see the discrepancy you're searching for now. 24 The information in total was being 25

1	ſ
1	accumulated, it was not being split out in a
2	state-specific manner. In the process of preparing
3	for the AT&T reports that we owe you all in September,
4	we went back and aggregated the June and July data.
5	In between I prepared my first late-filed and
6	yesterday when we prepared this and we have managed to
7	extract the data on a state-specific basis from June
8	forward. There's some hope we'll be able to extract
9	the data backwards for other months.
10	Q Now, Mr. Stacy, you just referred to some
11	performance data being collected for AT&T?
12	A For all ALECs.
13	Q But it was pursuant to the AT&T agreement
14	that you just mentioned?
15	A Pursuant to the no, the data has been
16	being collected as raw data since the middle of
17	January. When we signed the Performance Agreement
18	with AT&T in May we begin dividing the data into
19	different groups than our traditional measurements.
20	For some of those groups I'm able to go back and
21	extract data that lets us split it into
22	dispatched-out/nondispatched out categories. For some
23	of those groups I'm not able to go back because of the
24	way the data was kept originally. We've changed the
25	data design in May when the AT&T agreement took shape
	l de la construcción de la constru

and we knew how we were going to be counting the data
 for the next several months.

Q You mentioned that the data you would be
producing this month was for August, and it was going
to be given to AT&T, correct?

It is going to be given to AT&T as -- in the 6 A 7 following form: AT&T will receive a report that shows 8 for each of the states where it is doing business the 9 performance for AT&T in that state, the performance 10 for all ALECs in that state, and BellSouth's 11 comparable performance in that state, as well as region totals. That will be produced proprietarily 12 for AT&T. 13

In addition to that, state reports which aggregate all CLECs at the state level will be produced in a similar fashion.

17 Q And when in September do you anticipate18 doing that?

19AAbout the 15th. We close the report month20on the 13th. Close the data warehouse and begin21producing the reports.

Q Now, you're aware that AT&T and BellSouth have disputed each other's characterization that the September production of data complies with the interconnection agreement, are you not?

1	A Yes, I am.
2	Q I'd like to hand you a letter dated August
3	26th, 1997, from Pamela Nelson to Jan Burriss. Who is
4	Jan Burriss?
5	A I do not know who Jan Burriss is. I believe
6	she may be a member of the AT&T account team but I
7	can't confirm that.
8	Q The letter is from Pamela Nelson of AT&T.
9	To whom is it addressed?
10	A To Jan Burriss.
11	Q And her address?
12	A BellSouth Telecommunications, 1960 West
13	Exchange Place, Tucker, Georgia.
14	Q So in her role as a member of the AT&T
15	account team, and an employee of BellSouth, she would
16	be directly involved in the performance data
17	production that you're talking about?
18	A No. As a member of the account team she's
19	not directly involved in the performance in the
20	production of performance data. She is an interface
21	between the folks who actually do the production and
22	AT&T.
23	MS. RULE: I'd like this marked as an
24	exhibit, please.
25	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: It will be marked as
	1

1 Exhibit 54.

2	(Exhibit 54 marked for identification.)
3	Q (By Ms. Rule) I'd like to direct your
4	attention to the last paragraph of the first page and
5	have you read the first three sentences, please.
6	A "In closing, for the record, let me be
7	clear once again. Our agreement requires BellSouth
8	to provide data on a monthly basis. AT&T has never
9	agreed to BellSouth providing data starting in
10	September or to limit the data to be provided to
11	August data."
12	Q Did you testify in the recent Kentucky 271
13	hearings?
14	A I did not.
15	Q Who testified with regard to performance
16	measurements and testing?
17	A Jerry Moore.
18	Q And is it safe to assume that BellSouth's
19	position to that issue was pretty much the same as it
20	is here in Florida?
21	A Yes, it is.
22	Q Pretty safe to assume that AT&T's position
23	was the same, too?
24	A Yes, it is.
25	Q Okay. If we can agree that the BellSouth

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	and AT&T that BellSouth and AT&T disagree on the
2	extent of BellSouth's obligation to provide
3	performance measurement data, and we can agree that
4	this letter represents some of the disagreement, I
5	don't have any more questions on this. Do you agree?
6	A No, I do not agree with that because there
7	is a continuing dispute about what data is being
8	produced when.
9	Q Exactly.
10	A Let me characterize the longer version of
11	the matter for the Commission.
12	Q I'd like you to go back and answer the
13	question first. Has there been such a dispute?
14	A There has been such a dispute.
15	Q And it continues to this day?
16	A And it continues to this day.
17	Q And this letter represents some of the
18	disagreement; is that correct?
19	A This letter does represent some of the
20	disagreement. It does not represent the complete
21	scope of the disagreement.
22	Q For example, it would not represent
23	BellSouth's response to this letter, would it?
24	A Correct.
25	MR. ELLENBERG: Madam Chairman, if Mr. Stacy

has an additional explanation, which I believe he was 1 2 about to give until he was cut off by counsel for AT&T, I believe he is entitled to give that. 3 4 MS. RULE: Chairman, I don't believe this 5 answer calls for any explanation. And certainly BellSouth can elicit whatever explanation they believe 6 7 is necessary to redirect. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Stacy, did you want 8 to provide any follow up? 9 10 WITNESS STACY: I did, Madam Chairman. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Go ahead. 11 12 WITNESS STACY: The agreement with AT&T that was signed in May was signed with the knowledge 13 14 that the data would be very difficult to produce by 15 BellSouth. So at that time we told them that the production of that data would not be fully capable 16 until September, and that that would include at least 17 18 the August data. Since then, AT&T has disputed in several forums, including the account team, how much 19 data we should produce under the agreement. 20 It is BellSouth's intent to produce every 21 month of data for AT&T, and for the other ALECs, where 22 we have valid data. However, as Mr. Moore testified 23 in Kentucky, we are not certain that we have 24 completely valid data in the newly revised format for 25

1	
1	any month except August. When we have the August
2	reports produced, we will begin working backwards in
3	the data we have to determine if valid reports can be
4	produced for the proceeding months. And that's the
5	extent of our disagreement with AT&T. Thank you.
6	Q I'd now like to hand you some
7	interrogatories to which you responded. (Hands
8	document to witness.)
9	MS. RULE: I'd like this marked as
10	Exhibit 55. I'd note that some of these
11	interrogatories are included in a Staff exhibit,
12	others are not.
13	Q (By Ms. Rule) Do you have those,
14	Mr. Stacy?
15	A Yes.
16	Q I'd like you to turn to the second page,
17	Item No. 22, and the request is "State whether
18	BellSouth has agreed or committed itself to provide
19	FOCs, or firm order commitments, to ALECs within a
20	specified time interval. If your answer is in the
21	affirmative, describe the time interval by order and
22	type." Would you read your response, please?
23	A My response was that "BellSouth has agreed
24	to develop a performance measurement which will show
25	the percentage of FOCs provided within 24 hours of

_.....

receiving a correctly completed order for service." 1 2 Q I have two questions about your response: 3 Has that performance measurement been developed? That measurement is being developed. It is 4 not complete. 5 And when you say within 24 hours of 6 Q receiving a correctly completed order for service, 7 that means -- or does that mean a service order that 8 has not been rejected? 9 That means a service order that has not been 10 rejected or is not in the process of clarification for 11 12 data, additional data. Thank you. And turn to the next page, 13 0 please, Item No. 23. And that requests information to 14 the extent that BellSouth has agreed to provide FOCs 15 within a specified time interval. It asks for 16 information with regard to how that interval was met, 17 no later within 24 hours, between 24-48, 48 and 72 and 18 after 72 hours. Could you read your response, please? 19 Response was that "BellSouth is still in the 20 A 21 process of developing a process, which will produce the information needed to respond to this question. 22 The initial report is anticipated in September 1997 to 23 reflect August 1997 results for orders received over 24 the electronic interface." 25

Okay. So that would only be results for 1 0 2 electronic -- for orders electronically received, 3 correct? 4 That is correct. A 5 And is this the information that you just Q stated you were going to provide to AT&T in a 6 proprietary form in September? 7 And there will be information produced on 8 Ά 9 all orders received electronically for all ALECs. 10 Could you turn to the next page, please. Q 11 Item No. 24. And the request is for you to "State 12 whether BellSouth has agreed, or committed itself, to provide Notice of Order Completion (or an 865.)" 13 What's a 865? 14 15 An 865 is a purchase order acknowledgement A abbreviation for a particular EDI form. 16 17 That's a code that might show up in 0 BellSouth records somewhere? 18 19 A No. That's a code that applies to a As far as I know it's never on 20 particular EDI form. It's the name of a kind of EDI form. 21 the record. 22 So to the extent that BellSouth has agreed Q or committed itself to provide Notice of Order 23 24 Completion, or 865, within a specified period after a 25 completion date. That requests time periods and

1 interval information. Could you read your response, 2 please?

3 "BellSouth has agreed to provide Notice of A 4 Order Completion as soon as practical after completion of an order but has not agreed to a specific time 5 6 interval, nor to develop information which would permit it to respond further to this question." 7 8 So this type of information is nowhere in Q 9 the record of this case; is that correct? 10 That is correct to the best of my knowledge. A 11 0 Turning to Item 25 on the next page. The request is to state "With respect to all ALEC orders 12 completed during 1997, both for Florida and for the 13 14 BellSouth region, the number and percentage of such 15 order that were completed by BellSouth." And it's got various time intervals. 16 17 In your response you've broken out a number 18 of orders as requested. The first response says that 19 on or before the due date specified in the service 20 order nearly 46,000 orders in Florida were completed. 21 What types of orders are included in this category?

A That category is supposed to capture all
ALEC orders, both resale and unbundled network
elements that were completed in Florida during the
time period; from January of '97 to date.

	1
1	Q I don't believe the record in this case
2	shows that there are, for example, 46,000 ALEC
3	customers. So could you tell me what an order might
4	be and how it would be counted in this number?
5	A An order is any one of several categories
6	of transactions, asking BellSouth to switch a customer
7	as is, convert a customer's record, disconnect a
8	customer, change a customer's order, transfer a
9	customer from one location to another. Those are all
10	the major categories.
11	Q So one customer service order might have
12	several orders being counted in here if there were
13	several of those transactions involved?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q Now, with regard to ALEC trunks, is every
16	trunk ordered by a carrier with an ALEC certificate
17	included in this count?
18	A To my knowledge it is not. This is a count
19	of resold services and UNEs, and if we have included
20	trunks, it's not to my knowledge.
21	Q Okay. With regard to the UNEs?
22	A Each UNE was supposed to be included in this
23	report. Excuse me, each end user UNE. He should be
24	more specific. Loops and ports would have been
25	counted in this number. Trunks would not have been

1 counted in this number.

2	Q Some of the information in this docket
3	regarding the number of customers is proprietary. Is
4	it your understanding, without going into various
5	company-specific information, that there are 45,000
6	customers of ALECs in Florida?
7	A That is not my understanding. The question
8	was the number and percentage of orders that were
9	completed and orders and customers are two different
10	things. I believe you will find in Mr. Milner's
11	testimony the latest count of customers by category,
12	at least the latest count of lines and service by
13	category.
14	Q And his count of lines and service, I
15	believe, included the number of trunks, did it not?
16	A No. His count of lines and service I'm
17	going by memory here, I haven't looked at his
18	documents in a couple of weeks, but they are divided
19	very finely by category, showing resold services in
20	one category, UNEs a different category and trunks in
21	yet a third category.
22	Q So his testimony included interconnection
23	trunks, too, didn't it?
24	A His testimony includes every service sold to
25	an ALEC in Florida showing the individual categories

1	that they were counted in.
2	Q AT&T to your knowledge is an ALEC in
3	Florida, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q But AT&T is also an IXC in Florida, correct?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q So AT&T would show up in this category even
8	though the testimony in this docket shows they've only
9	placed four loop combination orders in Florida?
10	A Those orders would have been counted, yes.
11	Q And other orders placed in connection with
12	its IXC business would be included, too, would it not?
13	A Absolutely not.
14	Q There are two different ordering codes for
15	AT&T ordering as an IXC and AT&T ordering as an ALEC
16	in Florida. They are kept in two different databases
17	and are counted differently.
18	Q I'd like you to take a look at Item No. 26.
19	And the request is for you to state with respect to
20	all ALEC orders completed during 1997 for BellSouth
21	Florida and regional, the number and percentage of
22	orders that meet the time interval stated in the
23	question. And your response was "See response to Item
24	No. 25." Well, Item No. 25 that we just talked about
25	has the same numbers we talked about. Why did you

refer back to 25 in order to respond to 26? 1 2 Simply to avoid producing the same Ά information in the same form twice. 3 The due date on 4 the service order is the due date specified on the 5 firm order commitment. They are one and the same. 6 Q So did BellSouth provide a firm order 7 commitment on all of the orders referenced in No. 25? 8 To to best of my knowledge we did. A 9 Turning to Item No. 27, total number Okay. Q 10 of ALEC orders submitted during '97 where BellSouth 11 claims that due dates were met, please state the 12 number of such orders that were initially rejected by 13 BellSouth systems for Florida and the BellSouth 14 region. And your response was "BellSouth does not maintain data with which to respond to this request." 15 Has BellSouth begun keeping data to respond 16 17 to this type of information? 18 We have not. We have a continuing, both 19 internal and external, discussion about what to do 20 about rejected orders and whether they should be counted simply as rejected orders or whether we have 21 to track them in multiple versions through the system. 22 At the moment when an order is rejected because the 23 24 data was incomplete or inaccurate, the next time that 25 data is received it's a new order as far as we're

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1617

1 concerned.

2	So I don't have any way at the moment of
3	following rejected orders, into the system, out of the
4	system, into the system, out of the system and finally
5	through when they are completed.
6	Q I believe you stated in your testimony that
7	your information in WNS-E and F was based on good
8	orders; that is orders that were not rejected that
9	went completely through the system?
10	A Yes. It is based on orders that were
11	actually worked and service provided.
12	Q And I assume then that Items No. 25 and 26
13	were also based on orders that went completely through
14	the system?
15	A Yes. Those are service orders that were
16	actually completed and that's the status we assign to
17	an order that's been worked.
18	Q So then if I were to add back the total
19	number of orders, including those that were rejected
20	for some reason or another, then the percentages
21	listed in 25 and also in 26 would be different, would
22	they not?
23	A No, they wouldn't, because of the way the
24	question was asked. 25 and 26 asked for the number of
25	orders completed during 1997. An order cannot be

completed unless it can be worked. An order that's
 rejected cannot be worked by definition. So you're
 talking about two pieces of data that are exclusive to
 each other.

5 Q Well, if I were to ask then of the total 6 orders submitted, and ask for the same information, 7 it's likely that the percentages that were completed 8 on or before the due date would be much lower?

9 A The percentages would be different but they 10 would be totally meaningless, because asking BellSouth 11 whether or not it completed an order that it rejected 12 on the due date has no meaning.

Q Well, I'm not sure if that was a yes or a no. If you added in more orders and divided by the same number that were completed, that would change the fraction you gave me, would it not?

17 A Yes, it would change the fraction and yes,
18 the answer would be meaningless.

Take a look at Item No. 29. "To the 19 Q Okay. extent that BellSouth has processed ALEC service 20 orders manually since January 1st, 1997, state both 21 for the entire BellSouth region and for each state in 22 the region the percentage of such orders for which due 23 dates were met, and the percentage of such orders for 24 which due dates not met." Could you read your 25

1 response, please?

2	A "BellSouth does not maintain data with which
3	to respond to this question since orders are
4	indistinguishable once they are entered into the
5	operating systems by LCSC personal. However, since
6	most orders to date have been received in a manual
7	fashion, the response to Item 25 provides an reliable
8	surrogate response."
9	Q And Item No. 30 asked you an analog to that
10	question: "With respect to ALEC orders that BellSouth
11	processed without manual or human intervention," give
12	those percentage, and you refer back to the previous
13	answer.
14	Okay, do I understand correctly that
15	BellSouth basically does not track and has no way to
16	know how many orders were submitted more than one
17	time?
18	A That is correct.
19	Q I'd like Mr. Hatch to hand out another
20	exhibit and I'd like it marked as No. 56.
21	MS. WHITE: It don't have a number 55.
22	MS. RULE: That would be the interrogatory
23	responses.
24	MS. WHITE: Okay. I didn't recall you
25	identifying it as an exhibit.

1	MS. RULE: Maybe I just wrote it.
2	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: You did.
3	MS. RULE: In that case, I'd like it marked
4	as 55 and the next one marked as 56.
5	(Exhibits 55 and 56 marked for
6	identification.)
7	Q (By Ms. Rule) Mr. Stacy, would you accept,
8	subject to check, that this appears to be some
9	information from BellSouth's Web Site.
10	A Subject to check, yes.
11	Q At the top of the page there is the
12	BellSouth logo, and at the bottom of the page it looks
13	like a hypertext string directed to BellSouth's web
14	site?
15	A Yes, that's correct.
16	Q What is the date on the lower right of the
17	page?
18	A September the 4th, '97.
19	Q And at the top right of the page do you see
20	where it says "1995 Source Book"?
21	A Yes.
22	Q At the left side of the page and the
23	left-hand column under, Source Book, it says "1995
24	Source Book", "1996 Source Book (Under Construction.)
25	I'd like you to turn to Page 2 of 3 and read

the paragraph entitled "Service While You Wait." I'd
 like you to read it aloud, please.

"A key project underway is the reengineering 3 A of the entire customer service delivery process from 4 start to finish. All aspects of service delivery, 5 6 including network provisioning, ordering negotiate, central office, dispatch and premise work are being 7 integrated to greatly expedite service activation. 8 Our service representatives will access a system that 9 provides precise pricing, appointment information, 10 installation status and other information while they 11 are on-line with the customer. Our ultimate goal is 12 to be able to provide a substantial number of 13 customers with service while they wait on line. 14 Testing of the integrated service delivery system 15 began in late 1995." 16 17 Do you know what kind of testing is being Q referred to here? 18 I do not. I know that the project exists 19 Α but I do not know what kind of testing they are 20 referring to. 21 Do you know the status of this project? 22 Q No, I do not. 23 A Do you know if customer service 24 Q 25 representatives, or BellSouth's representatives

currently have access to the system that is described 1 2 here? I know that they do not have access to the 3 A system that this project referred to. 4 What system is that? 5 0 It does not even have a system name. It's 6 A called "Service Activation" is the project. 7 Does BellSouth anticipate making these 8 0 services available to ALECs as soon as they are 9 available to BellSouth representatives? 10 This is actually talking about 11 A Yes. services that appear downstream from the service order 12 control system to activate services in a more precise 13 manner. So as they are available to BellSouth retail 14 units, whenever those system changes improvements are 15 made, they will be available at the same time to the 16 17 ALECs. And in order to access those downstream 18 0 systems, ALECs would have to either modify or build an 19 interface to match, would they not? 20 Or add to the gateways that are now being 21 A created, that's correct. 22 23 And in order for that to happen they would Q have to have a substantial amount of data about 24 25 BellSouth's systems and how to build to it. Would

that be correct? 1 That is correct. 2 A MS. RULE: No further questions. 3 CROSS EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. CANZANO: 5 Good morning, Mr. Stacy. 6 0 Good morning. 7 A I'm Donna Canzano. I have several topics to 8 Q 9 address. The first one is provisioning. What are the 10 BellSouth's standard pricing periods for DS-1 and for 11 5664 digital data service? 12 I'm sorry, I do not know the standard 13 A provisioning periods. I know where the guide is to 14 find them but I do not have that with me. That was 15 furnished to the ALECs in a letter in early June that 16 Ms. Calhoun referred to yesterday, and those are the 17 BellSouth standard intervals both for BellSouth 18 internally and for the ALECs. 19 What about for BellSouth customers, does 20 Q 21 that include --Those intervals were supplied by BellSouth's 22 A 23 Network and Carrier Services Group which serves both sets of customers: the ALEC customers and the retail 24 25 customers.

Would that information also be included in 1 Q 2 the BellSouth tariffs? In some states it is included in the 3 А In some states it is not. I'm not sure tariffs. 4 about Florida. 5 Is the provisioning period for each of those 6 Q services the same between the ALEC -- I mean for ALEC 7 customers of BellSouth as for BellSouth's customers? 8 Those provisioning periods are supposed to 9 A 10 be identical. On average are they identical? 11 0 I do not know on the average. 12 A Do you know whether there's a difference 13 0 between the provisioning period of a DS-1 as an 14 unbundled loop and BellSouth's retail service offered 15 through BellSouth's tariff for its customers? 16 I do not know for sure. I would expect that 17 A there is some difference. 18 Does that mean that BellSouth is 19 0 establishing different standards for loops provided to 20 21 BellSouth's end users than loops provided to CLECs? It means that BellSouth is establishing 22 Ά different standards for services provided to resale 23 customers, whether they are retail customers of 24 BellSouth and ALECs, and customers purchasing 25

unbundled network elements. We have never provisioned 1 unbundled network elements until late 1996 and do not 2 have the experience in provisioning those that we have 3 4 in provisioning resale or retail services, and so the 5 processes and the intervals are still somewhat 6 different. 7 0 What I'd like to do right now is walk 8 through a couple -- a few hypothetical situations. 9 First, let's assume that I'm an end user and I call BellSouth for basic B1 service. 10 11 Excuse me, basic --A B1 service. 12 Q 13 That acronym --A Is that Florida-specific? 14 Q Oh. It must be Florida-specific. 15 A 16 Q Okay. 17 A Is that --18 Q I think that's equivalent to flat rate 19 business? Flat rate business. I'm sorry. We have too 20 A many codes. 21 It makes it fun, doesn't it? 22 Q How long does it take BellSouth to provide 23 24 that service to me, a BellSouth end user? I don't know in Florida. 25 A

What about for BellSouth and the region if 1 Q you don't know for Florida? 2 I don't know the averages in which we 3 A provide those services. The data I have been looking 4 at reflects the way we meet the due date committed. I 5 haven't particularly looked at the promised date, or 6 interval of any kind. 7 Do you know in Florida whether there is a 8 0 rule requiring BellSouth to produce -- provide B1 9 service in aspecified time? 10 I assume there probably is or you wouldn't 11 A have asked me. But I don't know what the Florida rule 12 is. 13 Let's assume I'm a CLEC and that same 14 Q customer calls me and asks me to provide the customer 15 basic B1 service. You may not know the answer to this 16 17 question but how long will it take BellSouth to provision the DSO loop to me on an unbundled basic if 18 I'm a CLEC? 19 I'm sorry. You have mixed metaphors there. 20 A You asked for a B1 service and you talked about a DS0. 21 22 What I'm doing is if I'm a CLEC and the Q customer calls me and I ask for a request from 23 BellSouth for a DSO on an unbundled? 24 25 Oh, excuse me, on a --A

П	
1	\mathbf{Q} on an unbundled basis, not for resale.
2	A All right. And you're asking for a DSO.
3	Q Yes.
4	Not for a 2-wire analong loop.
5	Q Let's try that one.
6	A 2-wire analog loop.
7	Q Yes.
8	Let's try that one?
9	A The target interval for that one, which is
10	part of my testimony, is five days.
11	Q Do you know whether or not that is longer
12	than the time it takes Bell to provide basic B1?
13	A I'm not certain. I believe, however, it is
14	longer because it's a different process.
15	Q So if that particular customer shopping
16	around and it calls Bell, and then it calls me, the
17	CLEC, and time is of the essence, which company do you
18	think that customer is going to choose for basic Bl
19	service?
20	A I don't know.
21	MR. ELLENBERG: I object to the extent it
22	calls for the witness to speculate about what a
23	hypothetical customer might think or act.
24	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Could you repeat that?
25	Could you repeat your objection?
	I

MR. ELLENBERG: I guess it's two-pronged. 1 One, he's already clarified that it's two different 2 processes; it's unbundled loop and the DS-1 service 3 are different things. Number two, she's asking --4 asking Mr. Stacy to speculate about what a customer 5 might or might not do. 6 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Response? 7 MS. CANZANO: My response to that would be 8 that assuming all things are the same except for 9 time -- and this is a hypothetical. 10 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I'm going to allow the 11 question. 12 WITNESS STACY: I don't know. It's going to 13 depend on what else is in the offer. 14 (By Ms. Canzano) Okay. Let's go to the 15 Q next hypothetical situation. Let's assume I'm a 16 business customer and I have a lot of volume and I 17 want a DS-1. 18 I'm sorry, you're a business customer and 19 A you have? 20 A lot of volume. 0 21 Oh, volume. Okay. 22 Α How long will it take BellSouth to provide a 23 Q DS-1 to me? 24 I do not know what the retail provisioning 25

interval for a DS-1 is, I'm sorry. 1 2 0 Do you know what that interval would be if I'm a CLEC on an unbundled basis? 3 I'm looking at Exhibit D to my testimony 4 A which specifies some of those intervals, and I believe 5 6 that one is there. That interval is five days. Do you know whether or not on an unbundled 7 0 basis that is -- that takes more time to provide that 8 to a CLEC customer of BellSouth than it would to 9 BellSouth's own customer? 10 I am not certain, but I believe because the 11 A processors are different it is a different time 12 interval. The unbundled network element, again, has 13 to be -- go through the same processes that it goes 14 through for BellSouth retail unit. And then it has to 15 be interconnected to the CLEC's connecting facility. 16 The incremental time required to first design and then 17 perform that operation causes the two services to be 18 activated in different amounts of time. 19 Now, let's suppose I'm a customer and I want 20 Q BRI ISDN from BellSouth. Again, how long will it take 21 BellSouth to provide me that service? 22 I'm not certain on basic rate ISDN again. 23 Ά Do you know what that time would be to 24 Q provide that on an unbundled basis to a CLEC? 25

The specified interval for that, again are 1 A you ever referring to Exhibit D in my testimony, is a 2 target date interval of five days for a single, up to 3 ten basic rate loops. 4 And, again, is that longer than it would be 5 Q to BellSouth's own customer, do you know? 6 I don't know for certain. I do know that 7 A the processes are different, however. 8 Would those processes tend to require more 9 0 time to a CLEC? 10 They would tend to require more time because A 11 there's coordination between two companies. 12 On the average that would be a yes? 13 Q I'm not completely sure, but on the 14 A aggregate they are different and I would expect it to 15 be somewhat longer. 16 And also what about for PRI ISDN, does 17 0 18 BellSouth provide that service to CLECs on an 19 unbundled basis? Yes, BellSouth does. And the sequence of 20 A I believe the interval answers is basically the same. 21 is still five days. 22 Thank you. Now, I'm going to switch gears 23 Q here and talk about due dates. 24 When a CLEC uses LENS in the inquiry mode, 25

the CLEC has access to the BellSouth's due date 1 calendar; is that correct? 2 That's correct. 3 A The CLEC actually has to affirmatively take 4 0 another step to look at the calendar; is that correct? 5 I'm sorry, I'm not clear what you mean, 6 A "affirmatively." 7 They have to actually take another step 8 Q instead of just -- it doesn't automatically pop up on 9 their screen. Do they need to do something to look at 10 the calendar? 11 They need to click the button that activates 12 A the calendar for that particular central office, if 13 that's what you're talking about. 14 When a CLEC uses LENS in the ordering mode, 0 15 how does the CLEC look at the calendar? 16 In the firm ordering mode the calendar is 17 A replaced by the due date calculator. And when you 18 activate the button to go to the due date calculation 19 screen, you go to the due date calculator. It's a 20 similar process but you're going to a different point. 21 When the CLEC is in the inquiry mode, the 22 0 order has not been processed at that point; is that 23 24 correct? That's correct. 25 A

And if a CLEC were to choose a date based on 1 Q the calendar in inquiry mode, the due date would only 2 be an estimate at that point; is that correct? 3 That is correct. 4 ъ And when a CLEC submits an order, there are 5 0 a number of reasons a due date could not be met; is 6 that correct? 7 There are a number -- yes, on any order 8 A there are reasons why the due date could are could not 9 be met. 10 And, in fact, the order could be rejected, 11 Q couldn't it? 12 That's correct. A 13 Four services that are in the category of 14 0 simple resold services, when BellSouth processes those 15 types of services for itself when providing them to 16 its customers, the service representative obtains the 17 due date guickly? Is that correct? 18 Service representatives obtains the 19 Ά Yes. due date from the same due date processor from the 20 testimony that I offered yesterday in about the same 21 times you do through LENS. 22 And how quickly would the BellSouth 23 0 representative obtain that information? 24 Typically if you look at the comparison 25 A

1 figures or RNS and LENS, that information is obtained 2 somewhere between zero and six seconds for both LENS 3 and RNS.

4 Q But the service representative looking at 5 its calendar, is that an estimate or is that --

A It is the same estimate that's being
furnished to the CLECs. It's the next available due
date in that office. And is not reserved until such
time as an order is placed and accepted.

10 Q I'm going to switch gears now and talk about 11 what I call the September report. The report that's 12 going to be produced at some point mid-September?

13 **A** Yes.

14 Q Since it has not been produced yet, it has 15 not been filed in this docket; is that correct?

16 **A** That's correct. The data that is being used 17 to produce that report, some portion of the data is 18 reflected in my exhibits E and F, but not all of the 19 data.

Q And it's correct to assume, is it not, that the performance measurements of this September report include voice?

23 A Include --

24 Q Voice. I mean voice data.

25 A Yes. As a category of service there is not

a separate category for voice services. They're 1 classified as business or residence, unbundled loops, 2 trunks or designed services. And in all of those 3 there are categories of voice services. 4 What about data circuits? 5 0 Data circuits are included in the designed A 6 category or as an unbundled loop. 7 So specifically 56 and 64 kilobite 4-wire is 8 0 9 included? Yes. Depending on whether it was ordered as 10 A a resold service or an unbundled network element, it's 11 included either in the design specials group or in the 12 unbundled network element requiring dispatch group. 13 What about DS-1? 14 Q It could be, again depending on whether it 15 A was ordered as an unbundled network element or resold 16 service, it is there, but you don't know which group 17 it is in unless you know how it was provisioned. 18 And it would only be there if somebody has 19 Q requested it? 20 Yes. Those are in-service -- measurements 21 of completed services. 22 Is that also true for the 2-wire ISDN and 23 0 24 4-wire ISDN? They are, again, in one of those two 25 A Yes.

1 categories.

2 Q And I assume that's also true for the 2-wire 3 and 4-wire ADSL and HDSL?

A To my knowledge we don't have any orders for
5 those but they fall into one of those two categories,
6 yes.

7 Q For your exhibits that have been updated
8 WNS-E and F, the Local Interconnection Unbundled Loops
9 Interim Report, and the Resale Parity Report, do those
10 particular reports include the data circuits we just
11 discussed?

These particular reports do not. Excuse me. 12 A I'm sorry. If those -- no, they do not include those 13 reports. I do not have a produced report that covers 14 15 the special services. If they were ordered as unbundled loops, they are part of WNS-E in the 16 unbundled loop total. But the resold services --17 designed resold services are not included in that 18 19 report yet.

Q Mr. Stacy, are win-backs to BellSouth reflected in this report? And by win-backs I mean if a customer doesn't like a CLEC service for whatever reason, BellSouth wins back the customer.

24AYes. I mean they are reflected in this25report in the -- would be reflected if there were any

in the BST number as a new connection. 1 But it's not separately identified? 2 Q It is not separately identified. 3 A Now, I'm going to switch gears for the final 4 Q time here. 5 Do you have a copy of Exhibit No. 22 6 regarding BellSouth's LCSC? 7 Yes, I do. 8 A Could you please turn to the July 8th, 1997 9 Q report, Pages 4 and 5. 10 11 A Yes. Are you familiar with this document? 12 0 I am familiar with it in a general sense. Ι 13 A did not assist in the production nor in the readouts 14 from this, but I have seen the document before. 15 These pages set forth standards to measure 16 Q 17 CLEC orders; is that correct? In part? (Pause) These pages set forth standards to measure 18 A work processes internal to the LCSC. Insofar as the 19 LCSC's business is managing CLEC orders, they do 20 reflect some -- in some sense an objective about how 21 LCSC orders are to be processed, but they are not 22 standards for CLEC-ALEC orders. 23 But they have been measured, that's correct, 24 Q in this report? 25

A In this report manually handled orders in
 the LCSC were measured as part of the process
 improvement effort.

Q What are organizations internal to BellSouth that provide the same functions for processing orders for BellSouth's own customers?

7 A There are actually three large
8 organizations.

Q And what are they called?

9

10 A The Customer Services Organization, which is
11 organized to process orders from BellSouth's retail
12 residential customers; the BellSouth Small Business
13 Organization, which handleses that category of
14 customers; and BellSouth Business Systems which
15 handles business customers that are classified in a
16 group called large businesses.

Q Also on Pages 4 and 5 I'd like to know
whether or not BellSouth is currently measuring for
its internal processes for its own orders the
following: percent first time quality; service orders
pending on the questionable; order process duration;
percent LSRs processed within 48 hours, and percent
calls abandoned.

And before you respond to that, could you please define percent calls abandoned?

1	A I will attempt to. Percent calls abandoned
2	is a measurement that's made on an automatic call
3	distributor coming into a service location where a
4	customer places a call to the service location, is
5	answered by the machine, goes into a queuing status
6	waiting normally with an announcement of some kind on
7	hold, and hangs up the call before they talk to a
8	customer service representative. I need you to go
9	over those measurements again because I'm not certain
10	I can answer all of those questions.
11	Q Certainly.
12	A The first time quality.

1

Percent first time quality; service orders 13 Q pending on the questionable; order processed duration; 14 percent LSRs processed within 48 hours, and percent 15 calls abandoned. 16

To the best of my knowledge those first four 17 A measures, percent the first time quality, service 18 order pending, duration, and LSRs processed within 48 19 hours are not being measured by any of BellSouth's 20 internal units. I believe -- and I could stand to be 21 corrected on this, because this is outside of my part 22 of the business -- I believe percent calls abandoned 23 24 is being measured, at least for the consumer unit. I'm not certain whether it is for the other two units. 25

1	Q So the customer unit is one of the three
2	organizations we discussed previously, correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Now, could you please turn to the August
5	15th, 1997 report? That's part of Exhibit No. 22.
6	A Yes.
7	Q Specifically, on Page 4 there's a third
8	bullet under the heading called "Phase 3." That
9	describes a CLEC evaluation that was developed that
10	tracks the percentage of clarifications from each
11	CLEC. Do you see that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Does BellSouth keep track of clarifications
14	for itself for its retail services?
15	A To my knowledge we do not.
16	Q Mr. Stacy, if the Commission finds that it's
17	necessary for BellSouth to collect this information
18	for measuring parity as we have just discussed, is
19	there any reason why BellSouth could not collect each
20	of these measurements for itself?
21	A I'm simply not prepared to answer that.
22	There are millions of pieces of data involved in
23	collecting that on the BellSouth units and I'm not
24	certain what of that data exists and can be captured
25	or cannot. I'm familiar with the CLEC data because

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

that's the part of the business I work in. But I'm 1 not certain what's available or capable of being 2 3 captured for the retail units. Would it be possible to develop something to 4 Q 5 capture that information for BellSouth? I'm not even sure if it's possible the way 6 A 7 the systems are built. I just don't know. 8 So you just don't know. That's your Q 9 response? 10 A Right. MS. CANZANO: Thank you very much, no 11 further questions. 12 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Boyd. 13 CROSS EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. BOYD: 15 Good morning, Mr. Stacy. I'm Everett Boyd 16 0 for Sprint. 17 18 A Good morning. I've just a couple of follow-up questions. 19 0 20 First, with respect to what was marked this 21 morning the -- your Late-filed Exhibit 10, the LENS Status Working Report. 22 A 23 Yes. Which is now hearing Exhibit 53. I ask you 24 Q to look at the first page with respect to the second 25

1 item is "LOOP." Over under the column headed "State"
2 it seems the two categories are "open" and "working".
3 Can you tell me what those two categories mean,
4 please?

A Yes. I believe we clarified that yesterday,
but the "open State "means that the change request,
which is what this system of managing changes is
called, has been created, and that there is a group of
people called requirements writers writing down the
business requirements for what the piece of software
is supposed to accomplish.

When the state is shown as working, the requirements have actually been delivered to the software group that is responsible for doing the coding, and they have started translating the requirements into the code required to make the process work on -- the software required to make the process work.

19 Q And, for instance, with the item for "LOOP", 20 once that activity is completed, will an unbundled 21 loop be able to be ordered entirely in a mechanized 22 fashion through the LENS system?

A Yes. When that activity has been completed, there will be an ordering form for a unbundled loop in the LENS system that is similar to and parallel to the

ordering form that's in the EDI system today for an 1 unbundled loop. 2 Well, today for an order for an unbundled 3 Q loop that's placed in the EDI system or through that 4 interface, does that not still require a manual 5 intervention before it becomes entirely mechanized? 6 Yes, it does. I'm sorry. Perhaps I 7 A misunderstood your question. 8 And when this work is completed in the LENS 9 Q system, will such an order for the loop bypass that 10 manual intervention? 11 I did misunderstand your question. It 12 A No. will flow to the same point and require manual 13 intervention. There is another project going on to 14 mechanize the service order generation for that loop. 15 And is that project reflected on this report 16 Q also? 17 It is not. 18 A What category does that fall in if it's not 19 Q open or working then? 20 It's for a different system. A 21 22 A system other than LENS? Q Yes. A 23 And what is that system? 24 Q That particular function is a function of 25 Ά

LESOG, the service order generator. 1 And will the end result of that work or that 2 0 project be that the unbundled loop is ordered in the 3 LENS or through the EDI? 4 5 The end result of that combination of projects will be that if an unbundled loop is ordered 6 in the EDI system, both in the main frame version and 7 the PC version, or through LENS, when those projects 8 are completed, some types of unbundled loops will be 9 || provisioned without human intervention. 10 Do you know what the timetable is for that 11 Q 12 project? I know that the project exists and that we 13 A have not put a terminating date on it because the 14 II 15 coding for the service order generation has proved to be difficult, so I do not have a completion date for 16 that yet. 17 Is this report that's Exhibit 53, is this a 18 0 regularly produced report? 19 It is regularly maintained. It's not 20 A produced as a report on a -- it's updated -- when 21 something changes, it's updated. It's not produced as 22 a printout. 23 This is a working list? 24 0 25 A Yes.

1	Q Of projects. So when this loop item is
2	completed, I think we went through yesterday on the
3	through the demonstration to order the unbundled loop
4	in the port, you have to type it in the remarks
5	section.
6	A In LENS that's correct.
7	Q I'm sorry, in LENS.
8	A In the PC EDI that's not.
9	Q When this item is completed instead of
10	having to type it in there there would be a box to
11	check?
12	A Well, several boxes to fill in.
13	Q And does the same apply for a port and for
14	interim number portability?
15	A Yes, it does.
16	Q And are is the interim number portability
17	being worked on in the same sense as the loop for
18	entirely mechanized ordering?
19	A Yes. All of the four that are listed
20	there, loop, port, interim number portability and a
21	loop with interim number portability are being worked
22	on for complete mechanization, flow through.
23	Q I know you said you weren't entirely sure of
24	an expectation date. Are we talking '97, '98, '99?
25	A No, we're talking probably in this calendar

1645

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

H	
1	year, but one thing I have learned not to do is
2	predict outcomes for software projects.
3	\mathbf{Q} Thank you. Let me ask you just a couple of
4	follow-up questions about the August 15th LCSC audit
5	Report and a couple of the interrogatory responses.
6	A Yes.
7	Q First, with respect to the LCSC Audit
8	Report. The report was sent to Crista Tillman,
9	Operations Vice President. Is that the person to whom
10	you report?
11	A No. She is a peer of mine; has a different
12	segment of the organization.
13	Q You're also an operations vice president?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And to whom do you all report in that
16	section?
17	A We report to an officer of the company named
18	Bill McNair.
19	Q And is Joe Baker on an equal level in terms
20	of hierarchy?
21	A Yes. Joe is on an equal level with Crista
22	and myself. We all carry titles that change randomly
23	as assistant vice president, operations vice
24	president, general manager or whatever the business
25	decides they want to call us that week.

Q Depending on which day of the week?
 A No, it's less frequent than that but fairly
 often.

Q In the audit report there are attached
charts and graphs, the first one of which is
percentage of LSRs, FOC'd in less than 48 hours. Do
you propose to include in your schedule of
measurements anything similar to this kind of
measurement?

That is -- the first proposed 10 A Yes. measurement in the SGAT is the -- I'm sorry, the 11 percentage of -- the percentage of FOCs delivered in 12 time intervals. It's actually more specific than 13 that. The first set shows it in four-hour increments 14 after 24 hours and then over 24 hours, but it's the 15 same measurement just put in different categories. 16 Do you propose to include in that 17 0 measurement only those that are received via an 18 electronic interface? 19

A Yes. That's the proposal at the moment.
We're hoping that our customers will move to an
electronic interface, at least the large customers.
Q And, again, looking at the interrogatory
response that Ms. Canzano asked you about -A I'm sorry. Which --

Item No. 23. It was there that you 1 Q qualified the answer that the report, the September 2 report that's been mentioned? 3 I'm sorry. Just a second. I laid that 4 down. (Pause) 5 That the report will include results for Q 6 orders received over the electronic interface? 7 Yes. 8 2 Now, what I want to ask is -- will that 9 0 report only include orders that are placed in a 10 fashion that they flow through in a entirely 11 mechanized fashion? 12 No, I'm sorry. It includes all orders 13 A placed through the electronic interface. It does not 14 include orders that are received on paper, whether 15 it's a fax or a copy, delivered piece of paper. 16 So it will include orders that are sent for 17 0 an item for a service that has to have the manual 18 intervention in your system? 19 Yes. If that order is delivered to 20 A BellSouth through LENS, EDI or PC EDI. 21 What about for orders placed through the 22 0 EXACT system? 23 This particular measurement was not 24 A envisioned to capture those places through the EXACT 25

system. We have some contractural commitments with
 different companies that provide a similar measurement
 on that, but we did not intend to include it in
 general because that's a trunk ordering system and
 somewhat different.

Q What is the interval or intervals that
BellSouth has agreed to provide the FOCs in terms of
48 hours, 24 hours?

9 A There are different intervals in the 10 different contracts and arbitration agreements. In 11 general the agreed-to interval is either 24 hours or 12 48 hours for resold services and unbundled network 13 elements except trunks. And in general the interval 14 for trunks is five days.

And do you classify an unbundled loop that's 15 Q ordered via the EXACT interface a trunk or a loop? 16 I classify it as a loop. We are trying to 17 A move -- the companies that were using the EXACT 18 interface have been requested to move to the industry 19 standard interface, EDI, to do that ordering but it's 20 still classified as a loop. I just have to go to some 21 different extremes to capture that data. That is not 22 the standard ordering mechanism. It was an ordering 23 24 mechanism that the industry adopted in 1996 that was replaced near the end of '96, and we still have some 25

customers who are using EXACT to place orders for
 unbundled loops and it's making the ongoing process
 very cumbersome.

I'm sorry, I didn't fully understand --4 0 EXACT is not the proper ordering mechanism 5 A for unbundled loops and has not been since January. 6 We have not drawn a line in the sand and told the 7 companies that you cannot order an unbundled loop 8 using EXACT anymore, but there is some point in time 9 coming -- and my particular point in time was the end 10 of this year, when we'd have to say stop doing it this 11 way. We cannot track your unbundled loop orders in 12 two systems. We need them in one system. And that 13 system is EDI, the standard ordering system. 14

There are still, I believe, two companies using EXACT to place unbundled loop orders but we're trying to get them to transition off of that because those orders do not come together at the right place in the system with the tracking mechanisms that we've put in place, and we have to do some tracking manually.

22 Q Does the order for an unbundled loop placed 23 through the EXACT system end up being processed and 24 handled by the LCSC?

25

A Yes, it does. What doesn't happen is that

I	
1	it doesn't get into the database in the right place
2	for the FOC tracking mechanism, among other things.
3	Q The next chart on the report is duration
4	time for LCSC. Do you propose to include in the
5	measurements you have proposed a measurement similar
6	to this?
7	A I have not because this is a measure of
8	internal efficiency on manual orders. We're proposing
9	the measurements based on the assumption that the
10	large customers and that most of the customers with
11	significant volume will move to electronic ordering
12	very quickly. So the measurements are based on the
13	electronic ordering system.
14	Q Do you propose to include in let me
15	rephrase that.
16	Do you include in the measurement that you
17	have proposed any form of measurement of the service
18	provided to ALECs through the LCSC?
19	A Yes. There are multiple measurements and
20	let me go through them for you.
21	The first measurement provided is the time
22	to get a firm order confirmation. So if the order
23	came in electronically, regardless of whether it
24	required manual intervention to further process, an
25	FOC is returned and that order is going to be
	l

measured. That is the measure of the duration time in the LCSC in a another form. How long did it take that group to handle it, whether it was handled completely electronically or whether it required human intervention. So that captures this number.

The charts you see is that same measure where the order was not entered by electronic means. It's a measurement of how long the order was in the LCSC. So it's the same data it's just from two different input streams.

11 Q And do you propose to include a figure --12 any of the internal LCSC measurements, the LSRs per 13 hour?

We have not proposed to include that. We're 14 A proposing measurements in total that are based on the 15 outcome of the provisioning to the end user. So you 16 will see a few measurements that deal with our ability 17 to accept the order and return a FOC in a timely 18 manner to the service provider, to the ALEC, and then 19 you will see a group of measurements focused on 20 whether or not the commitment to the end user was met. 21 The percentage due date met; whether the installation 22 or maintenance was done in a quality manner, and 23 II that's reflected in the number of reports you receive. 24 And then the whole set of normal repair reports, what 25

are the incidences of trouble? How long does it take
 you to repair them? So they are focused on the result
 for the end user of the process.

Q In preparing the measurements that you have
proposed in your testimony, did you consult to any
degree with DeWolf, Boberg & Associates (ph), the
author of the audit report, August 15, 1997?
A No, I did not, they were engaged as
consultants to improve of the processes used in the

10 local carrier service center, not as measurement 11 consultants.

12 Q Do you know the number of times BellSouth 13 has consulted with this organization in 1997?

14 A I do not. I know of two specific instances,
15 but I'm not certain whether those are all of the
16 incidents or not.

Q Have you worked with them yourself on otherprojects?

19 **A** I have not.

25

20 Q Thank you, Mr. Stacy.

21 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Staff.

22 MR. HORTON: Chairman.

23 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I'm sorry. Mr. Horton.
24 MR. HORTON: I keep sneaking in here.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. HORTON: 1 Mr. Stacy, I'm Doc Horton are for ACSI. 2 0 Yes, sir. 3 A Just clarification, I think in response to 4 Q some questions from Ms. Canzano didn't you indicate 5 you did not know the average installation time for a 6 business customer requesting service from BellSouth? 7 That's correct. 8 A And the same answer for residential 9 Q 10 customers? The average installation time, that is 11 A 12 correct. Okay. 13 Q Do you know if that information is provided 14 Q 15 to or compiled by the Public Service Commission? 16 I do not know. A Do you know if that information is collected 17 0 18 by BellSouth internally? 19 A To my knowledge it is not collected by BellSouth internally, but that's outside of the 20 business unit that I operate in so that's beyond the 21 scope of what I'm normally involved in. 22 MR. HORTON: That's all questions I have. 23 Thank you. 24 25 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Staff? Mr. Horton, are

you finished? 1 2 MR. HORTON: Yes, ma'am. I'm sorry. 3 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. BARONE: 4 Good morning, Mr. Stacy. Staff is going to 5 0 hand out what has been identified in Exhibit No. 52 as 6 WNS-CON that is your confidential exhibit. I'm going 7 8 to ask you several questions regarding that. And before you get that, I'd like to first 9 10 ask you, have Time Warner and BellSouth reached agreement on performance standards? 11 Yes, they have. 12 A And when did they do that? 13 Q They reached agreement -- I'm sorry. 14 Ά Is 15 today Friday? 16 Yes, it is. 0 17 Reached agreement on Wednesday. The А agreement is actually being signed this morning. 18 19 Q Are those performance standards similar to AT&T or are they different from the AT&T performance 20 standards? 21 They are, to a large part, identical to 22 A AT&T. If you look at the groups of services to be 23 measured, there are one or two changes in the way the 24 groupings are made, but they're 99% identical to the 25

1 AT&T set.

-	
2	Q Mr. Stacy, could you tell me generally why
3	performance standards might differ from ALEC to ALEC?
4	A In general, we believe that there are some
5	differences from ALEC to ALEC simply because of the
6	focus of their business.
7	If they are interested, for instance, in
8	doing only facility-based business, there are a number
9	of the measures we proposed that are of little
10	interest to them, and there are some others that are.
11	If they were focused solely on retail
12	business, there are a number of the measures we
13	proposed that are useless to them. So it's a matter
14	of the ALEC's business intent.
15	What we developed with AT&T originally,
16	because they had come to us both as a reseller and
17	facility-based carrier, was an attempt to cover the
18	entire range in a general fashion so that we could
19	then negotiate either additional or added or remove
20	measurements if a specific ALEC was interested in
21	another specific thing.
22	Q So basically they would be the same when you
23	go from resale to resale to resale and UNEs to UNEs to
24	UNES, but if one company only purchases UNEs and
25	didn't purchase resale services, then it would only be

1 different based on the functionality? Is that your 2 testimony?

A I believe that's a good way to say that.
Q Now I'd like to begin a discussion with you
about TCG's position that it's received blocking
complaints from customers.

7 And first I'd like to refer you to 8 Confidential Exhibit WNS-CON, and we will begin on 9 Page 3. Mr. Stacy, would you please explain for the 10 record what a P.01 blocking rate or grade of service 11 is?

That is a technical descriptor of a 12 A measurement of the blocking of a trunk group. The "P" 13 stands for a particular distribution of traffic called 14 15 "Poisson," P-O-I-S-S-O-N, that relates to how the amount of calls and the duration of calls on that 16 trunk group are spread in time, and .01 relates to the 17 blocking that no more than 1% of the calls should be 18 blocked in what's called the average busy hour using 19 that distribution. 20

It's a set of mathematical formulas that let's you determine if you know how many calls are going to be placed and how long those calls are going to be, how many trunks you need to provide to achieve a certain level of performance.

1 Q And I believe you just stated that P.01 is
2 typically meant -- or expressed in terms of a busy
3 hour; is that correct?

Average -- average busy hour -- busy hour, 4 A average busy day. That's two technical traffic 5 6 engineering terms. But there are ways of categorizing it so that you don't measure every trunk group, every 7 hour, all month. You look for the busiest periods and 8 9 measure those. 10 Q Now, in your Late-filed Deposition 11 Exhibit No. 4, and looking at Page 3 of Exhibit 12 WNS-CON, you show various tandems and end offices in 13 the southeast LATA; is that correct? 14 Yes. Ά 15 Q And you show the design criteria, as well, 16 expressed in terms of blocking rates; is that correct? 17 A That's correct.

18 Q And you've designed these trunk groups to 19 have these grades of service as shown; is that correct? 20 That's correct. 21 A 22 And that was done at TCG's request? Q That was done -- the grade of service? I'm 23 А sorry. I don't quite follow your question. The trunk 24 II groups were installed at TCG's request, or at 25

BellSouth's request in the case where the traffic went
 from BellSouth to TCG. I'm not quite sure I got that
 question now.

Q Now, the blocking -- I guess my question
was, were the blocking rates that are shown here, were
these designed at TCG's request?

7 A No. The blocking rates that are shown there were agreed to by BellSouth and TCG as part of the 8 9 specification of what kind of trunk group was being installed, whether it's an end office direct or a high 10 11 usage group or -- so they're not set by either one. It's determined -- when you agree on what kind of 12 trunks you're going to provide, in doing that you are 13 agreeing on a blocking rate. 14

Q Now, is it your position that these grades
of services are being provided to TCG at these points?

17 A It is my position that these grades of
18 service are being provided except in instances that
19 we've discussed earlier where traffic changes were not
20 anticipated and the trunk group size had to be
21 increased at a later date to continue to provide the
22 grade of service.

Q Now, this diagram shows the number and
placement of TCG trunk groups where they interconnect
with BellSouth's facilities; is that correct?

A At the particular point in time that this
 document was produced, yes. There are additional
 groups and additional trunks being added constantly as
 the network changes.

Q What do you mean?

5

TCG is growing and changing their network. 6 A They have ordered from BellSouth additional trunks in 7 several of these groups. They have ordered new trunk 8 groups to be created. And as the network -- the 9 network configuration that's shown on this diagram, 10 I'm sure, is not accurate this morning, because there 11 has work been done in the last two weeks to change the 12 13 configuration.

Q Now, TCG has testified that it has received
complaints from its customers that calls from
BellSouth subscribers to TCG subscribers are getting
blocked. Is that your recollection?

18 A As I understand it, that has been their
19 complaint.

Q I'd like you now to turn to your Late-filed Deposition Exhibit No. 6, which is also contained in the packet before you, and that's on Page 20.

23 **A** Yes.

24 Q Now, on Page 21, you've provided some 25 traffic studies showing data for both TCG and other

ALECs operating in the southeast LATA; is that 1 correct? 2 On Page 21 and following; yes, that is 3 A correct. 4 Now, these studies show where and when 5 0 blockage is occurring; isn't that correct? 6 That is correct. 7 A I know you went over some of the headings 8 Q yesterday with Mr. Willingham, but I would look to go 9 through these again, and I'd like to go over the 10 formats of the data provided to make sure we're 11 reading it correctly. 12 All right. 13 ъ You've providing blocking percentages over 14 Q the last six months for several ALECs operating in the 15 southeast LATA, correct? 16 Yes. 17 Now, sir, I'd like you to go through this 18 0 and explain all the codes and abbreviations for me 19 beginning at the top and going over. 20 And I apologize. There may be one or two 21 А that we don't use that I cannot explain, but I'll 22 23 attempt all of them. The code in the top left-hand corner, RESP 24 followed by a colon is the capacity manager 25

employment -- the employee in BellSouth, who is called a capacity manager, who is responsible for monitoring the traffic on this trunk group and for doing what we call servicing the trunk group, he or she looks at the trunk group on a weekly basis, does the analysis, and determines whether additional trunks are required or not.

8 Q I'm sorry. What kind of manager was that?
9 I didn't hear you.

10 A They're called a circuit capacity manager is 11 their job title. And the code in this particular case 12 SE34 identifies a particular individual. I do not 13 know who that is, but it's an individual in southeast 14 Florida, which is one of our organizational divisions, 15 and that's a particular individual.

The TGSN code below that is the trunk group 16 serial number. That's just an identifier for our 17 system as far as how this trunk group is tracked and 18 identified. "M day" is the measurement day, and 19 20 that's a code for -- the particular code on this sheet is average busy day. The SPL abbreviation is 21 22 explained. That's study period loads. 23 The line immediately below the dotted line

24 shows the common language location identifications of 25 the two offices involved, and following that, the

series of codes that specify the format, the signaling
 and the design criteria for the trunk group. And I
 apologize; I cannot give you all those codes. That's
 kind of a long book, but --

5 Q I'm sorry. Can you tell me which one that 6 was again?

7 A The first line with printing on it below the 8 dotted line. The line starts out "WPBH". I won't go 9 any further than that. That identifies the BellSouth 10 office, the TCG office, the type of trunk group in the 11 little coding that follows that, and the use of the 12 trunk group in the five alpha characters that follow 13 that.

There are a large number of those codes, and I apologize. I -- that particular group is a tandem group. That's the TD abbreviation, but I would have to look up the rest of it.

18 Q And then the CNST?

19AThose fields are not used. They refer to an20acronym called "trunk data servicing program".

21 They're not used as far as this report is concerned.

22 **Q** And then the next one?

23 A Next line down, the study period -- I'm
24 sorry.
25 Q Sir, no. To the right.

1 A Oh. Again, that is part of the trunk data servicing program that's simply not used. 2 Okay. Now, the first item on that line that 3 Q you began with, WPB, are those the BellSouth switches 4 connecting to the trunks in question? 5 It could be -- in this particular case it is 6 A the BellSouth switch. Depending on the directionality 7 of the trunk group, it could be either the BellSouth 8 switch or the ALEC's switch. You have to look at the 9 code to know whose -- you have to look at the book 10 that has the coded ID in it to know whose switch that 11 is. In that particular case that is the BellSouth 12 switch. 13 14 Okay. And then the left-hand column coming Q 15 down, that's the study period? A Study period. That's the day the traffic 16 17 data, the actual data, was collected on over the period of time. 18 19 And this is weekly data, correct? Q 20 A Yes. 21 And the next column indicates the number of 0 22 trunks in service and the number of trunks required, correct? 23 Right; the number of trunks in service at 24 A that particular point in time and the number that the 25

traffic data indicate are required. So the data on
 the right-hand side is collected, and then that number
 of trunks in the required column is calculated from
 that.

Q Are the numbers --

5

6 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask a question 7 on that. I guess I'm confused. You calculate the 8 blockage, right, to see what's needed as far as 9 trunks?

WITNESS STACY: Right. We start off with an 10 assumption about how the trunk group is going to be 11 designed, and you actually start off with an 12 assumption in the column that's marked "OFFD", which 13 is "offered", about how many hundred call seconds are 14 going to be offered to that trunk group. That takes 15 16 you through a design calculation and comes back to a 17 required number.

18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: So it's speculation. 19 WITNESS STACY: Yes, to start with it's 20 speculation. Then after you've done that the first 21 time, you begin to collect this actual data --22 actually, speculation is probably too loose a word. 23 It's a forecast.

You take the forecast and you make your initial assumptions. Then you begin to collect this

data, and as you collect the data, you continue to 1 2 adjust the number of trunks in the group on a weekly basis or after, in a more normal situation, you go to 3 a monthly or even a three-month basis. 4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask you this: 5 6 Your forecast determines your required trunks? 7 WITNESS STACY: Only for the initial placement of the trunk group. 8 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, let me ask it this way. I guess I have difficulty understanding how 10 you -- all right. Only initially you do it by 11 estimate and forecast? 12 WITNESS STACY: Right. 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And then how soon do 14 15 you do it by actual data? 16 WITNESS STACY: Generally within a month, 17 but it could be as quickly as the first week. There 18 are series of parameters about when you can tell if you have valid data in terms of the total number of 19 calls that were offered and how many call seconds. 20 And the capacity manager's job -- one of the 21 columns we haven't talked about over there in far 22 right-hand side is called V-A-L. That's the number of 23 days in that month -- or in that study period --24 excuse me -- that he was able to get valid data to 25

look at. And there are a whole set of criteria for 1 when data is valid or not. So when there's enough 2 3 valid data they start making adjustments. 4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. (By Ms. Barone) Mr. Stacy, under the 5 0 "Trunks" column what does the pound sign mean? 6 Under that column, it meant that there 7 A was -- that there was not enough of a significant 8 difference between the calculated number and the 9 in-service number. It actually means you hit it right 10

11 \parallel on that week.

Q Are the numbers in the "trunks required"
column based on a particular grade of service, such as
P.01 or P.02?

A Yes. And that grade of service -- and I apologize. I cannot translate that without a book -is coded into the trunk usage code, which is on the line we were discussing, that has the office names on juit.

That particular trunk group is coded as an AF-4, an "alternate final", and that goes back to a grade of service code. I believe in this particular case -- well, actually we can look at the other diagram and make that translation. That particular grade of service in AF translates to a P.01.

1QAnd, again, the next column that's headed TD2and FCST are blank.

3	A Right. Those are data elements that we do
4	not use. The F trunk traffic data and forecast are
5	the places if you started a brand new trunk group,
6	they let you use this same system to plan trunk sizes.
7	So if you had a theoretical case where you
8	wanted to determine what how many trunks would be
9	required, you can create a theoretical group in this
10	system and fill in those columns and get trunk numbers
11	to do planning work with. In this case this is a real
12	group, so that data simply doesn't exist.
13	Q Now the column headed "HR" is busy hour,
14	correct?
15	A The busy hour that was measured.
16	Q And "OFFD", is that "offered"?
17	A Offered
18	Q Does that mean number of all call attempts?
19	A Hundred call seconds. You'll see the
20	abbreviation CCS used.
21	Q And "BLKG" means "blocking rates"?
22	A That's the blocking rate for that study
23	period.
24	Q Yesterday you said the blocking percentage
25	reflects the percentage of calls blocked. On that
1	l

l								
ı	exhibit we don't have the number of calls on the page;							
2	on this page, do we?							
3	A No. The way the traffic engineering data is							
4	collected, we do not collect the number of calls.							
5	Q And all we have is the number of CCSs; is							
6	that correct?							
7	A Right. So translating that to a specific							
8	number of calls is I'm not sure whether it's							
9	possible or not.							
10	Q So what does the percentage reflect?							
11	A The percentage reflects the estimate of the							
12	blocking on the group. It is the percentage of calls							
13	offered to the group that would have been blocked, but							
14	it's done through a calculation that doesn't actually							
15	show the number of calls.							
16	Q On Page 1 of this deposition exhibit, you							
17	say that the blocking column reflects a four-week							
18	moving average of call blockages per the respective							
19	study period.							
20	A Yes.							
21	Q Does that mean that each figure shown for							
22	each week actually represents an average of that week							
23	and the three prior weeks?							
24	A Yes. That's the way it's calculated.							
25	Q What's the ZFAC?							

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

That's a term called the Z factor. That's 1 A 2 what FAC is the abbreviation for. It is a traffic engineering design tool that's called peakedness. 3 That's P-E-A-K-E-D-N-E-S-S. It is a measure of how 4 closely the traffic matches the ideal distribution 5 that's specified by the Poisson rule that I mentioned 6 earlier. 7 If it matches it very closely, that factor 8 9 is one. If it has a sharper peak in the middle -- if 10 you can imagine the normal mathematical curve for a normal distribution that we call a Bell curve 11

12 sometimes -- it has a smooth shape. If it is very 13 pointed in the middle, the Z factor is higher. And 14 the more pointed it gets in the middle, the larger the 15 Z factor gets.

That helps you to -- it's one of the factors that's used in determining how many trunks are required, because it varies from the Poisson distribution.

20 Q And what does the D/D column mean?
21 A That is another measure of volatility is the
22 way we term it. It's called day-to-day volatility.
23 If -- during the traffic engineering period, you're
24 using the busy hour to determine how many trunks you
25 need. If that changes radically from day to day, the

volatility is rated by this program as high, medium or 1 2 low. That's what the letters in the column stand for, 3 and that's another factor that goes into the 4 calculation of how many trunks are required. 5 Q And what does the VAL column mean? 6 A VAL is how many valid days of data are in 7 the study. There are a number of criteria for 8 collecting the data to ensure that you get enough data 9 to make an accurate depiction of the number of trunks 10 required. 11 I'd like to try to summarize this, and you Q tell me if I'm correct. So this data basically shows 12 13 when the traffic increases, when the blocking rates go 14 up, and when BellSouth adds trunks to accommodate the increased traffic; is that correct? 15 16 A In addition, it will also show when the CLEC adds trunks for the trunks that are in the other 17 direction. There are some two-way trunk groups 18 19 included in here, not on the sheet we have been 20 looking at. 21 How long does it take BellSouth to get the 0 reports that show significant increases in traffic? 22 23 A We get the reports weekly. And how long does it take to add trunks once 24 Q BellSouth realizes that traffic growth requires 25

1 augmentation of trunks?

A As short as 30 days, as long as four months depending on whether central office equipment has to be added or not.

5 Q I believe TCG states that the blockage 6 problems that it's concerned about are not those 7 occurring in the interconnection facilities, but 8 rather they believe blockages that are occurring in 9 the trunk groups behind the BellSouth tandem.

In other words, blockage is occurring in 10 BellSouth's own trunk groups before the calls ever get 11 Is that your understanding of TCG's position? to TCG. 12 I'm somewhat confused by their statements 13 because they have indicated both -- they've indicated 14 concern over both areas in the trunk groups between 15 the tandem and their switches, and in BellSouth's 16 network. But I have heard them express both concerns, 17 18 yes.

19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: If the blockage is on 20 your side, that affects your retail customers as well 21 as TCG?

WITNESS STACY: Yes. And that was one of the reasons we had a little difficulty understanding their concern. If you can envision the simplest traffic pattern, the traffic from a BellSouth end user

calling TCG goes to the switch that BellSouth calls
 its LATA tandem. The trunk group that that's routed
 on carries all of the traffic destined for every IXC
 in that LATA, all of the independent companies that
 are served by interLATA, intraLATA services all
 together with the ALEC's traffic.

7 And those trunk groups are very, very, very 8 carefully watched to make sure that we don't have 9 blockage on them, because among other reasons, we get 10 a lot of grief from the IXCs; but we're very 11 interested in making sure that we complete as many 12 calls as we possibly can that route on those trunk 13 groups.

14 So we have not understood their concern 15 about blockages on that side of the interface. We 16 have worked with them and other companies about 17 blockages that occur between us and them when dramatic 18 changes occur in the traffic.

19 Q (By Ms. Barone) Could you expound on 20 the -- I think earlier you said it could take anywhere 21 from a week to 30 days to -- I'm sorry. Was it 30 22 days to four months to be able to add trunks once you 23 realized that you've got a problem?

24 || **A** Yes.

25 Q Can you explain why it would take four

And really walk me through it; give me an 1 months. example and why and what needs to be added. 2 And let me take a specific office as an 3 A The tandem we've been talking about here is 4 example. BellSouth's tandem at Grande, which is in Miami. 5 And I want to clarify this for you. I'd 6 Q like to know of an example that would take four months 7 and then compare it to an example that would take 30 8 9 days. BellSouth orders -- does a forecast of 10 Ά Yes. the amount of equipment required to terminate trunks 11 in that office. And when I say terminate -- I'm 12 sorry. If I lapse off into technical language here, 13 stop me. BellSouth has to order equipment for that 14 15 office periodically. 16 In general, because of the fact that you're going into a working central office and changing the 17 switch, it is not cost-effective or efficient to do 18 that very often. There is a danger anytime you go 19 20 into a working central office and add equipment that you'll do something to disrupt service. 21

So in general, the orders to add equipment to those central offices are spaced out some period of time. In a very small central office that might be spaced out as far as once a year.

So we look at the entire forecast for the next year, try to get a grip on all of the demands that are going to be placed on that central office for additional services, and order all the equipment and get it put in.

In a large central office like Grande, those
jobs typically are worked at shorter intervals,
generally as short as about three to four months.

9 So if you get to a situation where you have 10 used up all of the trunk equipment that you had 11 forecast for that period of time, and the demand 12 changes and you have to go purchase, install and 13 provision new equipment, you might be in the cycle 14 where it actually requires four months to do a job.

15 On the other hand, if you have just 16 completed working the equipment installation and you 17 have spare capacity, you might be at the interval 18 where it takes 30 days or even less to work the job to 19 add trunks.

So it's very difficult to predict, until you get to a specific situation with a specific office with a specific number of trunks, whether it's going to be provisioned immediately, a week, 30 days, or four months later.

25

In general, if the forecasts are good, we

1 try to keep those intervals underneath 30 days. But 2 when we have to add equipment, when we have to go back 3 to the manufacturer, purchase additional equipment and 4 have it installed, that interval can be as long as 5 four months.

6 Q Would you please turn to Page 23 of the 7 exhibit?

8 **COMMISSIONER DEASON:** Before you leave, I 9 have a couple questions on that page. The last 10 column, days of valid data, the second entry there, is 11 that enough days of valid data to make a decision, or 12 does it take more days than that?

WITNESS STACY: That is enough, but barely 13 enough. What happened on that particular group, if 14 you'll look -- and I won't talk about the numbers --15 but if you'll look beginning on June the 9th, there 16 were significant changes in the traffic offered to 17 that group, and the traffic engineer went in and 18 started studying short periods of days trying to 19 determine what the requirement for that group was. 20

That valid period -- he can actually go in and order the computer to do the normal study, which is about 20 days worth of data, or he can order the study to be spit out.

25

If you think of it as a moving line, he's

telling the computer, don't look at the data older 1 than five days old because something happened to 2 change and I want to forget about the old data in your 3 I want you to look only at the most calculation. 4 5 recent data. So five days is valid if a design 6 professional has made that decision looking at the 7 data that the change -- a change has happened and he 8 needs to look at the short interval. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. 10 (By Ms. Barone) Mr. Stacy, it appears on 11 Q that page that trunks were added in two places at 12 one-week intervals on this page. 13 Yes. 14 A And I guess I want to understand --15 0 There were -- that's at the bottom of my 16 A comments. If we have spare facilities and equipment 17 in place, then trunks can be added in five days or so, 18 and the interval goes from there on out. It depends 19 on whether you have spare capacity in both offices. 20 Remember, the trunks have to be terminated not only in 21 BellSouth's office, but in this case in TCG's office. 22 So they have to be able to match that capacity on a 23 one-for-one basis. 24 25 On Page 32 of that exhibit --Q

Excuse me. 32? 1 Yes, sir. It's titled "Final Trunk 2 Q Groups-CLEC." Would you describe the type of trunk 3 groups that are considered final trunk groups? 4 In the traffic design system, a final trunk 5 group is the last choice that traffic going between 6 two companies has before it runs into a blocked 7 condition. 8 So if there were in the network -- as I 9 described earlier, there are three points of 10 interconnection to BellSouth for ALECs. They can 11 connect directly from their switch to BellSouth's end 12 office; they can connect directly from their switch to 13 BellSouth's local tandems; and they can connect 14 directly from their switch to BellSouth's access 15 tandems. 16 Almost all of the companies started their 17 interconnection trunking with us at the access tandem 18 level, because that gives you the ability to reach the 19 most customers with the fewest points of 20 interconnection, but it's a multiple network. 21 So if And a final group is the last choice. 22

22 And a final group is the last choice. So if 23 you envision that all three of those groups existed, 24 the traffic that could not be handled by the end 25 office trunk group between BellSouth and an ALEC would

then be routed to an alternate trunk group, a final 1 2 || trunk group, that went either to the local tandem or to the LATA tandem. And those are called final trunk 3 groups and have a different design criteria. 4 Mr. Stacy, when BellSouth local traffic gets 5 Q blocked, how does the overflow get routed? Does it 6 II get routed to the access tandem like the ALEC traffic? 7 BellSouth's finals for its local traffic are 8 generally at the local tandem level. They do not get 9 routed to the LATA tandem. 10 To the what tandem? 0 11 The LATA tandem -- the access tandem. I'm 12 A sorry. I called it two different things. 13 || You don't design the network to have ALEC 14 Q traffic overflow to the local tandem; isn't that 15 16 correct? That design is a mutual decision between 17 A BellSouth and the ALEC. If they have a point of the 18 interconnection at the local tandem, that overflow 19 would be designed that way. But the points where they 20 interconnect to the network are their business choice, 21 and then once they've made that decision, we have to 22 23 sit down and design the routing. Back to the final trunk groups. If you'll 24 Q look at diagram -- the diagram located on Page 3 which 25

is in your Late-filed Deposition Exhibit No. 4, are 1 any final trunk groups shown on that diagram? 2 In general -- there's not quite enough 3 A Yes. information here to be 100% sure. But in general, all 4 of those trunk groups where the design criteria is 5 shown as .005, that is a design that's reflected --6 reflected that that is a final group. 7 Now, do final trunk groups for ALECs always 8 0 connect to access tandems? 9 No, they do not. They can connect at any of 10 A the three points, although generally -- I don't ever 11 remember seeing an end office trunk group that was a 12 final, but there's -- that's not a physical 13 limitation. It's just a design choice. 14 15 Q Does any of the data reflected here serve to prove or disprove TCG's argument that the blockage it 16 complains about is on BellSouth's side of the network? 17 To the best of my knowledge, this data set 18 does not prove or disprove that particular contention. 19 It's responsive to the questions that were asked in 20 the deposition about how the network was managed. 21 The proof or disproof of that particular 22 condition -- contention is in the ARMIS Report that's 23 delivered normally to the FCC that demonstrates the 24 blockage on the groups that go to the LATA tandem. 25

Q So BellSouth hasn't provided any information
 in this proceeding that shows whether and to what
 degree BellSouth calls to TCG's customers are not
 being blocked?

To my knowledge, in this exhibit that data 5 has not been provided. But, as I've described the 6 design of the trunking network to you earlier, TCG's 7 customers are treated on that side of the network no 8 differently than any other of BellSouth's customers or 9 any other -- or any interexchange carrier's customers 10 trying to reach them, and that's why we have not 11 attempted to produce data to specifically refute that, 12 because there is no TCG specific data that exists. 13

Q And the portion of the ARMIS Report hasn't
been produced in this proceeding either?

A I thought that it had been produced as one of the exhibits, but I do not have it in my hand, and I'm possibly confusing with having produced it for some other purpose. That report is publicly available and, I believe, is furnished to the Commission regularly as part of our reporting, but I'm --.

Q Has BellSouth provided any data to TCG that shows the blockage rates occurring in the BellSouth network versus those occurring in the interconnection facilities between BellSouth and TCG?

To my knowledge, we have not furnished any 1 λ specific data to TCG about blockages on our side of 2 the network. Where we have furnished data is the 3 blockages between BellSouth and TCG. 4 5 Has TCG asked you for that? 0 To my knowledge, TCG has not asked us for 6 A that. This set of information was responsive to the 7 questions that they ask about percent blocked in the 8 network in South Florida, and I believe we were 9 responsive to each question with the set of data that 10 they were looking for. 11 12 Has BellSouth ever provided this data to any Q 13 ALEC? 14 A To my knowledge, we have not. 15 Has any ALEC ever requested this information 0 from BellSouth? 16 17 No; to my knowledge, they have not. A 18 I'd like now to refer you to Late-filed Q 19 Deposition Exhibit No. 5, which is on Page 6, and we have --20 21 I'm sorry. That's not in the confidential A 22 group, right? 23 Yes, it is, and it's no longer confidential. Q 24 Let's check with Ms. White on this. Page 6. 25 WITNESS STACY: Yes.

MR. WILLINGHAM: Are we talking about the 1 page that starts with "communication"? 2 MS. BARONE: Yes, that's it. 3 MR. WILLINGHAM: Is there a bullet at the 4 top that says "communication"? Is that the page? 5 MS. WHITE: Yes. 6 MR. WILLINGHAM: My pages are not numbered. 7 MS. WHITE: TCG has said that that page and 8 those two paragraphs are not confidential. 9 MR. WILLINGHAM: But only this page, not 10 this entire --11 MS. BARONE: Yes, just this page. And what 12 we've done is we've made a copy. If you want to, 13 || Mr. Greer, give a copy to the attorneys so that they 14 15 can see that. We have made a copy of this page and we will 16 ask that it be identified as an exhibit since it is no 17 longer confidential. 18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: It will be identified as 19 Exhibit 57. 20 MS. BARONE: Madam Chairman, was that 21 Exhibit 57? 22 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Uh-huh. What's the short 23 title on this? 24 25 MS. BARONE: TCG Communication.

Communication between TCG and BellSouth. 1 (Exhibit 57 marked for identification.) 2 (By Ms. Barone) Would you read this aloud, 3 Q please, sir? 4 Yes. "Held joint planning meeting in May at 5 A which time we indicated a desire to initiate end 6 office trunking. TCG was unresponsive and did not 7 know which central offices would benefit from this 8 trunking arrangement. 9 The South Florida CCMs have developed an 10 excellent rapport with the local TCG operations people 11 as well as the centrally located provisioning 12 personnel. We've always found them cooperative, and I 13 believe they've always found us receptive and anxious 14 to assist them." 15 What I'm trying to do is reconcile the two 16 Q paragraphs. And the first paragraph says that TCG was 17 unresponsive. The second it appears that TCG 18 cooperates. Can you expound on this or shed light on 19 20 this? The unresponsive, I believe, was 21 Ά specifically directed to the question of when and 22 I where end office trunking might benefit TCG. 23 And unresponsive is that in that meeting in May, as best I 24 understand that, they simply were unable to determine 25

if so, where they wanted it installed. 2 But then the second paragraph is a summary 3 provided by the circuit capacity manager that 4 indicates that the overall relationship, they believe, 5 has been going quite well. 6 Thank you. With respect to ordering 7 Q interconnection trunks, what are the usual intervals 8 required for BellSouth to install trunk groups on its 9 own network? 10 They range over the same range because we 11 A use the same processes. If equipment facilities are 12 available, the installation can take place in as short 13 || as a week. It can be an interval as long as six 14 15 months. Sir, before I turn to my next line of 16 Q questioning, I'd like to ask if you can provide a 17 late-filed exhibit of the agreement between BellSouth 18 and Time Warner regarding performance standards? 19 A 20 Yes. MS. WHITE: Yes, we can do that, but as of 21 this second, I'm not sure whether it is signed. We 22 can provide it after it has been signed. 23 MS. BARONE: Okay. And I would like that 24 identified as Late-filed Exhibit 58, Performance 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

whether they wanted end office trunking installed and

1

Standards Agreement between BellSouth and Time Warner. 1 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okav. 2 (Late-Filed Exhibit 58 identified.) 3 MS. BARONE: Thank you. 4 (By Ms. Barone) I have one quick question 5 0 about interim number portability. In your deposition 6 transcript you stated that BellSouth will measure the 7 interim number portability service failure rate. Has 8 BellSouth done so? 9 We are beginning to do so. We have not 10 produced a report on that, but the report that's to be 11 produced counts interim number portability as an 12 unbundled network element not requiring a dispatch. 13 So incidents of troubles related to that will begin 14 showing up in that category. 15 So have you measured the rate yet? 16 Q We have not. It's being done right now as 17 λ part of the August data, but it's not complete. 18 Is there any industry performance standard 19 0 for interim number portability service? 20 There is none that I know of. 21 Ά When do you think that report will be 22 0 complete in terms of measuring the interim number 23 24 portability failure rate? I believe it will be complete on about the 25

15th of this month. The folks are working on it right 1 2 now. Sir, now I have some other questions 3 Q regarding your deposition. 4 Yes. 5 3 On Page 29 you state that the September 6 Q report will compare the performance of BellSouth to 7 ALECs' retail services. Is BellSouth also compiling a 8 comparative report for UNEs? 9 10 A The report will include UNEs, but there is 11 no comparison to BellSouth, because BellSouth does not 12 provide UNEs to its own retail units. So the data 13 will be there, but it's -- what it's intended to say is that there's no comparison. 14 I 15 On Page 30 of your deposition at Lines 22 Q through 23, you're discussing the September report 16 17 that you just referred to. You state that "We are 18 using this set of performance measurements." Are you 19 referring to the performance measurements set out in the AT&T/BellSouth agreement? 20 21 A Yes. 22 0 Then on Page 33 and 34 you discuss the SPC. That's the statistical process control? 23 24 A Yes. 25 Does SPC show an ALEC its average Q

performance intervals compared to BellSouth's average
performance intervals?

A I'm sorry. We'll have to be specific about that. There is no SPC data that we have proposed to report that shows intervals except in average duration in maintenance.

7 Otherwise, it's comparing a performance 8 measure like percentage due dates met. But it will 9 show the ALECs' average for each of the categories as 10 well as BellSouth retail average for each of the 11 categories when the chart form is produced. So I 12 don't know if I got to your question quite there or 13 not.

Q Well, do you use SPC for provisioning?
A Yes, but we don't report intervals for
provisioning under this proposed standard. We report
percent due dates met for provisioning. So there is
no comparison of the intervals proposed.

19QCan the SPC's lower and upper limits be set20using less than three standard deviations?

A Yes, they can. The statistical -- the control process that we use internally normally uses three standard deviations to account for the variations in the performance that occur from month to month, but they can be set at different points.

1 Q What criteria did you use to set your upper 2 limit?

Three standard deviations, the process 3 A control series of statistical advisors that we use 4 have given us the criteria of setting that at three 5 standard deviations, so that on a month-to-month basis 6 you are not looking at pieces of data and trying to 7 explain pieces of data that are aberrations. You want 8 to determine whether or not a fundamental component of 9 the process has changed. 10

And the indications they have given to us is that three standard deviations is the appropriate place to make that determination. So that's why we have suggested setting them at that point.

On Page 35 you stated that since BellSouth 15 Q has not traditionally provisioned UNEs, that BellSouth 16 17 has had to set these performance targets. Are these performance targets the ones specified in your SGAT? 18 19 They are specified in the SGAT in terms of A the data to be measured. The intervals that become 20 the basis of the targets are specified in the letter 21 that I believe is attachment D to my direct -- yes, 22

23 attachment D to my direct testimony. They have to be 24 taken together.

Q Were these performance targets set using

25

1 historical data?

A No. There is no historical data available
on unbundled network elements. They were set using an
analysis of BellSouth's systems.

Q On Page 42 you state that you have not done a detailed step-by-step comparison of the LCUG with BellSouth's proposed performance measures. Has this changed?

That has not changed. We have looked at the 9 Ά LCUG proposal, and having an agreement with AT&T and 10 now an agreement with Time Warner, we have set down 11 the path of negotiating agreements with the individual 12 carriers in making proposals to the Commission and 13 have not addressed the LCUG proposal specifically. 14 On Page 43 you indicate that you have not 15 Q set measurements on unbundled switched ports because 16 of the low number of provisions so far. 17 Now, these measurements you're referring to, 18

18 Now, these measurements you're referring to,
19 are they related to the SPC?

A Yes, I have collected the data on unbundled switch ports, but we've not done anything with that data simply because the total volume of unbundled switch ports provided is tiny and the data is not reflective of anything meaningful.

25 Q Can BellSouth use this low number to set

1 average intervals for provisioning these unbundled 2 switch ports?

We have made a determination of the No. 3 A interval using our best efforts, but this number will 4 be useful to do that until someone orders these in 5 There's no historical data to work from here. volume. 6 Is it your position that the Commission 7 Q should outline performance standards in this 8 9 proceeding? It's our position that we would encourage 10 A the Commission to adopt the performance measures and 11 that if the Commission wishes to establish performance 12 standards in addition to the standards it has already 13 established in Florida as part of this proceeding, 14 that we believe that could be appropriate. 15 I'd like to refer you to your Exhibit WNS 16 Q attached to your direct testimony where BellSouth 17 shows BellSouth business performance using SPC. 18 Yesterday you responded to Mr. Melson --19 I'm sorry. Which exhibit? 20 A 21 WNS-C. Q I'm sorry. Yes. 22 Ά You stated yesterday that this exhibit was 23 Q missing the monthly average performances; is that 24 || 25 correct?

A Yes. It's simply not plotted on this the way this exhibit was prepared. On the form of the exhibits -- or the form of the chart that's proposed going forward, there would be two additional lines near the middle of the chart, one of which shows the BST average and one of which shows the ALEC average performance.

8 Q Earlier you mentioned that there will be a 9 report on the 15th. Will this report be based on SPC 10 standards?

11 A Where it will be based on SPC standards for 12 those services where they exist; and that's in general 13 the retail services that are offered through the ALECs 14 at resale.

Q Will that graph include the average monthly
performances of both the ALEC and BellSouth?

17 $\|$ **A** Yes, it will.

MS. BARONE: Thank you. That's all I have.
 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Commissioners? Redirect?
 MR. RANKIN: I have a few questions. Thank
 you, Madame Chairman.

22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23 BY MR. RANKIN:
24 Q Mr. Stacy, would you turn back with me to
25 Late-filed Exhibit No. 6 for just a moment?

[]										
1	A Yes.									
2	Q Are you there? Does the data that Staff									
3	asked you about reflect blockage on certain days in									
4	the trunks that run specifically to TCG?									
5	A Yes, it does.									
6	Q And whether there was a blockage in the									
7	BellSouth portion of the network would be reflected, I									
8	believe you said, in ARMIS data?									
9	A That's correct.									
10	Q And could you tell me a little bit more									
11	about what that data is?									
12	A That data is a national standard report from									
13	BellSouth to the FCC that's produced at varying									
14	intervals. At the present time, I believe the									
15	interval is quarterly when that report is produced,									
16	but that interval has changed from year to year.									
17	And that is a requirement of the FCC to									
18	determine whether the common transport trunk groups									
19	that we've referred to as finals that go from all of									
20	BellSouth's end offices and local tandems to the									
21	access tandems are providing adequate service.									
22	The FCC has used that as a determinant for									
23	the design of the whole incumbent local exchange									
24	carriers' network and their interaction with the									
25	interexchange carriers for some period of time.									

II									
1	Q Is that information publicly available								
2	A Yes, it is.								
3	Q Is the information pertinent to this								
4	blocking issue with respect to TCG, how big a part of								
5	that is it?								
6	A That information is the most reflective								
7	information that we have of the block of any								
8	blocking on BellSouth's network for customers								
9	attempting to reach the access tandem to transit the								
10	tandem for any kind of call.								
11	So that data indicates clearly although I								
12	don't have the report with me since it's very								
13	widely publicized that BellSouth's network does not								
14	block calls going to the access tandem.								
15	Q How many pieces of paper are we talking								
16	about relative to								
17	A The summary report is at the company level,								
18	and then there are reports that go all the way down to								
19	the individual access tandem level. So we're talking								
20	in that particular case maybe 50 or 60 separate								
21	reports.								
22	Q Is there a report specific to TCG, then?								
23	A There is not a report specific to TCG,								
24	because all trunk customers are treated exactly the								
25	same way behind the tandem, whether they're a customer								

1694

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

trying to reach TCG or a customer trying to reach AT&T 1 or Sprint or Worldcom to complete a call, or a 2 customer trying to reach an independent company that's 3 connected at the access tandem. All the calls are 4 treated the same. 5 How could we get the information specific to 6 Q the TCG blocking issue out of that ARMIS Report? 7 8 A To my knowledge, there is no way to compile TCG specific information, simply because the customers 9 10 are all treated the same. 11 Q I believe you said the ARMIS Report is specific to tandems? 12 13 Specific to access tandems, yes. λ And if we knew which tandems that TCG --14 0 15 We could tell how all customers at those Ä 16 tandems were treated. But, again, that includes TCG's 17 customers as a subset. 18 But I guess what I'm trying to say and have 19 been trying to say here is, if there were a problem in 20 that part of BellSouth's network, we would have heard 21 about it from an interexchange carrier long before we would have heard about it from TCG, because they are 22 23 very sensitive to calls not being completed for their customers in both directions; and that's the reason 24 25 those trunk groups are designed so tightly and are

watched so closely. There are not problems in that 1 part of BellSouth's network. 2 Mr. Stacy, would you be able to provide the 3 Q most recent ARMIS Report on blockage? 4 Yes. 5 Ά Q As a late-filed in this proceeding? 6 7 A Yes, we will. 8 MR. RANKIN: I'm trying to remember what 9 number are we on. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: 59. What was the title? 10 11 MR. RANKIN: ARMIS Blockage Report. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: The entire ARMIS? 12 WITNESS STACY: The -- I'm sorry. I didn't 13 mean to interrupt. The trunk blocking portion of the 14 ARMIS Report. 15 16 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. 17 (Late-Filed Exhibit 59 identified.) 18 MR. RANKIN: That's all I have. Thank you, Mr. Stacy. 19 20 WITNESS STACY: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Exhibits? 21 MR. RANKIN: BellSouth moves Exhibit 51. 22 23 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: 51. (Exhibit 51 received in evidence.) 24 25 MS. BARONE: Staff moves 52 and 57.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: 52 and 57. 1 (Exhibits 52 and 57 received in evidence.) 2 MR. MELSON: MCI moves 53. 3 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: 53. 4 (Exhibit 53 received in evidence.) 5 MS. RULE: AT&T moves 54, 55 and 56. 6 (Exhibits 54, 55, 56 received in evidence.) 7 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Show them all 8 admitted without objection. 9 Any other matters for this witness? You may 10 be excused. And we're going to take a ten-minute 11 | break. Ms. Rule? 12 MS. RULE: Chairman Johnson, Ms. White and I 13 talked this morning about the possibility of recalling 14 Mr. Stacy, if necessary, after she produces some of 15 I the discovery responses we discussed on Tuesday. 16 17 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay, then. You may not be excused. 18 19 MS. WHITE: And if that becomes necessary, Mr. Stacy has a rather full schedule next week, so it 20 may have to be a specific day at a specific time. 21 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Just let me know 22 as soon as possible. 23 MS. WHITE: I will. Thank you. 24 25

1		(Transcript	continues	in	sequence	in
2	Volume					
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24 25						
25						

н