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October 28, 1997
HAND DELIVERED
Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
Re: Request for Specified Confidential Treatment EPSC Docket No. $970001=$ EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:
This will supplement Tampa Electric Company's July 3, 1997 Request for Specified Confidential Treatment of certain information provided to the Commission's local audit staff in connection with a routine Commission audit of the fuel expense of the company for the period ended March 31, 1997.

In the July 3, 1997 filing Tampa Electric included certain numbered justifications for confidentiality. The company inadvertently omitted the descriptions of justifications 9 through 11 which are added as follows:
(9) This data can be used to back into the amounts in column ( h ), lines 7-9 and 30-32 which confidential treatment has been requested in rationales (1), (2) and (3), disclosure of which would adversely affect Tampa Electric's ability to contract for services on favorable terms.
(10) This subject information is fuel purchase when used in conjunction with the Commission Forms 423 the contractual rate per ton would be disclosed. This is contractual information the public disclosure of which would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. As such, this information is entitled to confidential protection.
(11) This subject information is the ocean barging transportation for the fuel purchase in rationale (15) when used in conjunction with the Commission Forms 423 the contractual transportation rate per ton would be disclosed. This is contractual information the public disclosure of which would impair the efforts of Tampa DOCUMENT NUMAER-DATE
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Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. As such, this information is entitled to confidential protection.

In addition on the public versions of Workpaper pages 58-1B the amount reflected in line 40, column ( $n$ ) inadvertently was not redacted. Enclosed are two replacement copies of the public version of page $58-1 B$ with the amount in question redacted. We request that you substitute these revised versions and return the original ones to us.

Finally, in the document entitled Justification for Confidentiality of Audit Workpapers for the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 1997, on page 4, reference to line 12 of Workpaper 58-2A was inadvertently omitted from the chart showing workpaper number, line, column and rationale. Attached is a revised copy of page 4 with the reference to line 12 included. We would appreciate your substituting it for the original filing.
please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.
Sincerely,


JDB/pp
Enclosures

