1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 4 In the Matter of DOCKET NO. 960598-TP 5 Implementation of Florida Telecommunications Access 6 System Act of 1991. 7 8 9 PROCEEDINGS: ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING 10 11 12 DATE: Tuesday, May 5, 1998 13 TIME: Commenced at 1:00 p.m. 14 Concluded at 3:00 p.m. 15 PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center 16 Room 152 4075 Esplanade Way 17 Tallahassee, Florida 18 REPORTED BY: JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR 19 Chief, Bureau of Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 20 21 DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 22 23 24

25

1	IN ATTENDANCE:
2	JAMES FORSTALL, Executive Director, FTRI.
3	CHARLES ESTES, MCI.
4	ALEXANDER FLEISCHMAN, Florida Association of
5	the Deaf, Inc.
6	TESSA LITTLE, Advocacy Center for Persons with
7	Disabilities, Inc.
8	JERRY CONNER, Deaf Service Center Association
9	RITA SLATER, Florida Association of the Deaf
10	JOSEPH C. SCHAD, Florida Language Speech and
11	Hearing Association.
12	KIM WOBSCHALL, TRS General Manager MCI
13	SHIRLEY JONES, Self Help for Hard of Hearing People
14	FOR THE FPSC:
15	RICHARD TUDOR, ALAN TAYLOR, LAURA KING and
16	DON McDONALD, FPSC Division of Communications.
17	
18	
19	INTERPRETERS:
20	SHARN STARLING
21	STEVIE FENTON
22	
23	
24	
25	

+	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Meeting convened at 1:00 p.m.)
3	MR. TUDOR: Is everyone ready to begin?
4	I want to welcome everyone here. My name is
5	Richard Tudor, for those who may not know me, with the
6	Staff of the Public Service Commission.
7	I thought maybe what we ought to do first is
8	go around and introduce everyone. Why don't we do
9	that. We'll just start with the Staff up here to my
LO	right.
11	MS. KING: Hi. I'm Laura King.
12	MR. TAYLOR: Alan Taylor.
13	MR. McDONALD: Don McDonald.
14	MR. TUDOR: Start down here with you
15	Mr. Schad.
16	MR. SCHAD: Joe Schad. I represent FLSHA,
17	Florida Language Speech and Hearing Association. I'm
18	from Boca Raton, Florida.
19	MR. CONNER: I'm Jerry Conner. I represent
20	the Deaf Center Association. I'm from St. Petersburg,
21	Florida.
22	MS. JONES: Shirley Jones from Tallahassee
23	repesenting the Self Help for Hard of Hearing, SHHH.
24	Thank you.
25	MS. LITTLE: I'm Tessa Little representing

the Advocacy Center for Persons with Disabilities here in Tallahassee.

MS. SLATER: My name is Rita Slater. I represent FAD, Florida Association of the Deaf.

MR. TUDOR: Okay. And we've got a speaker or two that will be talking later, and we'll introduce them as they come up.

Let's see. On the committee, one of the things I handed out there was what I hope is a pretty current list of the Advisory Committee members. If you should see any errors on that, phone numbers or anything like that, there are some extra copies on the table over here -- if you see any errors in that, please just mark those and give those to me later.

Let's see, of the members that are on the committee currently, Ms. Little is here, Jerry Conner is here. Mr. Fleishman has arrived but he stepped out for just a moment. And Ms. Slater. Mr. Schad. Susan Langston is with the Florida Telephone Association, and she had a death in her family, called earlier and will not be able to be here today. Mr. Spooner, my understanding was they are probably going to be recommending a replacement for Mr. Spooner, and so we'll be hearing about that later from them. And then Ms. Jones. So we have most of us here so we'll go

ahead and get started.

I think of our committee members the only one that has not been voted on by the Commissioners is Mr. Schad, or has he been already?

MS. KING: I believe he's been voted on.

MR. TUDOR: Okay. So everybody is official.

Okay. Great. We have basically four items I know of right now on our agenda. We'll be discussing the FTRI budget. Then we'll talk about the new subcontractor that MCI will be using. Then we have two items that were raised by Mr. Schad, and in the information I just handed out to you is a letter from Mr. Schad, so each of you should have a copy of that.

It deals with two issues. One deals with the distribution of the electrolarynx by FTRI as a specialized telecommunications device, and the other issue deals with the makeup of the Advisory Committee, and dealing with the issue of the two organizations that have been dissolved but -- are listed in the statute but no longer are active: The League of Seniors, and another one -- I can't think of what its name is -- League of Seniors and Coalition for Persons with Dual Sensory Disabilities.

Those two organizations are not currently

active, but I have, a couple times in the past, spoken with Harry Anderson. And there may be some activity with the Coalition for Persons with Dual Sensory Disabilities. Maybe not exactly as that same body, but a body that may have similar goals and objectives and that sort of thing. I've not heard from them recently. So currently we have two organizations that are not actively functioning at this time.

So with that, what I'd like to do is see if there are any opening comments anyone would like to make before we go into the FTRI budget. (No response)

If not, James, I guess that puts you on the spotlight there. This is James Forstall with FTRI.

James, how long have you been with us now?

MR. FORSTALL: Two and a half years.

MR. TUDOR: Two and a half years. Great. We appreciate your work over there at FTRI and all you are accomplishing.

MR. FORSTALL: Thank you.

MR. TUDOR: The FTRI budget has to be approved each year by the Public Service Commission. And this is for the fiscal year beginning July 1, of '98 through June 30th, 1999. And so we asked James if he would come and give us some of the highlights of that budget. It's included in the package with your

agenda today.

So, James, with that, I'll turn it over to you.

MR. FORSTALL: Thank you, Mr. Tudor, the PSC and the Advisory Committee members for inviting me to give this presentation.

I'm glad to be here to discuss the fiscal year 98-99 budget. You've all received a copy of the budget, so I'll just summarize what we've done and answer any questions you may have.

The surcharge revenue for fiscal year '98-99 is based on a 4.5% growth factor and the total number of access lines reported in fiscal year '97-98. This growth rate has remained stable in the 4% to 5% range for the past several years. The proposed revenues are \$13,313,216. Total expenses for fiscal year '98-99 are \$14,328,239, which is \$1,015,023 over the revenues. The difference will be transferred from the surplus account, which we expect to be approximately \$4.4 million.

Despite our efforts to maintain the surplus account at approximately 1.2 million, which is an equivalent of one month's worth of cash disbursements, the increase was due to unexpected credit and a variance in the expenses for the relay service, which

combined for over \$2.2 million.

1.5

Because of the increase in the surplus account, and based on the best information available to us, the Board has approved a recommendation to reduce the surcharge from 12 cents to 11 cents effective with the new fiscal year. We estimate that a surcharge of this level will enable FTRI to maintain our current level of service, plus growth, for the next two to three years, and at the same time reduce the surplus account. This approach provides stability in the surcharge level for a period of time, but also retains flexibility for us.

FTRI now has over 145,000 individuals in the active client database. It is evident that FTRI and 13 regional distribution centers are reaching out to meet the telecommunications access needs of citizens who are deaf, hard of hearing, dual sensory disabled or speech impaired. FTRI looks forward to another successful year. And I'll be glad to answer any questions anyone may have regarding the budget at this point.

MR. TUDOR: Met let me see first if any members of the Advisory Committee had any questions about the budget. Ms. Slater.

MS. SLATER: The budget is going -- for the

equipment and for the services both, correct?

MR. FORSTALL: Would you repeat the question, please? Are you talking about the relay service? Dual-party relay service. Yes, it does. It includes both.

MS. SLATER: What I'm thinking about is the 12 cents, lowering it to 11 cents. Why is it that we're using some of the surplus? Why don't we want to use that to improve the relay service? You know, pay the CAs better, you know, get their salaries up?

MR. TUDOR: Basically, the situation is that on the relay service itself, that service is provided based on a contract that has a three-year life on it. The salaries that MCI and its subcontractor actually pays to the relay operators is strictly a private contractual relationship between them.

MCI so much per minute for providing the relay service and everything that's related to that: The telephone equipment as well as the human resources. And MCI makes a decision about how much to pay their subcontractors and how much to pay for computers and how much to spend on long distance telephone service. Those sorts of things. Those are all decisions they make at the same time that they charge the state a

flat amount that's in the contract that they reached with us about a year and a half ago. So it's not --

MS. SLATER: I think the quality of the CAs' service should be improved, if we can do that. I get a lot of complaints from other people, you know, and I, too, have had bad experiences using the relay.

MR. FORSTALL: I imagine that MCI would be able to answer those questions for you.

MS. SLATER: If MCI paid the CAs well, then we could get more qualified people, more quality work.

MR. TUDOR: Jerry.

MR. CONNER: I think all of us are sometimes a little confused about who is responsible to do what. I know that I've always been wondering who is responsible for advertising that the relay service exists. And I always get mixed messages. And I know often James and I have talked about that; who is doing this? Because, frankly, I don't think anybody is.

Well, I have the same concerns that Rita does about quality services. I'm even more concerned about the fact that deaf people are having businesses hang up on them when they call them through the relay service because they think it's some kind of marketing ploy or something else.

And I know that MCI has a big presence in

deafness-related conferences and they sponsor conferences, and they do a lot of advertising in the deaf community. But that's not where the advertising is needed. The deaf people know about the service.

My concern is that the general business community, the employment community, the people in the general public are totally unaware of what the relay service is all about. And I guess I'm concerned that reducing the amount of money that we have to work with, it's always a lot easier to cut it than it is to get it back once it's been lost.

I would be more concerned that we've not put any effort toward promoting the relay service, advertising the relay service, training the general community on what the relay service is, even television advertising. None of that is happening.

And without that, I don't care how well we train the CAs, I don't care how well we train the deaf community or how many machines we put out there, we are going to have an ongoing, never-ending problem of the general community hanging up on deaf people or getting very frustrated with the relay service because it takes to long to get started.

So I would -- my concern would be I think we need to turn some direction toward that advertising

and there ought to be something built into the budget to cover that. Whether that's supposed to come from MCI or FTRI, I'm not sure. I'm as concerned about that as -- I don't see any money for that.

MR. TUDOR: Let me address the issue of whose role that is. Just clarify that.

The way -- every state seems to set up their programs a little differently in terms of who is responsible for what. But in Florida we have several organizations.

The Public Service Commission has general oversight over the relay and equipment distribution programs. The legislature asked us to establish a nonprofit corporation, which is FTRI, to perform certain functions, and then they asked the Public Service Commission to contract with a relay provider.

The nonprofit administrator, which is FTRI, they have several roles to play. One is that they distribute the equipment. Another is that basically they are the banker for this whole system. And that's why, in response to Ms. Slater's question, they have basically two bills to pay — really more than that, but basically two. One is to pay for the relay service. So even though the contract is between MCI and the Public Service Commission, we don't pay the

bills. Mr. Forstall pays the bills, both for the equipment distribution program and for the relay service. And he does that because all of the money that's collected on everybody's telephone bill, that 12 cents a month, goes to -- of course, first it goes to the local telephone company that you pay your bill to. And then they send the money to Mr. Forstall and he banks it, protects it, makes sure it's carefully accounted for, and then he uses that money for the two main purposes: The distribution program of equipment and the relay.

But another role that he has also is outreach. And the statute assigns that role to the administrator.

MCI, of course, their role is to provide the relay service. And because the outreach is provided by the administrator, in our contract with them we do not require them to provide outreach. Now, in other states it works differently where the relay provider is the outreach tool.

I would guess that the legislature felt that based upon the organization that they created, that since outreach needs to apply both to the distribution program and relay, that that is why they assigned that task to the administrator rather than to the relay

provider.

Now, MCI certainly does some outreach. They do a lot of community work and those sorts of things. Because it's to their benefit because if they price their service right, every minute that somebody's on the network using the relay service, they are making some amount of profit on every minute of that a call. So they want people to use the network, the relay service. That's their motivation for doing the outreach and advertising they do. But it's not mandated by the statute nor by their contract. Does that help?

MR. CONNER: Yeah. That does help me understand how the structure is.

I think that what's happened, though, in the translations as it's moved down from the Public Service Commission, is that outreach has been perceived, and is being approached -- and James, correct me if I'm wrong -- is that it's more geared toward the equipment distribution. And in that outreach part of that contract is, when you're Outreaching you want to talk to them about the Florida Relay Service too. And I'm familiar with that because our agency does have one of the outreach contracts. But it's also -- it still doesn't really get to the

heart of the community, because that community that we're trying to reach, that business community, is almost impossible to get into for normal outreach methods. Because our real goal in outreach is to make sure that deaf people, speech impaired persons, heard-of-hearing persons are aware that the equipment is out there and they have a service that they can utilize.

I'd like, you know, to hear from the rest of the council. Maybe what we need to do is find a way to say there ought to be a second outreach effort, which is to the general public and to employers and the business community. Because that has to do only good things. It can't really do any harm. It will also increase MCI's business, so --

MR. TUDOR: On the budget itself, on the second page, the back of the budget, at the very top, Line 22 deals with the Outreach Program. And in the proposed budget for this year, there's a -- included in the budget, an increase from last year's budget from \$153,000 to \$187,000 for outreach.

James, would you like to address some of your outreach plans?

MR. FORSTALL: We have always in the past

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

budgeted a certain amount for travel reimbursement for FRS to perform outreach activities. In the past year-and-a-half we've not been invoiced for any of those services. The money is there available for that.

We have contact with the FRS office in Miami to encourage a cooperative effort in working together to perform this outreach. The volume is there in the budget amount.

MR. TUDOR: So you use MCI to do some of your outreach. Do you have a person currently assigned to the task of outreach at FTRI?

MR. FORSTALL: Correct.

MR. TUDOR: I've met her. What's her name?

MR. FORSTALL: Chris Call.

MR. TUDOR: Could you describe a little bit about what her role is and her duties?

outreach activity that is requested through the regional distribution centers and training agencies, as well as travelling throughout the state to perform outreach activities; contacting with FRS to coordinate activities; to publish the newsletter that we have going out annually and biannually. Et cetera.

That's basically what we have been providing

mostly lately is on-the-site presentation. We find that person-to-person contact has been more effective.

However, questions have been raised in how far FTRI is to go in performing outreach with the relay service. And right now we have a letter to our counsel to further clarify our role as outreach in relation to the FRS. And we should hear more details about that in the next week or two as to how far we're expected to take outreach for the relay. However, anytime we do outreach, we do promote the relay service. It just goes hand and hand.

But that's the step we're taking at this point. To further clarify exactly what FTRI can do to promote the relay service.

MR. TUDOR: Who is that letter to?

MR. FORSTALL: Doc Horton.

MR. TUDOR: To your board of directors.

MR. FORSTALL: Correct.

MR. TUDOR: I guess in terms -- I don't know if I can find it quickly, but there is some language in the statute that I think would make it clear, you know, that certainly both aspects, equipment and relay, can be part of your outreach program.

If you looked at the handout I gave you that's the TASA law, Page 8 of that --

MR. FORSTALL: Section 4.

MR. TUDOR: The section is 427.705. Within that section it's (1)(B), which says that the administrator shall administer advertising and outreach services as required by the Commission either directly or with contract with third parties or a combination thereof. And that doesn't limit the outreach to just equipment or to relay. It's any of the services.

To the extent you need any clarification from us at any time, of course we're always willing to help you with that.

MR. FORSTALL: Part of the clarification we're looking for is in the relay contract with the Public Service Commission and MCI. In several places they do mention outreach activities. That's where our questions are being directed, in those specific areas to get answers from. And we should know more by the end of next week.

MR. TUDOR: Regardless of how much outreach MCI would do for relay, that shouldn't limit at all what outreach you would do, except to the extent that you might consider that unnecessary expenditures. If they were doing all that could possibly be done, then you might want to direct your funds to something --

more to the equipment program or some other aspect.

But other than that, the fact that they are

advertising or not advertising shouldn't prevent you

from advertising; using the money in a wise way.

MR. FORSTALL: Like I say, we do promote the relay within the capacity that I had described, or maybe taking the suggestion that you had mentioned, taking it a step further into the businesses and the hearing community, et cetera, and we'll be glad to look into that.

MR. TUDOR: Are any of your presentations ever made to, like, civic clubs; like Lions clubs?

MR. FORSTALL: Yes. Yes.

MR. TUDOR: So there you're touching and contacting the business community?

mr. Forstall: Every time we do an outreach presentation, we're giving at least one or two other contacts to continue these presentations. So we have been quite busy over the last few months in making these presentations. It's been proven very effective. But we know we need to expand the outreach services to cover more of the state.

MS. LITTLE: What you're doing sounds really good, doing a little bit at a time. I'm hearing similar things to what you're hearing, though, where I

work, and that is that the general public at large who are the recipients of lots of these calls don't understand what is happening when they get these calls, are hanging up and things like that.

And I think about like hurricane
preparedness, when the Red Cross wanted us to know
about that, they did lots on the television. Whether
you lived that place where you were worried about that
or not, you learned about it. And I think the way to
get to the general business population is to do
something bigger, where they are getting hit with it
pretty regularly. I think you could do little things
forever and not hit people at large. And when I see
the kind of surplus that's here, I think, boy, there
is enough money here to do something really good like
that and get it out there. And maybe I was confused,
but I did think that fell to your organization to do
that part of it.

MR. TUDOR: Does anyone know of what kind of approaches other states might take? I know this is a national problem of people not knowing what a relay call is and hanging up and that sort of thing. Kim.

MS. WOBSCHALL: Thank you, Richard. I know another state that we're working with, we're actually developing public service announcements to be aired at

different times to -- running along a theme of you losing business. Don't hang up when you hear "XXX Relay Service CA."

We're using our Web site and our newsletter to try to get to the public. Also, what we're trying to do is extend our outreach. If we have a business that we're getting a lot of contacts, if the relay user will contact customer service, we will send that out to our outreach people and we will actually go out and do a training session with that business. Those are some of the techniques we have established for this year, because that's one of our goals in '98, is to reach the other side of the community for relay.

MR. TUDOR: Let me ask you a question. On the ads you've produced for public service announcements, do you run those strictly as public service announcements or do you pay for advertising time also using those?

MS. WOBSCHALL: Actually, it's a brainchild that is under development right now. At the present time we were scheduled just to run those as public service announcements.

MR. TUDOR: Okay. In some states where the relay provider has the responsibility for outreach, and that's the case in some of your states I suppose,

there do you use those kinds of ads to satisfy that outreach requirement?

MS. WOBSCHALL: We haven't been doing a lot of television advertisement. We have been trying to do one-on-one contact, attending functions like you stated. Trying to reach local groups to do one-on-one. We just feel the one-on-one presence -- they tend to remember it better than if they see it just flashed across on an ad. Now, the state that we're working with for the public service announcements, they feel that it would benefit their state.

MR. TUDOR: Okay. Thanks. Mr. Conner.

MR. CONNER: I think that the problem may be that we're all hitting the same target. I mean, the deaf service centers, the service providers that are at the local level, as part of our United Way campaigns, and all of the efforts we undergo to make the community aware of what the deaf community needs, or hard-of-hearing community or speech impaired community needs, we are hitting those audiences with our presentations on a regular basis.

I know that we do -- during the United Way campaign we'll go to 200 to 300 businesses and make that presentation. But you still -- what we need is

something that's much more statewide; that's much more global in its approach.

Probably the biggest boom that happened for relay service was when "Ellen" ran that very funny thing on that weekly series, on her series. It was a humorous approach to the relay service. But it would cause tremendous awareness. And I had people approaching me about that for months afterwards. And I think that's the kind of thing I have in mind. Some much larger approach, where the general community is going to talk about what they see.

And public service announcements, if they don't have any pizzazz, they are lost. But if it's a good public service announcement, as many of -- you know, some of the campaigns you talked about have been superb, that's what people remember. And that's why I'm thinking that somebody bigger than the local area has got to address the big advertising arena. And the local providers can pretty well adjust -- can do the one-on-one stuff. I know all the regional distribution centers have contracts to do the one-on-one. So if that's what MCI is doing, then it's a duplication of an effort that might be better expense somewhere else. Mainly because I think MCI certainly has more resources and can come up with

something that is snazzier.

MR. TUDOR: A couple of things come to mind, and I don't know how realistic they are. Talking about the more global approach, our -- I guess more like FTRI's counterpart nationally is an organization called the National Exchange Carriers Association.

And they receive funds from phone companies that they use to fund -- when you make an interstate call, that's not paid for out of the 12 cents a month.

That's paid for out of the national fund.

I don't know that the -- it's called, NECA, N-E-C-A. I don't know that they have any role at all in outreach. And I believe they do not. But, you know, that's a possibility, approaching the FCC to see if some kind of global program -- you know, ads that could be run nationally, those sorts of things, are a possibility. You wouldn't be able to, you know, brand identify them and that sort of thing. You couldn't flash up, you know, the Florida Relay Number on a national ad, that sort of thing; that would be a little downside to that. Something like that is something to think about.

Another thing to think about would be whether to try to run some television spots or radio spots. And those are very expensive, especially the

television. That's a possibility. And possibly -you know, PSAs tend to run after midnight and times
like that. But perhaps those same ads could be used
as -- used for pay television spots during better
times of the day, you know, and we'd have to approach
with MCI whether they would be willing to let those be
used for that kind of a purpose. But those are
possibilities. Charles?

MR. ESTES: Thank you, Richard. A few things on the comments you made.

First, I'm not aware of any outreach type of activity NECA is pursuing at this time. But we do know that the FCC itself is requiring a national promotion of coin cent paid, a particular effort.

That's the only national marketing that I'm aware of.

I also want to mention the fact that MCI has a working contract with a certain other state, cannot parlay (ph) to Florida as far as outreach goes.

The comment was made that MCI has more resources with which to draw from. That's true, we do have expertise within the corporation. And this particular state I'm talking about, MCI works with the state. We assist in developing the materials and promotions and the state outreach funding pays the bill. There's no reason MCI and FTRI can not work

together in Florida in a similar fashion.

I also wanted to comment on the PSA approach. I agree with what you just said. PSAs do tend to be broadcast at inopportune times. We are in some PSAs and hope to get them on at good times of the day, but so far we hear from other states PSA had limited time.

MR. TUDOR: It could be that outside -- just another thought I'm having here is that outside of what is in the annual budget, there could be a one-time -- as a trial, an one-time effort that could be funded as like a supplement to the budget or a special expense, where FTRI may be working with MCI as a subcontractor in this case; could develop some kind of a campaign and see what kind of results we get. It wouldn't necessarily be the way we'd approach it for all time, but could be an one-time campaign of some sort.

I wonder, James, if you could -- like I said, we could do that without having to worry about the budget right now, because we do have some surplus funds that could be available for that. And that could be approved later on by the Commission as an one-time approval, a single-shot effort, rather than being a part of the budget. And then if we decide

later it's a successful project, then we might need to increase the outreach budget in the future years.

could I ask that perhaps you and the MCI could work together and discuss the possibilities and maybe some of the potential costs. Because this is a real problem; that the business community -- and it's particularly the business community -- it probably happens some when just a residential customer receives a relay call and doesn't know what it is. But generally people, when they are calling residential customers, you know, they know who the person is calling them quite often. But a business, it's more of an unexpected call and they don't know what it's all about.

The thing with television or radio advertising is you never know exactly who heard it and whether there was ever any impact.

But just raising the visibility of relay some may pay off, even though sometimes you just don't know, but we can maybe just see if we start hearing people say, "I've heard of this before," you know, that may have some meaning or impact.

MR. CONNER: At the risk of exposing how old we are, back in the early days, when we first started the distribution program and the relay was done by a

whole bunch of little centers scattered around the state, we had successfully done a billboard campaign negotiating with the Billboard Association -- I forget what they are called now. But every time they had down time, we had a sign that they would let us slap up all over the state. And I believe at one point there were as many as 400 of those up on any given occasion.

What we found was there was a tremendous upsurge in interest from the business community. Our agency was immediately contacted by Pizza Hut. Said "What are all these billboards we keep seeing?" And "Are we losing business?" Because it did say, "Are you losing business?" And we found in our area businesses immediately began putting in telecommunication divices because they didn't want to lose that business. Some of them actually began advertising the local relay services.

advertisers how to do their business. But I think there are a number of things that can be done. Since we're really talking about a public service here. Yeah, it is business but it's also a public service, that we may be able to get some advertising time that way too. Because billboards, you drive by them every

day, and people that run companies, that own companies have to drive by them just like the rest of us do. 2 3 MR. TUDOR: To me, billboards are very effective forms of advertising. 4 They were hot pink. I remember 5 MR. CONNER: that. 6 7 MR. TUDOR: So that's a possibility too, that there may be some interest in the billboard 8 community, sort of like public service announcements, 9 providing the space free. I guess you paid for the 10 materials and they they have you the free space. 11 MS. CANZANO: Florida Council, when it ran 12 the program, paid for the materials. And we left 13 copies of the billboards with companies. And whenever 14 somebody didn't pay that month's rent, they would slap 15 our sign up and then they would take it down; then it 16 would go back up; come down. That went on for about a 17 year and a half, two years, before finally all of the 18 signs vanished. I still have two of them in my 19 20 office. MR. TUDOR: Okay. Do you know the name of 21 that national or -- organization? 22 But I'm sure if you called 23 MR. CONNER: No.

There are some very large

any billboard company they can tell you.

MR. TUDOR:

24

25

billboard companies, at any rate, that you can deal with an individually.

James, could I ask that you just spend some time, you know, on your own, as well as with MCI, and see if you could put together kind of -- some sort of a proposal that maybe we could look at sometime.

MR. FORSTALL: That would be fine.

MR. TUDOR: Like I say, we could look at that as not necessarily coming out of the annual budget, but just, you know, a one-time thing and we'll see how effective we feel it might be.

MR. CONNER: James, I'm sorry if I caused you more work.

MR. FORSTALL: That's what I'm here for.

MR. TUDOR: Charles.

MR. ESTES: Two suggestions have been made. Billboard and PSA. The Advisory Committee has other suggestions, other approaches.

MR. TUDOR: Tessa.

MS. LITTLE: My thought is that we probably all have some individual ideas about how it's done, but there are people whose business it is to know how to get the idea out there. I think you could spend, again, a lot time trying to come up with ideas where it may be worth spending a little money for the

1	consultation time, or some help from the people whose
2	job it is to know how to get the biggest, you know,
3	market out there.
4	MR. TUDOR: Yeah. Exactly. Maybe some
5	public relations firms that could give you some
6	advice. Okay. Great.
7	I'd appreciate it if you'd just do that. A
8	you come along with that, keep me up-to-date, if you
9	would.
10	MR. FORSTALL: I'll be glad to do that.
11	MR. TUDOR: That is a real need. It's a
L2	national problem. It's not just Florida. I don't
L3	know that anybody has really solved it anywhere in the
L4	country. So maybe we can be the cutting edge on this
L5	Were there any other comments on the budget
16	itself?
L7	MS. LITTLE: I just have a question. How
18	many employees are covered by this budget in your
L9	organization right now?
20	MR. FORSTALL: Right now we have nine
21	authorized staff positions, and we're requesting an
22	addition of two more.
23	MS. LITTLE: This would cover 11 full-time
24	people?
25	MR. FORSTALL: Ten full and one part-time.

1 MS. LITTLE: I commend you for being able to 2 do it for the amount that you have in your budget for 3 that many people. MR. TUDOR: A lot of the work that they do 4 5 is done through Deaf Service Centers and other 6 organizations in terms of equipment distribution, so 7 that's a subcontracted thing. So they are not really employees but they do a lot of the leg work. And, of 8 course, the relay service is whole another set of 9 employees but they are not FTRI employees. 10 MS. LITTLE: I was referring to 11 people 11 for \$280,000 is -- doing a budget for an organization. 12 We don't do it for that. That's great. 13 14 MR. TUDOR: Okay. Thank you very much, Appreciate it. Thanks for coming today. 15 James. MR. FORSTALL: There was just one other 16 17 thing I wanted to follow up on. In the last meeting we talked about the 911 18 VCO issue and I'd like to provide you with an update, 19 20 if it's okay. 21 Thank you. MR. TUDOR: MR. FORSTALL: As you may recall, during the 22 last TASA meeting the issue with 911 VCO equipment was 23

action: FTRI suspended distribution of the VCO and

Since that time, FTRI took the following

addressed.

24

25

the VCO/HCO telephones temporarily.

FTRI sent notification by certified mail to all of its clients with these equipment informing them of the situation.

A week prior to our mailout, we notified both the State 911 Coordinators and the NENA's Florida chapter president located in Jacksonville, and supplied them with the fliers so they could disseminate the same information to all of the 911 coordinators throughout the state.

FTRI worked together with state ADA work

team and with the National Emergency Number

Association to coordinated an all day track designed

specifically to finding resolution to this issue

during their January 14th - 16th ADA workshop. Around

50 different 911 coordinators from throughout the

state attended.

FTRI contacted the manufacturers of the VCO, Ameriphone, and the VCO/HCO, Ultratec, requesting their participation from the workshop.

We also set a date to begin redistribution of the VCO and HCO telephones as of March 1, 1998.

This date was discussed during the workshop and they all agreed that that would be sufficient enough time for them to prepare their 911 centers.

We sent letters following up to the client, notifying them, RDCs, training agencies and 911 coordinators regarding the redistribution date.

As a result of that meeting, the consensus was that training was needed, along with some minor equipment modification. And the majority of the 911 coordinators believed the equipment modification could be made promptly and at a nominal cost.

And on April 15th, I received a letter from Ameriphone, Vice President of Engineering, Peter Lee, who goes on to state "Ameriphone has developed a special interface box to process VCO and HCO calls. This interface box enables the telecommunicator, the 911 call taker, to process all emergency calls, VCO, HCO, TTY and voice, using the existing PSAP equipment. As a result, all calls are are automatically archived on you existing long-term tape recorder as mandated by the ADA regulation.

Mr. Lee explained to me that this product should be available for the market soon. I have copies of the flier for everybody that I will leave on the table.

MR. TUDOR: Okay. Thank you very much. Does anybody have any questions on that?

MR. CONNER: I don't have a question, but I

do want, on behalf of the Deaf Center Association, to compliment James Forstall, and the reaction to this, what we consider to be an emergency, and how the awareness of the deaf community and the hard of hearing and speech impaired community that has been raised by this whole issue, all of the conversation, we have been beseeched to do more training, do more work. I want to compliment you, James. You did a good job on that. Thanks, James.

2.2

MR. TUDOR: Charles? Come up and tell us a little bit now about your plans for the new subcontractor.

MR. ESTES: To discuss the transition item on the agenda, I'd like to introduce my boss and general manager of TRS. Kim Wobschall.

MS. WOBSCHALL: Thank you very much. I'd like to thank the Commission for inviting us to speak. We're very excited about the relay service, and the Florida Relay Service. And I'd like to spend just a few minutes -- I have a pretty thick presentation, so I'm not sure how much you want me to share on GC Services.

They're very proud of their organization.

And we're very proud to work with them, so I have a
hefty presentation here. I apologize I don't have an

overhead, so it's not going to be as colorful. I mean, they went full bore here.

As you can see in your agenda, MCI was given notification from DEAF that they were electing not provide MCI Services subcontractor services. They did give us 60 days notice. Their contract expires with us June 4th, so they gave us our 60 days notice. And we have been working with GC Services to acquire the facility and begin operations on that date. So what I'd like to do is just tell you about GC Services.

GC Services was founded in 1957. Currently they employed more than 5,000 employees across the United States. They have 32 national service centers. And I'll explain what those 32 centers are doing in a couple more slides here. And they tout themselves as a provider of premier call center management services.

They gave me a map of their 32 sites. They are all the way from Modesto, California, to Boston, and as far north as Lansing, Michigan, and as far south as Houston, Texas. Houston is their corporate headquarters. And they do have a facility in Jacksonville, Florida. So they are in the state of Florida currently.

GC Services states that the provider of call center management solutions -- they do different types

of services when we talk about call center management. They do customer service programs. They do operator services. They do relay services. They do account receivable management. They do inbound and outbound applications, or telemarketing. They also do call center design and implementation. That's a different branch of the organization. And they also work with system integration. So they are a very vast organization.

Their personnel strategy is they hire quality personnel. They set high performance, quality and behavior standards. They do extensive training. Ongoing development. They motivate and reward their staff, and they blend internal resources with outside hires. Meaning that they hire from within and from outside, and they also hire experts in the field so that they can blend the organization.

For each employee coming into GC Services, they are hiring assessment consists of the following -- and this has been tweaked a little bit to meet the relay requirements.

They have an aptitude test. They do a personality profile test. They do a Wonderlic (Intelligence) Test. And they don't have a lot of detail on that. For the contracts specifically they

do the voice clarify testing and then the spelling, grammar and typing testing.

Their strategic solutions are there outsourcing, insourcing, co-sourcing and business alliances, so they are in the market.

GC Services adopts a consultative role with the potential business partners in order to develop the correct strategic sourcing.

Mutually agreed upon goals and expectations are set when GC Services comes in the door.

They exceed project goals and expectation at a direct and indirect cost savings, and they are always seeking new opportunities to grow.

Just a few of their partners. We were talking they were in 32 states. They currently have contracts with American Express, G.E. Capital, the Internal Revenue Service, the U.S. Department of Education, U.S. Department of the Treasury, MCI Telecommunications -- they are actually servicing four different contracts for MCI at this time -- Sears, K-Mart, Doubleday Publishing, United Parcel Service, and the Internal Revenue Service. Actually they have that on their twice.

The next section that GC Services has presented are some successful examples of GC Services'

current projects.

The first project with MCI was in St. Louis, and still is in St. Louis. There are customer service and accounts receivable management. They are operating a call center, that's 7 by 24 -- I'm sorry, 7 by 12 by 365.

Number of people working at the facility are approximately 750. Type of operation is a 1.5 million inbound/outbound calls handled per month, both residential and business customers.

In the facility they use a PBX/ACD system which is a Northern Telecom, that will support up to 800 lines. They would use a VRU, or a virtual recorded unit, or an automated answering service, which is a Syntellect. They also use predictive dialers for making their outbound calls.

There interaction with client business function is product marketing, network management and information services.

The relay services for MCI is they have relay operator services and customer service for the residences of California and North Carolina. Again, that is a 7 by 24 operation. Currently employing 600 relay operators. Approximately handling 525 inbound and 6 -- sorry, 525,000 and 650,000 outbound calls.

The performance standards for that contract, of course, delivering ANI, 85% of the calls must be answered within ten seconds, less than 1% blockage rate and they are using a dynamic call routing system to distribute the calls.

Again, they are providing basically the same service. They are handling relay for voice, baudot, ASCII, enhanced protocol users, along with VCO, HCO, two-line VCO, VCO-to-VCO and the full gamut.

Also for MCI they are providing MCI Operator Services. They currently have facilities in El Paso, Texas and Cedar Rapids, Iowa. They are also providing directory assistance, multilingual operator services and customer services out of those facilities. Those facilities are open 7 by 24. Approximately 1700 employees. They handle inbound calls, approximately 21 million a month.

They have a workforce management structure of the call center manager, human resources, which is responsible for recruiting and training. Quality assurance, administrative support, including accounting and payroll, and operations personnel. For this project they participate in the call center start-up and development for MCI.

One of their outside facilities outside of

MCI is United Parcel Services. They provide customer service, accounts receivable management and systems integration. Currently they employ approximately 90 people on this project. They do customer service representation work on -- I'm sorry -- says CSRS work on GC Services. Customer service representive support work on GC Services custom-designed system and five separate client systems. Manage 350,000 active accounts per week. Payment and adjustment files are transmitted daily. Administration of 13 various payment plans. They also use an EIS predictive dialer. And the key functions are inbound customer service, managed Day One receivables for UPS, account research and adjustment, payment plan changes and daily communications with the client field offices.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GC Services' commitment. They are committed to a consultative development of the most effective call center management solution for the given project.

A strategic outsourcing partnership dedicated to exceeding our goals, MCI's goals, and expectation.

Says GC Services will accomplish through this cost effective application of technology and manpower.

GC Services will maintain unparalleled

dedication to quality, integrity and ethics. And GC Services will foster open communications with our client and free exchange of ideas.

Their closing slide is this: GC Services, the Teleservices Company. Closing slide.

May I open up the floor for any questions that you may have?

- MS. SLATER: I have a question. Are you currently using the same people now that we have been using for the relay all along?
- MS. WOBSCHALL: That is correct. DEAF is still providing the service through June 4th.
- MS. SLATER: After June 4th, then, you know, to all of those people that have been working what's going to happen?
- MS. WOBSCHALL: GC Services has been in constant communication was with the employees of DEAF. They are not in the center this week, but they have been in the center practically every week since the announcement working with the employees to, hopefully, bring them on board.
- mr. conner: I'm finding that the service seems to be deteriorating. I'm having more and more of my staff and other deaf people coming in complaining to me about being treated badly and rudely

by the operators with the relay service.

And what they are saying to me is that they are feeling like the responses they are getting are disingenuous from MCI. And that they lead the customer to believe that MCI does not believe that the customers are being treated the way they are.

I'm concerned, you know, because when a deaf person does finally sit down a write a complaint, you have to have really slapped them around before they will do that. Traditionally that's always been our problem. The deaf people have been willing to sit back and take whatever they get.

And I'm finding that I have a whole stack of letters, and I know that other members of the committee have begun getting letters, Public Service Commission, legislators and everyone else -- and that's how outraged people are with what's happening with the relay service.

We're talking there's a whole other month of this that's going to have to be tolerated before somebody else is going to come in. But I don't think that's an assurance that anything's really going to change. Because the questions I'm getting is how come MCI never apologizes for a CA's behavior? Instead they get quotes like "The Florida relay doesn't do

that." Or "The operators are not rude. They are not trained to be rude." Or "That doesn't happen." And when those kind of comments come back in letter form to a complaint that's been filed, people are saying "Is there any disciplinary action being taken against those CAs?" When people are giving times, dates; they are even sending copies of the printed conversation. And it's real obvious when you look at it what's happening. People are not creating these complaints. They are happening.

And I guess I'm wondering what does it take before finally -- some of the letters I've gotten -- one person who has been writing letters for eight months and still has yet to get a real apology that says "We're very sorry this happens and we acknowledge we're not lying to us. That you're telling us the truth. That this is really abominable and we're making changes so that doesn't happen."

I know that last month -- or the last meeting we were promised there would be 12 public hearing between the last meeting and June related to the relay service. They haven't happened yet -- or some may have. None have happened in our area. Are there not going to be public hearings? Do the consumers get a chance to sit down with MCI and say

"Here is what our problems are." We want to publicly go on record and say that. Is that appropriate at this time? Or are you only talking about the new company that's coming in?

MS. WOBSCHALL: I'll be happy to address your questions.

Starting with your second one first. There are 12 public forums that are scheduled throughout the year. It's not my understanding they were all going to be performed before the month of June or before the meeting that you were discussing. Robert Giuntoli has scheduled those meetings. Unfortunately, Robert is currently on short-term disability, but Charles Estes is stepping into his shoes. And where things are scheduled, if it company or the organization does not want to reschedule those, Charles will be presenting at that presentation or at that meeting.

As far as the complaint letters, I'm very concerned if we're not apologizing. If you have copies, I would like to take a copy of those. If there's factual information, I'm assured DEAF is handling that. If they are not handling it to my specification, then I need to know that so I can ensure that they do that because that is unacceptable. You're right. When we say that we do

not train operators to be rude, but unfortunately we know that once in a while you will have one slip through the cracks that's out there not doing what they are supposed to do and that's not tolerated. In other situations they have been released of their responsibilities.

meeting when I specifically asked are there any outstanding complaints that they haven't been resolved, and I was just told no, there aren't any. And then I produced my sheath of letters and said, "There have been complaints and they haven't been resolved." They were immediately able to tell me at least one of the names.

That's why I'm feeling like their response is disingenuous, is that -- I don't think it's up to me to bring letters constantly, especially when people have been forwarding letter after letter, and all of you have received copies of the letters. I'm concerned. Because I was very meticulous in taking the notes, that we were told there would be 12 public hearings by June. I've heard nothing. I'm deeply involved in the deaf community. Maybe Rita or Alex has more updated information than I do.

I mean, when are these public hearings going

to happen? And when are they going to be advertised?

And how are they going to be advertised? Where are they going to be? Because the community really has something to say and everybody was kind of satisfaction, okay, we get a chance to at least stand up and acknowledge our complaints.

Is there any kind of a schedule? I mean, if you're talking about this year, the last meeting was what in January or February, and so, you know, we're already three months into this year, five months into this calendar year, you still only have seven months left to schedule 10 or 11 or 12 hearings or public forums.

I guess I'm concerned because I don't, you know, -- I don't know what's going on. The community wants to know what is going on. We all have problems with employees who have to leave or have to go on disability, that doesn't keep the work from having to be done.

MS. WOBSCHALL: I don't have the list of 12 that are scheduled for this year. We can get that. They have been scheduled. As far as communication, I'll have to check with Charles. I thought that was on an individual basis; depending on the organization that we were working with, how that was communicated.

1	But there is a schedule and we can get a copy of that.
2	MR. TAYLOR: Kim, could you share that
3	with you have a list of the Advisory Committee,
4	don't you? Could you share that schedule with all of
5	us? Okay. Good.
6	MS. JONES: Could you please give us your
7	card?
8	MS. WOBSCHALL: Sure.
9	MR. TAYLOR: Any other questions? Let me
10	explain that Richard left not to take the afternoon
11	off. He has another meeting in which his expertise
12	was needed. So please forgive his absence. He may be
13	back later in the afternoon if we go that long.
14	No more questions for Ms. Wobschall.
15	MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Thanks, Kim.
16	Joy, how are you doing?
17	THE REPORTER: I'm okay.
18	MR. TAYLOR: Everybody ready to go to what
19	on my agenda is the last item. We're moving a little
20	bit ahead of time.
21	Let's see. I guess there is the other
22	business for Mr. Schad.
23	Did you want to make a presentation,
24	Mr. Schad?
25	MR. SCHAD: As you probably know, I have

been working with the FTRI to get the electrolarynx supplied under the Americans with Disability Act to all of the people in Florida. Actually this is the only means of communication that we have on the telephone.

Most of our members are over 60 years of age and you're not going to teach them how to use a TDD; not at their age. Whereas, we can teach someone to use an electrolarynx in about two hours and have them speaking on a telephone so it's understandable.

Back in the late '50s and early '60s

Southern Bell came out and they recognized that there

must be some equipment available for the hard of

hearing and the speech impaired. At which time they

developed -- (takes out a piece of equipment from

attache case) -- at which time they developed a TDD, a

volume control handset, and visual ring signaler, a

tone ringer and an artificial larynx that was made

available at a very nominal cost in Florida, Georgia,

North Carolina, and South Carolina. The unit that the

telephone company came out with was that unit there.

(indicating) It wasn't very good. But you could make
yourself understood on the telephone with it.

That in 1986 the State of California recognized the same thing, and they also supplied the

electrolarynx to the speech impaired under the Americans with Disabilities Act. At that time they did not have the AB telephone. They came up with a model C which was very useless almost. So they went to the Cumeran (ph), which is an endureal (ph) unit that you place inside your mouth and you can have the vibrations formed in your mouth and brought out with your articulators.

This was a pretty good unit. Some people still use them, except they can not be used on the neck. Most of the units that are in use right now are neck-type units. Then they came out with a neck-type unit that has an oral adaptor that can be used inside the mouth or on the neck.

Now this is a unit that can be given to a person immediately after surgery, and he can use that telephone as well as anybody else after he has about one or two hours of instruction.

The Americans with Disability Act doesn't actually write-off electrolarynx, but the federal register on 64.606 mentions the electrolarynx as something that can be supplied under the Americans with Disability Act.

What we're asking are -- and then after that they came out with The New Voice unit. Now that unit

is now the one that's being used in California, and it is also being distributed in Arkansas.

I have written 70 letters to different clubs around the country and have only received 17 replies. But the two that are using New Voice, there is some talk about it giving the recipients the right to choose whichever instrument they want to use.

Of course, the one I'm using is put out by Siemens, is about the best instrument on the market. It's about half again as expensive as the New Voice. But these are all retail prices. And if they are bought in quantity, I don't know what price they could be bought for.

But I believe that we should give these to every laryngectomee in the state of Florida. And as I talked to Mr. Tudor and he asked me how many do I think there are? Boy, that's a hard question. I know our club has consists of 102 members, and we get on the average of about 36 new laryngectomees a year.

I would only go off the top of my head to say there are about 200 new laryngectomees a year in the state of Florida, and a total of maybe 2- to 2,500 laryngectomees already speech impaired.

So I would like the committee to consider giving these instruments to the new laryngectomees,

and to the laryngectomees that are already here. What we did in my club, I had them all put in for a TDD.

Most of them don't even use them. So if we could give out the electrolarynx, they could turn in their TDDs.

And then these units are covered by

Medicare. Now, almost every one over the age of 65

would get these if they are connected with Medicare.

The trouble is Medicare sometimes takes three and four

months to get these units to the patient. They need

them immediately.

I'm on the hospital visitation committee and we are constantly going to the hospital to see new laryngectomees, or to visit ones that have a relapse.

So I would like you to consider that these units be considered to be a part of this specialized equipment available to the citizens of Florida.

Thank you very much.

MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Before I pass on to others for questions, maybe I missed it. Did you say how much those units are?

mm. schad: That unit there, the one that most states are giving is called The New Voice. It's about \$485. The one I'm using is a Siemens S-I-E-M-E-N-S; that's about \$495. It's listed at 675. But on of the distributors is actually offering it at

495. So I would think with some kind of quantity we could get them at approximately \$400.

MR. TAYLOR: Are there any questions, comments?

MS. SLATER: How many people use them? You know, it's possible -- how many people in the future possibly could use them? Can you predict?

it, even if they have another method of communication. There are three types of communication. One is esophageal speech, which could take anywheres from three months to a year to learn. Or they can have what they call a TEB, which is a valve, put in their throat, which is not recommended for older people because it can not be maintained. But every laryngectomee, irregardless of what method of speech he uses, should have an electrolarynx as a backup.

MR. TAYLOR: Yes, James.

MR. FORSTALL: In regard to your request to include the electrolarynx as part of the distribution program, Chapter 427 Florida Statute does not include the electrolarynx within the definition of specialized telecommunications devices, which FTRI is authorized to distribute. And that's the reason why it's not made available to the program right now. I wanted to

bring that up.

MR. TAYLOR: James, where is that in the law? Everyone has a copy of the law, don't they? I see it, James. It's under "Definition" on 427.703

Paragraph 11.

MR. SCHAD: Page 3.

MR. TAYLOR: The handwritten Page 3 on the handout of the law, Paragraph 11. The law speaks to the provision of specialized telecommunications devices, and it defines here what those devices are. And the laryngectomee is not included.

I guess, James, we did consider this once before some years ago. Maybe before you were even here.

Would it be FTRI's position then that the law would need to be changed?

MR. FORSTALL: Yes.

MR. TAYLOR: Yes, Tessa.

MS. LITTLE: Why wouldn't it fall under the "or any other section". Am I reading the wrong place? All it names is it TDD, the volume control handset and ring signaling device. Then it goes on, "or any other." Because it would be used to provide basic access to telecommunications services.

MR. TAYLOR: Perhaps if that's the will of

the committee to pursue that, we could certainly look into it. I guess everyone could write their positions on it and we could inquire into it. Are there any concerns, other concerns, Jerry?

MR. CONNER: I'd like to say my concern -or I don't think our concern should necessarily deal
with money or how many people are we talking about. I
think we're dealing with the basic philosophy of the
legislation as it was written and passed years ago;
and that was it was access to basic telephone
communication. And while I'm certainly not opposed, I
think that a germane discussion would be is that basic
access to telephone communication or is it not?

I guess I compare it to the discussions we have had in the past when they talked about not getting TDDs for deaf people but rather getting computer terminals for them. And those of us who are in the field of deafness argued that computer terminals are not basic access to telephone service. It's more. It's much more than that. And we really wanted to keep it limited to basic access.

I understand what you're saying about TDDs and the population you're talking about being an older population. I'm not sure that necessarily is true.

If a person really wants to communicate on the

telephone, they will learn to type on a TDD. They will learn to access if they have to. I'm having mixed feelings about whether or not this is basic access to telephone service.

MR. SCHAD: Basically, the TDD, you have to go through a third party. With an electrolarynx you don't go through a third party. You can talk directly with the person you want to communicate with on the telephone in accordance with the law and the way it's written.

On Page 1, under 3-C, I would imagine that that paragraph should also apply to both the speech impaired and the hearing impaired. That the telecommunication access system includes the distribution of telecommunication devices for the deaf that are compatible with the telecommunication relay service system, and has the capability of incorporating new technologies as they develop. I would imagine that should apply to speech impaired as well as the hearing impaired.

MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Schad, with this device you wouldn't use the relay service; is that right?

MR. SCHAD: We have no reason to use any relay service whatsoever. My only trouble is when you first talk to someone on the telephone, as with this

device here, they think I'm a robot and many times they will hang up on me. My wife would have to call them back and say, "He speaks with a machine. Can you pay attention to him for a moment?" And once they find out I'm speaking with a machine, I have no trouble communicating with them whatsoever. But they are not used to this kind of voice on the telephone until they get used to it.

MR. TAYLOR: Yes. Rita.

MS. SLATER: On Page 3, Paragraph 11, it includes the volume control devices for hard-of-hearing people so that they don't have to use relay service, they use the volume control phone, so they can talk directly with the people so they don't need to use the relay.

MR. TAYLOR: Well, is this something that the committee would like to vote on as to whether we should study this issue further? Perhaps the Staff of the Commission will analyze this and make some recommendation to the Commission as to whether or not to pursue this issue.

Each of you are welcome to send us your comments for or against the proposal, but is this something that the committee might like to vote on to do?

1 MR. CONNER: Do you need a motion, is that 2 what you're saying? 3 MR. TAYLOR: I suppose so, yes. MR. CONNER: I would like to move that the 4 5 committee recommend to the Staff of the Public Service 6 Commission to study the appropriateness of distribution of -- help me with the name of the 7 8 machine. Electrolarynx. 9 MR. SCHAD: MR. CONNER: An electrolarynx as part of the 10 11 distribution program. 12 MR. TAYLOR: Okay. MS. SLATER: Second. 13 Rita, second. All in favor? MR. TAYLOR: 14 Show it unanimous. 15 Let's see, for any of you that would be 16 submitting comments on this issue for Staff to 17 consider as its analyzing this -- let's see, this is 18 May the 5th. Can we say within 30 days, by June the 19 5th, that we would have those comments for Staff to 20 consider? Okay. 21 What's the question? 22 MR. FLEISCHMAN: It's about time that 23 Florida got involved with the video relay so that deaf 24 can communicate with each other directly through --

North Carolina has this and it's been very successful.

I think it would be time for it to start spreading
down into Florida. It's definitely time.

MR. TAYLOR: I, guess Mr. Fleishman, that's another issue that is not really using basic telecommunications service; is that right? What would you like -- did you want to make a motion or suggestion that we do something?

MR. FLEISCHMAN: Yes. I move that we plan to see about the video communication here in Florida.

MR. TAYLOR: Let's see. Charles, what does the contract say about that? Refresh my memory. Do you remember?

MR. ESTES: What Dr. Fleischman is talking about is VRI; video relay interpreting. It is a -- in the present contract. The Commission can consider to purchase that option. And the Commission and MCI would enter into negotiation as to the cost, the locations, of what approach the state will have to take, if the state chooses to exercise that option.

I would like to state that MCI is not only providing VRI in the state -- as was mentioned, but there are several other states that have approach to relay and in consideration, the reason being there are many people who are ready to use that for language

reasons. Many people who are end up with American Sign Language as their first language have great difficulty expressing themselves in that way. But using video and talking with an interpreter in their natural language, they use the telephone system much more effectively.

MS. KING: Charles, do you know what is the rate for video relay in North Carolina based on a per-minute rate? If so, do you know what that rate is?

MR. ESTES: The fee for North Carolina is very complex. VRI has a certain rate of its own, but it is subsidized by the basic relay minute. We could discuss that with the Commission if you're interested and show what the cost is, or we can offer a proposal to the Commission for consideration.

MR. TAYLOR: Charles, one of the reasons that you suggested, or one of the uses, would be for those people who, for whatever reason had difficulty communicating, makes it sound like this is almost a translation service.

How would you -- where would you draw the boundary for where you would not offer translation services?

MR. ESTES: A relay call, it is a -- a

telephone user calling in by whatever means available, and resulting in an outgoing call to a person or a business that they need to communicate with. That is a relay call by definition. Whether the call comes in on a TDD or a computer or a VCO or HCO or VRI, it's still a relay. If the proposed is to use relay service for an outgoing call.

1.2

MR. TAYLOR: Charles, how would that be different from a video conference that I might choose to have with someone in Miami?

MR. ESTES: There's not really -- if someone is in Pinellas Park and Tamarac had video conferencing, they would talk to each other direct just as two people would talk to each other through a TDD. That's not relay. That is direct calling.

There's a limited number of people who have the kind of circuits and the equipment for a video conferencing. In North Carolina the approach is to install the equipment in large population areas where the deaf and hard-of-hearing people are known to live and work and make use of the equipment. They go to a central location and use that to call relay. They also use that for port to port -- but that is a completely separate usage. The state does not pay for

that kind of usage.

MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Yes, Jerry.

MR. CONNER: Maybe I can do an example that makes me nervous. Okay.

Let's say for example my mother goes to the doctor. And the doctor won't provide an interpreter. And so she goes in. The doctor does his examination and everything and then tells her, "You go to the relay center and then I'll call them and explain to them what I should have had an interpreter here to explain to you. And then you can ask me questions and we can discuss it on the relay."

That would mean that the doctor could avoid the cost of an interpreter and still comply with the ADA regulations. That would be my concern. Is there a way to avoid that kind of thing? Is that getting closer to what you were thinning of.

MR. TAYLOR: Well, yeah. I'm thinking
that -- well, I'm not sure how this will lay down with
the authority we have, but -- to provide relay
service. I'm not sure it was contemplated initially
but -- someone has pointed out that -- I guess Tessa
pointed out, or someone pointed out -- that we can use
or consider new developments, new to technological
breakthroughs. But I guess, again, this is something

else that we would have to consider.

James, do you have thoughts on this?

MR. FORSTALL: Not at the moment.

MR. TAYLOR: Okay.

MR. FORSTALL: All I know is that I think that MCI would provide the equipment to the centers, if I'm understanding that. That's basically all I have.

MR. TAYLOR: Charles, or does anyone have an idea of the population involved that would use this service?

MR. ESTES: Rita, Alex and Jerry are more capable of answering the kind of population, the news, than I am.

MR. CONNER: I'll try, Charles.

A few years ago we did a similar project, only not using video but using qualified interpreters with what we identified as a special needs population. And we found that roughly 1400 to two thousand calls per month were made through that special needs relay in 12 centers. A total of that out of the 12 centers. So each center did 1- or 200 calls a month. I think that gives you a picture -- those are the major metropolitan areas that had the special needs relay. Where a deaf person came to a certain and there was

someone there who could sign fluently. That person then made the call out for them through the Florida Relay Service. We gauged that there was definitely a strong enough need for that kind of service. It just never got funded.

So I would estimate that you're talking probably 3- to 5,000 people in the state that would use a service like that. And it certainly would be far superior to using the relay service as it currently exists.

MR. TAYLOR: Jerry, why don't you walk me through a basic use of this service. Just what transpires, what's involved and make sure I understand what it is that we're talking about here.

MR. CONNER: I'm not sure I can walk you through the video relay part, because when we were doing it, it didn't involve video.

But essentially the equipment would have to be in a location that was convenient to a large population, and I'll use Pinellas Park as an example, where we have a nursing home and a housing project for multi-handicapped deaf people, and a housing project for elderly deaf people who are not native English users.

And they would then come to that location.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

And there would be video equipment set up where they 1 could then call the relay service and there would be 2 3 an interpreter who would serve as an intermediary to see what the deaf person was saying, and speak that to 4 5 whatever hearing person that deaf person is calling. 6 And then be able to sign the message back to the deaf That's my understanding of how that system 7 person. Is that correct, Charles? 8 works. 9 MR. ESTES: Yes. Would the translation be from 10 TAYLOR: one language to another? 11 American Sign Language to 12 MS. CANZANO:

MS. CANZANO: American Sign Language to English and English to American Sign Language.

MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Yes.

MS. SLATER: It would be similar to a Spanish deaf person who lives in South Florida, that they may not have good English. They are Spanish speakers.

MR. CONNER: I'm not sure they speak Spanish as much as they speak sign language that's more typical of Cuban sign language.

MS. JONES: Spanish.

MR. CONNER: Cuban sign language isn't the same as Spanish sign language.

MR. TAYLOR: We would certainly have to

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

think about the extent to which translation is -- is being done and whether it's allowed by the TASA law.

I guess if we're already considering the laryngectomee, then I guess with the appropriate motion, there's no reason we can't also consider the -- have the Staff review for the committee's interest in VRI to see what is appropriate to do.

Is there a motion? Yes.

MS. SLATER: I move that the committee consider about that as well; about the VRI as well.

MR. CONNER: I second that.

MR. TAYLOR: All in favor? All in favor. It's unanimous.

MR. CONNER: In light of what we're talking about, I think that -- I would like the committee or the council to recommend that possibly FTRI might want to rethink dropping the surcharge from 12 to 11 cents until they can figure out what the physical impact would be of any new things we decide to do. Because I'm going back to if we drop it and then try to go back and get it increased again, I don't believe the legislature is going to be real thrilled about that.

MR. TAYLOR: I think we do have leeway with the fee. I believe it's capped at 25 cents. So I think that that shouldn't be a problem. But I think

we are not already to the point of suggesting that 2 FTRI do anything at this point. 3 The new budget has to be in place MS. KING: July 1, and it has to go before our Commissioners 4 5 prior to that, so the local exchange companies can 6 make any changes in their billing, whether it is an 7 increase or decrease. That's why we do need to move 8 forward with the budget proposal and take all of the 9 comments into consideration. 10 If something were to happen -- I know 11 several years ago they went back to the Commission in 12 the middle of the year and made a recommendation. 13 MR. CONNER: That's fine. I'll shut up. 14 (Laughter) 15 MR. TAYLOR: Okay. MS. JONES: I notice that -- problems which 16 17 members of SHHH. A couple of them were individual problems. I'm not going to bring them up here. But I 18 19 wondered if I could have the name of someone at the relay system that I might direct the questions to? 20 21 MR. TAYLOR: Kim, may I give your name? 22 MS. WOBSCHALL: I have my business cards. And Charles is at the center currently. 23 24 MR. TAYLOR: Okay. On your list of other

people, Ms. Wobschall's name and address is here on

1	your list. Ms. Wobschall. There's also Robert
2	Giuntoli. I understand he's on leave; is that right?
3	MS. WOBSCHALL: That's right.
4	MR. TAYLOR: All right. Mr. Schad, I
5	believe your let's see, you had another issue and
6	that is whether the Laryngectomee Association could be
7	appointed to replace either the Coalition for Persons
8	with Dual Sensory Disabilities or the Florida League
9	of Seniors.
LΟ	MR. SCHAD: Both of which have been
11	disbanded. So, therefore, instead of ten members on
L2	this committee we only have allocations for eight.
L3	Whereas, the Florida Laryngectomee Association is 100
L4	with the speech impaired. I think that would be a
L5	perfect balance for this organization to have somebody
L6	from that organization represented on the advisory
L7	board.
18	MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Are there any comments
ا 19	from the Advisory Committee on this proposal?
20	MS. SLATER: What is the name of that
21	organization again?
22	MR. TAYLOR: You should have a letter from
3	Mr. Schad that has the Item 2 on it.
24	MS. SLATER: Okay.

MR. TAYLOR: Hearing no comments, I don't

know that it would be appropriate to replace any organization that may subsequently begin to be active again.

However, I think that the legislature was very specific in that the Advisory Committee may consist of ten members. And I don't see any reason not to substitute members of your organization in lieu of the ones who are not actively participating. We might run into a conflict if they decided to participate again, but we could address that at that time. Yes.

WR. SCHAD: What I was thinking, why can't we get a definite commitment from those two organizations? They might not even be around anymore. I mean, somebody's said Mr. Anderson was approached. Well, I've known Mr. Anderson for quite a few years. I know he's a very dedicated person. But whether he still wants to be active in this I don't know. So if you have two organizations, both of which do not have any representatives, it seems to me — to have them on the board yet.

MR. TAYLOR: Well, one problem we may have with this is the specific organizations are mentioned in the law. And I guess one could argue whether the law would need to be changed to do it.

I think if it's the will of the committee to 1 2 move forward on the basis that this organization could 3 substitute for nonattending members on an interim 4 basis, that we could probably go ahead and put those -- put that in motion. And perhaps we would 5 6 need to consider whether the other two organizations 7 should be replaced if they are not participating. 8 I quess -- yes, Dr. Fleischman. 9 MR. FLEISCHMAN: Are the two organizations 10 not now still in existence or did they dismantle? 11 MR. TAYLOR: It's my understanding that the 12 Coalitions for Persons with Dual Sensory Disabilities, 13 the organization has dissolved; as well as the Florida 14 League of Seniors, the organization has dissolved. 15 Any comments, questions? 16 MS. SLATER: So in other words, they no 17 longer have that organization anymore? 18 MR. TAYLOR: Those organizations do not 19 exist and they don't have a representative on the 20 Advisory Committee.

MS. SLATER: Why weren't we informed and let us become a part of that organization?

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TAYLOR: We have sent out the TASA

Advisory Committee list, and it has those

organizations listed on it, with a notation that they

are dissolved. So you would have been notified through that means. We didn't immediately get the word that they dissolved necessarily. But we did let you know as soon as we knew.

MR. SCHAD: Well, every year I ask for a copy of the report. And a copy of that report is that at least one of those organizations has disband for the last two years. So all you have to do is write a letter to the Public Service Commission and you can get a copy of their Annual Report. It's generally available in November.

MR. TAYLOR: Okay.

MS. SLATER: Are you making a proposal? A motion?

MR. SCHAD: I'd like to make a motion that the Florida Laryngectomee Association replaces one of the two organizations that have been disbanded in order to appoint a person to the Advisory Committee.

MR. TAYLOR: Can I ask you to amend the motion to substitute someone from that organization, and then we can look further into it.

MR. SCHAD: That would be perfectly all right. I think it should be looked into if these organizations have definitely disbanded, or if somebody just read that word out of the air.

"Disbanded" is a very funny word. If you have two 1 2 people together, they are not disbanded. 3 MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Is there a second? 4 MR. CONNER: I'll second that. 5 MR. TAYLOR: All right. It's moved and 6 seconded. All in favor? I believe we have three 7 unanimous votes. Don't get in the habit of that. 8 MR. CONNER: MR. TAYLOR: Okay. All right. 9 10 MS. KING: Mr. Schad, if there's someone 11 from that organization that you have in mind 12 currently --13 MR. SCHAD: Well, the president of the FLA, 14 I have given his name to Richard Tudor. His name is 15 Earl Mogk, M-O-G-K. M-O-G-K. What we need for our records is 16 MS. KING: just a letter saying he'd like to sit on the advisory 17 committe. 18 MR. SCHAD: He doesn't want to sit on it. 19 He wants to be somebody -- that he can nominate to sit on it. I don't know whether he wants to sit on it 21 22 himself. 23 Ms. KING: Okay. Okay. MR. SCHAD: But he is president currently of 24

the Florida Laryngectomee Association.

1	MS. KING: And Richard has his name and
2	number?
3	MR. SCHAD: Yes. If not, I'll be glad to
4	send a copy. I have it here if you want it, in some
5	of these papers around here someplace.
6	MS. KING: Okay.
7	MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Any other business,
8	comments, questions?
9	MR. FLEISCHMAN: I have a question. Why
10	does Florida FLSHA have two members excuse me,
11	two addresses on there?
12	MR. SCHAD: One is my home address and the
13	other address is the address of the association
14	itself.
15	MR. FLEISCHMAN: One address is in Boca,
16	Boca Raton. The other is in you know, people can't
17	live in two places. So which address are we using?
18	MR. SCHAD: We'll use my address.
19	MR. TAYLOR: I guess I need to ask what
20	addresses are we talking about?
21	MR. FLEISCHMAN: Which address?
22	MR. SCHAD: Boca Raton address.
23	MR. FLEISCHMAN: Okay. Thanks. That's what
24	I needed to know.
25	MR. TAYLOR: Okay. I think I understand.

I'm a little slow today to keep up with you folks. All right. Are there any other questions, comments, or business items? Okay. Those of you who travelled, I think we need to get you squared away with your travel reimbursement forms. But if there are no further business, then I'll call the meeting adjourned. you. (Thereupon, the meeting concluded at 3:00 p.m.)

STATE OF FLORIDA) 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 COUNTY OF LEON) I, JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR, Chief, Bureau of 3 Reporting, Official Commission Reporter, 4 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Advisory Council 5 Meeting in Docket No. 960598-TP was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further 6 7 CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this 8 transcript, consisting of 75 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. 9 DATED this 14th day of May, 1998. 10 11 12 13 14 15 Bureau of Reporting 16 (904) 413-673217 18 19 20 21 22 23

24