## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION RECEIVED A PSC

08 OCT 12 PM 4: 55

In re: Initiation of show cause proceedings	)		RECEIVE AND
against AT&T of the Southern States, Inc.	)	Docket No. 971492-TI	REPORTING
and d/b/a/ Connect 'N Save for violation	)		The Cities and
of Rule 25-4.118, F.A.C., Interexchange	)	Filed: October 12, 1998	
Carrier Selection	)		
	)		

## AT&T's RESPONSE TO AMENDED SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL, REQUEST FOR IN CAMERA INSPECTION AND REQUEST FOR RULING ON FIRST MOTION TO COMPEL FILED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND CITIZENS OF FLORIDA

Comes now AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., (AT&T) and files this Response in Opposition to the Second Amended Motion to Compel Against AT&T by the Attorney General and the Citizens of Florida. For cause, AT&T shows as follows:

On August 21, 1998, counsel for AT&T telephoned counsel for the Office 1. of the Public Counsel and indicated that a subpoena was required for AT&T to release the requested documents pursuant to section 364.24(b), Florida Statutes. Counsel for AT&T indicated that the documents would be produced upon receipt of such subpoena. On August 26, 1998, counsel for OPC delivered a subpoena to AT&T requiring the production of such documents on August 31, 1998. On August 31, 1998, AT&T delivered to OPC a copy of each and every document requested, with exception of certain privileged documents, discussed below. Under these circumstances, AT&T did not intend to waive any privileges and acted in good faith in producing such documents on August 31, the date specified in the subpoena.

1

	 ragast s 1, the date specimen in the suspect
V i S	0.75
H TC	 RECEIVED & FILED
	EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS
	EPOC-DO) (C. 10

AFA

APP

CAF CMU \_

LE!

LIN OPC.

RCH SEC

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

11310 OCT 128

SESO- PROCEDS/PEPCRTING

- 2. In its Response to OPC's discovery, AT&T objected to production of documents that are exempt from discovery by virtue of the attorney-client privilege or work product privilege, and specified 26 such documents, citing the applicable privilege. AT&T will produce such documents for an *in camera* inspection at a time and place designated for the purpose of judging the applicability of such privileges.
- 3. AT&T renews its request for oral argument regarding its previously-filed Response in Opposition to the Motion to Compel.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of August, 1998.

Marsha E. Rule

101 N. Monroe St.

Suite 700

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 425-6364

(850) 425-6361 (fax)

ATTORNEY FOR AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, INC.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. mail this 12<sup>th</sup> day of August, 1998, to:

Charles J. Beck Office of the Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee FL 32399-1400

Michael A. Gross Assistant Attorney General Department of Legal Affairs PL-101, The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

Catherine Bedell Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee FL 32399-0850

Marsha E. Rule