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## DENNIS CURRY

continues his testimony under oath from Volume 26 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COX:
Q Why should we -- Why did the FCC limit corporate expenses as opposed to, say, plant-specific expenses?

A I couldn't tell you, to be honest.
Q Would it be fair to say that a good possibility is that corporate expenses are more discretionary in nature?

A Could be, yes.
Q Mr. Curry, are you familiar with the definition of basic local telephone communication service in Chapter 364 of the Florida Statutes, specifically 364.022 ?

A I presume. I'm sure I've read it. I don't know why it would be any different than anything we'd expect on anything else here.

Q okay.
A Do you want to take a look at it? Do you want me to read it? What is it?

Q Yeah, I can provide you with a copy of it. Just one moment.

Do you have a copy there?
A Right.
Q Okay. Thanks. I'll give you a moment to read it. Actually, if you could read the definition aloud for me, that would be helpful.

A Okay. "Basic local telephone communication service means voice grade flat rate residential and flat rate single line business local exchange services which provide dial tone, local usage necessary to place unlimited calls within a local exchange area, dual tone multifrequency dialing, access to the following: emergency services, such as 911; all locally available interexchange companies; directory assistance; operator services; relay services; and an alphabetical directory listing."

Q Okay. Now, Mr. Curry, is your cost of universal service that you used in the embedded cost study methodology the same as the cost of basic local telephone communication service according to this definition?

A Yes, it is.
Q Am I to understand that to mean that, if that's true, that $83 \%$ of your revenue requirement is attributable to basic local service?

A It's attributable to the cost of universal service. Okay. And if we define -- We're getting two things mixed up here. Basic local service, there's a set
of rules for defining the costs to that. We've gone over and above these rules here now when we define universal service by moving all nontraffic sensitive plant into the cost of universal service.

Q Okay. If you could turn to page 3 of your rebuttal testimony.

A 311 right.
Q Lines 22 through 25, you state that the cornerstone of a smooth transition to robust local exchange competition is a permanent state universal service funding mechanism that insures competitive and structural neutrality for telecomminication service providers?

A Yes.
Q Now is it your view that local exchange competition and a permanent state universal service mechanism are inextricably linked together?

A No. I think there's more than just local exchange competition involved here. Okay. And that is the implicit and explicit support mechanisms that we have in place right now. And at this point several recent rulings in Florida have tended to start eroding these implicit supports.

And when I speak of that, I'm talking about the 25 -cent calling plan they put in place, plus the one-plus prescription on intralata toll. So these were support
mechanisms that were in place that we were receiving through either toll or access on these that are slowly beginning to disappear. So we're already seeing an erosion of the implicit subsidies that were out there.

So not only is it local competition that is the problem, there is also an erosion of our intraLATA toll and access.

Q Does ALLTELL-Florida presently have any ALECs operating in its territory?

A Not to my knowledge they don't.
MR. COX: That concludes staff's questions. Thank you, Mr. Curry.

A Thank you.
COMMISSTONER DEASON: Commissioners.
Redirect.
MR. WAHLEN: No redirect; I'd like to move --
MR. COX: I'm sorry; I did have a couple more questions. I apologize.

BY MR. COX (Continuing):
Q Mr. Curry, I just have a couple of questions regarding cost of capital.

For purposes of this proceeding and the methodology that you proposed, you've used an 11.258 overall cost of capital; is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Now has ALLTEL provided any support for the 11.258 cost rate other than noting that it's the default rate prescribed by the FCC?

A No, that was our reasoning for doing it. It's a default rate provided by the FCC and it's currently used for the federal universal service.

Q Okay. Mr. Curry, are you aware that on October 5 th of this year in CC Docket No. $98-166$, the FCC initiated a proceeding to re-prescribe the authorized rate of return for interstate access services provided by local telephone companies?

A Yes; I've heard about it.
MR. COX: Commissioner Deason, staff had inadvertently left this off of its official recognition list. And we'd like to ask the Commission to take official recognition of FCC Report No. 98-33, dated October 5th, 1998, and amend to the list that we've already marked as Exhibit 14.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection to adding that to Exhibit 14 ?

MR. WAHLEN: NO.
COMMISSIONER DEASON: It will be so added. BY MR. COX (Continuing):

Q I'd like to hand you a copy of it at this time, Mr. Curry.
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I don't really have any questions for you; I just wanted you to have an opportunity to look at it. That's a11.

The 11.25 rate of return, Mr. Curry, is not ALLTEL's embedded cost of capital as last approved by tiis Commission; is it?

A Not to my knowledge, but I don't know what was last approved by this Commission either.

MR. COX: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Curry. That does conclude Staff's questions.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Redirect.
MR. WAHLEN: I'm going to say this quickly: No redirect.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibits.
MR. WAHLEN: Move Exhibit 96.
COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection, Exhibit 96 is admitted.
(Exhibit 96 admitted.)
MR. COX: Staff moves Exhibit 97.
COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection, Exhibit 97 is admitted.
(Exhibit 97 admitted.)
COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, Mr. Curry.
WITNESS CURRY: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER DEASON: That concludes all
witnesses?
MR. WAHLEN: I believe it does.
COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any concluding
matters, Staff?
MR. COX: Not that we're aware of.
COMMISJIONER DEASON: Any parties have any concluding matters?

I guess there is a schedule set forth?
NR. COX: Yes, there is a schedule set forth. I believe the briefs will be due on November 2nd and the Staff recommendation is scheduled for December 8th, with the special agenda on December 18th.

MR. HATCH: Do we have a due date for late-fileds yet?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, I think you've requested the late-files; do you have a suggested due date?

MR. COX: Suggested due date for the late-fileds -- Would the parties want to have those in before thair briefs were due?

Yes; okay.
Maybe a week from today; would that be reasonable?
COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection to late-filed exhibits being filed one week from today?

Hearing no objection, that will be due date. If any party has a problem with that date, please see the
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