

Bublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

OBJO PR

DATE:

January 7, 1999

TC:

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYÓ)

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SER CES (COLLINS)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 981972-EI - PETITION BY FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION TO REVISE RATE SCHEDULE SC-1, SERVICES CHARGES OF ITS TARIFF FOR RETAIL LE "RIC SERVICE, BY CREATING A SUBSECTION ENTITLED PAYMEN. THE "H A THIRD PARTY VENDOR.

AGENDA:

1/19/9 9 - REGULAR AGENDA - TARIFF FILING - INTERESTED

PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: 60-DAY SUSPENSION DATS: FEBRUARY 26, 1999

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\EAG\WP\981972.RCM

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission approve Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) proposal to allow payment through third party vendors?

RECOLMENDATION: Yes, the revised tariff should be approved for the two proposed applications discussed below.

STAFF AMALYSIS: FPC proposes two new payment options through third party vendors. Each option is discussed below separately. FPC filed the following tariff incorporating the new optional bill payment arrangements:

Payment through a Third Party Vendor. The customer may elect to make payment through a third party vendor contracted by the Company. The customer shall be DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00269 JAN -7 S

DOCKET NO. 981972 EI DATE: January 7, 1999

responsible for any vendor charges associated with this type of payment. These payment methods may include but not be limited to the following: credit card, debit card, and check-by-phone or other similar types of payment.

Credit card, debit card, and check-by-phone payment through Telepay. FPC and a third party vendor, Telepay, entered into a contract by which Telepay would process all credit card payments. Customers choosing to pay their electric bill with a credit card will have to make arrangements with Telepay which will bill the customer the bill amount and a fee and remit the bill amount to the utility. Telepay will also offer two new payment options. Customers will be able to use a debit card or phone in their checking account number to pay the electric bill. Telepay will charge the customer a processing fee for each of these transactions.

To support its petition, FPC states that in 1992 FPC began accepting credit card payments from its customers. In that year, FPC processed 7,193 credit card transactions. Since 1992 the number of credit card payments has increased to 95,381 in 1997 and has exceeded 180,000 by the end of 1998. While the number of transactions has been increasing steadily since 1992, the percentage of FPC's customers that make credit card payments is still relatively small. Between August 1997 and July 1998, 51,505 accounts, or 3.9 percent of FPC's total accounts, paid by credit card. Sixty-four percent of these accounts made only one credit card transaction, the remaining 36 percent show multiple credit card transactions. Cf these 51,505 accounts, 32 percent of the transactions were for accounts with collection arrangements or eligible for cut, 22 percent for deposit payments, and 46 percent for regular monthly bill payment.

FPC currently offers and will continue to offer its customers five payment options. These include business offices, automated agents, mail-in payments, electronic funds transfer, and credit card payments by telephone. The following table shows for 1998 the number of transactions and the cost to FPC per transaction for each payment option.

Payment Option	Transactions	Cost per Transaction
Business Office	4,045,766	\$1.91
Automated Agents	234,381	\$1.42
Mail-In Payments	10,203,432	\$0.08

DOCKET NO. 981972 LI DATE: January 7, 1999

> Electronic Funds 936,026 \$0.12 Transfer Credit Card by 180,746 \$3.91 Telephone

The most costly option is the credit card by telephone option, costing \$3.91 per transaction. Two reasons contribute to the high transactions costs. First, credit card companies charge a 2-3 percent processing fee, which FPC is responsible for. Second, FPC states that it takes its customer service employees about twice as much time to handle a credit card call than to handle any other calls. The total cost to process credit card transactions for 1998 was \$706,665. FPC has been absorbing these costs since 1992 as an above-the-line expense. These costs are not in base rates, since FPC did not start accepting credit card payments until after its last rate case.

Due to the high cost, employee time, and the increasing number of transactions, FPC considered three other options for accepting credit card payments: (1) purchase a computer program for faster in-house processing; (2) discontinue offering the credit card payment; or (3) use an outside third party vendor. FPC concluded that the most cost effective option would be the use of an outside third party vendor. FPC determined that the purchase of an inhouse computer system would not be cost-effective. In addition to the programming costs, FPC customer service employees would still have to handle the credit card calls. FPC does also not wish to discontinue accepting credit card payments stating that this payment option provides customer satisfaction and convenience. For example, some customers pay by credit card when their account is eligible to be cut off for non-payment. This ensures that the customer does not get disconnected and ensures payment to the utility.

FPC therefore contracted with Telepay, a third party vendor, to process all credit card payments. Telepay's transaction fee for each bill payment will be \$ 5. The customer will be charged \$4.95 and FPC will subsidize the remaining \$1 as an above-the-line expense. The fee will appear as a separate line item on the customer's credit card statement. Telepay will accept all major credit cards. Customers will also be able to use a debit card for a fee or transfer funds from their checking accounts to pay the electric bill by calling Telepay and providing their checking account number. The fee for this transaction will be \$1.95.

DOCKET NO. 981972-EI DATE: January 7, 1999

A customer wishing to make a credit card or check-by-phone payment calls Telepay's toll-free number and provides his FPC account number, the amount of the bill, and the credit card or checking account number. Telepay's system will be available 24 hours every day. Telepay handles the customer call, processes the payment, and collects the transaction fee from the customer. Telepay will electronically transfer to FPC a list of all payments received four times daily. FPC does not receive any revenue from the transaction fee.

As a transition plan to promote customer acceptance FPC proposes to pay the full cost of the Telepay transaction fee for the first 60 days and subsidize each payment with \$1.95 toward customers credit cards during the next 30 days. After the 90-transition period customers choosing to pay their electric bill by credit card or checking account will be billed directly by Telepay for the full transaction fee.

Staff notes that Florida Power & Light Company and Gulf Power Company currently do not provide the option of credit card payment. Tampa Electric Company allows customers to use the Discover credit card to pay their bill without an additional fee, however, Discover does not charge Tampa Electric a processing fee. The City of Tallahassee allows customers to pay the electric bill by credit card and charges a fee. In addition, City of Tallahassee customers can only pay by credit card in person at City Hall and not over the phone as FPC proposes.

A proposal by Florida Power & Light (FPL) for a third party vendor was considered in Docket No. 931034-EI. However, the circumstances were substantially different. FPL was in the process of closing all its payment sections of its local offices and entered into a contract with Jack Eckerds Corporation (Eckerds) to act as an agent to collect bill payments. Customers wishing to pay in person were required to utilize the Eckerds option and were charged a \$0.35 fee for each transaction. FPL did not request Commission approval of the contract; nor did it file a tariff incorporating the new bill payment arrangement. In addition, the cost of operating the closed local offices were still in FPL's base rates, and customers paying in person were paying twice for the same service. As a result of Commission action, FPL rescinded the \$0.35 charge and refunded all previous charges. FPC does not propose closing any local offices. On the contrary, FPC is proposing to expand payment options to its customers.

Payment at an alternative pay location. FPC currently offers 42 automated agents throughout its service territory. Automated

DOCKET NO. 981972 I DATE: January 7, 1999

agents are various retail stores and commercial locations that contracted with FPC to act as an agent to collect bill payments from FPC customers. Currently, customers are not charged a fee, since FPC has been paying the fee to the retail store. FPC wishes now to increase the number of its payment locations and is currently negotiating with a pay agent with multiple locations to allow payment of electric bills. FPC's initial plan is to establish this program at two new payment locations for approximately 90 days. The pilot's success will determine whether FPC will implement this plan with more payment locations throughout its entire service territory. The success of the pilot will be determined by the customer's acceptance of this additional service and the lack of consumer complaints.

Customers would have the ability to make a cash or check payment at the new payment locations and would be charged a fee. FPC and the third party vendor are currently negotiating the fee, but FPC states that it will not be more than 75 cents per transaction. The third party vendor would electronically update the customer's record on the date of the payment.

Conclusion. Since the new payment arrangements FPC proposes are optional services, staff recommend: approval of this petition. It appears that although it is convenient for a customer to pay by credit card for example, there are higher than average costs associated with this payment option, which FPC has been absorbing. In addition, the number of customers using credit card payments has been increasing. Telepay will provide the additional convenience of being available 24 hours 7 days a week. In addition, since credit card calls require twice as much time as other ca'ls, transferring credit card payments to a third party vendor will free up FPC customer service employees to handle more calls. To keep rates low to all its customers, staff believes that customers wishing to use an optional service the utility provides, should be responsible for the costs associated with this service.

Section 501.0117, Florida Statutes, prohibits a seller or lessor from imposing a surcharge on the buyer or lessor for choosing to use a credit card in lieu of payment by cash, check, or similar means if the seller or lessor accepts credit card payments. This statute provides an exception if charges are imposed pursuant to an approved state or federal tariff. Charges made in accordance with an approved tariff do not fall within the ambit of section 501.017, Florida Statutes.

Upon review, staff believes that FPC's proposed payment plan does not violate this statute and should be approved.

DOCKET NO. 981972 II DATE: January 7, 1999

ISSUE 2: What is the appropriate effective date for the revised tariff?

RECOMMENDATION: The appropriate effective date for the revised tariff is January 19, 1999.

STAFF ANALYSIS: If the Commission approves the approved tariff revision at the January 19, 1999, Agenda Conference, it should become effective on that date.

ISSUE 3: How should additional payment options through a third party vendor under this tariff be approved?

RECOMMENDATION: Issue 1 discusses two specific proposals. FPC should file any new third-party vendor options with the Commission 45 days prior to implementation. Staff should be granted the authority to approve administratively new proposals which are substantially similar to the two programs discussed above.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Although the proposed tariff language is broad, staff is concerned about a carte blanc approval of any new proposals for payment options through a third party vendor. Staff recognizes that these are optional payment methods, but still believes that some oversight is prudent. Therefole, staff recommends that FPC be required to file any additional third party vendor payment plans it wishes to offer under the proposed tariff language no less than 45 days prior to implementation for staff review. If the plan(s) appears to be reasonable and in accord with the discussion in Issue 1, staff should be granted authority to approve the new proposals administratively. If staff has concerns about any such new payment options, they will be brought before the Commission for review.

Section 2.07 of the Administrative Procedures Manual (APM), clarifies which investor-owned utility filing can be approved administratively. Specifically, Section 2.07(c)(15)(e), allows staff to administratively approve any new services which re not presently available to existing customers as long as that proposal does not contain new pricing concepts and does not limit service. The APM also states that if any proposal appears to the staff to be controversial, it shall be brought to the Commission for consideration.

DOCKET NO. 981972-LI DATE: January 7, 1999

ISSUE 4: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, if no protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the order.

STAFF ANALYSIS: If a protest is filed within 21 days of the Commission order approving this tariff, the tariff should remain in effect pending resolution of the protest, with any increase in revenue held subject to refund. If no protest is filed, this docket may be closed.