

Kay Flynn

To: Diana Caldwell; jonathan audu
Subject: RE: 981052

I will return Document 00849-99 based on this concurring e-mail.

Kay

-----Original Message-----
From: Diana Caldwell
Sent: Friday, June 09, 2000 2:42 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: FW: 981052

-----Original Message-----
From: Jonathan Audu
Sent: Friday, June 09, 2000 2:41 PM
To: Diana Caldwell
Subject: RE: 981052

Yes!

-----Original Message-----
From: Diana Caldwell
Sent: Friday, June 09, 2000 2:39 PM
To: Jonathan Audu
Subject: RE: 981052

then recommend to send it back.

-----Original Message-----
From: Jonathan Audu
Sent: Friday, June 09, 2000 2:03 PM
To: Diana Caldwell
Subject: RE: 981052

Just thinking, since case was "so" withdrawn be petitioner; do we really have need for any documents, and let alone grant confidentiality. Or are we obligated to decide one way or another regardless?

-----Original Message-----
From: Diana Caldwell
Sent: Friday, June 09, 2000 1:38 PM
To: Jonathan Audu
Subject: 981052

I have to do a confidentiality order for document number 00849-99. Can you look at it and determine whether we should grant confidential treatment?

CAF	_____
CMF	_____
COM	_____
CTR	_____
ECR	_____
LEG	_____
OPD	_____
PAI	_____
RGO	_____
SEC	<u> 1 </u>
SER	_____
OTH	<u> copy to Ka </u>

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07300 JUN 14 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING